Username	hestertzehun

CODE CORRECTNESS AND APPROACH:		
Q1: parse_scenario:	Test case correctness (max = $\boxed{1}$)	1.0
	Approach: 1 = Appropriate approach; 0.5 = Over-complicated/overly simplistic approach; 0 = No real attempt made	0.75
Q2: check_ignition:	Test case correctness (max = $\boxed{1}$)	1.0
	Approach: 1.5 = Appropriate approach and use of data structures; 1 = Some functionality implemented well, over-complicated; 0.5 = A good attempt made; 0 = No real attempt made	1.25
Q3: run_model:	Test case correctness (max = $\boxed{1}$)	0.3
	Approach: 1.5 = Appropriate approach and use of data structures; 1 = Some functionality implemented well, over-complicated 0.5 = A good attempt made; 0 = No real attempt made	1.0
Q4: run_model test cases:	Test case submission (max = 1)	1.0
Q5: plan_burn (BONUS):	Test case submission (max = 1)	0.0
Adherence to style guide (—comments):	1 Strong adherence 0.5 Partial adherence 0 Little or no adherence	1.0
Commenting:	 Helpful, insightful and succinct Somewhat helpful, but sometimes sparse/overly verbose No comments, randomly sprinkled and unhelpful, or too verbose 	1.0
	TOTAL (/10):	8.3

Question No.	Line(s)	Comment
Q1	all	Very good solution! Good comments and docstring
Q1	72	Make sure to correctly check for the 'None' wind value.
Q1	all	Otherwise very well done!
Q2	all	Very good solution again! Good comments and docstring; nice clear code.
Q2	90-94	Make sure you're using correct style for these magic numbers.
Q3	all	You're on the right track! Close to being a correct solution. Good comments and docstring.
general	all	Your comments and docstrings are good throughout. Nice clear coding style.