Image Filtering
Part II

Week - 3

Announcements

- ~~Remember to enroll yourself in ED through the following link. https://edstem.org/au/join/yHXkDY (Students have been enrolled manually.)~~

- Course Representatives have been announced on wattle and ED.
  - Arjun Raj (u7526852@anu.edu.au) [COMP4528]
  - Ruxuan Li (u7763307@anu.edu.au) [ENGN4528]
  - Jiabao Han (u7765516@anu.edu.au) [COMP6528]
  - Xingchen Zhang (u7670173@anu.edu.au) [COMP6528]

Announcements

- Lab sessions after week 1
  - We will have tutorials related to lectures.
  - The questions are taken from past exam papers
  - Please attend the lab session to get your questions answered about the Clabs and tutorial questions.
- Some Past exam papers will be released during the teaching break.
- Reports for CLAB-1 will be due in less than 2 weeks. (23:59, Friday, Mar. 15th).

Feedbacks from Tutors

- Python background. (only a few students have issues, struggled with setting up the environment…)
- We require that student can write code in python and also be familiar with object-oriented programming.
- Feedbacks can be provided to course Convenors, Tutors, and Course representatives.

Outline

- Review
- Linear filter
  - Edge detection
- Non-linear filter
  - median filter
  - bilateral filter

Review: Common types of noise

- **Salt and pepper noise**: random occurrences of black and white pixels
- **Impulse noise:** random occurrences of white pixels, sometimes, it is also referred to as Salt and pepper noise.
- **Gaussian noise**: variations in intensity drawn from a Gaussian normal distribution

Original

Salt and pepper noise

Impulse noise

Gaussian noise

Source: S. Seitz

Review: Correlation filtering

Say the averaging window size is (2k+1) x (2k+1):

$$G[x,y] = \underbrace{\frac{1}{(2k+1)^2}}_{\text{Attribute uniform weight to each pixel}} \underbrace{\sum_{u=-k}^{k}\sum_{v=-k}^{k} F[x+u, y+v]}_{\text{Loop over all pixels in neighborhood around image pixel F[x,y]}}$$

Now we can generalize to allow **different weights** depending on neighboring pixel's relative position:

$$G[x,y] = \sum_{u=-k}^{k}\sum_{v=-k}^{k} \underbrace{H[u,v]}_{\text{Non-uniform weights}} F[x+u, y+v]$$

Slide credit: Kristen Grauman

Review: Correlation filtering

$$G[x,y] = \sum_{u=-k}^{k} \sum_{v=-k}^{k} H[u,v]F[x+u, y+v]$$

This is called cross-correlation, denoted $G = H \otimes F$

- Filtering an image: replace each pixel with a linear combination of its neighbors.
- The filter "kernel" or "mask" $H[u,v]$ defines the weights in the linear combination.

Slide credit: Kristen Grauman

Review: Gaussian Filter

- To let nearest neighboring pixels have the most influence on the output.

• Kernel: approximation of a 2d Gaussian function:

$$h(u,v) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp^{-\frac{u^2+v^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



• Two factors for Kernel: size and variance



σ = 5 with 10x 10 kernel



σ = 5 with 30 x 30 kernel

Review: Gaussian Filter

- To let nearest neighboring pixels have the most influence on the output.

• Kernel: approximation of a 2d Gaussian function:

$$h(u,v) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp^{-\frac{u^2+v^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



• Two factors for Kernel: size and variance



σ = 2 with 30 x 30 kernel



σ = 5 with 30 x 30 kernel

Review: Convolution

- Convolution:
  - Flip the filter in both dimensions (bottom to top, right to left)
  - Then apply cross-correlation

$$G[x,y] = \sum_{u=-k}^{k} \sum_{v=-k}^{k} H[u,v]F[x-u,y-v]$$

$$G = H \star F$$

Notation for convolution operator

Comparisons

Correlation:

$$G[x,y] = \sum_{u=-k}^{k} \sum_{v=-k}^{k} H[u,v]F[x+u, y+v]$$

$$G = H \otimes F$$

Convolution:

$$G[x,y] = \sum_{u=-k}^{k} \sum_{v=-k}^{k} H[u,v]F[x-u, y-v]$$

$$\boxed{G = H \star F}$$

Recall: Separability

- In some cases, the filter is separable, and we can factor the 2D convolution into two steps:
  - Convolve all rows with a 1D filter
  - Convolve all columns with a 1D filter

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{9} & \frac{1}{9} & \frac{1}{9} \\ \frac{1}{9} & \frac{1}{9} & \frac{1}{9} \\ \frac{1}{9} & \frac{1}{9} & \frac{1}{9} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \circ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- What is the complexity of filtering an n×n image with an m×m kernel?
  - $O(n^2 m^2)$
- What if the kernel is separable?
  - $O(n^2 m)$

Separable Kernel

- How can we know whether a kernel is separable?
- Solution:
  - Treat a kernel as a matrix K.
  - Take the singular value decomposition (SVD) of K

$$K = \sum_i \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^T$$

- If only the first singular value σ_0 is non-zero, the kernel is called separable and and provide the vertical and horizontal kernels as:

$\sqrt{\sigma_0}\mathbf{u}_0$ and $\sqrt{\sigma_0}\mathbf{v}_0^T$, respectively.

Reference: Chapter 3.2.1 in the Computer Vision: Algorithms and Applications

This kernel is an approximation of a 2d Gaussian function:

$$h(u,v) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp^{-\frac{u^2+v^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



Properties of Gaussian filter (proof provided in the supp reading)

- Rotational symmetry treats features of all orientations equally (isotropy).
- Convolution with self gives another Gaussian
  - So can smooth with small-width kernel, repeat, and get same result as larger-width kernel would have
  - Convolving two times with Gaussian kernel of width σ is same as convolving once with kernel of width $\sigma\sqrt{2}$
- *Separable* kernel
  - Factors into the product of two 1D Gaussians

Source: K. Grauman

Separability of the Gaussian filter

$$G_\sigma(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}\exp^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}\exp^{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}}\right)$$

The 2D Gaussian can be expressed as the product of two functions, one a function of x and the other a function of y

In this case, the two functions are the (identical) 1D Gaussian

Source: D. Lowe

How to handle borders



From Szeliski, Computer Vision, 2010

Example: Border handling

Source: S. Marschner

Matlab's border handling

- What is the size of the output?
- Cv2.filter2d(srt, dst, -1, *bordertypes*)
  - output size is sum of sizes of h and g
  - *shape* = 'same': output size is same as h



Source: S. Lazebnik

Matlab's border handling

- What is the size of the output?
- Cv2.filter2d(srt, dst, *bordertypes*)
  - output size is sum of sizes of h and g
  - *shape* = 'same': output size is same as h

Enumerator	
BORDER_CONSTANT Python: cv.BORDER_CONSTANT	`iiiiii|abcdefgh|iiiiiii` with some specified `i`
BORDER_REPLICATE Python: cv.BORDER_REPLICATE	`aaaaaa|abcdefgh|hhhhhhh`
BORDER_REFLECT Python: cv.BORDER_REFLECT	`fedcba|abcdefgh|hgfedcb`
BORDER_WRAP Python: cv.BORDER_WRAP	`cdefgh|abcdefgh|abcdefg`

Source: S. Lazebnik

Discrete Filtering

- Linear filter: Weighted sum of pixels over rectangular neighborhood—*kernel* defines weights

- Think of kernel as template being matched by correlation (`Python:` *cv2.filter2D*)

- Convolution: Correlation with kernel rotated 180° (~~Matlab: `conv2`~~)

1	-1	-1
1	2	-1
1	1	1

Practice with linear filters

A taxonomy of useful filters

- Impulse, Shifts,
- Blur
  - Box filter
  - Gaussian filter
  - Bilateral filter
  - Asymmetrical filter: motion blur
- Edges
  - [-1 1]
  - Derivative filter
  - Derivative of a gaussian
  - *Oriented filters*
  - *Gabor filter*
  - *Quadrature filters: phase and magnitude.*
  - *Elongated edges: filling gaps…*

Practice with linear filters



Original

0	0	0
0	1	0
0	0	0

?

Source: D. Lowe

Practice with linear filters



Original

0	0	0
0	1	0
0	0	0

Filtered
(no change)

Source: D. Lowe

Practice with linear filters



Original

0	0	0
0	0	1
0	0	0

?

Source: D. Lowe

Practice with linear filters



Original

0	0	0
0	0	1
0	0	0



Shifted left by 1 pixel

Source: D. Lowe

Practice with linear filters



Original

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{9}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ?$$

(Note that filter sums to 1)

Source: D. Lowe

Practice with linear filters



Original

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{9}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Sharpening filter

- Accentuates differences with local average

Source: D. Lowe

Sharpening



before

after

Source: D. Lowe

1-D sharpening example



[-1 1]

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{I}}{\partial x} \simeq \mathbf{I}(x,y) - \mathbf{I}(x-1,y)$$

g[m,n]

$\otimes$

[-1, 1]

h[m,n]

=

f[m,n]

$[-1\ 1]^T$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{I}}{\partial y} \approx \mathbf{I}(x, y) - \mathbf{I}(x, y-1)$$

g[m,n]

$\otimes$

$[-1, 1]^T$

h[m,n]

=



f[m,n]

Derivative filters



-1	0	1
-2	0	2
-1	0	1

Sobel



Vertical Edge
(absolute value)

Derivative filters



1	2	1
0	0	0
-1	-2	-1

Sobel



Horizontal Edge
(absolute value)

Image rotation



g[m,n]

$\otimes$? = h[m,n]



f[m,n]

It is linear, but not a spatially invariant operation. There is not convolution.

How could we synthesize motion blur?

Motion blur filter

g[m,n]

⊗



h[m,n]

=

f[m,n]

Motion blur filter

g[m,n]

$\otimes$

h[m,n]

=

f[m,n]

Summary

- Linear filters and convolution useful for
  - Enhancing images (smoothing, removing noise)
    - Box filter
    - Gaussian filter
- Separable filters more efficient

Edge Detection

Edge detection

- **Goal**: map image from 2d array of pixels to a set of curves or line segments or contours.
- **Why?**



•Figure from J. Shotton et al., PAMI 2007

- **Main idea**: look for strong gradients, post-process

What causes an edge?

•Reflectance change: appearance information, texture

•Change in surface orientation: shape



•Depth discontinuity: object boundary

•Cast shadows

•Slide credit: Kristen Grauman

Edges/gradients and invariance



•Slide credit:
Kristen Grauman

Derivatives and edges

•An edge is a place of rapid change in the image intensity function.



•Source: L. Lazebnik

Methods

- Using first order derivative: *Look for extrema*
  - Sobel operator
  - Prewitt, Roberts,…
  - Derivative of Gaussian
- Using second order derivative: *Look for zero-crossings*
  - Laplacian : isotropic
  - Second directional derivative
  - LOG/DOG (Laplace of Gaussian/Difference of Gaussians)

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{I}$$

Common edge detectors

- Basic gradient edge detectors
  - **Sobel**, Prewitt, Gaussian derivative …
- **LoG/Marr** edge detector
- **Canny** edge detector

Gradient Edge Detectors

Prewitt: $M_x =$

-1	0	1
-1	0	1
-1	0	1

; $M_y =$

1	1	1
0	0	0
-1	-1	-1

Sobel: $M_x =$

-1	0	1
-2	0	2
-1	0	1

; $M_y =$

1	2	1
0	0	0
-1	-2	-1

Roberts: $M_x =$

0	1
-1	0

; $M_y =$

1	0
0	-1

Eg: Convolve an image with a Sobel kernel



Step

1	0	-1
2	0	-2
1	0	-1

1	0	-1		
2	0	0	2	2
1	0	0	2	2
	0	0	1	2
	0	0	2	2

$\mathbf{I}$



0			

$\mathbf{I}'$

0	0	2	2
0	0	2	2
0	0	2	2
0	0	2	2

Step

1	0	-1
2	0	-2
1	0	-1

0	0	2	2
0	0	2	2
0	0	2	2
0	0	2	2





I

I'

Step

1	0	-1
2	0	-2
1	0	-1

0	0	2	2
0	0	2	2
0	0	2	2
0	0	2	2



Step

1	0	-1
2	0	-2
1	0	-1

0	0	2	2
0	0	2	2
0	0	2	2
0	0	2	2





border artifect

$\mathbf{I}$

$\mathbf{I}'$

Sobel edge detection: example





- Vertical edges
- Horizontal edges

Derivatives with convolution

For 2D function, f(x,y), the partial derivative is:

$$\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial x} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{f(x+\varepsilon, y) - f(x,y)}{\varepsilon}$$

For discrete data, we can approximate it using finite differences:

$$\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial x} \approx \frac{f(x+1, y) - f(x,y)}{1}$$

To implement above as convolution, what would be the associated filter?

•Slide credit: Kristen Grauman

Partial derivatives of an image

$$\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial x}$$



1	-1



$$\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial y}$$

-1
1

or

1
-1

- Which shows changes with respect to x?
- (showing filters for correlation)

Image gradient

The gradient of an image:

$$\nabla f = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right]$$

The gradient points in the direction of most rapid change in intensity







- The **gradient direction** (orientation of edge normal) is given by:

$$\theta = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} / \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)$$

- The **edge strength** is given by the gradient magnitude

$$\|\nabla f\| = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)^2}$$



•Slide credit Steve Seitz

Effects of noise

Consider a single row or column of the image

- Plotting intensity as a function of position gives a signal





- Where is the edge?

•**Slide credit Steve Seitz**

Solution: smooth first

f

h



- Where is the edge?
- Look for peaks in $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(h \star f)$

Derivative theorem of convolution

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(h \star f) = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x}h) \star f$$

Differentiation property of convolution.

f

$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}h$

$(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}h) \star f$



•**Slide credit Steve Seitz**

Derivative of Gaussian filters

$$(I \otimes g) \otimes h = I \otimes (g \otimes h)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.0030 & 0.0133 & 0.0219 & 0.0133 & 0.0030 \\ 0.0133 & 0.0596 & 0.0983 & 0.0596 & 0.0133 \\ 0.0219 & 0.0983 & 0.1621 & 0.0983 & 0.0219 \\ 0.0133 & 0.0596 & 0.0983 & 0.0596 & 0.0133 \\ 0.0030 & 0.0133 & 0.0219 & 0.0133 & 0.0030 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$



Derivative of Gaussian filters





- ***x*-direction**
- ***y*-direction**





- **Source: L. Lazebnik**

Laplacian of Gaussian

Consider $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(h \star f)$

f

$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}h$

$(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}h) \star f$



- Where is the edge?
- Zero-crossings of bottom graph

•Slide credit: Steve Seitz

2D edge detection filters



Gaussian

$$h_\sigma(u,v) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{u^2+v^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



derivative of Gaussian

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} h_\sigma(u,v)$$

Laplacian of Gaussian



$$\nabla^2 h_\sigma(u,v)$$

- $\nabla^2$is the Laplacian operator:

$$\nabla^2 f = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}$$

•Slide credit: Steve Seitz

Smoothing with a Gaussian

- Recall: parameter σ is the “scale” / “width” / “spread” of the Gaussian kernel, and controls the amount of smoothing.



- Slide credit: Kristen Grauman

Effect of σ on derivatives

σ = 1 pixel

σ = 3 pixels

- The apparent structures differ depending on Gaussian's scale parameter.

- Larger values: larger scale edges detected
- Smaller values: finer features detected

- Slide credit: Kristen Grauman



Gradients -> edges

Primary edge detection steps:

1. Smoothing: suppress noise
2. Edge enhancement: filter for contrast
3. Edge localization

   Determine which local maxima from filter output are actually edges vs. noise

   - Threshold, Thin

Thresholding

- Choose a threshold value t
- Set any pixels less than t to zero (off)
- Set any pixels greater than or equal to t to one (on)

Original image

Gradient magnitude image



Thresholding gradient with a lower threshold

Thresholding gradient with a higher threshold

Canny edge detector

- Filter image with derivative of Gaussian [filter image with Gaussian filter first followed by finding the derivative of an image]
- Find magnitude and orientation of gradient
- **Non-maximum suppression**:
  - Thin wide “ridges” down to single pixel width
- **Linking and thresholding** (**hysteresis**):
  - Define two thresholds: low and high
  - Use the high threshold to start edge curves and the low threshold to continue them

- `Python Opencv` *cv2.Canny(image, T_lower, T_upper)*

The Canny edge detector

original image (Lena)

Slide credit: Steve Seitz

The Canny edge detector

- norm of the gradient

The Canny edge detector

- thresholding

The Canny edge detector







- How to turn these thick regions of the gradient into curves?

Non-maximum suppression





Check if pixel is local maximum along gradient direction, select single max across width of the edge

- requires checking interpolated pixels p and r

The Canny edge detector

- Problem: pixels along this edge didn’t survive the thresholding

- thinning
- (non-maximum suppression)

Hysteresis thresholding

- Threshold at low/high levels to get weak/strong edge pixels
- Do connected components, starting from strong edge pixels

•Credit: James Hays

Hysteresis thresholding

- Use a high threshold to start edge curves, and a low threshold to continue them.



•Source: Steve Seitz

Final Canny Edges

•Credit: James Hays

Recap: Canny edge detector

- Filter image with **derivative of Gaussian**
- Find magnitude and orientation of gradient
- **Non-maximum suppression**:
  - Thin wide “ridges” down to single pixel width
- **Linking and thresholding** (**hysteresis**):
  - Define two thresholds: low and high
  - Use the high threshold to start edge curves and the low threshold to continue them

•Source: D. Lowe, L. Fei-Fei



Gaussian

$$h_\sigma(u,v) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{u^2+v^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



derivative of Gaussian

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} h_\sigma(u,v)$$

Laplacian of Gaussian



$$\nabla^2 h_\sigma(u,v)$$

- ∇^2 **is the Laplacian operator:**

$$\nabla^2 f = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}$$

Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG): Marr edge detector



$$LoG_\sigma = -\frac{1}{\pi\sigma^4}\left[1 - \frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] e^{-\frac{(x^2+y^2)}{2\sigma^2}}$$

LoG kernel — Mexican hat

An example of an 11×11 mask approximating the Laplacian of Guassian with $\sigma^2 = 2$ is given below:

0	0	0	−1	−1	−2	−1	−1	0	0	0
0	0	−2	−4	−8	−9	−8	−4	−2	0	0
0	−2	−7	−15	−22	−23	−22	−15	−7	−2	0
−1	−4	−15	−24	−14	−1	−14	−24	−15	−4	−1
−1	−8	−22	−14	52	103	52	−14	−22	−8	−1
−2	−9	−23	−1	103	180	103	−1	−23	−9	−2
−1	−8	−22	−14	52	103	52	−14	−22	−8	−1
−1	−4	−15	−24	−14	−1	−14	−24	−15	−4	−1
0	−2	−7	−15	−22	−23	−22	−15	−7	−2	
0	0	−2	−4	−8	−9	−8	−4	−2	0	
0	0	0	−1	−1	−2	−1	−1	0	0	



Detecting zero-crossings

- The edge locations occur at points in the LoG-filtered image where the value passes through zero. i.e., where there is a sign change.

- The number of zero crossings is influenced by the size of the Gaussian; the greater the smoothing, the smaller the number of zero crossings.

- A simple algorithm is to declare a pixel p to be zero crossing if any one of its 8-neighbors is of opposite sign. To restrict the detected zero crossings to the more significant ones, we can impose a threshold T.

Example: 2-D LoG filtering





Example: LoG/Marr edge detector





Change of Scale (sigma in Gaussian/LoG)



96

Sobel vs. LoG vs. Canny Edge Detector

Sobel

LoG



Canny Edge Detector

Other Edge Detectors

- Machine learning based edge detector.
- To learn how human label edges of natural images.

Reference:
Learning to Detect Natural Image Boundaries Using Local Brightness, Color, and Texture Cues,
David R. Martin, Charless C. Fowlkes, and Jitendra Malik, TPAMI, 2004

Sample human-labeled training images and their corresponding edge maps

Learned edge detector:
Pb edge I



Pb result II



Pb result III



Mask/filter properties

- Smoothing
  - Values positive
  - Sum to 1 → constant regions same as input
  - Amount of smoothing proportional to mask size
  - Remove “high-frequency” components; “low-pass” filter

- Derivatives
  - Opposite signs used to get high response in regions of high contrast
  - Sum to 0 → no response in constant regions
  - High absolute value at points of high contrast

•Slide credit:
Kristen Grauman

Non-linear Filter

- Median Filter
- Bilinear Filter

Nonlinear Image Filtering

Median Filter (a nonlinear filter)

Median filter

- The median filter is a sliding-window based spatial filter.
- It replaces the value of the center pixel with the median of the intensity values in the neighborhood of that pixel.
- Median filtering is a nonlinear operation often used in image processing to reduce "salt and pepper" noise. A median filter is more effective than convolution when the goal is to simultaneously reduce noise and preserve edges.
- **Median filters** are particularly effective in the presence of *impulse noise*, also called '***salt – and – pepper***' noise because of its appearance as white and black dots superimposed on an image.
- For every pixel, a 3x3 neighborhood with the pixel as center is considered. In **median filtering**, the value of the pixel is replaced by the median of the pixel values in the 3x3 neighborhood.

Questions

What is the value of the yellow box after 3x3 median filtering?

1	2	2	2	3
2	5	2	4	3
2	2	2	2	3
4	3	7	5	3
3	3	3	1	1

Questions

What is the value of the yellow box after 3x3 median filtering?

1	2	2	2	3
2	5	2	4	3
2	2	2	2	3
4	3	7	5	3
3	3	3	1	1

Example: Median filter result



Why median filter is non-linear

- Median(A(x)+B(x))!= Median(A(x)) + Median(B(x))

Bilateral filter (a nonlinear filter)

How to smooth an image without causing excessive edge-blur ?

Bilateral Filter (Tomasi et al.1998)

- It smooths regions while preserving edges

Blur Comes from Averaging across Edges



Same Gaussian kernel everywhere.

Bilateral Filter
No Averaging across Edges

[Aurich 95, Smith 97, Tomasi 98]



The kernel shape depends on the image content.

Bilateral Filter on a Height Field

$$BF[I]_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{W_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in S} G_{\sigma_s}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|)\, G_{\sigma_r}(|I_{\mathbf{p}}-I_{\mathbf{q}}|)\, I_{\mathbf{q}}$$



reproduced from [Durand 02]

In the bilateral filter, the output pixel value depends on a weighted combination of neighboring pixel values

$$\mathbf{g}(i,j) = \frac{\sum_{k,l} \mathbf{f}(k,l) w(i,j,k,l)}{\sum_{k,l} w(i,j,k,l)}. \tag{3.34}$$

The weighting coefficient $w(i,j,k,l)$ depends on the product of a *domain kernel* (Figure 3.19c),

$$d(i,j,k,l) = \exp\left(-\frac{(i-k)^2 + (j-l)^2}{2\sigma_d^2}\right), \tag{3.35}$$

and a data-dependent *range kernel* (Figure 3.19d),

$$r(i,j,k,l) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{f}(i,j) - \mathbf{f}(k,l)\|^2}{2\sigma_r^2}\right). \tag{3.36}$$

When multiplied together, these yield the data-dependent *bilateral weight function*

$$w(i,j,k,l) = \exp\left(-\frac{(i-k)^2 + (j-l)^2}{2\sigma_d^2} - \frac{\|\mathbf{f}(i,j) - \mathbf{f}(k,l)\|^2}{2\sigma_r^2}\right). \tag{3.37}$$

Page 131, Section 3.3.2

Bilateral Filter on a Height Field

- Domain filter: G_{σ_s}

- Range filter: G_{σ_r}

$$BF[I]_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{W_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in S} G_{\sigma_s}(\| \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q} \|) \quad G_{\sigma_r}(| I_{\mathbf{p}} - I_{\mathbf{q}} |) \quad I_{\mathbf{q}}$$

The pixel value of the filtered image is the weighted average of the neighboring pixel's intensity/color values.

Varying the Space Parameter

input

$\sigma_r = 0.1$ | $\sigma_r = 0.25$ | $\sigma_r = \infty$ (Gaussian blur)

$\sigma_s = 2$

$\sigma_s = 6$

$\sigma_s = 18$


input


$\sigma_s = 2$


$\sigma_s = 6$


$\sigma_s = 18$

Readings

- Computer Vision: Algorithms and Applications, Chapter 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3