

Name: Prasanth Veerina Student ID: 803765086

1	2	3	4	5	6	total
13	7	12	12	7	11	62

1. Consider the following syntax, written in ISO EBNF. The start symbol is "syntax". Assume that the "letter" token stands for any ASCII letter and the "digit" token for any ASCII digit.

```
syntax = syntax_rule, {syntax_rule};
syntax_rule = meta_id, '=', defs_list, ';';
defs_list = defn, {'|', defn};
defn = term, {' ', term};
factor = [integer, '*'], term;
term = repeated_sequence | meta_id | empty;
repeated_sequence = '{', defs_list, '}';
optional_sequence = '[' , defs_list, ']';
meta_id = letter, {letter | digit};
empty = ;
```

~~1a~~ (3 minutes). Which rules in the above grammar are unreachable?

~~1b~~ (8 minutes). Translate the reachable part of the grammar into an equivalent grammar that uses the OCaml-based notation of Homeworks 1 and 2. If this is not possible for some reason, explain why not, and go as far in the translation as you can.

1c (5 minutes). If we assume that the above grammar is the entire syntax for ISO EBNF, which parts of the above grammar are not written in valid ISO EBNF?

2. For each of the following OCaml expressions, give its type and value.

2a (3 minutes). `let i x = x in i i i`

2b (5 minutes).

```
let expr = "3 + 4"
in let lvalue = "a"
  in [expr, ["("; expr; ")"];
    expr, [lvalue];
    lvalue, ["$"; expr];
    lvalue, ["self"]]
```

i(i(i(i

2c (7 minutes).

```
let accept_all derivation string =
  Some (derivation, string)
in let accept_empty_suffix derivation =
  function
  | [] -> Some (derivation, [])
  | _ -> None
  in accept_all accept_empty_suffix "aoogah!"
```

~~2d~~ (12 minutes). Write a curried OCaml function eqf that compares two functions for equality. It should accept two functions, and a list of values, and return true if and only if the functions return equal results for each argument value in the list. As a trivial case, if the list of values is empty, eqf should return true. Use only standard OCaml functions, defined in the Pervasives module, and do not use side effects.

1 1 1 1

4 (12 minutes). Suppose I design a new language There-Is-No-Try-Java. This new language is just like Java, except that there's no "try" keyword; one simply writes exactly the same code as in Java, but without the "try". For example, instead of this:

```
try { s = buf.readLine(); }  
catch (IOException e)  
    { System.out.println (e); }  
finally { discard (s); }
```

you write this:

```
{ s = buf.readLine(); }  
catch (IOException e)  
    { System.out.println (e); }  
finally { discard (s); }
```

Is the syntax of There-Is-No-Try-Java ambiguous? If so, illustrate the ambiguity with a program that can be parsed in two different ways. If not, explain why not. Repeat the exercise for There-Is-No-Finally-Java, a language that is just like Java except without a "finally" keyword.

5 (15 minutes). Typically, the C memory allocator is mostly written in C, though there may be a few small parts of it that are written in machine code. Could the OCaml memory allocator be done similarly? That is, could it be mostly written in OCaml? If so, give an outline of how it would work; if not, explain why not.

6 (30 minutes). The documentation for Java's `availableProcessors()` method says "Applications that are sensitive to the number of available processors should therefore occasionally poll this property and adjust their resource usage appropriately." This is to support virtualized machines where physical processors can be given to (or taken away from) the virtual machine, on the fly.

Suppose your solution to Homework 3 is working, and suppose you want to modify it so that if the `-p` option is not used, your implementation dynamically alters the number of worker threads so that it equals the number of processors currently available. If the number of processors shrinks, you'll wait for the currently-running threads to finish the blocks they're working on, before you delete the excess threads.

Explain how you would implement this modification. Focus on the specific Java language and library features that you will use as part of your implementation, and why you'll use them. Also, mention any Java features we covered in class that are **not** relevant to your modification, and why. For example, will your solution involve exception handling? How about generic classes? Why or why not?

CS131 Midterm

3 1.a) factor and optional sequence are unreachable

b) (syntax, [Syntax, [N syntax-rde];
 ✓ syntax, [N syntax-rde; N syntax
 Syntax-rule, [N meta-id; T "="; N defn-list; T ";"];
 defn-list, [N defn];
 defn-list, [N defn; T "1"; N defn-list];
 defn, [N Term];
 ✓ defn, [N Term; T ";" ; N defn];
 Term, [N repeated-sequence];
 Term, [N meta-id];
 Term, [N empty];
 repeated-sequence, [T "{" ; N defn-list; T "}"];

5 We cannot
 translate this
 as written, we would
 need to define more Ns

meta-id =
 empty = [];

5 c) factor is invalid because optional sequence is not
a term.

2.a) val : 'a → 'a
 = 'a → 'a → 'a

b) (String* string list) list =
 ["3rd", ["(" ; "3rd" ; ")"]]; ("3rd", ["a"]); ("a", ["\$"; "3rd"]);
 ("a", ["self"]); }

c) val accept-all : 'a → 'b → ('a* 'b) Option = <fun>
 val accept-empty suffix → 'a → 'b list → ('a* 'b list) Option = <fun>

evaluates to Some (<fun>, string) ✓ 2

3. let rec eqf f g l = match l with
| [] → true

2 | h::t → if ((gh) = (fh)) then
eqf f g t
else false

4. if { stmt } catch { stmt } { }

This would not produce ambiguity. It may produce many situations where syntax is invalid however. It won't produce multiple valid syntax trees.

2 try { stmt } catch { stmt } { }

~~in the finally case we are also safe since we always want the finally to execute it~~

try { } catch { } { }

is this try-catch-finally or
try-catch-random code block.

it is unclear ~~that~~ that finally should
be applied here or if it is a regular
block of code.

5. Since Ocaml has no side effects this could be somewhat difficult, we wouldn't be able to make assignment statements, or save state globally.

7
yep, but...
you have asm,
(also, ^{for} Ocaml has side effects...)

6. ~~I would implement this by using having a main thread poll available processors and then assign work to them.~~
~~In my implementation when they finished processing~~
In my thw 3 implementation the main thread starts $\#$ threads and lets them continue to process until all input blocks are processed. To implement this new functionality I would have main periodically ^{now} check the number of processors and update a member variable of `numThreads`. Next I would check to see if `numThreads` is \leq the number of threads in my thread array. On each of my worker threads I would implement a function called cleanup ^{face possible now} which will prevent the thread from taking a new block after it finishes its current one. From the main thread I would call cleanup on the number of threads I need to remove and then poll their state ^{booth test} until I see that the thread is terminated at which point I delete it. My implementation would work fine with generics, "Exceptions would work the same way as well. This is thread safe since we are not try to access any shared memory the main thread simply directs the workers to stop