

Mechanisms of resistance to cisplatin and carboplatin

David J. Stewart*

*Section of Experimental Therapeutics, Department of Thoracic/Head & Neck Medical Oncology,
University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Blvd, Unit 432, Houston, TX 77030, United States*

Accepted 2 February 2007

Contents

1. Introduction	13
2. Platinum resistance	13
3. “Classical” resistance mechanisms	13
3.1. Blood flow and drug delivery	13
3.2. Extracellular environment	16
3.3. Drug uptake	16
3.4. Drug efflux	16
3.5. Drug detoxification	17
3.6. Drug binding	17
3.7. DNA repair	18
3.8. Decreased DNA mismatch repair	18
3.9. Reduced apoptotic response	18
3.10. Apoptosis inhibitors	19
4. Newer molecular factors linked to platinum resistance	19
4.1. Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2)	20
4.2. Heat shock proteins (HSP)	20
4.3. Cell signaling pathways & molecules	20
4.3.1. Cadherins/catenins	20
4.3.2. EGF family	20
4.3.3. PTEN/PI3K/AKT	20
4.3.4. Hyaluronan-CD44	20
4.3.5. IP3R1	21
4.3.6. SRPK1	21
4.3.7. Ras	21
4.3.8. C-myc, c-Fos, c-Jun, SAPK/JNK	21
4.3.9. STATs	21
4.3.10. JAK	21
4.3.11. Protein kinase C (PKC)	21
4.3.12. Protein phosphatases 2A and 4	21
4.4. cAMP–phosphodiesterase 2	21
4.5. Cell-cycle related factors	21
4.6. Checkpoints	21
4.7. NF-kappaB	22
4.8. Other transcription factors	22
4.9. Chromosomal alterations	22
4.10. Miscellaneous	22

* Tel.: +1 713 692 6363; fax: +1 713 792 1220.

E-mail address: dstewart@mdanderson.org.

4.11. Gene arrays	22
4.12. Proteomics	22
5. Summary	22
Reviewers	23
References	23
Biography	31

Abstract

While cisplatin and carboplatin are active versus most common cancers, epithelial malignancies are incurable when metastatic. Even if an initial response occurs, acquired resistance due to mutations and epigenetic events limits efficacy. Resistance may be due to excess of a resistance factor, to saturation of factors required for tumor cell killing, or to mutation or alteration of a factor required for tumor cell killing. Platinum resistance could arise from decreased tumor blood flow, extracellular conditions, reduced platinum uptake, increased efflux, intracellular detoxification by glutathione, etc., decreased binding (e.g., due to high intracellular pH), DNA repair, decreased mismatch repair, defective apoptosis, antiapoptotic factors, effects of several signaling pathways, or presence of quiescent non-cycling cells. In lung cancer, flattening of dose–response curves at higher doses suggests that efficacy is limited by exhaustion of something required for cell killing, and several clinical observations suggest epigenetic events may play a major role in resistance.

© 2007 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Cisplatin; Carboplatin; Resistance

1. Introduction

Cisplatin and carboplatin have broad antitumor activity, but normal tissue toxicity is largely limited to gastrointestinal enterochromaffin cells, kidney convoluted tubules, cochlear hair cells, dorsal root ganglia and megakaryocytes [1], suggesting an epigenetic influence in toxicity. Normal cells have several mechanisms of protection from a noxious environment [2–4] that may also underlie cancer chemotherapy resistance.

2. Platinum resistance

Cisplatin resistance has been studied to a greater extent than has carboplatin resistance. However, in reviewing platinum resistance mechanisms, the overall available data strongly suggest that resistance mechanisms are very similar for cisplatin and carboplatin (although not always identical). In this review, we will make the assumption that the same mechanisms generally apply to both, recognizing that this will not be so in all instances.

For colon and renal cancers, intrinsic resistance limits platinum usefulness. For many other malignancies, some patients initially respond, but acquired resistance then develops. While acquired resistance has been attributed to mutations [5], various clinical [6] and laboratory [7] observations and the rapid induction of resistance with brief drug exposure in vitro [8] and clinically [9,10] suggest epigenetic changes may also be important.

We hypothesized that resistance mechanisms may be regarded in pharmacodynamic terms and that dose–response relationships would reflect the major mechanisms underlying resistance [11]. Resistance may be classified as “active”

(due to excess resistance factor, giving a shoulder on a dose–response curve [DRC]) (Fig. 1) versus saturable passive (deficiency of a factor required for drug efficacy, giving a DRC terminal plateau) versus non-saturable passive (due to factor alteration, giving a decreased DRC slope) [11]. Examples of active resistance factors would include efflux pumps, DNA repair systems, anti-apoptotic factors, etc. Examples of factors that might give saturable passive resistance if deficient would include drug uptake or activating systems, obligate targets (e.g., topoisomerase II for topoisomerase II inhibitors), proapoptotic factors or factors that are part of the apoptotic cascade, or cells in a sensitive phase of the cell cycle. Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) DRCs for cisplatin combinations flatten at higher doses, suggesting that efficacy is ultimately limited by saturable passive resistance, i.e., by deficiency of a required factor [12]. A potential example would be the presence of non-cycling cells, in keeping with the relative resistance in vitro of quiescent/slowly proliferating cells [13–16]. Overall, several factors may contribute to resistance (Table 1).

3. “Classical” resistance mechanisms

3.1. Blood flow and drug delivery

Delivery of chemotherapy and oxygen varies with blood flow. Hypoxia reduces efficacy of many agents, but has little impact on cisplatin [17]. With respect to drug delivery, tissue drug concentrations conform to either flow-limited models (varying with blood flow [18]) or to membrane-limited models (not proportional to flow) [19,20]. Against a flow-limited model for cisplatin, concentrations are as high in necrotic as in viable human tumors [21] and cisplatin concentrations

Table 1
Mechanisms of resistance to platinum

Mechanism	Contributing factor		
Impaired blood flow/drug delivery	↑ Tissue pressure ^a ↓ Blood pressure ^e	↑ Plasma fibrinogen ^b ↓ RBC deformability ^b	↑ Blood viscosity ^b
Extracellular matrix/other factors	↑ Tissue pressure ^a /↓ diffusion ↑ Fibronectin	↑ γ -Glutamyltransferase ↑ Type IV collagen	↑ Laminin
Decreased drug uptake	↑ Cell membrane rigidity ↑ NaCl ^c ↑ Extracellular pH ↓ Copper transporter CTR1 ↓ Uptake concurrently of several factors Defective endocytosis/formation of endocytic recycling compartment	↑ Sphingomyelin ↑ KCl ^c ↑ Protein binding ↑ Copper Concurrent ↓ expression several transporters ↓ Small GTPases (rab5, rac1, rhoA) which regulate endocytosis	↑ Cholesterol ↑ Mannitol ^c ↓ CaCl ₂ ^c ↓ γ -Catenin
Increased efflux	↑ Cu transporters ATP7A, -7B ↑ MRP2/cMOAT/GSH-X pump ^d ↑ MVP/LRP ^d	↓ CuCl ₂ ^c ↑ MRP1 ^d Abnormal sorting into exosomal pathway	↑ Intracellular pH ↑ p-Glycoprotein ^d ↑ Sequestration intracellularly
Increased detoxification	↑ GSH ↑ γ -Glutamylcysteine synthase ↑ Glutamate cysteine ligase ↑ Dihydrodiol dehydrogenase	↑ GST ^d ↑ γ -Glutamyltransferase ↑ GSH reductase ↑ Superoxide dismutase	↑ GST-pi/GST-pi SNPs ^e ↑ GSH peroxidase ↑ Catalase ↑ Metallothioneins ^{d,e}
Decreased drug binding	↑ Proton pumps ↑ In cell cycle G1/ ↓ in G2/M	↑ Intracellular pH ↑ Histone methylation	↑ Extracellular pH
Increased DNA repair	↑ Nucleotide excision repair system (ERCC1 and XPF) Host ERCC1/XPD SNPs ^e , ↑ Base excision repair (DNA polymerase- β^d , -zeta, and -eta) ↑ DNA damage recognition protein HMG1	↑ XPA ^e ↑ Topoisomerase-II ↑ Homologous recombination repair	↑ BRCA1 ^e ↑ REV1 ↑ DDB2 (damaged-DNA-binding-protein-2)
Increased tolerance of DNA damage	↓ DNA postreplicational mismatch repair	↓ hMLH1, hMSH2, hMSH6 ^d	↓ Non-homologous end-joining repair
Decreased pro-apoptotic factors	Down-regulation/↓ expression (p53, p53-binding-protein-2, Bax, Fas, caspases 8, 9, other) Mitochondrial abnormalities	↓ Activation (Fas, caspase 9) P53 deletion	P53 mutation (with overexpression of a non-functional protein) ^{d,e}
Increased apoptosis inhibitors	↑ Bcl-2 ^{d,e} ↑ Survivin ↑ Xiap	↑ Bcl-xL ^{d,e} Hypoxia (via ↑ Bcl-xL) ↑ IAP-2	↑ Bfl-1/A1 ↑ FLIP ↑ COX-2 ^{d,e}
Altered mitochondria	↑ Fatty acid use for O ₂ consumption ↓ Membrane potential	↑ Mitochondrial-uncoupling-protein-2	↑ No. mitochondria
Increased chaperones	↑ HSP27 ^d ↓ GRP78	↑ HSP90- β	↑ HSP70
Altered cell signaling pathways	↑ E-cadherin ↑ Heregulin/ ↑ p21WAF1/CIP1 ↑ PI3K ↑ MAPK signaling cascade ^d ↑ c-Myc/c-Fos/c-Jun activation ↑ STAT1/STAT3/JAK2 ↑ Protein phosphatases 2A & 4 ↓ p38 kinase activation	↑ EGF/EGFR ↑ Her-2/neu ^d ↑ AKT ↑ p110 α ↑ /Mutated ras ↑ PDE2 SRPK1 inactivation ↓ IP3R1	Catenins: ↑ α & β / ↓ γ PTEN loss ↑ mTOR ↑ Hyaluronan-CD44 ↑ c-cot ↑ PKC-iota ↓ SAPK/JNK activation ↓ HGF
Transcription factors, cell cycle related factors, checkpoint kinases, etc.	↑ YB-1 ↑ ZNF143 ↑ Zipper transcriptional factor ↑ NF-kappaB ^d ↓ Chk1 ↓ Telomerase mRNA expression	↑ CTF2 ↑ mtTFA ↑ AP-2 ↑ Cyclin D1 ↓ Chk2 ↓ Telomere length	↑ ATF4 ↑ Ets-1 ↑ SKP2 ↓ Telomerase activity

Table 1 (Continued)

Mechanism	Contributing factor		
Gene arrays: differential expression	↑ FN1	↑ TOP2A	↑ LBR
	↑ ASS	↑ COL3A1	↑ STK6
	↑ SGPP1	↑ ITGAE	↑ PCNA
	↑ MDR1	↑ MRP1	↑ MRP2
	↑ CD55	↑ PGK1	↓ Caveolin 1
Proteomic analyses: differential expression	↑ HSP60/HSP90/heat-shock cognate 71 kDa protein	↑ Calmodulin	↑ Calumenin
	↑ Peroxiredoxins PRX 2/PRX 6	↑ GST	↑ 14-3-3
	↑ Voltage-dependent anion-selective channel-1		
Miscellaneous	↑ Ribosomal proteins RPS13, RPL23	Altered sphingolipid pathway	Altered ganglioside expression
	Chromosomal abnormalities	↑ Splicing factor SPF45	↑ Serum LDH ^{d,e}
	↑ Glucose utilization ^f	↑ Lactate production ^f	↑ LDH-5 ^{e,f}
	↑ Golgi apparatus	↓ Microsatellite D6S1581	↓ Pyruvate kinase M2

^a Paradoxically associated with improved cisplatin efficacy and patient survival.

^b Thought to be important for drugs in general, but not directly tested with platinum.

^c Alter platinum cellular uptake and efficacy when added in vitro.

^d Effect not seen consistently across all studies, or opposite effect seen in some studies.

^e Demonstrated in clinical studies.

^f Despite cells with low intracellular and extracellular pH having decreased platinum efflux and increased platinum uptake, binding and efficacy.

in human autopsy tissues do not correlate with organ blood flow rates [22]. Human tumor cisplatin concentrations do vary with pulse and blood pressure [23], with metastatic site [23], and with tumor type [21,23].

Since blood flow autoregulation is defective in tumors, blood pressure fluctuations have greater impact on flow to

tumors than to normal tissues [24], and agents that alter blood pressure may selectively alter tumor blood flow/drug delivery [24–26]. Decreased red blood cell deformability, high fibrinogen levels, etc. may reduce tumor blood flow by increasing blood viscosity [27,28], while agents that reduce blood viscosity (e.g., pentoxifylline, mannitol or fibrinolytics




Fig. 1. Dose–response relationships and proposed resistance mechanisms. We hypothesize that if log % cell survival is plotted vs. drug dose, excess of a resistance factor (“active resistance”) would give a shoulder on the dose–response curve (analogous to competitive inhibition of drug effect), mutation or alteration of a factor such as a target or drug transport or activating system, etc. (“non-saturable passive resistance”) would decrease the slope of the curve (analogous to decreased affinity of a drug for its receptor), and deficiency of a factor required for cell killing (“saturable passive resistance”) would give a terminal plateau on the dose–response curve (analogous to non-competitive inhibition of drug effect).

[27,29–31]) might increase tumor blood flow and drug delivery. While both blood flow [32] and drug diffusion through interstitium from vessel to tumor cell [33] may be impeded by the abnormally high tissue pressures in tumors, higher interstitial fluid pressures may nevertheless be associated with increased cisplatin efficacy and prolonged patient survival [34]. Overall, it is unknown to what extent tissue pressure and tumor blood flow affect platinum activity clinically.

3.2. Extracellular environment

Cisplatin-induced apoptosis is reduced in the presence of the extracellular matrix proteins fibronectin, type IV collagen and laminin that may bind tumor cells [35], and extracellular gamma-glutamyltransferase (GGT) may cleave glutathione to yield thiol groups that bind and inactivate cisplatin and other electrophilic drugs [36]. The impact of these factors in the clinic remains unknown.

Unlike tumor intracellular pH (which is neutral-to-alkaline) [37], tumor extracellular pH is often acidic [37,38]. A pH gradient with extracellular pH < intracellular pH favors uptake of weak acids [37], and cisplatin uptake is markedly increased at low extracellular pH [39]. Hence, diet could potentially impact cisplatin efficacy, as tumor extracellular pH is increased by bicarbonate [40] and lowered by glucose administration [41,42]. One might speculate that some of the broad efficacy and favorable therapeutic index for the platinumums may be due in part to the relatively greater acidity in many tumors compared to most normal tissues.

Other agents could also potentially have an impact. For example, mannitol and NaCl, both of which are used to decrease cisplatin nephrotoxicity, decrease cisplatin uptake and cytotoxicity in vitro [43,44], as does KCl [43,44], while CaCl₂ and CuCl₂ may increase net cisplatin accumulation and cytotoxicity [44].

Binding of drug to proteins either in plasma or the interstitium also could contribute to resistance. Protein-bound platinum is much less cytotoxic than is free cisplatin [45,46], with substantially reduced uptake into cells [46] and tissues [47]. Cisplatin binds irreversibly [48] to plasma proteins, with a half-life for protein binding of about 2 h [46]. Carboplatin takes substantially longer to bind to protein than does cisplatin [49–51].

3.3. Drug uptake

Many resistant cell lines have reduced cisplatin accumulation [52–54]. Cisplatin cellular uptake is not saturable with dose, but is altered by metabolic inhibitors [44,54] which do not affect efflux [55]. While some studies found that cell membrane fluidity (which could potentially alter either drug passive diffusion or activity of membrane transport systems) did not correlate with cisplatin uptake [56], others suggested that resistant lines with reduced uptake have rigid cell membranes [57,58] with high sphingomyelin and cholesterol content [58], and sphingolipid pathway modu-

lation [59] and cholesterol depletion [60] increase cellular platinum uptake and efficacy. Cisplatin resistance may also be associated with altered ganglioside expression [61].

The copper transporter CTR1 contributes to platinum cellular uptake [62–66], and CTR1-deficient cells are cisplatin-resistant [63]. Copper transporters undergo rapid cytoplasmic internalization after cisplatin exposure, reducing transporter surface expression and limiting further cisplatin uptake [63,66].

Reduced cisplatin uptake may be associated with a broad reduction in uptake of several factors, including folates, epidermal growth factor (EGF), iron, glucose, etc., in conjunction with downregulation of various transporters, defective endocytosis, and downregulation of the small GTPases rab5, rac1, and rhoA which regulate endocytosis, and this may be reversed via DNA demethylation with reactivation of silenced genes [67]. Microtubule alterations with defective formation of the endocytic recycling compartment may lead to cisplatin resistance and reduced uptake of cisplatin and other molecules [68]. The extent to which reduced transport and uptake contributes to platinum resistance in the clinic remains unclear. However, note that the flat dose–response curve seen with platinumums in non-small cell lung cancer could hypothetically result from saturation of drug uptake systems.

3.4. Drug efflux

Resistance may also be associated with increased cisplatin efflux from cells [15,69] or from nucleus into cytoplasm [70]. The copper-transporting P-type adenosine triphosphatases ATP7A and ATP7B have been implicated in platinum efflux and resistance [64,71–76]. Copper competes with cisplatin for uptake into cells, but also reduces cisplatin efflux [55], and may increase cisplatin net accumulation and cytotoxicity [44]. ATP7B overexpression is associated with poor outcome in cisplatin-treated patients with esophageal cancers [73] and squamous cell cancers of the head and neck (SCCHN) [74], suggesting that ATP7B-associated platinum efflux could potentially contribute to clinical platinum resistance.

Other pumps that may also play a role in cisplatin resistance (±enhanced efflux) include the MRP2 (multidrug-resistance-associated-protein-2)/cMOAT (canalicular Multispecific Organic Anion Transporter)/glutathione-X-conjugate pump [75,77–82], MRP1 [83,84], p-glycoprotein [80,82,84–87], and major vault/lung resistance-related protein (MVP/LRP) [70,82,88–90], although there have also been negative studies for MRP1 [91], MRP2 [92], p-glycoprotein [91,93], and LRP [84,91]. In ovarian cancer (OC) cells, LRP down-regulation reversed resistance, increased cellular cisplatin accumulation, increased cisplatin in isolated nuclei, and decreased cisplatin efflux from nuclei [70].

Resistance has also been associated with abnormal sorting of some lysosomal proteins and cisplatin transporters

into an exosomal pathway [75], and with drug sequestration in subcellular organelles such as melanosomes, with significantly reduced drug nuclear localization and with increased extracellular transport of melanosomes containing cisplatin [94].

Resistant lines with increased cisplatin efflux also may have increased intracellular pH [15]. Intracellularly, cisplatin's chlorides are replaced by neutral hydroxy or highly reactive positively charged aqua groups, with the pK_a for the interconversion between chloro-hydroxy and chloro-aqua species being 6.56 [95]. Hence, if intracellular pH is high, a higher proportion of drug may be in the uncharged chloro-hydroxy form, with increased passive efflux of this form. Again, clinical importance of each of these different efflux mechanisms remains uncertain.

3.5. Drug detoxification

Increased glutathione (GSH) may cause resistance [96–100] by binding/inactivating cisplatin, enhancing DNA repair, or reducing cisplatin-induced oxidative stress [53]. Glutathione-S-transferase (GST) [14,86,100–102], particularly GST-pi [103–109] or specific GST-pi polymorphisms [109], may augment resistance by catalyzing GSH-drug binding, although not all studies agree [96,97]. Clinically, GST-pi gene amplification [104], immunostaining [105], host gene polymorphisms [101], and plasma levels [106] correlated with cisplatin resistance clinically, suggesting that platinum detoxification by GSH and GST may be clinically important.

Other GSH-related enzymes such as gamma-glutamyl-cysteine synthetase [102], gamma-glutamyltransferase [36], glutamate cysteine ligase [110], GSH peroxidase [99], GSH reductase [102,111], and catalase [87,99] have also been linked to cisplatin resistance, as have the antioxidants superoxide dismutase [87,112,113] and dihydrodiol dehydrogenase [114] in preclinical systems.

Metallothioneins (sulfur-containing proteins involved in zinc homeostasis) have been associated with resistance to cisplatin in some studies [87,96,108,115–118] (but not others [97]), presumably through drug binding, and correlated with clinical cisplatin resistance in hepatocellular carcinomas [117] and NSCLC [118], again suggesting its clinical relevance as a platinum resistance mechanism. Zinc upregulates metallothionein expression and decreases cisplatin efficacy [119].

3.6. Drug binding

As noted above, intracellularly cisplatin's chlorides are replaced by neutral hydroxy or highly reactive positively charged aqua groups [95]. Chloro-aquated platinum accounts for most DNA binding [120]. Intrastrand and interstrand DNA crosslinks are responsible for cell killing [121]. DNA adduct levels in lymphocytes correlate with those in tumor [122] and with platinum efficacy [122–127], although not in all studies [122,128,129]. Platinum–DNA adducts are found

primarily in nuclear high-density chromatin loci and in mitochondria, with highest adduct levels in dividing cell [130]. Cisplatin cytotoxicity and DNA binding are highest with cell exposure during G1 and lowest during G2/M [131]. Reducing histone methylation relaxes condensed chromatin, increases cisplatin access to DNA, increases DNA–platinum adduct formation, and augments cisplatin efficacy [132].

Decreased DNA adduct formation and cisplatin resistance may occur despite high cellular concentrations [52]. Cisplatin is most effective at low intracellular [133] and extracellular [39] pH, and pH was significantly increased in cisplatin-resistant cells with reduced DNA binding [15,134]. Cisplatin DNA binding is markedly increased in acidic conditions [134]. Cisplatin-resistant lines had upregulation of expression of proton pumps [134], and proton pump inhibitors augment cisplatin retention [135] and efficacy [134,135], although results varied between lines [136]. Intracellular pH is lowest during G1 and highest during G2/M, in keeping with cisplatin's phase-specific relative DNA binding and cytotoxicity [131].

The major determinants of intracellular pH were H^+ -linked monocarboxylate transporters in melanoma cells versus the Na^+/H^+ exchanger in normal tissues, suggesting that monocarboxylate transporter inhibitors might selectively sensitize tumors [137]. In other systems, the lactate-/ H^+ symporter was the most active exchanger regulating tumor cell pH under aerobic conditions, while in hypoxia, lactate extrusion is reduced and a major factor in maintaining normal cytosolic pH despite an acidic extracellular environment may be enhanced sequestration of protons into acidic cellular vesicles [138]. Tumor cell pH may also correlate with nucleoside triphosphate/inorganic phosphate ratios [139].

Anaerobic glycolysis and other processes drive tumor acid production [140]. Lowering extracellular pH markedly increases cisplatin uptake and DNA binding, and also lowers intracellular pH [39]. In vivo, intravenous glucose administration lowers tumor extracellular pH [41,42], while oral bicarbonate administration raises it [40]. However, despite low pH enhancing cisplatin uptake and binding and reducing efflux, glucose utilization and lactate production may be increased in resistant lines [15]. Furthermore, tumor expression of the HIF-1 regulated isoenzyme lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)-5 (the LDH isoenzyme most important in anaerobic glycolysis and in pyruvate–lactate conversion) predicts poor prognosis [141], while the LDH isoenzyme most efficient at converting lactate to pyruvate under aerobic conditions is associated with *increased cisplatin sensitivity* [142]. High serum LDH level is associated with poor prognosis in many [143–155] but not all [156–158] platinum-treated malignancies. Expression of pyruvate kinase M2 (another key glycolytic pathway enzyme) was reduced in resistant cells [159]. In summary, there is substantial preclinical evidence suggesting that reduced extracellular and intracellular pH may be associated with platinum uptake, binding and cytotoxicity, but its importance clinically has not yet been adequately assessed, and high LDH expression (which might be expected

to be associated with reduced tumor pH) is often associated with poor outcome in patients with platinum-treated malignancies.

3.7. DNA repair

Cisplatin is effective versus testicular carcinoma, which has a particularly low capacity to repair platinum-induced DNA damage [160]. Cisplatin is most efficiently removed from transcribed areas within DNA [161] and gene-specific repair efficiency of cross-links correlates with resistance [162]. Platinum damage is repaired primarily by the nucleotide excision repair (NER) system (particularly ERCC1 and ERCC1/XPF) and the related genes XPA and BRCA1 [163,164]. ERCC1 overexpression (without gene amplification [165]) is associated with reduced platinum-based therapy efficacy in both OC [166] and NSCLC [111,118,167], and response [168] or survival [107] varies with host genotype ERCC1 polymorphisms. However, ERCC1 is involved mainly in removal of interstrand cross-links rather than therapeutically important intrastrand cross-links [53].

Polymorphisms of XPD (involved in the NER transcription-coupled repair pathway) conferring reduced repair capacity are associated with increased platinum sensitivity [169], and are associated with a trend towards improved outcome in some NSCLC studies [170], but not others [107]. In OC, cisplatin resistance is associated with enhanced expression of XPA (but not with XPA gene mutation or amplification [171]), and with upregulation of expression of the Fanconi Anemia/BRCA pathway [172,173]. BRCA1 mutation augments lymphocyte sensitivity to cisplatin [174], and in NSCLC patients treated with neoadjuvant cisplatin/gemcitabine, low tumor BRCA1 mRNA levels predicted better survival [113].

The base excision repair enzyme DNA polymerase- β is overexpressed in several cisplatin-resistant cell lines demonstrating translesion synthesis across platinated crosslinks [175–177]. Incorporation of incorrect bases is frequent during platinum adduct repair by DNA polymerase- β [177]. Cell transfection with DNA polymerase- β genes increases cisplatin resistance [178], while DNA polymerase- β antagonists [179,180] increase cisplatin efficacy, although not in all studies [181]. AP-2 transcription factors which are modulated by protein kinase A (PKA) and regulate genes for DNA polymerase- β and metallothioneins also are associated with cisplatin resistance [182].

DNA polymerase-zeta is associated with cisplatin resistance [183], and may enable mutagenic bypass of replication-blocking DNA adducts [184], as may DNA polymerase-eta [185]. The bypass replication by DNA polymerase-eta may be more efficient [177] and less error-prone [177,186] than with DNA polymerase- β . REV1, which interacts with Y-type DNA polymerases and DNA polymerase-zeta to bypass many types of adducts that block the replicative DNA polymerases also confers cisplatin resis-

tance [187]. Cytarabine, which inhibits DNA polymerase- α [188,189], is synergistic with cisplatin [190].

Topoisomerase-II [191] and homologous recombination repair [179,192] may also increase platinum DNA damage repair, while non-homologous end-joining repair may enhance platinum efficacy [193]. Cisplatin resistance has also been associated with overexpression of the DNA damage recognition protein HMG1 (which may shield DNA adducts from repair) [194] and of damaged-DNA-binding-protein-2 (DDB2) (which is involved in UV damage repair) [195]. The O⁶-alkylguanine-DNA-alkyltransferase repair system does not confer cisplatin resistance [196,197].

Cisplatin adduct formation is greater and repair less on mitochondrial DNA versus genomic DNA [198], although the significance of cisplatin mitochondrial DNA binding remains unknown.

Overall, there are clinical data that support a role for components of the NER pathway in platinum resistance. While there is preclinical evidence of a role for various DNA polymerases, topoisomerase II and homologous recombination repair in platinum resistance, there is not yet clinical information available on the role of these DNA repair systems.

3.8. Decreased DNA mismatch repair

DNA postreplicational mismatch repair (MMR)-mediated processing of platinum adducts results in apoptosis and increased platinum sensitivity [199]. Cells deficient in MMR [199–201] or with reduced nuclear content of the MMR proteins hMLH1, hMSH2 or hMSH6 [202] paradoxically have increased cisplatin resistance and reduced apoptosis [203], although not in all studies [204]. An intact c-Abl and p73 system may be required for MMR to enhance apoptosis, and cells lacking p73 expression after cisplatin exposure may be resistant [205]. DNA polymerase-zeta may be required for the resistance from MMR loss, suggesting that resistance is mediated by enhanced mutagenic translesion synthesis [206]. Concurrent p53 loss also enhances resistance development [206]. From a clinical perspective, hMLH1 gene methylation and down-regulation is common in treated germ cell tumors [207], suggesting that there may in fact be a clinical role for deficient DNA mismatch repair in platinum resistance.

3.9. Reduced apoptotic response

Several genes regulating DNA damage, apoptosis and survival signaling may contribute to resistance [208]. Cisplatin may induce apoptosis through the Fas/Fas ligand signaling complex (with activation of caspase 8, then caspase 3), or by mitochondrial cytochrome-c release [209]. In the presence of ATP and cytochrome-c, apoptotic-protease-activating-factor-1 (Apaf-1) activates caspase 9, with subsequent caspase 3 activation [209]. Cisplatin may also kill via a caspase-3 independent apoptotic pathway, by a defective apoptotic pathway or by necrosis [209].

Defective apoptosis may contribute to resistance to both p53-dependent and -independent cisplatin cytotoxicity [210]. P53 down-regulation may confer resistance, possibly by overexpression of the negative feedback regulator Mdm2, with or without downregulation of p14^{ARF} (which moderates Mdm2) [53]. Resistance has also been associated with reduced p53-binding-protein-2 expression [211].

Cells with p53 deletions [212] or mutations [213] are often resistant to cisplatin. Cisplatin resistance has been associated with p53 mutation *in vitro* in OC [214] and SCCHN [215] cell lines, and clinically in germ cell tumors [216] and SCCHNs [106,217].

p53 mutation is generally associated with protein overexpression, but mutant protein lacks normal tumor suppressor function [218]. p53 overexpression is associated with poor outcome in platinum-treated OC [219] and NSCLC [118,220] patients. However, this is not seen in all studies [155], and glioma cells with mutant p53 paradoxically had enhanced cisplatin-induced apoptosis, while wild-type variants instead responded with G2-M arrest [221].

Caspases 3, 8, and 9 are important in cisplatin-induced apoptosis [53]. A cisplatin-resistant line had global down-regulation of caspase and Bax expression, but increased Bcl-2 [222]. Loss of caspase 8 pathway was associated with cisplatin resistance in a SCCHN cell line [223]. Decreased CD95 (Fas) expression or pathway activation after cisplatin may lead to inhibition of activation of caspases 3 and 8 [53], and was associated with cisplatin resistance in germ cell tumors [224] and OC cells [14]. Decreased cisplatin caspase 9 activation was noted in cells with normal mitochondrial cytochrome-c release and normal Bcl-2 and Bcl-XL expression [225]. Cisplatin-resistant cells have also been reported with abnormal mitochondrial membrane potential, intracellular distribution, or structure, and with up-regulation of cytochrome-c in the mitochondria in response to cisplatin rather than release into the cytoplasm [226].

Overall, there is preclinical evidence of an association of platinum resistance with abnormalities of a variety of apoptotic factors, but to date this has only been documented clinically for p53.

3.10. Apoptosis inhibitors

Apoptosis may be inhibited by overexpression of Xiap (X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein) and its interaction with the PI3-K/Akt pathway [227]. Overexpression of Xiap and IAP-2 correlated with cisplatin resistance in some cell lines [228], down-regulating Xiap increased cisplatin sensitivity, caspase-3 activity and apoptosis in resistant ovarian [229] and prostate cancer cells [230], and cell transfection with hRFI (a Ring Finger domain highly homologous to XIAP) induced cisplatin resistance and inactivation of caspase-3 [231]. Cell line overexpression of survivin also correlates with cisplatin resistance [228,232], and survivin antisense oligonucleotides augment cisplatin-induced apoptosis [233].

Bcl-2 [14,234,235] or Bcl-xL [235–237] overexpression (with no change in BAX or Bcl-Xs, but with marked down-regulation of caspase-3 expression [238]) is often (but not always [53]) associated with cisplatin resistance, and was associated with decreased response [239] or disease-free survival [237] in OC patients. Hypoxia increases Bcl-XL expression and resistance to cisplatin [236], while Bcl-2 or Bcl-XL antagonists augment cisplatin efficacy [233,236]. Cisplatin generation of reactive oxygen species causes dephosphorylation and degradation of Bcl-2, while nitric oxide (NO) induces its S-nitrosylation, inhibiting its ubiquitination and upregulating Bcl-2 expression. NO synthase activity and NO production correlate with resistance in NSCLC cells [240].

Overexpression of ribosomal proteins (RP) S13 and RPL23 in a resistant cell line increased Bcl-2 expression, the Bcl-2/Bax ratio, GST activity and intracellular GSH content [241]. Resistant cells also may overexpress the Bcl-2-related protein Bfl-1/A1, mediated by NF-kappaB [242].

Other resistant OC lines had increased expression of Fas-associated death domain-like interleukin-1beta-converting enzyme-like inhibitory protein (FLIP) [243]. Cisplatin decreased FLIP and induced caspase-8 and caspase-3 cleavage and apoptosis in cisplatin-sensitive but non-resistant cells. FLIP downregulation in chemoresistant cells increased cisplatin-induced apoptosis [243].

Hence, several apoptosis inhibitors have been associated with platinum resistance in preclinical systems, with clinical evidence of an association of bcl-2 and bcl-xL with resistance.

4. Newer molecular factors linked to platinum resistance

There are also several new molecular factors that have been linked to platinum resistance. With only a few exceptions, the effect on platinum efficacy has to date been assessed only *in vitro*, with little information on their impact on resistance in xenograft models or clinically. The extent to which they may also mediate resistance to other unrelated chemotherapy agents is also unclear, as is their potential impact on cross-resistance and on synergism versus antagonism of cisplatin and carboplatin with other agents. For most of these new factors, it is also not yet known whether their apparent ability to counteract platinum efficacy is due to counteracting a specific effect of the chemotherapy agent or whether it is due instead to a more non-specific ability to block cell death/promote cell survival and growth. However, these potential resistance factors are of substantial interest since inhibitors of several of these are currently under development and may eventually prove useful, either as therapy in their own right or else as a means of reversing platinum resistance. Ultimately, inhibitors of these factors will only prove useful if they can reverse chemotherapy resistance in tumor without substantially increasing normal tissue toxicity, and their impact on chemotherapy toxicity

city to normal tissues remains largely undefined at this time.

4.1. Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2)

In preclinical studies, cisplatin treatment augmented tumor cell COX-2 expression [244] and cisplatin resistance was induced by COX-2 overexpression [83]. COX-2 inhibitors decreased bcl-2 expression [245] and potentiated cisplatin efficacy in some preclinical studies [83,245,246], but reduced efficacy in others [247]. Clinically, high COX-2 expression was associated with reduced platinum-based therapy efficacy in esophageal [248,249], bladder [250], cervical [251,252] and ovarian [253] cancers, but not in NSCLC [254]. The fact that a link is seen between therapy efficacy and COX-2 expression clinically makes the assessment of COX-2 inhibitors a particularly interesting focus for further research.

4.2. Heat shock proteins (HSP)

HSP27 overexpression [255–257] or gene transfection [256–258] increased cisplatin resistance in cell lines, although not consistently [259,260]. Growing cells to confluence increased HSP27 expression [257]. HSP90- β [261] and HSP70 [256] also may augment cisplatin resistance, and cisplatin treatment increases HSP70 expression in vitro [262]. On the other hand, glucose-regulated-stress-protein-78 (GRP78) overexpression was associated with increased cisplatin sensitivity in colon cancer cell lines [263]. HSP inhibitors are currently undergoing clinical trials, but little is known regarding the role of HSP in clinical resistance, and it remains unknown whether HSP inhibitors will prove useful.

4.3. Cell signaling pathways & molecules

Several signaling pathways and transcription factors may augment cisplatin resistance by promoting cell survival [264]:

4.3.1. Cadherins/catenins

E-cadherin expression is associated with cisplatin resistance in vitro [14,265], as is increased expression of epidermal growth factor receptors (EGFR) and α - and β -catenins [14]. As with HSP, it remains unknown whether E-cadherin plays a role in clinical platinum resistance. However, this area is of interest since EGFR antagonists may be particularly effective against lung cancer cells expressing E-cadherin [266], raising the possibility that E-cadherin expression may eventually help guide the decision whether to treat a lung cancer patient with a platinum-based regimen versus an EGFR inhibitor.

Cisplatin exposure results in proteolysis of γ -catenin, with loss of γ -catenin from adherens plaques and rapid reduction in uptake of subsequent carboplatin in vitro. Cell transfection with γ -catenin increased cisplatin sensitivity [267].

4.3.2. EGF family

Possibly linked to the E-cadherin effect is the fact that EGFR inhibition increased cisplatin sensitivity in NSCLC [268] and nasopharyngeal cancer cell lines [269]. Induction of tumor cell migration by chemotaxis to EGF up-regulates anti-apoptotic genes, down-regulates pro-apoptotic genes, and decreases cisplatin-induced apoptosis in vitro [270]. The related growth factor heregulin modulates expression of p21WAF1/CIP1, a resistance-promoting mediator of DNA repair. Cell lines overexpressing heregulin demonstrate constitutive hyperactivation of Her-2/neu, activation of down-stream PI-3K/AKT and MAPK signaling cascades, up-regulation of p21WAF1/CIP1 expression, nuclear accumulation of p21WAF1/CIP1 [271] and cisplatin resistance [271,272] that may be reversed by trastuzumab [272]. However, in other lines, Her-2/neu over-expression enhanced cisplatin sensitivity instead of resistance [273], and there are conflicting data on the role of the MAPK pathway in cisplatin resistance [53].

Despite the augmentation of cell line sensitivity to cisplatin by EGFR inhibitors, administration of EGFR inhibitors concurrently with platinum-based regimens has not proven useful clinically in NSCLC [274–276]. It has been postulated that inhibition of cell growth by the EGFR inhibitor may render tumor cells resistant to chemotherapy [277], and trials are now underway giving these therapies sequentially rather than concurrently.

4.3.3. PTEN/PI3K/AKT

PI3K inhibitors enhanced cisplatin efficacy in resistant lines [278] and OC xenograft models [279], while siRNA knockdown of PTEN and the expression of active p110 α blocked cisplatin-induced apoptosis and increased resistance [278]. Xiap may inhibit apoptosis through its interaction with the PI3K/Akt pathway [227], and up-regulation of the PI3K/Akt pathway by Her-2/neu may lead to down-regulation of p53 expression and inactivation of the pro-apoptotic proteins Bad and procaspase 9 [53]. Cells expressing Akt1 [280] or Akt2 and Akt3 [281] display cisplatin resistance, with threshold modulation for several apoptotic pathways, increased Bcl-x(L) expression and delayed p53 activation [280]. Akt knockout reduces resistance [281]. Also, inhibition by rapamycin of mTOR (which acts downstream of PI3K/Akt) enhanced carboplatin-induced apoptosis in breast cancer cells [282]. There remains little knowledge about the importance of this pathway in clinical platinum resistance, but early clinical trials are underway combining chemotherapy with inhibitors of mTOR (a signaling molecule which is downstream from AKT).

4.3.4. Hyaluronan-CD44

Hyaluronan is an extracellular matrix ligand for the transmembrane receptor CD44, which acts through mul-

multiple signaling pathways. Hyaluronan-CD44 promotes phospholipase-C calcium signaling and cisplatin resistance in SCCHN [283,284]. Nothing is yet known about its clinical importance in platinum resistance.

4.3.5. *IP3R1*

A cisplatin-resistant bladder cancer line had reduced expression of the endoplasmic reticulum membrane protein IP3R1 (inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate-receptor-type1) [285]. IP3R1 suppression in sensitive variants decreased apoptosis and cisplatin sensitivity, while overexpression of IP3R1 in resistant cells increased apoptosis and cisplatin sensitivity [285]. Again, clinical data are lacking.

4.3.6. *SRPK1*

Inactivation of serine/arginine-rich protein-specific kinase 1 (SRPK1) induces cisplatin resistance [286]. In clinical studies, SRPK1 expression was found to be high in testicular tissues, and was lower in resistant than in sensitive germ cell tumors [286].

4.3.7. *Ras*

Cells with ras mutation [287] or overexpression or with H-ras or c-cot gene transfection [288] may be resistant, with reduced cisplatin uptake [287], increased metallothionein [287], ERCC1 induction [289], and enhanced DNA repair [182]. The clinical importance of ras in platinum resistance remains uncertain.

4.3.8. *C-myc, c-Fos, c-Jun, SAPK/JNK*

C-Myc, c-Fos and c-Jun are activated by the MAPK pathway, and may be overexpressed in cisplatin resistance [53]. The c-fos/AP-1 complex turns on other genes in response to DNA damage [182]. Cisplatin induces c-Jun and AP-1 activity [290], and cell transfection with c-jun increases cellular GSH and cisplatin resistance [291]. On the other hand, attenuated MAPK signaling may be associated with cisplatin resistance in some cell lines, possibly due to decreased activation of stress-activated protein kinase/c-Jun N-terminal kinase (SAPK/JNK) and p38 kinase [290]. Cisplatin-induced activation of SAPK/JNK [290,292] and p38 kinase [290] is significantly decreased in resistant lines, and inhibition of JNK [290,292] or p38 kinase [290] attenuates cisplatin-induced apoptosis. JNK pathway stimulation activates c-Jun and sensitizes resistant cells to cisplatin [292].

4.3.9. *STATs*

OC gene arrays revealed a significant association of STAT1 expression with cisplatin resistance in cell lines [293]. Cell transfection with Stat1 cDNA induced cisplatin resistance, but a Jak/Stat inhibitor failed to augment sensitivity. STAT3 (involved in signal transduction activated by various growth factors and cytokines) is overexpressed in some cisplatin-resistant cell lines [294], and may sup-

press the apoptotic pathway in cisplatin-resistant NSCLC cells [295].

4.3.10. *JAK*

Addition of erythropoietin to tumor cells induced cisplatin resistance that was reversed by JAK2 inhibition, suggesting erythropoietin-induced resistance is JAK2-dependent [296].

4.3.11. *Protein kinase C (PKC)*

In human OC cell lines, PKC α had no role in cisplatin resistance [297], but PKC- ι inhibition sensitized glioblastoma cells to cisplatin by increasing p38 MAP kinase expression [298].

4.3.12. *Protein phosphatases 2A and 4*

Protein phosphatase-2A [299] and -4 increase cisplatin resistance [300]. Hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) enhances OC cell killing by cisplatin, possibly by up-regulating p38 MAPK activity and down-regulating protein phosphatase-2A [299]. Protein phosphatase-4 regulates several cellular functions and signaling pathways, including NF- κ B and mTOR pathways, and decreases histone deacetylase activity [300]. Again, the clinical significance of these observations remains uncertain.

4.4. *cAMP-phosphodiesterase 2*

The gene PDE2, encoding cAMP-phosphodiesterase-2, may induce resistance by increasing tolerance of cisplatin-induced DNA lesions [301].

4.5. *Cell-cycle related factors*

S-phase-kinase-associated-protein-2 (SKP2) controls stability of cell cycle-related proteins. SKP2 overexpression reduced expression of p27Kip1, cyclin E, and p21Cip1, increased S-phase cells, and increased cisplatin resistance, while SKP2 down-regulation increased sensitivity in vitro [302]. Cyclin D1 overexpression augmented pancreatic cancer cell chemoresistance both by promoting cell proliferation and by inhibiting drug-induced apoptosis in association with upregulation of NF- κ B activity [303]. However, while restoration of wild-type p16 to melanoma cell lines restored radiation sensitivity, it had no impact on cisplatin sensitivity [304].

4.6. *Checkpoints*

Checkpoint-kinase-2 (Chk2) is a critical kinase governing the cell cycle checkpoint, DNA damage repair, and cell apoptosis in response to DNA damaging signals. Cisplatin induces Chk2 degradation through the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway, and Chk2 expression is decreased in cisplatin-resistant OC cells [305]. Chk1 may also be important in the cellular response to cisplatin [306]. Cisplatin-induced apoptosis in gastric cancer cells is also reduced

substantially if Mitotic Arrest Deficient 2 (MAD2, an essential mitotic spindle checkpoint pathway component) is down-regulated [307].

4.7. *NF-kappaB*

Up-regulation of expression of antiapoptotic factors by NF-kappaB may antagonize cisplatin-induced apoptosis [242,308,309], and cisplatin significantly increases NF-kappaB DNA binding activity [310,311]. NF-kappaB inhibitors augment platinum activity against some cancer cell lines [60,311–322] and tumor xenograft models [310], but not against normal cells [311,312] nor against some other cancer cell lines [311,314]. NF-kappaB activation actually augmented cisplatin efficacy in some lines [323,324], or antagonized apoptosis with low cisplatin concentrations but enhanced efficacy of higher cisplatin concentrations [325].

4.8. *Other transcription factors*

Other transcription factors that may contribute to DNA repair and cisplatin resistance include Y-box-binding-protein-1 (YB-1), CCAAT-binding-transcription-factor-2 (CTF2), activating-transcription-factor-4 (ATF4), zinc-finger-factor-143 (ZNF143), mitochondrial-transcription-factor-A (mtTFA) [326], Ets-1 [327], AP-2 [182] and a protein related to zipper transcriptional factor [328]. YB-1 nuclear localization was increased in resistant cells [329]. Ets-1 [327] and AP-2 transcription factors (which are modulated by PKA) [182] contribute to transcriptional activation of other resistance genes including metallothioneins and DNA repair enzymes, and Ets-1 overexpression is associated with poor prognosis [327]. OC cell transfection with the splicing factor SPF45 (RBM17) also conferred resistance to carboplatin [330].

4.9. *Chromosomal alterations*

Platinum-resistant cells may have several chromosomal abnormalities [331–338]. Telomere length, telomerase activity, and telomerase mRNA expression were reduced in cisplatin-resistant OC cell lines [339], and OCs with a loss of microsatellite D6S1581 were cisplatin-resistant [340].

4.10. *Miscellaneous*

Cells with pleiotropic drug resistance may also have altered metabolic pathways, with low mitochondrial membrane potential, increased fatty acid use for mitochondrial oxygen consumption, and high levels of mitochondrial-uncoupling-protein-2 [341]. Cisplatin-resistant cells also may have ultrastructural changes [342], with increased Golgi apparatus and mitochondria, and altered nuclear structure [130,343].

4.11. *Gene arrays*

Carboplatin-resistant versus -sensitive OC cells differentially expressed genes associated with apoptosis, cell–cell communication, cell adhesion, DNA repair, and cell proliferation [344]. In tumors from OC patients, the genes FN1, TOP2A, LBR, ASS, COL3A1, STK6, SGPP1, ITGAE, and PCNA correlated with platinum resistance [345]. Cisplatin-resistant SCCHN cell lines had up-regulated expression of ATP-binding cassette transporter genes (MDR1, MRP1, and MRP2), CD55, and PGK1 and down-regulated Caveolin 1 expression [80].

4.12. *Proteomics*

In proteomic analyses of cervix carcinoma cells, cisplatin-sensitive versus -resistant lines differentially expressed several proteins, including molecular chaperones (e.g., HSP60, HSP90, heat-shock cognate 71 kDa protein), calcium-binding proteins (e.g., calmodulin, calumenin), proteins involved in drug detoxification (e.g., the peroxiredoxins PRX 2 and PRX 6, and GST), anti-apoptotic proteins (e.g., 14-3-3) and ion channels (e.g., voltage-dependent anion-selective channel-1) [346]. Cisplatin exposure was associated with up-regulation of HSP60 and HSP90, 14-3-3 protein, GST in sensitive cells and PRX6 in resistant cells [346]. The study suggested a constitutive expression of defense factors by resistant cells, with further increase in expression upon cisplatin exposure [346].

5. Summary

It is unknown which of these numerous resistance mechanisms are most important clinically. NSCLC DRCs flatten at higher doses for cisplatin combinations, suggesting therapy efficacy is ultimately limited by exhaustion of a required factor [12], and this may also apply to other epithelial malignancies. Several clinical observations suggest epigenetic factors play a major role in resistance [6], and platinum resistance has been reported with down regulation of expression (e.g., by gene hypermethylation) of a variety of factors, including membrane transporters, hMLH1, and caspases [67,207,222,238]. In addition, when tumors shrink with chemotherapy, some patients have rapid tumor regrowth, while others have prolonged stability after therapy completion. Based on several observations, we propose the following model of resistance: cells with sufficient active resistance mechanisms [11] to withstand initial chemotherapy will continue to divide between therapy cycles. If active resistance mechanisms are insufficient for protection, non-cycling cells will nevertheless survive based on their intrinsic saturable passive resistance. Cells that do not have sufficient active resistance mechanisms to permit continued growth would down-regulate growth and remain quiescent until treatment cessation. While increasing drug doses

could overcome active resistance, the larger problem may remain identification of exploitable targets in non-cycling cells.

Reviewers

Michael M. Gottesman, MD, The Center for Cancer Research, NCI, NIH, Laboratory of Cell Biology - Molecular Cell Genetics, Multidrug Resistance Unit, 37 Convent Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892-4255, U.S.A.

Matthew D. Hall, MD, The Center for Cancer Research, NCI, NIH, Laboratory of Cell Biology - Molecular Cell Genetics, Multidrug Resistance Unit, 37 Convent Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892-4255, U.S.A.

References

- [1] McKeage MJ. Comparative adverse effect profiles of platinum drugs. *Drug Saf* 1995;13:228–44.
- [2] Crawford DR, Davies KJ. Adaptive response and oxidative stress. *Environ Health Perspect* 1994;102(Suppl 10):25–8.
- [3] Prestera T, Zhang Y, Spencer SR, Wilczak CA, Talalay P. The electrophile counterattack response: protection against neoplasia and toxicity. *Adv Enzyme Regul* 1993;33:281–96.
- [4] Soti C, Csermely P. Pharmacological modulation of the heat shock response. *Handb Exp Pharmacol* 2006;417–36.
- [5] Goldie JH, Coldman AJ. A model for tumor response to chemotherapy: an integration of the stem cell and somatic mutation hypotheses. *Cancer Invest* 1985;3:553–64.
- [6] Stewart DJ, Tomiak E, Shamji FM, Maziak DE, MacLeod P. Phase II study of alternating chemotherapy regimens for advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* 2004;44:241–9.
- [7] Nyce JW. Drug-induced DNA hypermethylation: a potential mediator of acquired drug resistance during cancer chemotherapy. *Mutat Res* 1997;386:153–61.
- [8] Graham CH, Kobayashi H, Stankiewicz KS, Man S, Kapitain SJ, Kerbel RS. Rapid acquisition of multicellular drug resistance after a single exposure of mammary tumor cells to antitumor alkylating agents. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1994;86:975–82.
- [9] Di Nicolantonio F, Mercer SJ, Knight LA, et al. Cancer cell adaptation to chemotherapy. *BMC Cancer* 2005;5:78.
- [10] Akita H, Doki Y, Miyata H, et al. Clinical significance of the second cycle response to cisplatin-based chemotherapy as preoperative treatment for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *J Surg Oncol* 2006;93:401–9.
- [11] Stewart DJ, Raaphorst GP, Yau J, Beaubien AR. Active vs. passive resistance, dose–response relationships, high dose chemotherapy, and resistance modulation: a hypothesis. *Invest New Drugs* 1996;14:115–30.
- [12] Stewart D, Chiritescu G, Dahrouge S, Banerjee S, Tomiak E. Chemotherapy dose–response relationships in non-small cell lung cancer and implied resistance mechanisms. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2007;33:101–37.
- [13] Mellor HR, Ferguson DJ, Callaghan R. A model of quiescent tumour microregions for evaluating multicellular resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs. *Br J Cancer* 2005;93:302–9.
- [14] Chekhun VF, Lukyanova NY, Urchenko OV, Kulik GI. The role of expression of the components of proteome in the formation of molecular profile of human ovarian carcinoma A2780 cells sensitive and resistant to cisplatin. *Exp Oncol* 2005;27:191–5.
- [15] Chau Q, Stewart DJ. Cisplatin efflux, binding and intracellular pH in the HTB56 human lung adenocarcinoma cell line and the E-8/0.7 cisplatin-resistant variant. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 1999;44:193–202.
- [16] Naumov GN, Townson JL, MacDonald IC, et al. Ineffectiveness of doxorubicin treatment on solitary dormant mammary carcinoma cells or late-developing metastases. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2003;82:199–206.
- [17] Teicher BA, Lazo JS, Sartorelli AC. Classification of antineoplastic agents by their selective toxicities toward oxygenated and hypoxic tumor cells. *Cancer Res* 1981;41:73–81.
- [18] Mapleson WW. An electric analogue for uptake and exchange of inert gases and other agents. *J Appl Physiol* 1963;18:197–204.
- [19] Bischoff KB. Some fundamental considerations of the applications of pharmacokinetics to cancer chemotherapy. *Cancer Chemother Rep* 1975;59:777–93.
- [20] Lutz RJ, Galbraith WM, Dedrick RL, Shrager R, Mellett LB. A model for the kinetics of distribution of actinomycin-D in the beagle dog. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1977;200:469–78.
- [21] Stewart DJ, Molepo JM, Green RM, et al. Factors affecting platinum concentrations in human surgical tumour specimens after cisplatin. *Br J Cancer* 1995;71:598–604.
- [22] Stewart DJ, Benjamin RS, Luna M, et al. Human tissue distribution of platinum after *cis*-diamminedichloroplatinum. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 1982;10:51–4.
- [23] Stewart D, Molepo M, Mikhael N, Montpetit V, Goel R. Factors associated with tumor cisplatin content. In: *Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res Abstract* 39: Abstract # 2236; 1998.
- [24] Suzuki M, Hori K, Abe I, Saito S, Sato H. A new approach to cancer chemotherapy: selective enhancement of tumor blood flow with angiotensin II. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1981;67:663–9.
- [25] Noguchi S, Miyauchi K, Nishizawa Y, et al. Augmentation of anticancer effect with angiotensin II in intraarterial infusion chemotherapy for breast carcinoma. *Cancer* 1988;62:467–73.
- [26] Guichard M, Lespinasse F, Trotter M, Durand R, Chaplin D. The effect of hydralazine on blood flow and misonidazole toxicity in human tumour xenografts. *Radiother Oncol* 1991;20:117–23.
- [27] Leonhardt H, Grigoleit HG. Effects of pentoxifylline on red blood cell deformability and blood viscosity under hyperosmolar conditions. *Naunyn Schmiedeberg Arch Pharmacol* 1977;299:197–200.
- [28] von Tempelhoff GF, Heilmann L, Hommel G, Pollow K. Impact of rheological variables in cancer. *Semin Thromb Hemost* 2003;29:499–513.
- [29] Muizelaar JP, Wei EP, Kontos HA, Becker DP. Mannitol causes compensatory cerebral vasoconstriction and vasodilation in response to blood viscosity changes. *J Neurosurg* 1983;59:822–8.
- [30] Andrews RJ, Bringas JR, Muto RP. Effects of mannitol on cerebral blood flow, blood pressure, blood viscosity, hematocrit, sodium, and potassium. *Surg Neurol* 1993;39:218–22.
- [31] Lowe GD. Defibrating agents: effects on blood rheology, blood flow and vascular diseases in controlled studies. *Bibl Haematol* 1981;247–51.
- [32] Boucher Y, Baxter LT, Jain RK. Interstitial pressure gradients in tissue-isolated and subcutaneous tumors: implications for therapy. *Cancer Res* 1990;50:4478–84.
- [33] Jain RK. Haemodynamic and transport barriers to the treatment of solid tumours. *Int J Radiat Biol* 1991;60:85–100.
- [34] Nathan SS, DiResta GR, Casas-Ganem JE, et al. Elevated physiologic tumor pressure promotes proliferation and chemosensitivity in human osteosarcoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 2005;11:2389–97.
- [35] Berube M, Talbot M, Collin C, et al. Role of the extracellular matrix proteins in the resistance of SP6.5 uveal melanoma cells toward cisplatin. *Int J Oncol* 2005;26:405–13.
- [36] Pompella A, De Tata V, Paolicchi A, Zunino F. Expression of gamma-glutamyltransferase in cancer cells and its significance in drug resistance. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2006;71:231–8.
- [37] Raghunand N, Gillies RJ. pH and drug resistance in tumors. *Drug Resist Updat* 2000;3:39–47.

- [38] Prescott DM, Charles HC, Poulson JM, et al. The relationship between intracellular and extracellular pH in spontaneous canine tumors. *Clin Cancer Res* 2000;6:2501–5.
- [39] Laurencot CM, Kennedy KA. Influence of pH on the cytotoxicity of cisplatin in EMT6 mouse mammary tumor cells. *Oncol Res* 1995;7:371–9.
- [40] Raghunand N, He X, van Sluis R, et al. Enhancement of chemotherapy by manipulation of tumour pH. *Br J Cancer* 1999;80:1005–11.
- [41] Kozin SV, Shkarin P, Gerweck LE. The cell transmembrane pH gradient in tumors enhances cytotoxicity of specific weak acid chemotherapeutics. *Cancer Res* 2001;61:4740–3.
- [42] Dickson JA, Calderwood SK. Effects of hyperglycemia and hyperthermia on the pH, glycolysis, and respiration of the Yoshida sarcoma in vivo. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1979;63:1371–81.
- [43] Andrews P, Mann S, Velury S, Howell S. Cisplatin uptake mediated cisplatin-resistance in human ovarian carcinoma cells. In: Nicolini M, editor. *Platinum and other metal coordination compounds in cancer chemotherapy*. Boston, MA: Martinus Nijhoff Publishing; 1988. p. 248–54.
- [44] Stewart DJ, Grewaal D, Popovic P, et al. Effect of cations on cisplatin uptake and efficacy in lung cancer cell lines. *Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res* 1995;36:399.
- [45] Takahashi K, Seki T, Nishikawa K, et al. Antitumor activity and toxicity of serum protein-bound platinum formed from cisplatin. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 1985;76:68–74.
- [46] Melvik JE, Dornish JM, Pettersen EO. The binding of *cis*-dichlorodiammineplatinum(II) to extracellular and intracellular compounds in relation to drug uptake and cytotoxicity in vitro. *Br J Cancer* 1992;66:260–5.
- [47] Fracasso ME, Apostoli P, Benoni G, Bonetti A, Griso C, Leone R. Kinetics of platinum in cancer patients treated with cisplatin at different doses. *Drugs Exp Clin Res* 1987;13:367–72.
- [48] Vermorken JB, van der Vijgh WJ, Klein I, Hart AA, Gall HE, Pinedo HM. Pharmacokinetics of free and total platinum species after short-term infusion of cisplatin. *Cancer Treat Rep* 1984;68:505–13.
- [49] Perera A, Jackson H, Sharma HL, McAuliffe CA, Fox BW. A comparative binding of platinum anti-tumour compounds to plasma proteins in the rat (in vivo) and mouse (in vitro). *Chem Biol Interact* 1992;85:199–213.
- [50] van der Vijgh WJ. Clinical pharmacokinetics of carboplatin. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 1991;21:242–61.
- [51] Gaver RC, George AM, Deeb G. In vitro stability, plasma protein binding and blood cell partitioning of ¹⁴C-carboplatin. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 1987;20:271–6.
- [52] Johnson SW, Shen D, Pastan I, Gottesman MM, Hamilton TC. Cross-resistance, cisplatin accumulation, and platinum–DNA adduct formation and removal in cisplatin-sensitive and -resistant human hepatoma cell lines. *Exp Cell Res* 1996;226:133–9.
- [53] Siddik ZH. Cisplatin: mode of cytotoxic action and molecular basis of resistance. *Oncogene* 2003;22:7265–79.
- [54] Andrews PA, Velury S, Mann SC, Howell SB. *cis*-Diamminedichloroplatinum(II) accumulation in sensitive and resistant human ovarian carcinoma cells. *Cancer Res* 1988;48:68–73.
- [55] Stewart DJ, Grewaal D, Molepo JM, Popovic P, Goel R. Effect of metabolic inhibitors and cations on cisplatin efflux from lung cancer cell lines. *Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res* 1996;37:174.
- [56] Mann SC, Andrews PA, Howell SB. Comparison of lipid content, surface membrane fluidity, and temperature dependence of *cis*-diamminedichloroplatinum(II) accumulation in sensitive and resistant human ovarian carcinoma cells. *Anticancer Res* 1988;8:1211–5.
- [57] Popovic P, Wong P, Goel R, Evans WK, Howell SB, Stewart DJ. Pressure-tuning infrared spectra of cisplatin sensitive and resistant human ovarian cancer cells exposed to cisplatin. *Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res* 1993;34:404.
- [58] Popovic P, Wong PTT, Kates M, et al. Membrane fluidity and lipids in cisplatin resistant cells with low cisplatin uptake. *Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res* 1994;35:440.
- [59] Min J, Stegner AL, Alexander H, Alexander S. Overexpression of sphingosine-1-phosphate lyase or inhibition of sphingosine kinase in *Dictyostelium discoideum* results in a selective increase in sensitivity to platinum-based chemotherapy drugs. *Eukaryot Cell* 2004;3:795–805.
- [60] Upadhyay AK, Singh S, Chhipa RR, Vijayakumar MV, Ajay AK, Bhat MK. Methyl-beta-cyclodextrin enhances the susceptibility of human breast cancer cells to carboplatin and 5-fluorouracil: involvement of Akt, NF-kappaB and Bcl-2. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2006;216:177–85.
- [61] Kiura K, Watarai S, Ueoka H, et al. An alteration of ganglioside composition in cisplatin-resistant lung cancer cell line. *Anticancer Res* 1998;18:2957–60.
- [62] Safaei R, Howell SB. Copper transporters regulate the cellular pharmacology and sensitivity to Pt drugs. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 2005;53:13–23.
- [63] Ishida S, Lee J, Thiele DJ, Herskowitz I. Uptake of the anticancer drug cisplatin mediated by the copper transporter Ctr1 in yeast and mammals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002;99:14298–302.
- [64] Safaei R, Katano K, Samimi G, et al. Cross-resistance to cisplatin in cells with acquired resistance to copper. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2004;53:239–46.
- [65] Lin X, Okuda T, Holzer A, Howell SB. The copper transporter CTR1 regulates cisplatin uptake in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol Pharmacol* 2002;62:1154–9.
- [66] Holzer AK, Katano K, Klomp LW, Howell SB. Cisplatin rapidly down-regulates its own influx transporter hCTR1 in cultured human ovarian carcinoma cells. *Clin Cancer Res* 2004;10:6744–9.
- [67] Shen DW, Su A, Liang XJ, Pai-Panandiker A, Gottesman MM. Reduced expression of small GTPases and hypermethylation of the folate binding protein gene in cisplatin-resistant cells. *Br J Cancer* 2004;91:270–6.
- [68] Liang XJ, Mukherjee S, Shen DW, Maxfield FR, Gottesman MM. Endocytic recycling compartments altered in cisplatin-resistant cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 2006;66:2346–53.
- [69] Mann SC, Andrews PA, Howell SB. Short-term *cis*-diamminedichloroplatinum(II) accumulation in sensitive and resistant human ovarian carcinoma cells. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 1990;25:236–40.
- [70] Wang W, Ke S, Chen G, et al. Effect of lung resistance-related protein on the resistance to cisplatin in human ovarian cancer cell lines. *Oncol Rep* 2004;12:1365–70.
- [71] Nakayama K, Miyazaki K, Kanzaki A, Fukumoto M, Takebayashi Y. Expression and cisplatin sensitivity of copper-transporting P-type adenosine triphosphatase (ATP7B) in human solid carcinoma cell lines. *Oncol Rep* 2001;8:1285–7.
- [72] Katano K, Safaei R, Samimi G, Holzer A, Rochdi M, Howell SB. The copper export pump ATP7B modulates the cellular pharmacology of carboplatin in ovarian carcinoma cells. *Mol Pharmacol* 2003;64:466–73.
- [73] Higashimoto M, Kanzaki A, Shimakawa T, et al. Expression of copper-transporting P-type adenosine triphosphatase in human esophageal carcinoma. *Int J Mol Med* 2003;11:337–41.
- [74] Miyashita H, Nitta Y, Mori S, et al. Expression of copper-transporting P-type adenosine triphosphatase (ATP7B) as a chemoresistance marker in human oral squamous cell carcinoma treated with cisplatin. *Oral Oncol* 2003;39:157–62.
- [75] Safaei R, Larson BJ, Cheng TC, et al. Abnormal lysosomal trafficking and enhanced exosomal export of cisplatin in drug-resistant human ovarian carcinoma cells. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2005;4:1595–604.
- [76] Samimi G, Safaei R, Katano K, et al. Increased expression of the copper efflux transporter ATP7A mediates resistance to cisplatin, carboplatin, and oxaliplatin in ovarian cancer cells. *Clin Cancer Res* 2004;10:4661–9.
- [77] Ishikawa T, Wright CD, Ishizuka H. GS-X pump is functionally overexpressed in *cis*-diamminedichloroplatinum (II)-resistant human

- leukemia HL-60 cells and down-regulated by cell differentiation. *J Biol Chem* 1994;269:29085–93.
- [78] Taniguchi K, Wada M, Kohno K, et al. A human canalicular multi-specific organic anion transporter (cMOAT) gene is overexpressed in cisplatin-resistant human cancer cell lines with decreased drug accumulation. *Cancer Res* 1996;56:4124–9.
- [79] Kobayashi T, Fujii T, Jo Y, Kinugawa K, Fujisawa M. Possible mechanism responsible for the acquisition of resistance to *cis*-diamminedichloroplatinum (II) by cultured human testicular seminoma cells. *J Urol* 2004;171:1929–33.
- [80] Nakamura M, Nakatani K, Uzawa K, et al. Establishment and characterization of a cisplatin-resistant oral squamous cell carcinoma cell line H-1R. *Oncol Rep* 2005;14:1281–6.
- [81] Materna V, Liedert B, Thomale J, Lage H. Protection of platinum–DNA adduct formation and reversal of cisplatin resistance by anti-MRP2 hammerhead ribozymes in human cancer cells. *Int J Cancer* 2005;115:393–402.
- [82] Peng ZM, Luo J, Wang WB, Wang XH, Chen JH, Lan SM. Predictive value of drug resistance-related genes expression in neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with non-small cell lung cancer of stage III. *Ai Zheng* 2004;23:963–7.
- [83] Saikawa Y, Sugiura T, Toriumi F, et al. Cyclooxygenase-2 gene induction causes CDDP resistance in colon cancer cell line, HCT-15. *Anticancer Res* 2004;24:2723–8.
- [84] Yeh JJ, Hsu NY, Hsu WH, Tsai CH, Lin CC, Liang JA. Comparison of chemotherapy response with P-glycoprotein, multidrug resistance-related protein-1, and lung resistance-related protein expression in untreated small cell lung cancer. *Lung* 2005;183:177–83.
- [85] Monden N, Abe S, Hishikawa Y, et al. The role of P-glycoprotein in human gastric cancer xenografts in response to chemotherapy. *Int J Surg Invest* 1999;1:3–10.
- [86] Zhang T, Guan M, Jin HY, Lu Y. Reversal of multidrug resistance by small interfering double-stranded RNAs in ovarian cancer cells. *Gynecol Oncol* 2005;97:501–7.
- [87] Xu H, Choi SM, An CS, et al. Concentration-dependent collateral sensitivity of cisplatin-resistant gastric cancer cell sublines. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2005;328:618–22.
- [88] Berger W, Elbling L, Micksche M. Expression of the major vault protein LRP in human non-small-cell lung cancer cells: activation by short-term exposure to antineoplastic drugs. *Int J Cancer* 2000;88:293–300.
- [89] Ikeda K, Oka M, Narasaki F, et al. Lung resistance-related protein gene expression and drug sensitivity in human gastric and lung cancer cells. *Anticancer Res* 1998;18:3077–80.
- [90] Zurita AJ, Diestra JE, Condom E, et al. Lung resistance-related protein as a predictor of clinical outcome in advanced testicular germ-cell tumours. *Br J Cancer* 2003;88:879–86.
- [91] Ikuta K, Takemura K, Sasaki K, et al. Expression of multidrug resistance proteins and accumulation of cisplatin in human non-small cell lung cancer cells. *Biol Pharm Bull* 2005;28:707–12.
- [92] Guminski AD, Balleine RL, Chiew YE, et al. MRP2 (ABCC2) and cisplatin sensitivity in hepatocytes and human ovarian carcinoma. *Gynecol Oncol* 2006;100:239–46.
- [93] Pastan I, Gottesman MM, Ueda K, Lovelace E, Rutherford AV, Willingham MC. A retrovirus carrying an MDR1 cDNA confers multidrug resistance and polarized expression of P-glycoprotein in MDCK cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1988;85:4486–90.
- [94] Chen KG, Valencia JC, Lai B, et al. Melanosomal sequestration of cytotoxic drugs contributes to the intractability of malignant melanomas. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006;103:9903–7.
- [95] Andersson A, Hedenmalm H, Elfsson B, Ehrsson H. Determination of the acid dissociation constant for *cis*-diammineaquachloroplatinum(II) ion. A hydrolysis product of cisplatin. *J Pharm Sci* 1994;83:859–62.
- [96] Perego P, Romanelli S, Carenini N, et al. Ovarian cancer cisplatin-resistant cell lines: multiple changes including collateral sensitivity to Taxol. *Ann Oncol* 1998;9:423–30.
- [97] Masters JR, Thomas R, Hall AG, et al. Sensitivity of testis tumour cells to chemotherapeutic drugs: role of detoxifying pathways. *Eur J Cancer* 1996;32A:1248–53.
- [98] Fokkema E, Groen HJ, Helder MN, de Vries EG, Meijer C. JM216-, JM118-, and cisplatin-induced cytotoxicity in relation to platinum–DNA adduct formation, glutathione levels and p53 status in human tumour cell lines with different sensitivities to cisplatin. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2002;63:1989–96.
- [99] Bose Girigoswami K, Bhaumik G, Ghosh R. Induced resistance in cells exposed to repeated low doses of H₂O₂ involves enhanced activity of antioxidant enzymes. *Cell Biol Int* 2005;29:761–7.
- [100] Byun SS, Kim SW, Choi H, Lee C, Lee E. Augmentation of cisplatin sensitivity in cisplatin-resistant human bladder cancer cells by modulating glutathione concentrations and glutathione-related enzyme activities. *BJU Int* 2005;95:1086–90.
- [101] Medeiros R, Pereira D, Afonso N, et al. Platinum/paclitaxel-based chemotherapy in advanced ovarian carcinoma: glutathione S-transferase genetic polymorphisms as predictive biomarkers of disease outcome. *Int J Clin Oncol* 2003;8:156–61.
- [102] Richardson ME, Siemann DW. Thiol-related mechanisms of resistance in a murine tumor model. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1994;29:387–92.
- [103] Cao W, Zuo J, Fang F. Role of glutathione S-transferase pi in tumor drug resistance. *Zhongguo Yi Xue Ke Xue Yuan Xue Bao* 1999;21:402–6.
- [104] Cullen KJ, Newkirk KA, Schumaker LM, Aldosari N, Rone JD, Haddad BR. Glutathione S-transferase pi amplification is associated with cisplatin resistance in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cell lines and primary tumors. *Cancer Res* 2003;63:8097–102.
- [105] Kase H, Kodama S, Nagai E, Tanaka K. Glutathione S-transferase pi immunostaining of cisplatin-resistant ovarian cancer cells in ascites. *Acta Cytol* 1998;42:1397–402.
- [106] Cabelguenne A, Lorient MA, Stucker I, et al. Glutathione-associated enzymes in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and response to cisplatin-based neoadjuvant chemotherapy. *Int J Cancer* 2001;93:725–30.
- [107] Ryu JS, Hong YC, Han HS, et al. Association between polymorphisms of ERCC1 and XPD and survival in non-small-cell lung cancer patients treated with cisplatin combination chemotherapy. *Lung Cancer* 2004;44:311–6.
- [108] Surowiak P, Materna V, Kaplenko I, et al. Augmented expression of metallothionein and glutathione S-transferase pi as unfavourable prognostic factors in cisplatin-treated ovarian cancer patients. *Virchows Arch* 2005;447:626–33.
- [109] Kimura S, Imagawa Y, Satake K, Tsukuda M. The relationship of the human glutathione S-transferase P1 polymorphism and chemotherapeutic sensitivity in head and neck squamous carcinoma. *Int J Mol Med* 2004;14:185–9.
- [110] Nishi M, Abe Y, Fujimori S, et al. The modifier subunit of glutamate cysteine ligase relates to cisplatin resistance in human small cell lung cancer xenografts in vivo. *Oncol Rep* 2005;14:421–4.
- [111] Rosell R, Cobo M, Isla D, et al. Applications of genomics in NSCLC. *Lung Cancer* 2005;50(Suppl 2):S33–40.
- [112] Altaia H, Liang X, Yu JJ, Reed E. Excision repair cross complementing-group 1: gene expression and platinum resistance. *Int J Mol Med* 2004;14:959–70.
- [113] Taron M, Rosell R, Felip E, et al. BRCA1 mRNA expression levels as an indicator of chemoresistance in lung cancer. *Hum Mol Genet* 2004;13:2443–9.
- [114] Chen YJ, Yuan CC, Chow KC, et al. Overexpression of dihydrodiol dehydrogenase is associated with cisplatin-based chemotherapy resistance in ovarian cancer patients. *Gynecol Oncol* 2005;97:110–7.
- [115] Ebadi M, Iversen PL. Metallothionein in carcinogenesis and cancer chemotherapy. *Gen Pharmacol* 1994;25:1297–310.
- [116] Andrews PA, Murphy MP, Howell SB. Metallothionein-mediated cisplatin resistance in human ovarian carcinoma cells. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 1987;19:149–54.

- [117] Endo T, Yoshikawa M, Ebara M, et al. Immunohistochemical metallothionein expression in hepatocellular carcinoma: relation to tumor progression and chemoresistance to platinum agents. *J Gastroenterol* 2004;39:1196–201.
- [118] Huang PY, Liang XM, Lin SX, Luo RZ, Hou JH, Zhang L. Correlation analysis among expression of ERCC-1, metallothionein, p53 and platinum resistance and prognosis in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Ai Zheng* 2004;23:845–50.
- [119] Smith DJ, Jaggi M, Zhang W, et al. Metallothioneins and resistance to cisplatin and radiation in prostate cancer. *Urology* 2006;67:1341–7.
- [120] Davies MS, Berners-Price SJ, Hambley TW. Slowing of cisplatin aqution in the presence of DNA but not in the presence of phosphate: improved understanding of sequence selectivity and the roles of monoaquated and diaquated species in the binding of cisplatin to DNA. *Inorg Chem* 2000;39:5603–13.
- [121] Zwelling LA, Kohn KW. Mechanism of action of *cis*-dichlorodiammineplatinum(II). *Cancer Treat Rep* 1979;63:1439–44.
- [122] Poirier MC, Egorin MJ, Fichtinger-Schepman AM, Yuspa SH, Reed E. DNA adducts of cisplatin and carboplatin in tissues of cancer patients. *IARC Sci Publ.*; 1988. pp. 313–20.
- [123] Reed E, Ozols RF, Tarone R, Yuspa SH, Poirier MC. Platinum–DNA adducts in leukocyte DNA correlate with disease response in ovarian cancer patients receiving platinum-based chemotherapy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1987;84:5024–8.
- [124] Reed E, Ostchega Y, Steinberg SM, et al. Evaluation of platinum–DNA adduct levels relative to known prognostic variables in a cohort of ovarian cancer patients. *Cancer Res* 1990;50:2256–60.
- [125] Parker RJ, Gill I, Tarone R, et al. Platinum–DNA damage in leukocyte DNA of patients receiving carboplatin and cisplatin chemotherapy, measured by atomic absorption spectrometry. *Carcinogenesis* 1991;12:1253–8.
- [126] Dabholkar M, Bradshaw L, Parker RJ, et al. Cisplatin–DNA damage and repair in peripheral blood leukocytes in vivo and in vitro. *Environ Health Perspect* 1992;98:53–9.
- [127] Reed E, Parker RJ, Gill I, et al. Platinum–DNA adduct in leukocyte DNA of a cohort of 49 patients with 24 different types of malignancies. *Cancer Res* 1993;53:3694–9.
- [128] Motzer RJ, Reed E, Perera F, et al. Platinum–DNA adducts assayed in leukocytes of patients with germ cell tumors measured by atomic absorbance spectrometry and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. *Cancer* 1994;73:2843–52.
- [129] Poirier MC, Reed E, Shamkhani H, Tarone RE, Gupta-Burt S. Platinum drug–DNA interactions in human tissues measured by cisplatin–DNA enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and atomic absorbance spectroscopy. *Environ Health Perspect* 1993;99:149–54.
- [130] Meijera C, van Luyn MJ, Nienhuis EF, Blom N, Mulder NH, de Vries EG. Ultrastructural morphology and localisation of cisplatin-induced platinum–DNA adducts in a cisplatin-sensitive and -resistant human small cell lung cancer cell line using electron microscopy. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2001;61:573–8.
- [131] Shirazi F. Cell cycle dependency of cisplatin cytotoxicity on ovarian cancer cells. PhD Thesis, Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine. Ottawa, Canada: University of Ottawa; 1998.
- [132] Abbosh PH, Montgomery JS, Starkey JA, et al. Dominant-negative histone H3 lysine 27 mutant derepresses silenced tumor suppressor genes and reverses the drug-resistant phenotype in cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 2006;66:5582–91.
- [133] Kuin A, Aalders M, Lamfers M, et al. Potentiation of anti-cancer drug activity at low intratumoral pH induced by the mitochondrial inhibitor *m*-iodobenzylguanidine (MIBG) and its analogue benzylguanidine (BG). *Br J Cancer* 1999;79:793–801.
- [134] Murakami T, Shibuya I, Ise T, et al. Elevated expression of vacuolar proton pump genes and cellular pH in cisplatin resistance. *Int J Cancer* 2001;93:869–74.
- [135] Luciani F, Spada M, De Milito A, et al. Effect of proton pump inhibitor pretreatment on resistance of solid tumors to cytotoxic drugs. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2004;96:1702–13.
- [136] Liang XJ, Taylor B, Cardarelli C, et al. Different roles for K⁺ channels in cisplatin-resistant cell lines argue against a critical role for these channels in cisplatin resistance. *Anticancer Res* 2005;25:4113–22.
- [137] Wahl ML, Owen JA, Burd R, et al. Regulation of intracellular pH in human melanoma: potential therapeutic implications. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2002;1:617–28.
- [138] Webb SD, Sherratt JA, Fish RG. Mathematical modelling of tumour acidity: regulation of intracellular pH. *J Theor Biol* 1999;196:237–50.
- [139] Stubbs M, McSheehy PM, Griffiths JR. Causes and consequences of acidic pH in tumors: a magnetic resonance study. *Adv Enzyme Regul* 1999;39:13–30.
- [140] Newell K, Franchi A, Pouyssegur J, Tannock I. Studies with glycolysis-deficient cells suggest that production of lactic acid is not the only cause of tumor acidity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1993;90:1127–31.
- [141] Koukourakis MI, Giatromanolaki A, Sivridis E, et al. Lactate dehydrogenase-5 (LDH-5) overexpression in non-small-cell lung cancer tissues is linked to tumour hypoxia, angiogenic factor production and poor prognosis. *Br J Cancer* 2003;89:877–85.
- [142] de Graaf TW, de Jong S, de Vries EG, Mulder NH. Expression of proteins correlated with the unique cisplatin-sensitivity of testicular cancer. *Anticancer Res* 1997;17:369–75.
- [143] Albain KS, Crowley JJ, Hutchins L, et al. Predictors of survival following relapse or progression of small cell lung cancer. Southwest Oncology Group Study 8605 report and analysis of recurrent disease data base. *Cancer* 1993;72:1184–91.
- [144] Lassen U, Kristjansen PE, Osterlind K, et al. Superiority of cisplatin or carboplatin in combination with teniposide and vincristine in the induction chemotherapy of small-cell lung cancer. A randomized trial with 5 years follow up. *Ann Oncol* 1996;7:365–71.
- [145] Kawahara M, Fukuoka M, Saijo N, et al. Prognostic factors and prognostic staging system for small cell lung cancer. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 1997;27:158–65.
- [146] Hong RL, Ting LL, Ko JY, et al. Induction chemotherapy with mitomycin, epirubicin, cisplatin, fluorouracil, and leucovorin followed by radiotherapy in the treatment of locoregionally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2001;19:4305–13.
- [147] Keilholz U, Martus P, Punt CJ, et al. Prognostic factors for survival and factors associated with long-term remission in patients with advanced melanoma receiving cytokine-based treatments: second analysis of a randomised EORTC Melanoma Group trial comparing interferon- α 2a (IFN α 2a) and interleukin 2 (IL-2) with or without cisplatin. *Eur J Cancer* 2002;38:1501–11.
- [148] Guida M, Ravaoli A, Sileni VC, et al. Fibrinogen: a novel predictor of responsiveness in metastatic melanoma patients treated with biochemotherapy: IMI (italian melanoma inter-group) trial. *J Transl Med* 2003;1:13.
- [149] Polee MB, Hop WC, Kok TC, et al. Prognostic factors for survival in patients with advanced oesophageal cancer treated with cisplatin-based combination chemotherapy. *Br J Cancer* 2003;89:2045–50.
- [150] O'Connell JP, Kris MG, Gralla RJ, et al. Frequency and prognostic importance of pretreatment clinical characteristics in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer treated with combination chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol* 1986;4:1604–14.
- [151] Shinkai T, Eguchi K, Sasaki Y, et al. A randomised clinical trial of vindesine plus cisplatin versus mitomycin plus vindesine and cisplatin in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 1991;27:571–5.
- [152] Albain KS, Crowley JJ, LeBlanc M, Livingston RB. Survival determinants in extensive-stage non-small-cell lung cancer: the Southwest Oncology Group experience. *J Clin Oncol* 1991;9:1618–26.
- [153] Takifuji N, Fukuoka M, Negoro S, et al. Prognostic factors affecting survival and response in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer treated with combination chemotherapy. *Gan To Kagaku Ryoho* 1990;17:429–34.

- [154] Carles J, Rosell R, Ariza A, et al. Neuroendocrine differentiation as a prognostic factor in non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* 1993;10:209–19.
- [155] Gregorc V, Darwish S, Ludovini V, et al. The clinical relevance of Bcl-2, Rb and p53 expression in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* 2003;42:275–81.
- [156] Kawahara M, Furuse K, Kodama N, et al. A randomized study of cisplatin versus cisplatin plus vindesine for non-small cell lung carcinoma. *Cancer* 1991;68:714–9.
- [157] Borges M, Sculier JP, Paesmans M, et al. Prognostic factors for response to chemotherapy containing platinum derivatives in patients with unresectable non-small cell lung cancer. (NSCLC). *Lung Cancer* 1996;16:21–33.
- [158] Ray P, Quantin X, Grenier J, Pujol JL. Predictive factors of tumor response and prognostic factors of survival during lung cancer chemotherapy. *Cancer Detect Prev* 1998;22:293–304.
- [159] Yoo BC, Ku JL, Hong SH, et al. Decreased pyruvate kinase M2 activity linked to cisplatin resistance in human gastric carcinoma cell lines. *Int J Cancer* 2004;108:532–9.
- [160] Koberle B, Grimaldi KA, Sunter A, Hartley JA, Kelland LR, Masters JR. DNA repair capacity and cisplatin sensitivity of human testis tumour cells. *Int J Cancer* 1997;70:551–5.
- [161] Dempke W, Voigt W, Grothey A, Schmoll HJ. Preferential repair of the N-ras gene in K 562 cells after exposure to cisplatin. *Anticancer Drugs* 1999;10:545–9.
- [162] Zhen W, Link Jr CJ, O'Connor PM, et al. Increased gene-specific repair of cisplatin interstrand cross-links in cisplatin-resistant human ovarian cancer cell lines. *Mol Cell Biol* 1992;12:3689–98.
- [163] Reed E. Platinum–DNA adduct, nucleotide excision repair and platinum based anti-cancer chemotherapy. *Cancer Treat Rev* 1998;24:331–44.
- [164] Dabholkar M, Vionnet J, Bostick-Bruton F, Yu JJ, Reed E. Messenger RNA levels of XPAC and ERCC1 in ovarian cancer tissue correlate with response to platinum-based chemotherapy. *J Clin Invest* 1994;94:703–8.
- [165] Yu JJ, Bicher A, Ma YK, Bostick-Bruton F, Reed E. Absence of evidence for allelic loss or allelic gain for ERCC1 or for XPD in human ovarian cancer cells and tissues. *Cancer Lett* 2000;151:127–32.
- [166] Dabholkar M, Bostick-Bruton F, Weber C, Bohr VA, Egwuagu C, Reed E. ERCC1 and ERCC2 expression in malignant tissues from ovarian cancer patients. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1992;84:1512–7.
- [167] Rosell R, Taron M, Barnadas A, Scagliotti G, Sarries C, Roig B. Nucleotide excision repair pathways involved in Cisplatin resistance in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Cancer Control* 2003;10:297–305.
- [168] Kang S, Ju W, Kim JW, et al. Association between excision repair cross-complementation group 1 polymorphism and clinical outcome of platinum-based chemotherapy in patients with epithelial ovarian cancer. *Exp Mol Med* 2006;38:320–4.
- [169] Rosell R, Taron M, Ariza A, et al. Molecular predictors of response to chemotherapy in lung cancer. *Semin Oncol* 2004;31:20–7.
- [170] Camps C, Sarries C, Roig B, et al. Assessment of nucleotide excision repair XPD polymorphisms in the peripheral blood of gemcitabine/cisplatin-treated advanced non-small-cell lung cancer patients. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2003;4:237–41.
- [171] States JC, Reed E. Enhanced XPA mRNA levels in cisplatin-resistant human ovarian cancer are not associated with XPA mutations or gene amplification. *Cancer Lett* 1996;108:233–7.
- [172] D'Andrea AD. The Fanconi Anemia/BRCA signaling pathway: disruption in cisplatin-sensitive ovarian cancers. *Cell Cycle* 2003;2:290–2.
- [173] Olopade OI, Wei M. FANCF methylation contributes to chemoselectivity in ovarian cancer. *Cancer Cell* 2003;3:417–20.
- [174] Trenz K, Lugowski S, Jahrsdorfer U, Jainta S, Vogel W, Speit G. Enhanced sensitivity of peripheral blood lymphocytes from women carrying a BRCA1 mutation towards the mutagenic effects of various cytostatics. *Mutat Res* 2003;544:279–88.
- [175] Raaphorst GP, Yang DP, Grewaal D, Stewart D, Goel R, Ng CE. Analysis of mechanisms of cisplatin resistance in three pairs of human tumour cell lines expressing normal and resistant responses to cisplatin. *Oncol Rep* 1995;2:1037–43.
- [176] Bergoglio V, Canitrot Y, Hogarth L, et al. Enhanced expression and activity of DNA polymerase beta in human ovarian tumor cells: impact on sensitivity towards antitumor agents. *Oncogene* 2001;20:6181–7.
- [177] Bassett E, Vaisman A, Havener JM, Masutani C, Hanaoka F, Chaney SG. Efficiency of extension of mismatched primer termini across from cisplatin and oxaliplatin adducts by human DNA polymerases beta and eta in vitro. *Biochemistry* 2003;42:14197–206.
- [178] Raaphorst GP, Cybulski SE, Sobol R, Ng CE. The response of human breast tumour cell lines with altered polymerase beta levels to cisplatin and radiation. *Anticancer Res* 2001;21:2079–83.
- [179] Albertella MR, Lau A, O'Connor MJ. The overexpression of specialized DNA polymerases in cancer. *DNA Repair (Amst)* 2005;4:583–93.
- [180] Boudsocq F, Benaïm P, Canitrot Y, et al. Modulation of cellular response to cisplatin by a novel inhibitor of DNA polymerase beta. *Mol Pharmacol* 2005;67:1485–92.
- [181] Raaphorst GP, Ng CE, Yang DP. Comparison of response to radiation, hyperthermia and cisplatin in parental and polymerase beta knockout cells. *Int J Hyperthermia* 2002;18:33–9.
- [182] Dempke W, Voigt W, Grothey A, Hill BT, Schmoll HJ. Cisplatin resistance and oncogenes—a review. *Anticancer Drugs* 2000;11:225–36.
- [183] Wu F, Lin X, Okuda T, Howell SB. DNA polymerase zeta regulates cisplatin cytotoxicity, mutagenicity, and the rate of development of cisplatin resistance. *Cancer Res* 2004;64:8029–35.
- [184] Lin X, Trang J, Okuda T, Howell SB. DNA polymerase zeta accounts for the reduced cytotoxicity and enhanced mutagenicity of cisplatin in human colon carcinoma cells that have lost DNA mismatch repair. *Clin Cancer Res* 2006;12:563–8.
- [185] Albertella MR, Green CM, Lehmann AR, O'Connor MJ. A role for polymerase eta in the cellular tolerance to cisplatin-induced damage. *Cancer Res* 2005;65:9799–806.
- [186] Chaney SG, Campbell SL, Bassett E, Wu Y. Recognition and processing of cisplatin- and oxaliplatin–DNA adducts. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 2005;53:3–11.
- [187] Lin X, Okuda T, Trang J, Howell SB. Human REV1 modulates the cytotoxicity and mutagenicity of cisplatin in human ovarian carcinoma cells. *Mol Pharmacol* 2006;69:1748–54.
- [188] Jiang HY, Hickey RJ, Abdel-Aziz W, Malkas LH. Effects of gemcitabine and araC on in vitro DNA synthesis mediated by the human breast cell DNA synthesome. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2000;45:320–8.
- [189] Yoshida S, Yamada M, Masaki S. Inhibition of DNA polymerase-alpha and -beta of calf thymus by 1-beta-D-arabinofuranosylcytosine-5'-triphosphate. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1977;477:144–50.
- [190] Bergerat JP, Drewinko B, Corry P, Barlogie B, Ho DH. Synergistic lethal effect of *cis*-dichlorodiammineplatinum and 1-beta-D-arabinofuranosylcytosine. *Cancer Res* 1981;41:25–30.
- [191] Ali-Osman F, Berger MS, Rajagopal S, Spence A, Livingston RB. Topoisomerase II inhibition and altered kinetics of formation and repair of nitrosourea and cisplatin-induced DNA interstrand cross-links and cytotoxicity in human glioblastoma cells. *Cancer Res* 1993;53:5663–8.
- [192] Xu ZY, Loignon M, Han FY, Panasci L, Aloyz R. Xrcc3 induces cisplatin resistance by stimulation of Rad51-related recombinational repair, S-phase checkpoint activation, and reduced apoptosis. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2005;314:495–505.
- [193] Britten RA, Kuny S, Perdue S. Modification of non-conservative double-strand break (DSB) rejoining activity after the induction of cisplatin resistance in human tumour cells. *Br J Cancer* 1999;79:843–9.
- [194] Nagatani G, Nomoto M, Takano H, et al. Transcriptional activation of the human HMG1 gene in cisplatin-resistant human cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 2001;61:1592–7.

- [195] Sun CL, Chao CC. Cross-resistance to death ligand-induced apoptosis in cisplatin-selected HeLa cells associated with overexpression of DDB2 and subsequent induction of cFLIP. *Mol Pharmacol* 2005;67:1307–14.
- [196] Pepponi R, Marra G, Fuggetta MP, et al. The effect of O6-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase and mismatch repair activities on the sensitivity of human melanoma cells to temozolomide, 1,3-bis(2-chloroethyl)-1-nitrosourea, and cisplatin. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2003;304:661–8.
- [197] Passagne I, Evrard A, Depeille P, Cuq P, Cupissol D, Vian L. O(6)-methylguanine DNA-methyltransferase (MGMT) overexpression in melanoma cells induces resistance to nitrosoureas and temozolomide but sensitizes to mitomycin C. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2006;211:97–105.
- [198] Olivero OA, Chang PK, Lopez-Laraza DM, Semino-Mora MC, Poirier MC. Preferential formation and decreased removal of cisplatin-DNA adducts in Chinese hamster ovary cell mitochondrial DNA as compared to nuclear DNA. *Mutat Res* 1997;391:79–86.
- [199] Berry S, Kinsella TJ. Targeting DNA mismatch repair for radiosensitization. *Semin Radiat Oncol* 2001;11:300–15.
- [200] Aebi S, Kurdi-Haidar B, Gordon R, et al. Loss of DNA mismatch repair in acquired resistance to cisplatin. *Cancer Res* 1996;56:3087–90.
- [201] Fink D, Zheng H, Nebel S, et al. In vitro and in vivo resistance to cisplatin in cells that have lost DNA mismatch repair. *Cancer Res* 1997;57:1841–5.
- [202] Lage H, Christmann M, Kern MA, et al. Expression of DNA repair proteins hMSH2, hMSH6, hMLH1, O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase and N-methylpurine-DNA glycosylase in melanoma cells with acquired drug resistance. *Int J Cancer* 1999;80:744–50.
- [203] Lin X, Howell SB. Effect of loss of DNA mismatch repair on development of topotecan-, gemcitabine-, and paclitaxel-resistant variants after exposure to cisplatin. *Mol Pharmacol* 1999;56:390–5.
- [204] Ercoli A, Ferrandina G, Genuardi M, et al. Microsatellite instability is not related to response to cisplatin-based chemotherapy in cervical cancer. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2005;15:308–11.
- [205] Ono Y, Nonomura N, Harada Y, et al. Loss of p73 induction in a cisplatin-resistant bladder cancer cell line. *Mol Urol* 2001;5:25–30.
- [206] Lin X, Howell SB. DNA mismatch repair and p53 function are major determinants of the rate of development of cisplatin resistance. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2006;5:1239–47.
- [207] Olasz J, Mandoky L, Geczi L, Bodrogi I, Csuka O, Bak M. Influence of hMLH1 methylation, mismatch repair deficiency and microsatellite instability on chemoresistance of testicular germ-cell tumors. *Anticancer Res* 2005;25:4319–24.
- [208] Richardson A, Kaye SB. Drug resistance in ovarian cancer: the emerging importance of gene transcription and spatio-temporal regulation of resistance. *Drug Resist Updat* 2005;8:311–21.
- [209] Gonzalez VM, Fuertes MA, Alonso C, Perez JM. Is cisplatin-induced cell death always produced by apoptosis? *Mol Pharmacol* 2001;59:657–63.
- [210] Minagawa Y, Kigawa J, Itamochi H, et al. Cisplatin-resistant HeLa cells are resistant to apoptosis via p53-dependent and -independent pathways. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 1999;90:1373–9.
- [211] Mori T, Okamoto H, Takahashi N, Ueda R, Okamoto T. Aberrant overexpression of 53BP2 mRNA in lung cancer cell lines. *FEBS Lett* 2000;465:124–8.
- [212] Asada N, Tsuchiya H, Tomita K. De novo deletions of p53 gene and wild-type p53 correlate with acquired cisplatin-resistance in human osteosarcoma OST cell line. *Anticancer Res* 1999;19:5131–7.
- [213] Kigawa J, Sato S, Shimada M, et al. p53 gene status and chemosensitivity in ovarian cancer. *Hum Cell* 2001;14:165–71.
- [214] Perego P, Giarola M, Righetti SC, et al. Association between cisplatin resistance and mutation of p53 gene and reduced bax expression in ovarian carcinoma cell systems. *Cancer Res* 1996;56:556–62.
- [215] Mandic R, Schamberger CJ, Muller JF, et al. Reduced cisplatin sensitivity of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cell lines correlates with mutations affecting the COOH-terminal nuclear localization signal of p53. *Clin Cancer Res* 2005;11:6845–52.
- [216] Houldsworth J, Xiao H, Murty VV, et al. Human male germ cell tumor resistance to cisplatin is linked to TP53 gene mutation. *Oncogene* 1998;16:2345–9.
- [217] Bradford CR, Zhu S, Poore J, et al., Department of Veterans Affairs Laryngeal Cancer Cooperative Study Group. p53 Mutation as a prognostic marker in advanced laryngeal carcinoma. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 1997;123:605–9.
- [218] Wang W, Rastinejad F, El-Deiry WS. Restoring p53-dependent tumor suppression. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2003;2:S55–63.
- [219] Nakayama K, Takebayashi Y, Nakayama S, et al. Prognostic value of overexpression of p53 in human ovarian carcinoma patients receiving cisplatin. *Cancer Lett* 2003;192:227–35.
- [220] Kawasaki M, Nakanishi Y, Yatsunami J, et al. p53 Immunostaining predicts chemosensitivity in non-small cell lung cancer: a preliminary report. *Cancer J Sci Am* 1996;2:217–20.
- [221] Datta K, Shah P, Srivastava T, Mathur SG, Chattopadhyay P, Sinha S. Sensitizing glioma cells to cisplatin by abrogating the p53 response with antisense oligonucleotides. *Cancer Gene Ther* 2004;11:525–31.
- [222] Achanzar WE, Webber MM, Waalkes MP. Altered apoptotic gene expression and acquired apoptotic resistance in cadmium-transformed human prostate epithelial cells. *Prostate* 2002;52:236–44.
- [223] Toyozumi Y, Arima N, Izumaru S, Kato S, Morimatsu M, Nakashima T. Loss of caspase-8 activation pathway is a possible mechanism for CDDP resistance in human laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma, HEP-2 cells. *Int J Oncol* 2004;25:721–8.
- [224] Spierings DC, de Vries EG, Vellenga E, de Jong S. Loss of drug-induced activation of the CD95 apoptotic pathway in a cisplatin-resistant testicular germ cell tumor cell line. *Cell Death Differ* 2003;10:808–22.
- [225] Kuwahara D, Tsutsumi K, Kobayashi T, Hyoudou M, Koizuka I. Inhibition of caspase-9 activity in cisplatin-resistant head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Nippon Jibiinkoka Gakkai Kaiho* 2002;105:152–7.
- [226] Isonishi S, Saitou M, Yasuda M, Tanaka T. Mitochondria in platinum resistant cells. *Hum Cell* 2001;14:203–10.
- [227] Cheng JQ, Jiang X, Fraser M, et al. Role of X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein in chemoresistance in ovarian cancer: possible involvement of the phosphoinositide-3 kinase/Akt pathway. *Drug Resist Updat* 2002;5:131–46.
- [228] Nomura T, Yamasaki M, Nomura Y, Mimata H. Expression of the inhibitors of apoptosis proteins in cisplatin-resistant prostate cancer cells. *Oncol Rep* 2005;14:993–7.
- [229] Yang X, Xing H, Gao Q, et al. Regulation of HtrA2/Omi by X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein in chemoresistance in human ovarian cancer cells. *Gynecol Oncol* 2005;97:413–21.
- [230] Amantana A, London CA, Iversen PL, Devi GR. X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein inhibition induces apoptosis and enhances chemotherapy sensitivity in human prostate cancer cells. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2004;3:699–707.
- [231] Konishi T, Sasaki S, Watanabe T, Kitayama J, Nagawa H. Exogenous expression of hRFI induces multidrug resistance through escape from apoptosis in colorectal cancer cells. *Anticancer Res* 2005;25:2737–41.
- [232] Wang Z, Xie Y, Wang H. Changes in survivin messenger RNA level during chemotherapy treatment in ovarian cancer cells. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2005;4:716–9.
- [233] Sharma H, Sen S, Lo Muzio L, Mariggio A, Singh N. Antisense-mediated downregulation of anti-apoptotic proteins induces apoptosis and sensitizes head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cells to chemotherapy. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2005;4:720–7.
- [234] Beale PJ, Rogers P, Boxall F, Sharp SY, Kelland LR. BCL-2 family protein expression and platinum drug resistance in ovarian carcinoma. *Br J Cancer* 2000;82:436–40.

- [235] Yu L, Wang Z. Difference in expression of Bcl-2 and Bcl-xl genes in cisplatin-sensitive and cisplatin-resistant human ovarian cancer cell lines. *J Huazhong Univ Sci Technol Med Sci* 2004;24:151–3.
- [236] Dong Z, Wang J. Hypoxia selection of death-resistant cells. A role for Bcl-X(L). *J Biol Chem* 2004;279:9215–21.
- [237] Williams J, Lucas PC, Griffith KA, et al. Expression of Bcl-xL in ovarian carcinoma is associated with chemoresistance and recurrent disease. *Gynecol Oncol* 2005;96:287–95.
- [238] Yang X, Zheng F, Xing H, et al. Resistance to chemotherapy-induced apoptosis via decreased caspase-3 activity and overexpression of antiapoptotic proteins in ovarian cancer. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 2004;130:423–8.
- [239] Raspollini MR, Castiglione F, Degl'Innocenti DR, et al. Bcl-2 in ovarian carcinoma: a clinicopathologic, immunohistochemical and molecular study. *Pathologica* 2004;96:465–9.
- [240] Chanvorachote P, Nimmanit U, Stehlik C, et al. Nitric oxide regulates cell sensitivity to cisplatin-induced apoptosis through S-nitrosylation and inhibition of Bcl-2 ubiquitination. *Cancer Res* 2006;66:6353–60.
- [241] Shi Y, Zhai H, Wang X, et al. Ribosomal proteins S13 and L23 promote multidrug resistance in gastric cancer cells by suppressing drug-induced apoptosis. *Exp Cell Res* 2004;296:337–46.
- [242] Kim JK, Kim KD, Lee E, et al. Up-regulation of Bfl-1/A1 via NF-kappaB activation in cisplatin-resistant human bladder cancer cell line. *Cancer Lett* 2004;212:61–70.
- [243] Abedini MR, Qiu Q, Yan X, Tsang BK. Possible role of FLICE-like inhibitory protein (FLIP) in chemoresistant ovarian cancer cells in vitro. *Oncogene* 2004;23:6997–7004.
- [244] Mercer SJ, Di Nicolantonio F, Knight LA, et al. Rapid up-regulation of cyclooxygenase-2 by 5-fluorouracil in human solid tumors. *Anti-cancer Drugs* 2005;16:495–500.
- [245] Mizutani Y, Nakanishi H, Li YN, Sato N, Kawauchi A, Miki T. Enhanced sensitivity of bladder cancer cells to cisplatin mediated cytotoxicity and apoptosis in vitro and in vivo by the selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor JTE-522. *J Urol* 2004;172:1474–9.
- [246] Sugiura T, Saikawa Y, Kubota T, et al. Combination chemotherapy with JTE-522, a novel selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor, and cisplatin against gastric cancer cell lines in vitro and in vivo. *In Vivo* 2003;17:229–33.
- [247] Czembirek C, Eder-Czembirek C, Erovic BM, Turhani D, Selzer E, Thurnher D. Inhibition of cytotoxicity of cisplatin by cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor nimesulide in head and neck cancer cell lines. *Oncol Rep* 2005;14:1523–6.
- [248] Xi H, Baldus SE, Warnecke-Eberz U, et al. High cyclooxygenase-2 expression following neoadjuvant radiochemotherapy is associated with minor histopathologic response and poor prognosis in esophageal cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2005;11:8341–7.
- [249] Takatori H, Natsugoe S, Okumura H, et al. Predictive value of COX-2 for the effect of chemoradiotherapy on esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Oncol Rep* 2005;13:697–701.
- [250] Wulfig C, Eltze E, Von Struensee D, et al. Cyclooxygenase-2-expression in bladder cancer: tumor-biological and clinical implications. *Aktuelle Urol* 2004;35:331–8.
- [251] Distefano M, Ferrandina G, Smaniotto D, et al. Concomitant radiochemotherapy plus surgery in locally advanced cervical cancer: update of clinical outcome and cyclooxygenase-2 as predictor of treatment susceptibility. *Oncology* 2004;67:103–11.
- [252] Ferrandina G, Lauriola L, Distefano MG, et al. Increased cyclooxygenase-2 expression is associated with chemotherapy resistance and poor survival in cervical cancer patients. *J Clin Oncol* 2002;20:973–81.
- [253] Ferrandina G, Lauriola L, Zannoni GF, et al. Increased cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) expression is associated with chemotherapy resistance and outcome in ovarian cancer patients. *Ann Oncol* 2002;13:1205–11.
- [254] Rosell R, Scagliotti G, Danenberg KD, et al. Transcripts in pre-treatment biopsies from a three-arm randomized trial in metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. *Oncogene* 2003;22:3548–53.
- [255] Fortin A, Raybaud-Diogene H, Tetu B, Deschenes R, Huot J, Landry J. Overexpression of the 27 kDa heat shock protein is associated with thermoresistance and chemoresistance but not with radioresistance. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2000;46:1259–66.
- [256] Yamamoto K, Okamoto A, Isonishi S, Ochiai K, Ohtake Y. Heat shock protein 27 was up-regulated in cisplatin resistant human ovarian tumor cell line and associated with the cisplatin resistance. *Cancer Lett* 2001;168:173–81.
- [257] Garrido C, Ottavi P, Fromentin A, et al. HSP27 as a mediator of confluence-dependent resistance to cell death induced by anticancer drugs. *Cancer Res* 1997;57:2661–7.
- [258] Richards EH, Hickey E, Weber L, Master JR. Effect of overexpression of the small heat shock protein HSP27 on the heat and drug sensitivities of human testis tumor cells. *Cancer Res* 1996;56:2446–51.
- [259] Zacal N, Espiritu M, Singh G, Rainbow AJ. Increased BNP3 and decreased mutant p53 in cisplatin-sensitive PDT-resistant HT29 cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2005;331:648–57.
- [260] Yang WL, Yang-Biggs G, Wu Y, et al. Development of cross-resistance between heat and cisplatin or hydroxyurea treatments in FaDu squamous carcinoma cells. *J Surg Res* 2003;111:143–51.
- [261] Huang C, Zhou C, Zhao M. The effect of antisense hsp90 beta on the malignant phenotype and sensitivity of HeLa cells to chemotherapeutic drugs. *Zhonghua Zhong Liu Za Zhi* 2000;22:14–6.
- [262] Brozovic A, Simaga S, Osmak M. Induction of heat shock protein 70 in drug-resistant cells by anticancer drugs and hyperthermia. *Neoplasma* 2001;48:99–103.
- [263] Belfi CA, Chatterjee S, Gosky DM, Berger SJ, Berger NA. Increased sensitivity of human colon cancer cells to DNA cross-linking agents after GRP78 up-regulation. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1999;257:361–8.
- [264] Kohno K, Uchiumi T, Niina I, et al. Transcription factors and drug resistance. *Eur J Cancer* 2005;41:2577–86.
- [265] Fricke E, Hermannstadter C, Keller G, et al. Effect of wild-type and mutant E-cadherin on cell proliferation and responsiveness to the chemotherapeutic agents cisplatin, etoposide, and 5-fluorouracil. *Oncology* 2004;66:150–9.
- [266] Witta SE, Gemmill RM, Hirsch FR, et al. Restoring E-cadherin expression increases sensitivity to epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors in lung cancer cell lines. *Cancer Res* 2006;66:944–50.
- [267] Liang XJ, Shen DW, Gottesman MM. Down-regulation and altered localization of gamma-catenin in cisplatin-resistant adenocarcinoma cells. *Mol Pharmacol* 2004;65:1217–24.
- [268] Bai L, Zhu R, Chen Z, et al. Potential role of short hairpin RNA targeting epidermal growth factor receptor in growth and sensitivity to drugs of human lung adenocarcinoma cells. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2006;71:1265–71.
- [269] Hsu CH, Gao M, Chen CL, Yeh PY, Cheng AL. Inhibitors of epidermoid growth factor receptor suppress cell growth and enhance chemosensitivity of nasopharyngeal cancer cells in vitro. *Oncology* 2005;68:538–47.
- [270] Goswami S, Wang W, Wyckoff JB, Condeelis JS. Breast cancer cells isolated by chemotaxis from primary tumors show increased survival and resistance to chemotherapy. *Cancer Res* 2004;64:7664–7.
- [271] Menendez JA, Mehmi I, Lupu R. Heregulin-triggered Her-2/neu signaling enhances nuclear accumulation of p21WAF1/CIP1 and protects breast cancer cells from cisplatin-induced genotoxic damage. *Int J Oncol* 2005;26:649–59.
- [272] Menendez JA, Mehmi I, Lupu R. Trastuzumab in combination with heregulin-activated Her-2 (erbB-2) triggers a receptor-enhanced chemosensitivity effect in the absence of Her-2 overexpression. *J Clin Oncol* 2006;24:3735–46.
- [273] Boudny V, Murakami Y, Nakano S, Niho Y. Expression of activated c-erbB-2 oncogene induces sensitivity to cisplatin in human gallbladder adenocarcinoma cells. *Anticancer Res* 1999;19:5203–6.
- [274] Herbst RS, Giaccone G, Schiller JH, et al. Gefitinib in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a phase III trial–INTACT 2. *J Clin Oncol* 2004;22:785–94.

- [275] Herbst RS, Prager D, Hermann R, et al. TRIBUTE: a phase III trial of erlotinib hydrochloride (OSI-774) combined with carboplatin and paclitaxel chemotherapy in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:5892–9.
- [276] Giaccone G, Herbst RS, Manegold C, et al. Gefitinib in combination with gemcitabine and cisplatin in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a phase III trial–INTACT 1. *J Clin Oncol* 2004;22:777–84.
- [277] Davies AM, Ho C, Lara Jr PN, Mack P, Gumerlock PH, Gandara DR. Pharmacodynamic separation of epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors and chemotherapy in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2006;7:385–8.
- [278] Lee S, Choi EJ, Jin C, Kim DH. Activation of PI3K/Akt pathway by PTEN reduction and PIK3CA mRNA amplification contributes to cisplatin resistance in an ovarian cancer cell line. *Gynecol Oncol* 2005;97:26–34.
- [279] Ohta T, Ohmichi M, Hayasaka T, et al. Inhibition of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase increases efficacy of cisplatin in in vivo ovarian cancer models. *Endocrinology* 2006;147:1761–9.
- [280] Hovelmann S, Beckers TL, Schmidt M. Molecular alterations in apoptotic pathways after PKB/Akt-mediated chemoresistance in NCI H460 cells. *Br J Cancer* 2004;90:2370–7.
- [281] Gagnon V, Mathieu I, Sexton E, Leblanc K, Asselin E. AKT involvement in cisplatin chemoresistance of human uterine cancer cells. *Gynecol Oncol* 2004;94:785–95.
- [282] Mondesire WH, Jian W, Zhang H, et al. Targeting mammalian target of rapamycin synergistically enhances chemotherapy-induced cytotoxicity in breast cancer cells. *Clin Cancer Res* 2004;10:7031–42.
- [283] Wang SJ, Bourguignon LY. Hyaluronan-CD44 promotes phospholipase C-mediated Ca^{2+} signaling and cisplatin resistance in head and neck cancer. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2006;132:19–24.
- [284] Wang SJ, Bourguignon LY. Hyaluronan and the interaction between CD44 and epidermal growth factor receptor in oncogenic signaling and chemotherapy resistance in head and neck cancer. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2006;132:771–8.
- [285] Tsunoda T, Koga H, Yokomizo A, et al. Inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP3) receptor type1 (IP3R1) modulates the acquisition of cisplatin resistance in bladder cancer cell lines. *Oncogene* 2005;24:1396–402.
- [286] Schenk PW, Stoop H, Bokemeyer C, et al. Resistance to platinum-containing chemotherapy in testicular germ cell tumors is associated with downregulation of the protein kinase SRPK1. *Neoplasia* 2004;6:297–301.
- [287] Isonishi S, Hom DK, Thiebaut FB, et al. Expression of the c-Ha-ras oncogene in mouse NIH 3T3 cells induces resistance to cisplatin. *Cancer Res* 1991;51:5903–9.
- [288] Hamamoto T, Suzuki K, Sasaki H, Ichikawa M, Kodama S, Watanabe M. Differences in effects of oncogenes on sensitivity to anticancer drugs. *J Radiat Res (Tokyo)* 2005;46:197–203.
- [289] Youn CK, Kim MH, Cho HJ, et al. Oncogenic H-Ras up-regulates expression of ERCC1 to protect cells from platinum-based anticancer agents. *Cancer Res* 2004;64:4849–57.
- [290] Brozovic A, Fritz G, Christmann M, et al. Long-term activation of SAPK/JNK, p38 kinase and fas-L expression by cisplatin is attenuated in human carcinoma cells that acquired drug resistance. *Int J Cancer* 2004;112:974–85.
- [291] Pan B, Yao KS, Monia BP, et al. Reversal of cisplatin resistance in human ovarian cancer cell lines by a c-jun antisense oligodeoxynucleotide (ISIS 10582): evidence for the role of transcription factor overexpression in determining resistant phenotype. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2002;63:1699–707.
- [292] Li F, Meng L, Zhou J, et al. Reversing chemoresistance in cisplatin-resistant human ovarian cancer cells: a role of c-Jun NH2-terminal kinase 1. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2005;335:1070–7.
- [293] Roberts D, Schick J, Conway S, et al. Identification of genes associated with platinum drug sensitivity and resistance in human ovarian cancer cells. *Br J Cancer* 2005;92:1149–58.
- [294] Kato K, Nomoto M, Izumi H, et al. functional analysis of the human STAT3 gene promoter: alteration of chromatin structure as a possible mechanism for the upregulation in cisplatin-resistant cells. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2000;1493:91–100.
- [295] Ikuta K, Takemura K, Kihara M, et al. Overexpression of constitutive signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 mRNA in cisplatin-resistant human non-small cell lung cancer cells. *Oncol Rep* 2005;13:217–22.
- [296] Belenkov AI, Shenouda G, Rizhevskaya E, et al. Erythropoietin induces cancer cell resistance to ionizing radiation and to cisplatin. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2004;3:1525–32.
- [297] Righetti SC, Perego P, Carenini N, et al. Molecular alterations of cells resistant to platinum drugs: role of PKC α . *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2006;1763:93–100.
- [298] Baldwin RM, Garratt-Lalonde M, Parolin DA, Krzyzanowski PM, Andrade MA, Lorimer IA. Protection of glioblastoma cells from cisplatin cytotoxicity via protein kinase C α -mediated attenuation of p38 MAP kinase signaling. *Oncogene* 2006;25:2909–19.
- [299] Olivero M, Ruggiero T, Saviozzi S, et al. Genes regulated by hepatocyte growth factor as targets to sensitize ovarian cancer cells to cisplatin. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2006;5:1126–35.
- [300] Cohen PT, Philp A, Vazquez-Martin C. Protein phosphatase 4—from obscurity to vital functions. *FEBS Lett* 2005;579:3278–86.
- [301] Burger H, Capello A, Schenk PW, Stoter G, Brouwer J, Nooter K. A genome-wide screening in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for genes that confer resistance to the anticancer agent cisplatin. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2000;269:767–74.
- [302] Ishii T, Matsuse T, Masuda M, Teramoto S. The effects of S-phase kinase-associated protein 2 (SKP2) on cell cycle status, viability, and chemoresistance in A549 lung adenocarcinoma cells. *Exp Lung Res* 2004;30:687–703.
- [303] Biliran Jr H, Wang Y, Banerjee S, et al. Overexpression of cyclin D1 promotes tumor cell growth and confers resistance to cisplatin-mediated apoptosis in an elastase-myc transgene-expressing pancreatic tumor cell line. *Clin Cancer Res* 2005;11:6075–86.
- [304] Matsumura Y, Yamagishi N, Miyakoshi J, Imamura S, Takebe H. Increase in radiation sensitivity of human malignant melanoma cells by expression of wild-type p16 gene. *Cancer Lett* 1997;115:91–6.
- [305] Zhang P, Gao W, Li H, Reed E, Chen F. Inducible degradation of checkpoint kinase 2 links to cisplatin-induced resistance in ovarian cancer cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2005;328:567–72.
- [306] Carrassa L, Brogginini M, Erba E, Damia G. Chk1, but not Chk2, is involved in the cellular response to DNA damaging agents: differential activity in cells expressing or not p53. *Cell Cycle* 2004;3:1177–81.
- [307] Du Y, Yin F, Liu C, et al. Depression of MAD2 inhibits apoptosis of gastric cancer cells by upregulating Bcl-2 and interfering mitochondrion pathway. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2006;345:1092–8.
- [308] Amaya K, Ohta T, Kitagawa H, et al. II activates MAP kinase and NF- κ B through angiotensin II type I receptor in human pancreatic cancer cells. *Int J Oncol* 2004;25:849–56.
- [309] Eichholtz-Wirth H, Sagan D. IkappaB/NF-kappaB mediated cisplatin resistance in HeLa cells after low-dose gamma-irradiation is associated with altered SODD expression. *Apoptosis* 2000;5:255–63.
- [310] Mohammad RM, Banerjee S, Li Y, Aboukameel A, Kucuk O, Sarkar FH. Cisplatin-induced antitumor activity is potentiated by the soy isoflavone genistein in BxPC-3 pancreatic tumor xenografts. *Cancer* 2006;106:1260–8.
- [311] Venkatraman M, Anto RJ, Nair A, Varghese M, Karunakaran D. Biological and chemical inhibitors of NF-kappaB sensitize SiHa cells to cisplatin-induced apoptosis. *Mol Carcinogen* 2005;44:51–9.
- [312] Sharma V, Peddibhotla S, Tepe JJ. Sensitization of cancer cells to DNA damaging agents by imidazolines. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006;128:9137–43.
- [313] Ruan HY, Masuda M, Ito A, et al. Effects of a novel NF-kappaB inhibitor, dehydroxymethylepoxyquinomicin (DHMEQ), on growth, apoptosis, gene expression, and chemosensitivity in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cell lines. *Head Neck* 2006;28:158–65.
- [314] Poma P, Notarbartolo M, Labbozzetta M, et al. Antitumor effects of the novel NF-kappaB inhibitor dehydroxymethyl-epoxyquinomicin

- on human hepatic cancer cells: analysis of synergy with cisplatin and of possible correlation with inhibition of pro-survival genes and IL-6 production. *Int J Oncol* 2006;28:923–30.
- [315] Li Y, Ahmed F, Ali S, Philip PA, Kucuk O, Sarkar FH. Inactivation of nuclear factor kappaB by soy isoflavone genistein contributes to increased apoptosis induced by chemotherapeutic agents in human cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 2005;65:6934–42.
- [316] Lee CT, Seol JY, Lee SY, et al. The effect of adenovirus-IkappaBalpha transduction on the chemosensitivity of lung cancer cell line with resistance to *cis*-diamminedichloroplatinum(II)(cisplatin) and doxorubicin(adriamycin). *Lung Cancer* 2003;41:199–206.
- [317] Weaver KD, Yeyeodu S, Cusack Jr JC, Baldwin Jr AS, Ewend MG. Potentiation of chemotherapeutic agents following antagonism of nuclear factor kappa B in human gliomas. *J Neurooncol* 2003;61:187–96.
- [318] Mabuchi S, Ohmichi M, Nishio Y, et al. Inhibition of NFkappaB increases the efficacy of cisplatin in vitro and in vivo ovarian cancer models. *J Biol Chem* 2004;279:23477–85.
- [319] Notarbartolo M, Poma P, Perri D, Dusancho L, Cervello M, D'Alessandro N. Antitumor effects of curcumin, alone or in combination with cisplatin or doxorubicin, on human hepatic cancer cells. Analysis of their possible relationship to changes in NF-kB activation levels and in IAP gene expression. *Cancer Lett* 2005;224:53–65.
- [320] Lu YS, Yeh PY, Chuang SE, Gao M, Kuo ML, Cheng AL. Glucocorticoids enhance cytotoxicity of cisplatin via suppression of NF- κ B activation in the glucocorticoid receptor-rich human cervical carcinoma cell line SiHa. *J Endocrinol* 2006;188:311–9.
- [321] Abdel-Latif MM, Raouf AA, Sabra K, Kelleher D, Reynolds JV, Vitamin. C enhances chemosensitization of esophageal cancer cells in vitro. *J Chemother* 2005;17:539–49.
- [322] Zhong X, Li X, Wang G, et al. Mechanisms underlying the synergistic effect of SU5416 and cisplatin on cytotoxicity in human ovarian tumor cells. *Int J Oncol* 2004;25:445–51.
- [323] Strozzyk E, Poppelmann B, Schwarz T, Kulms D. Differential effects of NF-kappaB on apoptosis induced by DNA-damaging agents: the type of DNA damage determines the final outcome. *Oncogene* 2006;25:6239–51.
- [324] Kim SB, Kim JS, Lee JH, et al. NF-kappaB activation is required for cisplatin-induced apoptosis in head and neck squamous carcinoma cells. *FEBS Lett* 2006;580:311–8.
- [325] Shehata M, Shehata M, Shehata F, Pater A. Apoptosis effects of Xrel3 c-Rel/Nuclear Factor-kappa B homolog in human cervical cancer cells. *Cell Biol Int* 2005;29:429–40.
- [326] Torigoe T, Izumi H, Ishiguchi H, et al. Cisplatin resistance and transcription factors. *Curr Med Chem Anticancer Agents* 2005;5:15–27.
- [327] Wilson LA, Yamamoto H, Singh G. Role of the transcription factor Ets-1 in cisplatin resistance. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2004;3:823–32.
- [328] Furuchi T, Ishikawa H, Miura N, et al. Two nuclear proteins, Cin5 and Ydr259c, confer resistance to cisplatin in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol Pharmacol* 2001;59:470–4.
- [329] Yahata H, Kobayashi H, Kamura T, et al. Increased nuclear localization of transcription factor YB-1 in acquired cisplatin-resistant ovarian cancer. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 2002;128:621–6.
- [330] Perry 3rd WL, Shepard RL, Sampath J, et al. Human splicing factor SPF45 (RBM17) confers broad multidrug resistance to anticancer drugs when overexpressed—a phenotype partially reversed by selective estrogen receptor modulators. *Cancer Res* 2005;65:6593–600.
- [331] Sakamoto M, Umayahara K, Sakamoto H, et al. Cancer-associated gene abnormalities and chemosensitivity. *Gan To Kagaku Ryoho* 1998;25:1819–31.
- [332] Takano M, Kudo K, Goto T, Yamamoto K, Kita T, Kikuchi Y. Analyses by comparative genomic hybridization of genes relating with cisplatin-resistance in ovarian cancer. *Hum Cell* 2001;14:267–71.
- [333] Osterberg L, Levan K, Partheen K, Helou K, Horvath G. Cytogenetic analysis of carboplatin resistance in early-stage epithelial ovarian carcinoma. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 2005;163:144–50.
- [334] Nessling M, Kern MA, Schadendorf D, Lichter P. Association of genomic imbalances with resistance to therapeutic drugs in human melanoma cell lines. *Cytogenet Cell Genet* 1999;87:286–90.
- [335] Hiorns LR, Seckl MJ, Paradinas F, et al. A molecular cytogenetic approach to studying platinum resistance. *J Inorg Biochem* 1999;77:95–104.
- [336] Rao PH, Houldsworth J, Palanisamy N, et al. Chromosomal amplification is associated with cisplatin resistance of human male germ cell tumors. *Cancer Res* 1998;58:4260–3.
- [337] Leyland-Jones B, Kelland LR, Harrap KR, Hiorns LR. Genomic imbalances associated with acquired resistance to platinum analogues. *Am J Pathol* 1999;155:77–84.
- [338] Wasenius VM, Jekunen A, Monni O, et al. Comparative genomic hybridization analysis of chromosomal changes occurring during development of acquired resistance to cisplatin in human ovarian carcinoma cells. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 1997;18:286–91.
- [339] Kiyozuka Y, Yamamoto D, Yang J, et al. Correlation of chemosensitivity to anticancer drugs and telomere length, telomerase activity and telomerase RNA expression in human ovarian cancer cells. *Anticancer Res* 2000;20:203–12.
- [340] Makhija S, Sit A, Edwards R, et al. Identification of genetic alterations related to chemoresistance in epithelial ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 2003;90:3–9.
- [341] Harper ME, Antoniou A, Villalobos-Menuey E, et al. Characterization of a novel metabolic strategy used by drug-resistant tumor cells. *Faseb J* 2002;16:1550–7.
- [342] Yoon SJ, Park I, Kwak C, Lee E. Ultrastructural change due to acquired cisplatin resistance in human bladder cancer cells. *Oncol Rep* 2003;10:1363–7.
- [343] Tregubova NA, Iurchenko OV, Todor IN, Sudiakov VS, Kulik GI, Chekhun VF. Ultrastructural organization of carcinoma Lewis (3LL) cells during cisplatin resistance formation. *Tsitol Genet* 2006;40:58–65.
- [344] Peters D, Freund J, Ochs RL. Genome-wide transcriptional analysis of carboplatin response in chemosensitive and chemoresistant ovarian cancer cells. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2005;4:1605–16.
- [345] Helleman J, Jansen MP, Span PN, et al. Molecular profiling of platinum resistant ovarian cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2006;118:1963–71.
- [346] Castagna A, Antonioli P, Astner H, et al. A proteomic approach to cisplatin resistance in the cervix squamous cell carcinoma cell line A431. *Proteomics* 2004;4:3246–67.

Biography

David J. Stewart, M.D., FRCPC, is a Professor of Medicine and Deputy Chair of the Department of Thoracic/Head & Neck Medical Oncology at the University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center in Houston, Texas. Dr. Stewart earned his M.D. at Queen's University in Kingston, Ontario Canada. His postgraduate training included an internship and residency at the Royal Victoria Hospital in Montreal, Canada. His medical oncology fellowship was completed at University of Texas MD Anderson Hospital & Tumor Institute in Houston, Texas. Dr. Stewart's main areas of research interest are in chemotherapy resistance & resistances modulation, cisplatin pharmacology, new drug development and treatment of lung cancer. Dr. Stewart is author and co-author of more than 200 peer-reviewed publications. He is an active member of ASCO & AACR.