

# Lecture 9

Alex Hassett  
Applied Analysis

June 4, 2025

**Definition 9.1.** Let  $x$  and  $y$  be real numbers. Then

- (i)  $x$  is *negative* if  $-x$  is positive.
- (ii)  $x > y$  means  $x - y$  is positive.
- (iii)  $x \geq y$  means  $x > y$  or  $x = y$ .
- (iv)  $x < y$  means  $y > x$ .
- (v)  $x \leq y$  means  $y \geq x$ .

The inequality  $x > y$  ( $x < y$ ) is read “ $x$  is greater (less) than  $y$ ” and the inequality  $x \geq y$  ( $x \leq y$ ) is read “ $x$  is greater (less) than or equal to  $y$ .”

**Definition 9.2.** A non-empty subset  $X$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  is said to be *bounded above* if there exists a real number  $a$  such that  $x \leq a$  for all  $x \in X$ . The number  $a$  is called an *upper bound* for  $X$ .

**Definition 9.3.** A non-empty subset  $X$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  is said to be *bounded below* if there exists a real number  $a$  such that  $x \geq a$  for all  $x \in X$ . The number  $a$  is called a *lower bound* for  $X$ .

**Definition 9.4.** Let  $X$  be a non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . A number  $a$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  is said to be a *least upper bound (supremum)* for  $X$  if

- (i)  $a$  is an upper bound for  $X$ .
- (ii) If  $b$  is an upper bound for  $X$ , then  $a \leq b$ .

Note that part (ii) can be equivalently stated as follows: if  $b < a$ , then  $b$  is not an upper bound for  $X$ . By Definition 9.2, this last statement is equivalent to

- (ii') If  $b < a$ , then there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $b < x$ .

If  $a$  is the supremum of a set  $X$ , then we let  $a = \sup X$ . If  $X$  is a finite set, then we also denote  $\sup X$  by  $\max X$ .

**Definition 9.5.** Let  $X$  be a non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . A number  $a$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  is said to be a *greatest lower bound (infimum)* for  $X$  if

- (i)  $a$  is a lower bound for  $X$ .
- (ii) If  $b$  is a lower bound for  $X$ , then  $b \leq a$ .

Note that part (ii) can be equivalently stated as follows: if  $b > a$ , then  $b$  is not a lower bound for  $X$ . By Definition 5.1, this last statement is equivalent to

- (ii') If  $b > a$ , then there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $b > x$ .

If  $a$  is the infimum of a set  $X$ , then we let  $a = \inf X$ . If  $X$  is a finite set, then we also denote  $\inf X$  by  $\min X$ .

**Theorem 9.6.** Let  $X$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $a$  and  $b$  are least upper bounds for  $X$ , then  $a = b$ . In other words, the supremum is unique.

*Proof.* By Definition 9.4, since  $a$  is a least upper bound for  $X$  and  $b$  is an upper bound for  $X$ , we have  $a \leq b$ . Similarly,  $b \leq a$ , and thus  $a = b$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 9.7.** Let  $X$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $a$  and  $b$  are greatest lower bounds for  $X$ , then  $a = b$ . In other words, the infimum is unique.

*Proof.* By Definition 9.5, since  $a$  is a greatest lower bound for  $X$  and  $b$  is a lower bound for  $X$ , we have  $a \geq b$ . Similarly,  $b \geq a$ , and thus  $a = b$ .  $\square$

**Definition 9.8.** The *real numbers*  $\mathbb{R}$  is a set of objects satisfying Axioms 1 to 13 as listed in the following:

**Axiom 1.** There is a binary operation called *addition* and denoted  $+$  such that if  $x$  and  $y$  are real numbers, then  $x + y$  is a real number.

**Axiom 2.** Addition is associative.

$$(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Axiom 3.** Addition is commutative.

$$x + y = y + x$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Axiom 4.** An additive identity exists. There exists a real number denoted 0 which satisfies

$$x + 0 = x = 0 + x$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Axiom 5.** Additive inverses exist. For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$x + y = 0 = y + x.$$

Note that the number  $y$  of Axiom 5 is denoted as  $-x$ .

**Axiom 6.** There is a binary operation called *multiplication* and denoted  $\cdot$  such that if  $x$  and  $y$  are real numbers, then  $x \cdot y$  (or  $xy$ ) is a real number.

**Axiom 7.** Multiplication is associative.

$$(xy)z = x(yz)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Axiom 8.** Multiplication is commutative.

$$xy = yx$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Axiom 9.** A multiplicative identity exists. There exists a real number, different from 0, denoted 1 which satisfies

$$x \cdot 1 = x = 1 \cdot x$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Axiom 10.** Multiplicative inverses exist for non-zero real numbers. For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $x \neq 0$ , there exists  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$xy = 1 = yx.$$

Note that the number  $y$  of Axiom 10 is denoted  $x^{-1}$  or  $\frac{1}{x}$ .

**Axiom 11.** Multiplication distributes over addition.

$$x(y+z) = xy + xz \quad \text{and} \quad (y+z)x = yx + zx$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Axiom 12.** There exists a subset  $\mathbb{R}^+$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  called the *positive real numbers* satisfying

- (i) If  $x$  and  $y$  are in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , then  $x+y$  and  $xy$  are in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .
- (ii) If  $x$  is in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then exactly one of the following statements is true

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \quad \text{or} \quad x = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad -x \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Note that this is also called the *order axiom* of the real numbers.

**Axiom 13.** A non-empty subset of real numbers which is bounded above has a least upper bound. Note that this is called the *least upper bound axiom* of the real numbers or the *completeness axiom* of the real numbers.

**Remark 9.9.** For Axiom 13 of Definition 9.8, the real numbers are complete in the sense that there are no “holes” in the real line ( $\mathbb{R}$ ). Informally, if there were a hole in the real line, then the set of numbers to the left of the hole would have no least upper bound.

**Theorem 9.10.** The additive identity of axiom 4 is unique, that is, if there exists  $0' \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x + 0' = x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $0 = 0'$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists  $0' \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x + 0' = x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $0 + 0' = 0$ . On the other hand, from axiom 4, we have  $0' + 0 = 0'$ . Since addition is commutative (axiom 3),

$$0 = 0 + 0' = 0' + 0 = 0'.$$

□

**Theorem 9.11.** The additive inverse of axiom 5 is unique, that is, if there exists  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x + y = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $-x = y$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x + y = 0$ . By Theorem 9.10 we have that 0 is unique. By axiom 5, there exists  $-x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x + (-x) = 0$ . By axiom 4, we have

$$-x = -x + 0 = -x + (x + y) = (-x + x) + y = 0 + y = y.$$

□

**Theorem 9.12.** The multiplicative identity of axiom 9 is unique, that is, if there exists  $1'$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $x \cdot 1' = x = 1' \cdot x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $1 = 1'$ .

*Proof.* Suppose 1 and  $1'$  are both multiplicative identities in  $\mathbb{R}$ . By axiom 9, we have

$$1 \cdot 1' = 1.$$

Similarly, by axiom 9 we also have

$$1' \cdot 1 = 1'.$$

But, by axiom 8, multiplication in  $\mathbb{R}$  is commutative, so

$$1 \cdot 1' = 1' \cdot 1.$$

Thus

$$1 = 1'.$$

Therefore, the multiplicative identity is unique. □

**Theorem 9.13.** For any non-zero real number  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the multiplicative inverse of  $x$  is unique, that is, if there exists  $y$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $xy = 1 = yx$ , then  $x^{-1} = y$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $y$  and  $x^{-1}$  are both multiplicative inverses of  $x$ . Then

$$x \cdot y = 1, \quad x \cdot x^{-1} = 1.$$

Now compute

$$y = y \cdot 1 = y \cdot (x \cdot x^{-1}) = (y \cdot x) \cdot x^{-1} = 1 \cdot x^{-1} = x^{-1}.$$

Thus  $y = x^{-1}$ . Therefore, the multiplicative inverse of  $x$  is unique.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.14.**  $x \cdot 0 = 0$  for all  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* By axiom 4, we have  $x \cdot (0 + 0)$ . On the other hand,  $x \cdot (0 + 0) = x \cdot 0 + x \cdot 0$  by axiom 11. Thus  $x \cdot 0 = x \cdot 0 + x \cdot 0$ . Now

$$x \cdot 0 + [-(x \cdot 0)] = (x \cdot 0 + x \cdot 0) + [-(x \cdot 0)].$$

Again using axiom 5 and axiom 2, we have

$$0 = x \cdot 0 + 0,$$

and by axiom 4, we have  $0 = x \cdot 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 9.15.** For all real numbers  $x$ , the additive inverse of the additive inverse of  $x$  is  $x$ . That is, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$-(-x) = x.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . By axiom 5, there exists a unique  $-x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x + (-x) = 0$ . Now consider  $-(-x) \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $-x + (-(-x)) = 0$ . Adding  $x$  to both sides of the previous equation (see Theorem 9.16 and Theorem 9.17), we have

$$x + [-x + (-(-x))] = x + 0$$

Using axioms 2, 4, and 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x + (-x)) + (-(-x)) &= x \\ 0 + (-(-x)) &= x \\ -(-x) &= x. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Theorem 9.16.** The set of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ , equipped with the usual operations of addition and multiplication, forms a ring.

*Proof.* By Definition 9.8, the set  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfies the following

- $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  is an abelian group by Axioms 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.
- $(\mathbb{R}, \cdot)$  is a semigroup by Axioms 6 and 7.
- Multiplication distributes over addition by Axiom 11.

Therefore,  $\mathbb{R}$  is a ring by Definition 8.30.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.17.** The *cancellation property of addition* is stated as follows: let  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$x = y \iff x + z = y + z.$$

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $x = y$ . Then by the axioms of a ring (associativity and commutativity of addition), we have

$$x + z = y + z.$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Conversely, suppose  $x + z = y + z$ . Then by the existence of additive inverses, we may add  $-z$  to both sides

$$(x + z) + (-z) = (y + z) + (-z).$$

By associativity,

$$x + (z + (-z)) = y + (z + (-z)).$$

Since  $z + (-z) = 0$ ,

$$x + 0 = y + 0.$$

Finally, by the additive identity property,

$$x = y.$$

Thus, the equivalence holds.  $\square$

### Theorem 9.18.

- (i)  $1 > 0$ .
- (ii) If  $x > y$  and  $y > z$ , then  $x > z$ ,  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (iii) If  $x > y$ , then  $x + z > y + z$ ,  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (iv) If  $x > y$  and  $z > 0$ , then  $xz > yz$ ,  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (v) If  $x > y$  and  $z < 0$ , then  $xz < yz$ ,  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* By Axiom 12, exactly one of the following statements is true:

$$1 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \quad \text{or} \quad 1 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad -1 \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

By Axiom 9,  $1 \neq 0$ . Suppose  $-1 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then by Axiom 12,  $(-1)(-1) = 1 \in \mathbb{R}^+$  (by Theorem 9.15), and hence both  $1 \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $-1 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , which contradicts Axiom 12. Therefore  $1 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , and (i) holds. For (ii), if  $x > y$  and  $y > z$ , then  $x - y, y - z \in \mathbb{R}^+$  by Definition 9.1. By Axiom 12, we have

$$x - z = (x - y) + (y - z) \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

and thus  $x > z$ . For (iii), if  $x > y$ , then  $x - y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then

$$(x + z) - (y + z) = (x - y) \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

and hence  $x + z > y + z$ . For (iv), if  $x > y$  and  $z > 0$ , then  $x - y \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $z \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . By Axiom 12, we have

$$(x - y)z = xz - yz \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

so  $xz > yz$ . For (v), if  $x > y$  and  $z < 0$ , then  $x - y \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $-z \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then

$$(x - y)(-z) = -(xz - yz) \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

which implies  $xz - yz \notin \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $xz < yz$ .  $\square$

**Definition 9.19.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a < b$ . Then we define

- (i)  $(a, b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a < x < b\}$ ,
- (ii)  $[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a \leq x \leq b\}$ ,
- (iii)  $[a, b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a \leq x < b\}$ ,

- (iv)  $(a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a < x \leq b\}$ ,
- (v)  $(-\infty, a) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x < a\}$ ,
- (vi)  $(-\infty, a] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \leq a\}$ ,
- (vii)  $[a, \infty) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a \leq x\}$ ,
- (viii)  $(a, \infty) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a < x\}$ ,
- (ix)  $(-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$ .

We call  $(c, d)$  an *open interval*;  $[c, d]$  a *closed interval*; and either  $[c, d)$  or  $(c, d]$  a *half-open interval*, where  $c$  or  $d$  are possibly  $\pm\infty$ .

**Definition 9.20.** An *ordered field* is a field  $F$  which is also an *ordered set*, such that

- (i)  $x + y < x + z$  if  $x, y, z \in F$  and  $y < z$ ,
- (ii)  $xy > 0$  if  $x \in F, y \in F, x > 0$ , and  $y > 0$ .

If  $x > 0$ , we call  $x$  *positive*.

**Theorem 9.21.** There exists an ordered field  $\mathbb{R}$  which satisfies Axiom 13. Moreover,  $\mathbb{R}$  contains  $\mathbb{Q}$  as a subfield. The members (elements) of  $\mathbb{R}$  are called *real numbers*.

**Remark 9.22.** The statement that  $\mathbb{R}$  contains  $\mathbb{Q}$  as a subfield means that  $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$  and that the operations of addition and multiplication in  $\mathbb{R}$ , when applied to members of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , coincide with the usual operations on rational numbers; also the positive rational numbers are positive elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Note that Lecture 12 will be the construction of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and the proof of Theorem 9.21. For now, we state the theorem without proof.

**Definition 9.23.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be non-empty sets. We say that  $X$  is *equivalent* to  $Y$  and write  $X \sim Y$  if there exists a one-to-one function from  $X$  onto  $Y$ . Note that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

**Definition 9.24.** For any positive integer  $n$ , let  $J_n$  be the set

$$J_n := \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid x \leq n\}.$$

In other words, let  $J_n$  be the set of positive integers  $1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then for any set  $A$  we say

- (i)  $A$  is *finite* if  $A \sim J_n$  for some  $n$  (the empty set is also considered to be finite).
- (ii)  $A$  is *infinite* if  $A$  is not finite.
- (iii)  $A$  is *countable* if  $A \sim \mathbb{Z}^+$ .
- (iv)  $A$  is *uncountable* if  $A$  is neither finite nor countable.
- (v)  $A$  is *at most countable* if  $A$  is finite or countable.

For two finite sets  $A$  and  $B$ , we have  $A \sim B$  if and only if  $A$  and  $B$  contain the same number of elements.

**Theorem 9.25.**

- (i) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then  $|x| < \varepsilon$  if and only if  $-\varepsilon < x < \varepsilon$  and  $|x| \leq \varepsilon$  if and only if  $-\varepsilon \leq x \leq \varepsilon$ .
- (ii)  $x \leq |x|$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (iii)  $|xy| = |x||y|$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (iv)  $|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Note that (iii) is called the *triangle inequality*.

*Proof.* To prove part (i),

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $|x| < \varepsilon$ . If  $x \geq 0$ , then  $|x| = x < \varepsilon$ , so  $-\varepsilon < x < \varepsilon$ . If  $x < 0$ , then  $|x| = -x < \varepsilon$ , so  $-\varepsilon < x < \varepsilon$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $-\varepsilon < x < \varepsilon$ . Then if  $x \geq 0$ ,  $|x| = x < \varepsilon$ . If  $x < 0$ ,  $|x| = -x < \varepsilon$ .

The proof for  $|x| \leq \varepsilon$  is similar. To prove part (ii),

*Case 1.* If  $x \geq 0$ , then  $x = |x| \geq x$ .

*Case 2.* If  $x < 0$ , then  $|x| = -x > x$ .

In either case,  $x \leq |x|$ . To prove part (iii),

*Case 1.* If  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$ , then  $|xy| = 0 = |x||y|$ .

*Case 2.* Suppose  $x > 0$ ,  $y > 0$ : then  $xy > 0$ , so  $|xy| = xy = |x||y|$ .

*Case 3.* Suppose  $x > 0$ ,  $y < 0$ : then  $xy < 0$ , so  $|xy| = -(xy) = x(-y) = |x||y|$ .

The other cases follow similarly. To prove part (iv),

*Case 1.* If  $x + y \geq 0$ , then  $|x + y| = x + y \leq |x| + |y|$  by part (ii).

*Case 2.* If  $x + y < 0$ , then

$$|x + y| = -(x + y) = -x - y \leq |x| + |y|$$

by part (ii).

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.26.** For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$|x + y| = |x| + |y| \iff xy \geq 0.$$

In other words, the Triangle Inequality for real numbers is an equality if and only if  $xy \geq 0$  or  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $|x + y| = |x| + |y|$ . We will argue by cases.

*Case 1.* If  $x + y \geq 0$ , then  $|x + y| = x + y$ . Thus, we have

$$x + y = |x| + |y|.$$

But since  $|x| \geq x$  and  $|y| \geq y$ , this is possible only if  $x, y \geq 0$ , so  $xy \geq 0$ .

*Case 2.* If  $x + y < 0$ , then  $|x + y| = -(x + y)$ . Thus, we have

$$-(x + y) = |x| + |y|.$$

Again, this forces  $x, y \leq 0$ , so  $xy \geq 0$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Now suppose  $xy \geq 0$ . Again we argue by cases.

*Case 1.* If  $x, y \geq 0$ , then

$$|x + y| = x + y = |x| + |y|.$$

*Case 2.* If  $x, y \leq 0$ , then

$$|x + y| = -(x + y) = |x| + |y|.$$

In both cases the equality holds. Thus,  $|x + y| = |x| + |y|$  if and only if  $xy \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**Definition 9.27.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be sets. A function  $f$  from  $X$  into  $Y$  is a rule that assigns to each element  $x \in X$  an element  $f(x) \in Y$ . We may describe  $f$  by the notation

$$x \mapsto f(x).$$

This is read as “ $x$  is mapped to  $f(x)$ .” When defining the full function, we often write: define a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$x \mapsto f(x).$$

**Definition 9.28.** In mathematical writing, the symbols  $\Rightarrow$  and  $\Leftarrow$  denote logical implication.

- The symbol  $\Rightarrow$  is read as “implies.” That is, for statements  $P$  and  $Q$ , the expression

$$P \Rightarrow Q$$

means “if  $P$  is true, then  $Q$  is true.” This is often called the “if” (or “forward”) direction of an “if and only if” statement (proof).

- The symbol  $\Leftarrow$  is read as “is implied by.” That is,

$$P \Leftarrow Q$$

means “if  $Q$  is true, then  $P$  is true.” This is often called the “only if” (or “forward”) direction of an “if and only if” statement (proof).

- The symbol  $\Leftrightarrow$  is read as “if and only if” or “is equivalent to.” That is,

$$P \Leftrightarrow Q$$

means “ $P$  is true if and only if  $Q$  is true.” It asserts that both  $P \Rightarrow Q$  and  $Q \Rightarrow P$  hold.

**Example 9.29.** In the proof of Theorem 9.26, we wrote “( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $|x + y| = |x| + |y|$ .” This could be restated as “We first prove the if (or forward) direction. Suppose  $|x + y| = |x| + |y|$ .” In the same proof, we also wrote “( $\Rightarrow$ ) Now suppose  $xy \geq 0$ .” This could be restated as “Now we prove the only if (or reverse) direction. Suppose  $xy \geq 0$ .”

**Theorem 9.30.** Let  $S$  be a set of cases, and suppose there is an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $S$  such that

- (i) The property  $P(x)$  to be proven is preserved under  $\sim$  (that is, if  $x \sim y$ , then  $P(x) \Leftrightarrow P(y)$ ).
- (ii) Every element of  $S$  belongs to some equivalence class under  $\sim$ .

Then it suffices to prove  $P(x)$  for one representative of each equivalence class. In particular, if all cases are symmetric under  $\sim$ , one may choose any single case to prove, and this is justified by writing “*without loss of generality*.” Note that the acronym for this is *WLOG*.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0$  be a representative of some equivalence class  $[x_0]$  under  $\sim$ . Suppose we prove  $P(x_0)$ . Now let  $x \in [x_0]$  be arbitrary. Since  $x \sim x_0$  and  $P$  is preserved under  $\sim$ , it follows that  $P(x) \Leftrightarrow P(x_0)$ . Therefore  $P(x)$  holds for all  $x \in [x_0]$ . Since  $S$  is the union of its equivalence classes, repeating this argument for each equivalence class establishes  $P(x)$  for all  $x \in S$ . In particular, if all cases are symmetric (i.e., there is only one equivalence class), proving  $P(x)$  for any one  $x$  suffices.  $\square$

**Example 9.31.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . We prove that

$$\max(a, b) \geq a \quad \text{and} \quad \max(a, b) \geq b.$$

*Proof.* By definition of  $\max(a, b)$ , we have

$$\max(a, b) = \begin{cases} a, & \text{if } a \geq b, \\ b, & \text{if } b > a. \end{cases}$$

This implies that we have two cases,

*Case 1.* Suppose  $a \geq b$ . Then

$$\max(a, b) = a.$$

Clearly,

$$\max(a, b) = a \geq a \quad \text{and} \quad \max(a, b) = a \geq b.$$

*Case 2.* Suppose  $b > a$ . *Without loss of generality*, we may assume  $a \leq b$  and proceed as in Case 1 with  $b$  in place of  $a$ . Then we have

$$\max(a, b) = b \geq a \quad \text{and} \quad \max(a, b) = b \geq b.$$

Since the two cases are symmetric under swapping  $a$  and  $b$ , the argument for one case applies equally to the other, and “without loss of generality” we may consider only the case  $a \leq b$ . Thus, we have

$$\max(a, b) \geq a \quad \text{and} \quad \max(a, b) \geq b.$$

□

**Theorem 9.32.** A non-empty subset of real numbers which is bounded below has a greatest lower bound.

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a non-empty subset of real numbers which is bounded below, and let  $Y$  be the set of lower bounds for  $X$ . Let  $c \in X$ . Then  $y \leq c$  for  $y \in Y$ . Thus  $Y$  is bounded above, and by the least-upper-bound axiom  $Y$  has a least upper bound  $a$ . We will show that  $a$  is the greatest lower bound of  $X$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Then  $y \leq x$  for all  $y \in Y$ , and thus  $x$  is an upper bound for  $Y$ . Since  $a$  is the least upper bound of  $Y$ , we have  $a \leq x$ . Therefore,  $a$  is a lower bound for  $X$ . Let  $b$  be any lower bound for  $X$ . Then  $b \in Y$ , and hence  $b \leq a$ . By Definition 9.5,  $a$  is the greatest lower bound of  $X$ . □