

Languages: syntax and parsing

Glenn Bruns
CSUMB

Write a JSON parser

```
{
  "1": {
    "location": "assign-ostep7",
    "weight": 20
  },
  "2": {
    "location": "assign-ostep8",
    "weight": 20
  },
  "3": {
    "location": "assign-msh3",
    "weight": 60
  }
}
```

Could you do it?

Someone asks you to build a JSON parser in Python.

- ❑ it takes as input some JSON
- ❑ it returns a Python data structure
- ❑ your code should report errors in the JSON

Lecture Objectives

When this lecture is finally over, you should be able to:

- ❑ Define the elements of a BNF grammar
- ❑ Write a simple BNF grammar
- ❑ Show whether a string can be derived from a grammar

Let's play the Backus-Naur Form game

BNF is a language for defining syntax

$A ::= \text{foo} \mid \text{bar}$

From this rule we can derive the strings "foo" and "bar"

Derive **foo**:

$A \rightarrow \text{foo}$

Let's play some more

$A ::= [B]$

$B ::= a \mid b \mid c$

(start with A)

Derive $[a]$:

$A \rightarrow [B] \rightarrow [a]$

Derive $[c]$:

$A \rightarrow [B] \rightarrow [c]$

Let's play some more

$A ::= "" \mid a A b$

Derive "a b":

A \rightarrow
a A b \rightarrow
a b

Derive "a a b b":

A \rightarrow
a A b \rightarrow
a a A b b \rightarrow
a a b b

Derive "a a b b b":
impossible!

Let's play some more

$A ::= "" \mid a, A$

Derive "":

$A \rightarrow ""$

Derive "a ,":

$A \rightarrow$

$a, A \rightarrow$

$a,$

Derive "a , a":

$A \rightarrow$

$a, A \rightarrow$

$a, a, A \rightarrow$

$a, a,$

Doesn't work!

Let's play some more

$\text{expr} ::= \text{expr} + \text{expr} \mid 1 \mid x$

Derive "1 + x":

expr \rightarrow
expr + expr \rightarrow
1 + expr \rightarrow
1 + x

Derive "1 + 1":

expr \rightarrow
expr + expr \rightarrow
1 + expr \rightarrow
1 + 1

Derive "x + 1 + x":

expr \rightarrow
expr + expr \rightarrow
expr + expr + expr \rightarrow
x + expr + expr \rightarrow
x + 1 + expr \rightarrow
x + 1 + x

Let's play the BNF-writing game

Write a rule that can derive only "a", "(a)", and "((a))"

`expr ::= a | (a) | ((a))`

Let's play some more

Write a rule that can derive only "a", "(a)", "((a))", ...

`expr ::= a | (expr)`

Let's play some more

Write a rule that can derive a simple regular expression containing any number of these elements: ".", "[a]", and "*"

```
regex ::= "" | regex . | regex [ a ] | regex *
```

Rules of the game

```
expr ::= expr + expr | ( expr ) | num
num  ::= digit | digit num
digit ::= 0 | 1 | 2 | 3
```

- ❑ **non-terminals**: symbols on the left of a rule
- ❑ **terminals**: the other symbols (like digit)
- ❑ On the right of each rule are one or more **productions**, separated by "|"

The rules to derive a string from some productions:

1. start with the first non-terminal (the start symbol)
2. replace it with one of its productions
3. keep replacing a non-terminal with one of its productions until only terminals remain

BNF Grammars

```
expr ::= expr + expr | ( expr ) | num  
num  ::= digit | digit num  
digit ::= 0 | 1 | 2 | 3
```

(an example
grammar)

A **BNF Grammar** consists of:

- ❑ some non-terminals (including the start non-terminal)
- ❑ some terminals
- ❑ one or more productions for each non-terminal

The **language** of a BNF Grammar:

- ❑ all the strings you can derive from the grammar

Summary

- The **syntax** of a language defines what it means for a phrase in the language to be "well formed"
- We will use **BNF grammars** to define language syntax