

# **Set Intersection with Minimal Support**

## **The SIMS-Problem**

By Jonas Seiler and Cecilia Knäbchen

## 1. Problem definition

Given a number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , find the minimal number  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that there are  $n$  sets  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  containing numbers in  $[k]$ , i.e.  $A_i \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$  satisfying:

$$|A_i \cap A_j| = |i - j| \text{ for all } 1 \leq i < j \leq n$$

For example, for  $n = 4$ , the answer would be  $k = 5$ , with which we could pick the 4 sets as:

$$A_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$A_2 = \{1, 5\}$$

$$A_3 = \{1, 2\}$$

$$A_4 = \{1, 3, 4, 5\}$$

or a more visual alternative:

A <sub>1</sub> :	1	2	3	4	
A <sub>2</sub> :	1				5
A <sub>3</sub> :	1	2			
A <sub>4</sub> :	1		3	4	5

You can try to find sets which only use the numbers 1 to 4 but will hopefully be convinced that  $k = 5$  is optimal.

## 2. Best known bounds

In the following table, we record our best known values for  $k$ .

<b>n</b>	<b>optimal value with combinatorial solver</b>	<b>optimal value with LP solver</b>
0	0	0
1	0	0
2	1	1
3	2	2
4	5	5
5	9	9
6	16	16
7	24	24
8		36
9		50
10		70
11		91
12		120
13		150
14		189
15		231
16		280
17		336
18		398
19		468
20		547
21		630
22		728
23		$\leq 827$
24		$\leq 944$
25		$\leq 1064$
26		$\leq 1198$
27		$\leq 1341$
28		$\leq 1493$
29		$\leq 1661$
30		$\leq 1838$
31		$\leq 2027$
32		$\leq 2232$
33		$\leq 2442$
34		$\leq 2680$
35		$\leq 2918$
36		$\leq 3179$

Our strategy in solving this problem combinatorically will be explained in Section 5. Our formulation of this problem as an (I-)LP will be explained in Section 6

### 3. Upper Bounds

Our current best upper bound comes from an explicit construction. This bound is not tight, there are more optimal solutions starting from  $n = 6$ , but we have not yet been able to derive a pattern out of those.

Our construction works as follows:

For each set-distance  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , and for each coset representative  $a \in \{0, \dots, i-1\}$ , if there are atleast 2 two set indices  $b, c \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $b \bmod i = c \bmod i = a$ , i.e. they lie in the same coset, then define  $\varphi(i)$  new unused numbers to add to all sets with indices in that coset and increase  $k$  by  $\varphi(i)$ , the number of newly added and used numbers.

For example, for  $n = 6$ , this constructions yields the following:

	i=1	i=2		i=3		i=4		i=5
A <sub>1</sub> :	1	2		4 5		10 11		14 15 16 17
A <sub>2</sub> :	1		3		6 7		12 13	
A <sub>3</sub> :	1	2			8 9			
A <sub>4</sub> :	1		3	4 5				
A <sub>5</sub> :	1	2			6 7	10 11		
A <sub>6</sub> :	1		3		8 9		12 13	14 15 16 17

From this we can also see that this is not optimal, since for  $n = 6$ , there is a solution with  $k = 16$ .

EXPLICITCONSTRUCTION( $n$ ):

```

1  A1, ..., An = {}
2  k = 0
3  For i ∈ {1, ..., n-1}
4      For a ∈ {1, ..., min(i, n-i)}           // cosets with atleast two set indices
5          For b ∈ {1, ..., φ(i)}             // number of elements to add
6              For j ∈ {0, 1, ..., n ÷ i}      // set indices to add to
7                  Aa+ji = Aa+ji ∪ {k+b}
8              End
9          End
10         k += φ(i)
11     End
12 End
13 return A1, ..., An
```

euler identity for  $\sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) = n$

### 4. Lower Bounds

### 5. Combinatorial approach

### 6. Linear Programming approach