#### 22 February 2017

#### 1 Overview

The main tasks I set out to accomplish over week as per our last meeting were as follows:

- Continue showing differences between the OLS/lm and the bias-reduced logit/brglm sorting algorithms
- Explore the trade off of coefficients between party and ideology in the vote sorting algorithm

Craig had previously expressed concerns with the sorting of votes on two fronts; the first being that the algorithm using the bias-reduced logistic equation for sorting votes was largely not sorting close votes as party votes and the second that it could mean very different things to have a vote sorted as a party vote when the equation has opposite signs on the coefficients for party and ideology than when these are the same. On the latter category, William hypothesized instead that it could be evidence of party pressure overcoming ideology when the direction is opposite, which would leave it more in line with the basic theory and work we have been doing up until this point. While it was agreed that we would look into the results from the algorithm, William had suggested saving analysis of coefficients for a later project and focusing on extending analysis into the Senate.

The information I show below shows the information previously given for other versions of the model for the House and Senate 1m sorted algorithm. We should discuss any final thoughts we have regarding the different sorting algorithms as well as what we should make of the signs on the coefficients now or at a later date. Depending on what is decided, it may be wise to think about next steps for the project should be and how we wish to pursue them.

# 2 Tables and Figures

This part of the update will contain the Senate tables and figures, with the House tables and figures included in a second document.

### 2.1 Senate lm Descriptive Statistics

Table 1: Coding by Congress

Table 1: Coding by Congress						
congress	party calls	noncalls	gray votes			
93	417	611	2			
94	456	745	2			
95	332	765	3			
96	412	562	1			
97	465	393	2			
98	316	280	0			
99	305	390	4			
100	356	328	2			
101	263	272	1			
102	278	219	4			
103	396	272	3			
104	528	300	0			
105	298	233	3			
106	378	213	5			
107	289	202	7			
108	380	176	0			
109	332	211	1			
110	331	252	5			
111	490	147	1			
112	274	156	10			
Total:	7296	6727	56			
Mean	364.8	336.4	2.8			
sd:	77.0	187.9	2.5			

Table 2: Coding by Close/Lopsided

-	/ 11	/ 1	
	party call	noncall	$\operatorname{gray}$
lopsided	d 2063	4876	47
close	5233	1851	9

 ${\bf Table~3:~Responsiveness~Statistics}$ 

3.7				
N	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Max
1,043	-0.656	0.658	-3.222	1.630
1,043	85.789	10.890	8.777	100.000
1,042	83.760	7.862	45.106	100.000
1,043	0.656	0.658	-1.630	3.222
951	0.725	0.789	-1.595	3.397
951	85.180	12.011	33.232	100.000
951	80.144	8.073	50.070	100.000
951	0.725	0.789	-1.595	3.397
1,053	-0.070	0.918	-1.966	2.866
1,053	86.946	10.353	37.020	100.000
1,052	83.089	8.216	45.106	100.000
1,053	0.613	0.687	-1.630	2.866
843	0.091	1.086	-3.222	3.397
843	83.138	12.372	8.777	100.000
843	80.354	8.068	50.070	100.000
843	0.767	0.773	-1.269	3.397
	951 951 951 951 951 1,053 1,053 1,053 1,053 1,053 1,053	1,043	1,043 -0.656 0.658   1,043 85.789 10.890   1,042 83.760 7.862   1,043 0.656 0.658   951 0.725 0.789   951 85.180 12.011   951 80.144 8.073   951 0.725 0.789   1,053 -0.070 0.918   1,053 86.946 10.353   1,052 83.089 8.216   1,053 0.613 0.687   843 0.091 1.086   843 83.138 12.372   843 80.354 8.068	1,043   -0.656   0.658   -3.222     1,043   85.789   10.890   8.777     1,042   83.760   7.862   45.106     1,043   0.656   0.658   -1.630     951   0.725   0.789   -1.595     951   85.180   12.011   33.232     951   80.144   8.073   50.070     951   0.725   0.789   -1.595     1,053   -0.070   0.918   -1.966     1,053   86.946   10.353   37.020     1,052   83.089   8.216   45.106     1,053   0.613   0.687   -1.630     843   0.091   1.086   -3.222     843   83.138   12.372   8.777     843   80.354   8.068   50.070

Table 4: Bivariate DV/IV Regressions

	Democrats	Democrats	Republicans	Republicans
pfrate100	1.06***		0.92***	
	(0.03)		(0.04)	
ideological extremism		10.05***		8.90***
		(0.41)		(0.40)
(Intercept)	-2.85	79.19***	11.55***	78.73***
	(2.33)	(0.38)	(3.06)	(0.43)
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.58	0.37	0.38	0.34
$Adj. R^2$	0.58	0.37	0.38	0.34
Num. obs.	1042	1043	951	951
RMSE	7.03	8.66	9.45	9.75

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>p < 0.001, \*\*p < 0.01, \*p < 0.05

Table 5: Multivariate DV/IV Regressions

	Democrats	Republicans	Majority	Minority
pfrate100	0.849***	0.774***	0.727***	0.717***
	(0.030)	(0.031)	(0.025)	(0.036)
ideological extremism	$4.727^{***}$	7.289***	5.570***	7.748***
	(0.362)	(0.319)	(0.304)	(0.371)
(Intercept)	11.566***	17.872***	23.150***	19.552***
	(2.426)	(2.474)	(2.050)	(2.797)
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.643	0.601	0.648	0.590
$Adj. R^2$	0.642	0.600	0.647	0.589
Num. obs.	1042	951	1052	843
RMSE	6.515	7.595	6.147	7.935

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>p < 0.001, \*\*p < 0.01, \*p < 0.05

#### 2.2 Senate lm Models

Table 6: Full Regression Tables

Table 6: Full Regression Tables							
	Democrats	Republicans	Majority	Minority			
$ideological\_extremism$	$3.074^{***}$	7.831***	4.686***	7.923***			
	(0.411)	(0.353)	(0.316)	(0.399)			
chair	0.873	$3.807^{***}$	-0.141				
	(0.543)	(0.696)	(0.521)				
pfrate100	$0.757^{***}$	$0.742^{***}$	$0.705^{***}$	$0.707^{***}$			
	(0.030)	(0.031)	(0.025)	(0.035)			
pres vote share	24.184***	-14.698***	18.439***	-0.009			
	(2.414)	(3.062)	(1.975)	(3.196)			
south	-1.636**	0.630	0.146	0.999			
	(0.557)	(0.574)	(0.429)	(0.623)			
power committee	-0.735	0.461	0.002	-1.124			
	(0.782)	(0.924)	(0.732)	(1.079)			
vote share	-6.572**	16.428***	-2.189	7.888**			
	(2.203)	(2.822)	(2.126)	(2.990)			
female	$1.621^*$	0.345	0.498	4.148***			
	(0.732)	(1.120)	(0.761)	(1.111)			
afam	-0.483	$-10.167^*$	1.876	-5.528			
	(2.790)	(4.234)	(4.185)	(3.215)			
latino	1.835	$6.749^*$	$4.800^{*}$	6.040			
	(2.193)	(2.750)	(1.877)	(3.507)			
up for reelection	-0.642	-1.694**	-0.988*	$-1.362^*$			
	(0.421)	(0.531)	(0.407)	(0.601)			
seniority	-0.062	0.104	0.012	0.146			
	(0.060)	(0.083)	(0.067)	(0.080)			
freshman	-1.533**	2.191**	-0.983	0.876			
	(0.574)	(0.764)	(0.570)	(0.814)			
retiree	1.724	2.385*	1.951*	$2.617^*$			
	(0.912)	(0.987)	(0.866)	(1.108)			
best committee	0.173	-0.082	-0.036	0.298			
	(0.129)	(0.160)	(0.124)	(0.182)			
leader	2.122**	$1.270^{'}$	1.386*	$1.965^{*}$			
	(0.719)	(0.773)	(0.668)	(0.901)			
(Intercept)	12.019***	17.116***	18.262***	10.974**			
- /	(2.988)	(3.600)	(2.746)	(4.131)			
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.690	0.650	0.684	0.616			
$Adj. R^2$	0.685	0.644	0.679	0.609			
Num. obs.	1039	949	1049	841			
RMSE	6.107	7.172	5.863	7.739			
**** < 0.001 *** < 0.01 ** < 0.01							

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>p < 0.001, \*\*p < 0.01, \*p < 0.05

Figure 1: Main DV and Ideological Extremism - Majority and Minority Party Democrats Note: The light gray line and dots are for Congress 107.




Figure 2: Main DV and Ideological Extremism - Southern and Other Democrats




Figure 3: Main DV and Ideological Extremism - Majority and Minority Party Republicans Note: The light gray line and dots are for Congress 107.




Figure 4: Main DV and Ideological Extremism - Southern and Other Republicans




Figure 5: Main DV and Ideological Extremism - Gingrich Senators and Other Republicans





Figure 6: IV IV Plot - Senate Majority and Minority Party Democrats Note: The light gray line and dots are for Congress 107.








Figure 8: IV IV Plot - Senate Majority and Minority Party Republicans Note: The light gray line and dots are for Congress 107.








Figure 11: Senate lm Coefficient Plot

## **Ideological Extremism**

