

Username

CODE CORRECTNESS AND APPROACH:		
Q1: <code>get_friendly_dict</code> :	Test case correctness (max = <input type="text" value="1.5"/>)	1.5
	Approach: <input type="text" value="0.5"/> = Appropriate approach; <input type="text" value="0.25"/> = Over-complicated/overly simplistic approach; <input type="text" value="0"/> = No real attempt made	0.5
Q2: <code>friend_besties</code> :	Test case correctness (max = <input type="text" value="1.5"/>)	1.5
	Approach: <input type="text" value="0.5"/> = Appropriate approach; <input type="text" value="0.25"/> = Over-complicated/overly simplistic approach; <input type="text" value="0"/> = No real attempt made	0.5
Q3: <code>friend_second_besties</code> :	Test case correctness (max = <input type="text" value="1.5"/>)	1.5
	Approach: <input type="text" value="0.5"/> = Appropriate approach; <input type="text" value="0.25"/> = Over-complicated/overly simplistic approach; <input type="text" value="0"/> = No real attempt made	0.5
Q4: <code>besties_coverage</code> :	Test case correctness (max = <input type="text" value="1.5"/>)	1.3
	Approach: <input type="text" value="0.5"/> = Appropriate approach and use of data structures; <input type="text" value="0.25"/> = Over-complicated/overly simplistic approach; <input type="text" value="0"/> = No real attempt made	0.5
Q5: <code>besties_accuracy</code> (BONUS):	Test case correctness (max = <input type="text" value="1"/>)	0.0
Adherence to style guide (—comments):	<input type="text" value="1"/> Strong adherence <input type="text" value="0.5"/> Partial adherence <input type="text" value="0"/> Little or no adherence	1.0
Commenting:	<input type="text" value="1"/> Helpful, insightful and succinct <input type="text" value="0.5"/> Somewhat helpful, but sometimes sparse/overly verbose <input type="text" value="0"/> No comments, randomly sprinkled and unhelpful, or too verbose	1.0
TOTAL (/ <input type="text" value="10"/>):		9.8

Question No.	Line(s)	Comment
Q1	all	Well done! Good comments and docstring.
Q1	9	It would probably be easier to replace this with a for ... in loop which iterates directly over friend_list.
Q1	20	Same here.
Q2	all	Well done again! Good comments and docstring.
Q2	14	Rather than using a loop, you could use an if ... in statement to check if individual is in the social network.
Q3	all	Very well done! Nice clean solution.
Q3	all	Good commenting and docstringing.
Q3	28-30	This loop could be replaced by a set difference operation.
Q4	all	Very good solution! Very close to perfect...
Q4	44	Make sure you always return the correct type
Q4	all	Ensure that every possible path returns something! If individuals is empty, the loop doesn't run so the function exits returning None.
Q4	all	Good comments and docstring.
general	all	Very good commenting and docstrings!