

# Chapter 1

## Preliminaries: Set theory and categories

### 1 Naive set theory

#### Exercises

**1.1.** Locate a discussion of Russell's paradox, and understand it.

*Solution.* □

**1.2.** ▷ Prove that if  $\sim$  is a relation on a set  $S$ , then the corresponding family  $\mathcal{P}_\sim$  defined in §1.5 is indeed a partition of  $S$ : that is, its elements are nonempty, disjoint, and their union is  $S$ . [§1.5]

*Solution.* □

**1.3.** ▷ Given a partition  $\mathcal{P}$  on a set  $S$ , show how to define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $S$  such that  $\mathcal{P}$  is the corresponding partition. [§1.5]

*Solution.* content... □

**1.4.** How many different equivalence relations may be defined on the set  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ ?

*Solution.* content... □

**1.5.** Give an example of a relation that is reflexive and symmetric but not transitive. What happens if you attempt to use this relation to define a partition on the set? (Hint: Thinking about the second question will help you answer the first one.)

*Solution.* content... □

**1.6.** ▷ Define a relation  $\sim$  on the set  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers by setting  $a \sim b \iff b - a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Prove that this is an equivalence relation, and find a ‘compelling’ description for  $\mathbb{R}/\sim$ . Do the same for the relation  $\approx$  on the plane  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  defined by declaring  $(a_1, a_2) \approx (b_1, b_2) \iff b_1 - a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b_2 - a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . [§II.8.1, II.8.10]

*Solution.* content...

□

## 2 Functions between sets

### Exercises

**2.1.** ▷ How many different bijections are there between a set  $S$  with  $n$  elements and itself? [§II.2.1]

*Solution.* content...

□

**2.2.** ▷ Prove statement (2) in Proposition 2.1. You may assume that given a family of disjoint subsets of a set, there is a way to choose one element in each member of the family. [§2.5, V.3.3]

*Solution.* content...

□

**2.3.** Prove that the inverse of a bijection is a bijection and that the composition of two bijections is a bijection.

*Solution.* content...

□

**2.4.** ▷ Prove that ‘isomorphism’ is an equivalence relation (on any set of sets). [§4.1]

*Solution.* content...

□

**2.5.** ▷ Formulate a notion of *epimorphism*, in the style of the notion of *monomorphism* seen in §2.6, and prove a result analogous to Proposition 2.3, for epimorphisms and surjections. [§2.6, §4.2]

*Solution.* content...

□

**2.6.** With notation as in Example 2.4, explain how any function  $f: A \rightarrow B$  determines a section of  $\pi_A$ .

*Solution.* content...

□

**2.7.** Let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  be any function. Prove that the graph  $\Gamma_f$  of  $f$  is isomorphic to  $A$ .

*Solution.* content...

□

**2.8.** Describe as explicitly as you can all terms in the canonical decomposition (cf. §2.8) of the function  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $r \mapsto e^{2\pi i r}$ . (This exercise matches one assigned previously. Which one?)

*Solution.* content...

□

**2.9.** ▷ Show that if  $A' \cong A''$  and  $B' \cong B''$ , and further  $A' \cap B' = \emptyset$  and  $A'' \cap B'' = \emptyset$ , then  $A' \cup B' \cong A'' \cup B''$ . Conclude that the operation  $A \sqcup B$  (as described in §1.4) is well-defined up to *isomorphism* (cf. §2.9). [§2.9, 5.7]

*Solution.* content...

□

**2.10.** ▷ Show that if  $A$  and  $B$  are finite sets, then  $|BA| = |B|^{|A|}$ . [§2.1, 2.11, §II.4.1]

*Solution.* content...

□

**2.11.** ▷ In view of Exercise 2.10, it is not unreasonable to use  $2^A$  to denote the set of functions from an arbitrary set  $A$  to a set with 2 elements (say  $\{0, 1\}$ ). Prove that there is a bijection between  $2^A$  and the *power set* of  $A$  (cf. §1.2). [§1.2, III.2.3]

*Solution.* content...

□

## 3 Categories

### Exercises

**3.1.** ▷ Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a category. Consider a structure  $\mathbf{C}^{op}$  with

- $\text{Obj}(\mathbf{C}^{op}) := \text{Obj}(\mathbf{C})$ ;
- for  $A, B$  objects of  $\mathbf{C}^{op}$  (hence objects of  $\mathbf{C}$ ),  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}^{op}}(A, B) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(B, A)$ .

Show how to make this into a category (that is, define composition of morphisms in  $\mathbf{C}^{op}$  and verify the properties listed in §3.1).

Intuitively, the ‘opposite’ category  $\mathbf{C}^{op}$  is simply obtained by ‘reversing all the arrows’ in  $\mathbf{C}$ . [5.1, §VIII.1.1, §IX.1.2, IX.1.10]

*Solution.* content...

□

**3.2.** If  $A$  is a finite set, how large is  $\text{End}_{\text{Set}}(A)$ ?

*Solution.* content...

□

**3.3.** ▷ Formulate precisely what it means to say that  $1_a$  is an identity with respect to composition in Example 3.3, and prove this assertion. [§3.2]

*Solution.* content...

□

**3.4.** Can we define a category in the style of Example 3.3 using the relation  $<$  on the set  $\mathbb{Z}$ ?

*Solution.* content...

□

**3.5.** ▷ Explain in what sense Example 3.4 is an instance of the categories considered in Example 3.3. [§3.2]

*Solution.* content...

□

**3.6.** ▷ (Assuming some familiarity with linear algebra.) Define a category  $\mathbf{V}$  by taking  $\text{Obj}(\mathbf{V}) = \mathbb{N}$  and letting  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{V}}(n, m) =$  the set of  $n \times m$  matrices with real entries, for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  (We will leave the reader the task of making sense of a matrix with 0 rows or columns.) Use product of matrices to define composition. Does this category ‘feel’ familiar? [§VI.2.1, §VIII.1.3]

*Solution.* content...

□

**3.7.** ▷ Define carefully objects and morphisms in Example 3.7, and draw the diagram corresponding to composition. [§3.2]

*Solution.* content...

□

**3.8.** ▷ A *subcategory*  $\mathbf{C}'$  of a category  $\mathbf{C}$  consists of a collection of objects of  $\mathbf{C}$ , with morphisms  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}'}(A, B) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(A, B)$  for all objects  $A, B$  in  $\text{Obj}(\mathbf{C}')$ , such that identities and compositions in  $\mathbf{C}$  make  $\mathbf{C}'$  into a category. A subcategory  $\mathbf{C}'$  is *full* if  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}'}(A, B) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(A, B)$  for all  $A, B$  in  $\text{Obj}(\mathbf{C}')$ . Construct a category of *infinite sets* and explain how it may be viewed as a full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Set}$ . [4.4, §VI.1.1, §VIII.1.3]

*Solution.* content...

□

**3.9.** ▷ An alternative to the notion of *multiset* introduced in §2.2 is obtained by considering sets endowed with equivalence relations; equivalent elements are taken to be multiple instances of elements ‘of the same kind’. Define a notion of morphism between such enhanced sets, obtaining a category  $\mathbf{MSet}$  containing (a ‘copy’ of)  $\mathbf{Set}$  as a full subcategory. (There may be more than one reasonable way to do this! This is intentionally an open-ended exercise.) Which objects in  $\mathbf{MSet}$  determine ordinary multisets as defined in §2.2 and how? Spell out what a morphism of multisets would be from this point of view. (There are several natural notions of morphisms of multisets. Try to define morphisms in  $\mathbf{MSet}$  so that the notion you obtain for ordinary multisets captures your intuitive understanding of these objects.) [§2.2, §3.2, 4.5]

*Solution.* content...

□

**3.10.** Since the objects of a category  $\mathbf{C}$  are not (necessarily) sets, it is not clear how to make sense of a notion of ‘subobject’ in general. In some situations it *does* make sense to talk about subobjects, and the subobjects of any given object  $A$  in  $\mathbf{C}$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the morphisms  $A \rightarrow \Omega$  for a fixed, special object  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ , called a *subobject classifier*. Show that  $\mathbf{Set}$  has a subobject classifier.

*Solution.* content...

□

**3.11.** ▷ Draw the relevant diagrams and define composition and identities for the category  $\mathbf{C}^{A,B}$  mentioned in Example 3.9. Do the same for the category  $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha,\beta}$  mentioned in Example 3.10. [§5.5, 5.12]

*Solution.* content...

□

## 4 Morphisms

### Exercises

**4.1.** ▷ Composition is defined for *two* morphisms. If more than two morphisms are given, e.g.,

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} D \xrightarrow{i} E$$

then one may compose them in several ways, for example:

$$(ih)(gf), \quad (i(hg))f, \quad i((hg)f), \quad \text{etc.}$$

so that at every step one is only composing two morphisms. Prove that the result of any such nested composition is independent of the placement of the parentheses. (Hint: Use induction on  $n$  to show that any such choice for  $f_n f_{n-1} \dots f_1$  equals

$$((\dots((f_n f_{n-1})f_{n-2})\dots)f_1).$$

Carefully working out the case  $n = 5$  is helpful.) [§4.1, §II.1.3]

*Solution.* content... □

**4.2.** ▷ In Example 3.3 we have seen how to construct a category from a set endowed with a relation, provided this latter is reflexive and transitive. For what types of relations is the corresponding category a groupoid (cf. Example 4.6)? [§4.1]

*Solution.* content... □

**4.3.** Let  $A, B$  be objects of a category  $\mathbf{C}$ , and let  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(A, B)$  be a morphism.

- Prove that if  $f$  has a right-inverse, then  $f$  is an epimorphism.
- Show that the converse does not hold, by giving an explicit example of a category and an epimorphism without a right-inverse.

*Solution.* content... □

**4.4.** Prove that the composition of two monomorphisms is a monomorphism. Deduce that one can define a subcategory  $\mathbf{C}_{\text{mono}}$  of a category  $\mathbf{C}$  by taking the same objects as in  $\mathbf{C}$  and defining  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_{\text{mono}}}(A, B)$  to be the subset of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(A, B)$  consisting of monomorphisms, for all objects  $A, B$ . (Cf. Exercise 3.8; of course, in general  $\mathbf{C}_{\text{mono}}$  is not full in  $\mathbf{C}$ .) Do the same for epimorphisms. Can you define a subcategory  $\mathbf{C}_{\text{nonmono}}$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  by restricting to morphisms that are *not* monomorphisms?

*Solution.* content... □

**4.5.** Give a concrete description of monomorphisms and epimorphisms in the category  $\mathbf{MSet}$  you constructed in Exercise 3.9. (Your answer will depend on the notion of morphism you defined in that exercise!)

*Solution.* content... □

## 5 Universal properties

### Exercises

**5.1.** Prove that a final object in a category  $\mathbf{C}$  is initial in the opposite category  $\mathbf{C}^{\text{op}}$  (cf. Exercise 3.1).

*Solution.* content... □

**5.2.** ▷ Prove that  $\emptyset$  is the unique initial object in  $\mathbf{Set}$ . [§5.1]

*Solution.* content... □

**5.3.** ▷ Prove that final objects are *unique* up to isomorphism. [§5.1]

*Solution.* content... □

**5.4.** What are initial and final objects in the category of ‘pointed sets’ (Example 3.8)? Are they unique?

*Solution.* content... □

**5.5.** ▷ What are the final objects in the category considered in §5.3? [§5.3]

*Solution.* content... □

**5.6.** ▷ Consider the category corresponding to endowing (as in Example 3.3) the set  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  of positive integers with the *divisibility* relation. Thus there is exactly one morphism  $d \rightarrow m$  in this category if and only if  $d$  divides  $m$  without remainder; there is no morphism between  $d$  and  $m$  otherwise. Show that this category has products and coproducts. What are their ‘conventional’ names? [§VII.5.1]

*Solution.* content... □

**5.7.** Redo Exercise 2.9, this time using Proposition 5.4.

*Solution.* content... □

**5.8.** Show that in every category  $\mathbf{C}$  the products  $A \times B$  and  $B \times A$  are isomorphic, if they exist. (Hint: Observe that they both satisfy the universal property for the product of  $A$  and  $B$ ; then use Proposition 5.4.)

*Solution.* content... □

**5.9.** Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a category with products. Find a reasonable candidate for the universal property that the product  $A \times B \times C$  of three objects of  $\mathbf{C}$  ought to satisfy, and prove that both  $(A \times B) \times C$  and  $A \times (B \times C)$  satisfy this universal property. Deduce that  $(A \times B) \times C$  and  $A \times (B \times C)$  are necessarily isomorphic.

*Solution.* content... □

**5.10.** Push the envelope a little further still, and define products and coproducts for *families* (i.e., indexed sets) of objects of a category.

Do these exist in **Set**?

It is common to denote the product  $\underbrace{A \times \cdots \times A}_{n \text{ times}}$  by  $A^n$ .

*Solution.* content...

□

**5.11.** Let  $A$ , resp.  $B$  be a set, endowed with an equivalence relation  $\sim_A$ , resp.  $\sim_B$ . Define a relation  $\sim$  on  $A \times B$  by setting

$$(a_1, b_1) \sim (a_2, b_2) \iff a_1 \sim_A a_2 \text{ and } b_1 \sim_B b_2.$$

(This is immediately seen to be an equivalence relation.)

- Use the universal property for quotients (§5.3) to establish that there are functions  $(A \times B)/\sim \rightarrow A/\sim_A$ ,  $(A \times B)/\sim \rightarrow B/\sim_B$ .
- Prove that  $(A \times B)/\sim$ , with these two functions, satisfies the universal property for the product of  $A/\sim_A$  and  $B/\sim_B$ .
- Conclude (without further work) that  $(A \times B)/\sim \cong (A/\sim_A) \times (B/\sim_B)$ .

*Solution.* content...

□

**5.12.** Define the notions of *fibred products* and *fibred coproducts*, as terminal objects of the categories  $\mathbf{C}_{\alpha, \beta}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}^{\alpha, \beta}$  considered in Example 3.10 (cf. also Exercise 3.11), by stating carefully the corresponding universal properties.

As it happens, **Set** has both fibred products and coproducts. Define these objects ‘concretely’, in terms of naive set theory. [II.3.9, III.6.10, III.6.11]

*Solution.* content...

□