

## Introduction

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) have been successful in image generation and semi-supervised learning (SSL). However, existing GANs for SSL face two critical challenges:

### 1. Conflict in Roles:

- The discriminator in traditional GANs must identify fake samples and classify real samples into correct labels. These tasks are incompatible and often conflict.
- For example, a discriminator trying to classify a fake sample may fail to identify its label correctly.

### 2. Lack of Semantic Control:

- Existing generators cannot explicitly control the class of the generated samples.
- Label information is ignored during generation, leading to the inability to disentangle class-specific features or styles.

## Proposed Solution: Triple-GAN

The **Triple-GAN** framework resolves these issues by introducing a three-player game:

1. **Generator (G):** Models the conditional distribution  $p(x|y)p(x|y)p(x|y)$ , generating data samples based on labels.
2. **Classifier (C):** Models  $p(y|x)p(y|x)p(y|x)$ , predicting labels given input data.
3. **Discriminator (D):** Distinguishes whether a pair  $(x,y)(x,y)(x,y)$  is from the real data distribution or generated by GGG or CCC.

## Key Contributions

1. **Novel Framework:** Triple-GAN separates roles between generator, classifier, and discriminator, ensuring each focuses on its primary task.
2. **Compatible Utilities:** Carefully designed loss functions ensure that the classifier and generator converge to the true data distribution independently.
3. **Improved Performance:** Empirically, Triple-GAN achieves state-of-the-art results in SSL while generating semantically meaningful and class-conditional samples.

---

## Methodology

### Factorizing the Joint Distribution

The true joint distribution  $p(x,y)p(x,y)p(x,y)$  can be factorized in two ways:

1.  $p(x,y)=p(x)p(y|x)p(x, y) = p(x)p(y|x)p(x,y)=p(x)p(y|x)$ , where  $p(y|x)p(y|x)p(y|x)$  is the conditional probability modeled by the classifier CCC.
2.  $p(x,y)=p(y)p(x|y)p(x, y) = p(y)p(x|y)p(x,y)=p(y)p(x|y)$ , where  $p(x|y)p(x|y)p(x|y)$  is the conditional probability modeled by the generator GGG.

### Three Players

Triple-GAN defines a three-player game:

#### 1. Generator GGG:

- Takes a class  $yyy$  and noise  $zzz$  as input and generates samples  $x \sim G(y,z)$ .
- Goal: Model  $p(x|y)p(x|y)p(x|y)$ , producing realistic samples that match the data distribution for the given label.

#### 2. Classifier CCC:

- Takes input data  $xxx$  and predicts its label  $yyy$ .
- Goal: Model  $p(y|x)p(y|x)p(y|x)$ , accurately predicting labels for both real and generated samples.

#### 3. Discriminator DDD:

- Distinguishes between real and generated  $(x,y)(x, y)(x,y)$  pairs:
  - Real pairs come from the true data distribution  $p(x,y)p(x, y)p(x,y)$ .
  - Fake pairs come from either GGG (pseudo-data) or CCC (pseudo-labels).

### Loss Functions (Utilities)

The loss functions for each player are designed to achieve equilibrium, where GGG and CCC approximate the data distribution  $p(x,y)p(x, y)p(x,y)$ .

#### 1. Discriminator Loss:

$$U(D)=E_{(x,y) \sim p(x,y)}[\log D(x,y)] + \alpha E_{(x,y) \sim p_C(x,y)}[\log(1-D(x,y))] + (1-\alpha)E_{(x,y) \sim p_G(x,y)}[\log(1-D(x,y))],$$

$$U(D) = \mathbb{E}_{(x, y) \sim p(x, y)}[\log D(x, y)] + \alpha \mathbb{E}_{(x, y) \sim p_C(x, y)}[\log(1 - D(x, y))] + (1 - \alpha) \mathbb{E}_{(x, y) \sim p_G(x, y)}[\log(1 - D(x, y))],$$

$$U(D)=E_{(x,y) \sim p(x,y)}[\log D(x,y)] + \alpha E_{(x,y) \sim p_C(x,y)}[\log(1-D(x,y))] + (1-\alpha)E_{(x,y) \sim p_G(x,y)}[\log(1-D(x,y))],$$

where:

- $p_C(x,y)=p(x)p_C(y|x)p_C(x, y) = p(x)p_C(y|x)p_C(x,y)=p(x)p_C(y|x)$  represents pseudo-labels generated by CCC.
- $p_G(x,y)=p(y)p_G(x|y)p_G(x, y) = p(y)p_G(x|y)p_G(x,y)=p(y)p_G(x|y)$  represents samples generated by GGG.

- $\alpha$  balances the importance of classification and generation tasks.

2. **Generator Loss:** The generator GGG minimizes the adversarial loss:

$$L_G = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y) \sim p_G(x,y)} [\log(1 - D(x,y))].$$

3. **Classifier Loss:** The classifier CCC minimizes two losses:

- A **supervised loss** on labeled data (cross-entropy loss):  

$$L_{\text{sup}} = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y) \sim p(x,y)} [-\log p_C(y|x)].$$
- An **adversarial loss** for pseudo-labeled data:  

$$L_{\text{adv}} = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y) \sim p_C(x,y)} [\log(1 - D(x,y))].$$

## Training Algorithm

Triple-GAN is trained iteratively:

1. Sample batches of real labeled data, pseudo-labeled data from CCC, and generated data from GGG.
2. Update DDD using the discriminator loss  $U(D)$ .
3. Update CCC using the supervised loss  $L_{\text{sup}}$  and adversarial loss  $L_{\text{adv}}$ .
4. Update GGG using its adversarial loss  $L_G$ .

## Theoretical Guarantees

1. **Equilibrium:** The loss functions are designed so that:
  - CCC approximates  $p(y|x)p(y|x)p(y|x)$ , while GGG approximates  $p(x|y)p(x|y)p(x|y)$ .
  - At equilibrium, both the generator and classifier converge to the true data distribution.
2. **Non-Competing Roles:** Unlike two-player GANs, where the generator and discriminator compete, Triple-GAN ensures cooperation between CCC and GGG.
3. **Pseudo Discriminative Loss:** Additional regularization ensures that CCC benefits from pseudo-labeled data, improving its performance.

## Experiments

## Datasets

The authors evaluate Triple-GAN on three datasets:

1. **MNIST** (handwritten digits): 50,000 training samples, 10,000 test samples.
2. **SVHN** (street view house numbers): 73,257 training samples, 26,032 test samples.
3. **CIFAR-10** (natural images): 50,000 training samples, 10,000 test samples across 10 classes.

## Classification Results

1. Triple-GAN achieves state-of-the-art error rates for semi-supervised classification:
  - MNIST with 100 labeled samples: **0.91% error**.
  - SVHN with 1,000 labeled samples: **5.77% error**.
  - CIFAR-10 with 4,000 labeled samples: **16.99% error**.
2. Outperforms competitors like Improved-GAN and CatGAN.

## Generation Results

1. **Image Quality:**
  - Triple-GAN generates clearer and more diverse images than baselines.
  - On CIFAR-10, the **inception score** improves significantly (from 3.87 to 5.08).
2. **Class-Conditional Generation:**
  - Generates samples that match the label semantics, even with limited supervision.

## Latent Space Interpolation

- Demonstrates smooth transitions between images of different classes in the latent space, preserving semantic consistency.

---

## Conclusion

Triple-GAN offers a unified framework that achieves:

1. **State-of-the-art classification performance** in semi-supervised settings.
2. **Semantically controlled generation**, enabling class-specific image synthesis.
3. **Disentanglement of class and style**, allowing better latent space interpolation.

By addressing the fundamental issues of two-player GANs, Triple-GAN advances the field of semi-supervised learning and generative modeling.