ChatGPT

Expanded Deep-Search Prompts for the Donut of Attention Project

Overview: The following prompts are scientifically grounded yet creatively expansive queries designed to deepen the *Donut of Attention* (DoA) framework. Each prompt can seed literature exploration, cross-domain synthesis, or inspire new tools and interface ideas. They span core geometry and physics through cognitive science, AI, culture, and design — all framed by DoA principles (toroidal/holographic attention, multi-scale time, coherence over control, interactive membranes). Use these prompts as starting points to connect the project's concepts with existing research and innovative design patterns.

Core Geometry, Physics, and Math

- **Toroidal/Hopf Manifolds in Cognition:** Explore evidence of torus-shaped or Hopf fibered manifolds in neural dynamics. For example, grid cell networks in rodents exhibit a *toroidal attractor* geometry for encoding 2D space (a neural activity manifold shaped like a doughnut) [1]. Similarly, head-direction circuits form simple ring attractors [2]. Investigate models where such toroidal or Hopf-fibration structures explain neural population dynamics. How might attention operate on a torus? Consider speculative links to spin networks or loop quantum gravity, where a *boundary–bulk* duality could mean the "surface" of the attention torus encodes its full interior state [3] [4]. This could bridge brain dynamics with holographic principles in physics.

- **Holographic Codes for Attention:** Investigate how *holographic error-correcting codes* or tensor networks (inspired by AdS/CFT holography) might inform an "attention field" representation. In holographic models, information in a volume is encoded on a lower-dimensional boundary; analogously, perhaps each *membrane (boundary) in the DoA* carries enough information to reconstruct the whole attention state [3]. What would an error-correcting code for focused attention look like? Seek minimal descriptions (a *bindu* or point of origin) that algorithmically expand into nested rings representing whole percepts. This connects to the project's notion of **minimal code, maximal unpack** — a compressed seed (small code) unfolding into a rich attention field [5].

- **Phase-Locking Across Scales:** Look for research on cross-scale phase synchronization in complex systems (neural, physiological, environmental). How do rhythms at different scales lock together? In the brain, *cross-frequency coupling* (e.g. theta-gamma coupling) links slow and fast oscillations [6], and slight phase shifts can have outsized global effects in nonlinear systems [7]. For instance, tiny tweaks in the phase of one oscillator can propagate through a coupled network to reconfigure the whole pattern (reflecting the DoA idea that small coherent changes beat large forced interventions [8]). This prompt encourages examining how a tweak at one scale (like breath or heartbeat phase) could entrain higher-level cognitive rhythms, maintaining overall coherence.

- **Paraconsistent / Non-Classical Logics in Perception:** Search for research on human cognition tolerating contradictions or employing non-classical logic. Paraconsistent logic allows contradictory information without collapsing inference; intriguingly, humans often entertain ambiguous or paradoxical perceptions (e.g. optical illusions, double meanings) without mental breakdown. Are there models of perception or neural networks that use *dialetheism* (truth values beyond true/false) or other non-classical logics? This ties into **“LOL logic”** in the project – an ethos of *tolerating contradictions while maintaining coherence* [9]. How might such a logic be formalized for creative cognition? For example, is there evidence that the brain can hold conflicting predictions (as in predictive coding) in a useful superposition, rather than forcing a single resolution? Bridging cognitive science with formal logic could illuminate how attention navigates paradox and humor (where two frames of reference coexist).

Neuroscience and Cognitive Science

- **Fractal / Scale-Free Neural Dynamics:** Look for evidence that the brain’s activity is fractal or scale-free over time. Neural signals (EEG, fMRI, spike dynamics) often show 1/f power spectra and self-similar patterns across scales [10], suggesting *self-organized criticality* or fractal organization in resting-state networks. How might such scale-invariant activity support a *holographic attention model*? If attention is “fractal–holographic” [11], we’d expect to see similar patterns reoccurring from micro to macro scales in neural data. Research in this area could include studies of **1/f noise in EEG** or **critical avalanches in neural networks**, linking them to cognitive flexibility or vigilance. Understanding scale-free dynamics might provide design cues for the DoA: e.g. interfaces that display multi-scale rhythmic patterns to the user, reinforcing natural fractal coherence.

- **Brainwave Phase–Attention Coupling:** Investigate how specific brainwave phases gate attention and working memory. There is growing causal evidence that oscillatory phase alignment is crucial for cognitive function. For example, entraining a person’s **alpha rhythm** in-phase vs. anti-phase can enhance or impair working memory performance, indicating that alpha phase synchronization underpins memory retention [12]. Similarly, theta phase can modulate the timing of gamma bursts that carry sensory information (affecting attention). Look for protocols to *intentionally shift brainwave phase* across bands (alpha, theta, etc.) — e.g. via **phase-locked tACS stimulation**, rhythmic sensory inputs, or neurofeedback — and how doing so influences attention. This prompt could inspire an “attention phase trainer” tool in the project, aligning the user’s internal rhythms for optimal focus.

- **Predictive Coding Meets Torus Attractors:** Explore models that integrate **predictive processing** (the brain’s constant prediction-and-error correction cycle) with **cyclic attractor dynamics**. Could a torus (or ring) attractor serve as a generative model in predictive coding, where traversing the loop corresponds to predicted sequences and deviations are prediction errors? For instance, the project’s *Predictive Coding Loom* panel implements a simple hierarchical loop where prediction errors nudge the torus dynamics [13]. Research to seek: neural network models where perception is cyclical (forming loops that encode expected patterns) and the “shape” of the cycle (possibly a torus) constrains how errors flow. Also, how do boundary conditions (like a toroidal topology vs. a line) change the propagation of prediction errors? This could connect theories of cognitive maps (with loop structure) to predictive coding frameworks.

- **Chronesthesia and Subjective Time Maps:** *Chronesthesia* is the brain’s ability to consciously experience the past and future — “mental time travel.” What are the neural underpinnings of this subjective timeline mapping? Research shows the hippocampus and default-mode network are involved in constructing personal past and future scenes, enabling one to project oneself in time [14]. How might these subjective time representations be organized geometrically? One idea is as *orbits* *or loops* rather than straight lines, reflecting recurring cycles in life (days, seasons, developmental stages). Perhaps memory "anchors" and future intentions form loops in a cognitive map of time. This prompt invites linking neuroscience of memory/imagination with the DoA's toroidal time concept (poloidal loops for recursion, toroidal for progression [15]). For example, can mental timelines be visualized on a torus (like wrapping a linear timeline into a circle)? What would it mean for subjective time to be cyclic or holographic? Understanding chronesthesia could inform the project's *Creative Time* tools, mapping one's life events onto orbital paths.

Systems, Control, and Complexity

- **Coherence Over Control:** Investigate *weak control strategies* in complex systems where maintaining coherence (gentle alignment) outperforms heavy-handed control. In dynamical systems terms, this could relate to *entrainment* (small phase nudges) versus large forced inputs. For example, in physiological systems, nudging a system at just the right phase (like a well-timed pulse to a chaotic heart rhythm) can restore order, whereas continuous strong intervention might destabilize it. The DoA ethos is explicitly "privilege coherence over control" [16] [8]. Search for case studies in neuroscience, psychology, or ecology where *minimal interventions lead to maximal change* by leveraging a system's intrinsic dynamics (tuning into the system's resonances). Applications to cognitive/behavioral change: e.g. small mindset shifts or brief mindfulness practices that cascade into big improvements, rather than strict regimens. This prompt can enrich the project's design of feedback loops that emphasize subtle alignment (phase-align rather than dominate).

- **Edge-of-Chaos Tuning in Human Systems:** Look for evidence that human neural or social systems operate near the *edge of chaos* (a critical point between order and disorder). The **critical brain hypothesis** posits that the brain self-organizes to a critical state, which optimizes information processing and adaptability [17]. Similarly, social networks and ecosystems may exhibit critical dynamics. What design patterns help keep an interface or experience at that sweet spot of "almost chaotic" (maximally creative and adaptive)? In the project, features like the **Chaos Monitor** or **Lyapunov metrics** hint at this focus. Research might include analyses of EEG or fMRI showing power-law burst sizes, or studies of group brainstorming where too much order (or too much chaos) reduces creativity. Understanding how to *tune a system to criticality* could guide the Donut's interactive elements (e.g. introducing just enough variability or randomness to provoke insight without losing coherence).

- **Boundary↔Bulk Coupling in Networks:** Examine how boundary conditions or surface elements influence global patterns in complex networks. In physics, specifying the boundary (e.g. of a holographic space) determines the bulk configuration; analogously, in neural or social networks, the "edges" or constraints might shape overall dynamics. For instance, in brain dynamics, sensory inputs and environmental context act as boundary conditions that can constrain internal brain states. In social systems, the network's boundary (who is included/excluded, how communities interface) can determine large-scale emergent behavior. Seek insights from *percolation theory* or *Ising models* where slight changes at the boundary trigger phase transitions in the whole network. The DoA's *boundary↔bulk* theme (each shell encodes the whole) is relevant [3]. How can interfaces leverage this? Perhaps by tweaking a boundary element of a person's attention (like a subtle change in sensory input or context), one can induce a desired global state (e.g. calm, focus). This prompt encourages connecting network science with the holographic principle to inform attention management strategies.

AI, Alignment, and Representation

- **Holographic World Models for Agents:** Survey AI research on *implicit 3D representations* and *holographic world models*. Techniques like **Neural Radiance Fields (NeRFs)** encode 3D scenes in neural networks, essentially learning a field that can produce 2D views [18]. Similarly, *transformer world models* can build holistic representations of an environment. How could an AI represent an "attention field" holographically? Perhaps by compressing a complex environment into a *multidimensional array of phases or features* (a kind of tensor field) that can be probed from different angles. Look for work on **hyperdimensional embeddings** or **holographic memory** in AI. The goal is to draw inspiration for representing the Donut's toroidal attention state in a machine-learning-friendly way. An interesting angle: error-correcting codes in quantum computing that map logical states to physical qubits (holographic codes) might inspire robust attention representations that degrade gracefully. This could also tie into agent alignment – if an AI's world model is holographic, aligning it with human attention patterns might require "calibrating" its boundary and bulk representations to human data.

- **Hybrid Symbolic–Geometric Reasoning:** Explore approaches that combine *symbolic AI* (logic, symbols) with *geometric deep learning* (vectors, embeddings). The DoA notion of "LOL logic" (paraconsistent reasoning) invites an AI that can hold contradictory ideas yet remain coherent [9]. How might that be achieved? One path is neurosymbolic AI: for example, using *embedding spaces* where logical relations are encoded as geometric constraints (analogous to knowledge graphs in a vector space). Research to find: systems that integrate formal logic with neural networks (perhaps using differentiable logic, vector symbolic architectures, or topological representations of knowledge). Also relevant are *category-theoretic* approaches to AI that ensure global consistency by gluing local contexts (reflecting the project's mention of topos theory [19]). This prompt could lead to ideas for an AI "planner" within DonutOS that reasons in a both/and way — mixing creative associative leaps (geometric) with rule-based consistency checks (symbolic) to achieve **multimodal alignment** without collapsing nuance.

- **Phase as a Control Primitive in Agents:** Investigate the use of *phase relationships* (instead of just amplitude or scalar values) in controlling agent behavior and coordination. In robotics and neuroscience, **central pattern generators** use phase-offset oscillators to coordinate rhythmic motions (like walking). Could an AI similarly use internal oscillatory phases as a routing mechanism for attention or decision-making? For multi-agent systems, shared phase locking might enable coordination without direct communication (think of fireflies synchronizing flashes). Search for research on **phase-based control** or **oscillator models in RL/AI**. One might find that varying the relative phase between subsystems can switch an agent's mode of behavior (e.g., phase alignment might correspond to collaboration, anti-phase to competition). The project's theoretical foundation notes that *small phase tweaks can reorganize the whole system while preserving pattern* [7], hinting that phase could be a powerful, low-dimensional control knob. This prompt encourages reimagining AI control policies to include the timing and phase of internal signals as first-class variables, possibly leading to more fluid and coherent agent behaviors (and easier alignment with human rhythms).

Time, Ritual, and Culture

- **Cyclical Time and Ritual Rhythms:** Research anthropological and psychological studies on cyclic conceptions of time and how communal rituals entrain attention. Many cultures view time as circular or spiral (e.g. repeating seasons, liturgical calendars). **Ritual practices** often involve rhythmic elements – chanting, drumming, dance – which synchronize participants' minds and bodies. Studies suggest that collective rhythmic action increases group coherence and bonding [20] [21]. For instance, drumming circles or coordinated movement can lead to *interpersonal neural synchrony*, aligning brainwaves among group members and boosting prosocial feelings [22]. How do such "attention entrainment" techniques work, and what are their effects on cognition and social connection? This prompt can deepen the DoA framework by incorporating *ritual design*: perhaps the interface can introduce gentle cyclic cues (visual or audio) that tap into our innate response to rhythm, thereby focusing group attention or inducing a desired mindset. Look for cross-disciplinary work linking temporal rhythms, ritual studies, and cognitive entrainment.

- **Mythic Geometries in Cognitive Maps:** Seek scholarly perspectives on sacred geometry (e.g. the **Sri Yantra**, **Flower of Life**, **Mandala patterns**) and their psychological impact or representation of thought processes. The project references these geometries as metaphors for coherence and symmetry in attention [23]. Are there studies or serious treatises (beyond new-age speculation) on why these patterns recur in human culture and cognition? Possibly in comparative religion or cognitive aesthetics, discussing how certain geometric archetypes might resonate with neural patterns or perceptual preferences. Also, how might these patterns serve as *navigation aids for the mind*? For example, could a complex interlocking geometric pattern serve as a mental map for memory palaces or meditation? By grounding mythic or spiritual symbols in cognitive science terms, we could enrich the DoA's design language. This might inspire interface elements that use sacred geometry not just for decoration but as functional components (e.g. a **Sri Yantra-like UI** organizing ideas into intersecting sets for the user).

Interface, Interaction, and Embodiment

- **Membrane/Bullseye Targeting Without Overload:** Explore interaction patterns that juggle *antagonistic controls* — such as simultaneous rotation and targeting — without overloading the user. In the DonutOS prototype, one challenge is letting the user rotate a 3D torus (controlling orientation via gyro or pointer) while also selecting specific UI elements on it (targeting) without confusion. Research existing UI solutions like **"bullseye" or reticle targeting** in VR/AR, where a central focal point helps aim at objects by combining rotation and pointing. Also examine technical strategies for robust WebGL interfaces (to avoid context loss or lag during heavy interaction) [24]. Patterns for *layered visual feedback* can be useful: for instance, a subtle highlight or halo on the target as you rotate toward it, ensuring the user knows what will be selected even while the scene moves. This prompt encourages finding design techniques that maintain *continuous flow* in interaction – the user remains in a state of coherence with the system, rather than breaking immersion due to clunky controls or graphical hiccups.

- **Sun-Dot Spatial Menus:** Look into research and designs of spatial or gestural menus in 3D and AR environments. How can we present a menu of options around a focal "sun" point without occluding the view? Ideas include **radial menus (pie menus)** that appear as rings around a point, or **"sunburst" menus** that expand outward. The term "sun-dot" hints at a UI element that might look like a sun with orbiting dots (options). Prior work on AR interfaces (e.g. HoloLens or VR controllers) may have experimented with hover-then-click gestures on floating icons arranged in a circle or bullseye pattern. The key is to make sub-menus (sub-membranes in this project's terms) easily accessible by moving a cursor or hand to a region, while *not blocking the central content*. Search for user studies on such menus – e.g. comparisons of radial vs linear menus in VR, the effect of depth and placement on user performance. The findings can guide how the DonutOS presents its layered controls (perhaps a ring of icons that orbit the donut and activate on gaze or hand pose). Emphasize solutions that let the user summon complex controls on demand but keep them out of the way when not needed, preserving the immersive context.

- **Scale-Adaptive UI for Nested Fields:** Examine interface designs that seamlessly scale from personal to planetary to cosmic contexts. The Donut of Attention inherently deals with **nested scales** (individual, social, cosmic) [25] , so the UI should adapt to different "zoom levels" of attention. Look for inspiration in applications like **astronomy software** (where you can zoom out from Earth to galaxy smoothly) or **data viz tools** that use nested circles or zoomable treemaps. How to preserve coherence across scales? One approach is **progressive disclosure**: at a personal scale, you see fine-grained controls; as you zoom out, these consolidate into higher-level rings or orbits representing aggregate states. Perhaps research in human-computer interaction on "semantic zoom" or multi-scale navigation can help. Also consider the aesthetic aspect: ensuring that as the UI transitions scale, it maintains visual continuity (the user recognizes that the local ring became part of a larger ring, etc.). This prompt can yield design heuristics for building a UI that feels like a *continuous torus of information* – no hard breaks between scales, just a smooth toroidal nesting.

Creative Time and Narrative Mapping

- **Attractor-Based Life Design:** Explore how concepts from chaos theory and dynamical systems (attractors, basins, phase space) have been applied to personal development and narrative identity. In career counseling, for instance, the **Chaos Theory of Careers** describes individuals' life trajectories as non-linear, sensitive to chance events, and drawn toward certain attractors (like dominant interests or values) [26] . How can we measure or deliberately shape coherence vs. control in one's life path? Perhaps through reflective practices that identify one's current "attractor state" (e.g. stuck in a fixed point vs exploring a strange attractor of possibilities). Look for literature in positive psychology or coaching that uses chaos/complexity metaphors. The project's *Creative Time Index (CTI)* and related tools explicitly aim to help users find a balance between order and chaos in their personal narrative [27] . This prompt could inform those tools: for example, designing a visualization of someone's life events as a trajectory in an abstract phase space, highlighting moments of bifurcation or convergence. Also, consider metrics of narrative coherence (does the story hang together?) versus rigid control (overplanning). The goal is to let users play with their life's attractors – identifying new stable states (goals) or embracing uncertainty at the edge of chaos for growth.

- **Serendipity Logging and "Prepared Luck" Metrics:** Investigate methods to *quantify serendipity* and how intention-setting plus reflection can increase "luck." Serendipity is often defined as making fortunate discoveries by chance, but research notes it's *not purely passive* – certain attitudes and behaviors (curiosity, openness, active noticing) make people more likely to experience it [28] . Are there any tools or studies where people kept "serendipity diaries" or where organizations measured unexpected positive outcomes? The Donut project talks about a **Serendipity Log** to capture "prepared luck" events and correlate them with the user's states [29] . Useful angles: Look at innovation management or personal knowledge management for how tracking unexpected insights can lead to patterns (e.g. maybe after journaling intentions, users report more coincidences). Also, metrics like how often one's random explorations lead to something useful, or a "serendipity score" in recommendation systems (where algorithms attempt to introduce just the right amount of novelty). This prompt could lead to developing a feature in DonutOS that prompts users to record surprises and then analyzes what conditions (mood, context, prior intentions) were present, thus training the user in *cultivating luck*.

- **Phase-Mapped Journaling:** Consider new forms of journaling or life-logging that use *phase or cyclic structure* instead of linear timelines. Traditional journals are chronological logs, but what if we indexed entries by their phase in some cycle? For example, tracking personal reflections by lunar phase, season of the year, or even one's own ultradian rhythm phase. This is inspired by the project's idea of linking past memories and future goals via phase relationships (time loops). Research to find: any existing "circular journal" tools or methods (perhaps some people use a wheel of life, or there are apps that visualize your entries on a clock or mandala). Also, psychological studies on *mental time loops* – e.g. revisiting the same memory regularly to reinforce learning, or setting future reminders tied to recurring dates. The idea is to use *phase alignment* as a structure: e.g. every full moon you review a certain set of intentions, creating a rhythmic refinement process. While this may be a novel idea, related work might include **periodic event calendars** in behavioral change (like weekly habit cycles) or concepts in chronobiology about aligning activities with bodily rhythms. This prompt can generate design concepts for a journaling interface where entries orbit a center (like planets around a sun), and alignment (coherence) is achieved when past anchors and future intentions line up in phase.

Architectural and Experiential Scaling

- **City-Scale Holographic/Lighting Interventions:** Look for precedents in urban-scale installations that use light, sound, or projections to create immersive shared experiences. This could include large **light festivals**, interactive building projections, or mass-participation events (like people with LED wearables syncing to music). The goal is to see how a *holographic attention field* might be scaled up to a city or landscape. For instance, are there projects where a whole plaza was turned into a responsive light canvas reacting to crowd movement (encouraging collective coherence)? Some artists and studios (e.g. MASARY Studios) have created site-specific sound/light environments to transform public spaces [30]. Research how such interventions measured or claimed effects on group consciousness or social bonding. Could the toroidal attention model be tested in such a setting – e.g. projecting a giant torus or patterns onto a dome where people's collective EEG or heart rhythms modulate it? Also consider architectural analogies: buildings designed with toroidal motifs or circular chambers to focus attention (like domes of cathedrals intended to inspire awe and unity). This prompt encourages pushing DoA beyond personal devices into *shared public experiences*, learning from urban art, architecture, and event design.

- **Multi-User Phase Synchronization:** Delve into studies on interpersonal physiological or neural synchrony, and think about how to deliberately facilitate it with shared visuals/sounds. Research shows that during effective communication or group activities, people's brainwaves and other rhythms can synchronize (e.g. heart and respiration rates aligning during choir singing). For example, **EEG hyperscanning** experiments have found increased inter-brain phase coherence after people engage in coordinated activities like making music together [31]. Also, even passive scenarios like a listener and storyteller can exhibit brainwave alignment when the listener is engrossed [32]. Given these insights, what kind of shared toroidal visualization or sound could help sync people up? Imagine multiple users wearing headsets all seeing a common rotating torus whose phases correspond to the group's average brain rhythms – could they learn to tune themselves to keep the torus stable? Search for biofeedback in group settings or technologies for *social neurofeedback*. This prompt will inform the collaborative aspect of the project: designing features that encourage a group "phase lock" state (for instance, a meditation session where everyone's alpha waves drive a shared ambient sound). The aim is to harness natural synchronization effects to increase empathy, communication, and collective focus through the Donut framework.

Data Structures and Evaluation

- **Topological Summaries of Attention Fields:** Investigate the use of **topological data analysis** (TDA), especially persistent homology, to summarize complex attention states. The project already uses a *Manifold Scanner* that computes Betti numbers (counts of topological holes) from the torus's phase-space trajectory [33]. In the literature, TDA has been applied to neural data (e.g. to confirm the torus topology of grid cell activity by counting two independent 1D holes of the neural manifold) [34]. Seek out similar applications: for instance, using Betti numbers to detect when attention dynamics move from a toroidal regime to a more chaotic one (regime shift). How else can topology help quantify "coherence"? Perhaps an attention state with one dominant toroidal hole corresponds to focused engagement, whereas multiple holes or a high-dimensional tangle indicates fragmentation. Look for papers where persistent homology tracked cognitive or physiological state changes. Also, consider *boundary vs bulk data*: can one infer the bulk state of the system from data on the boundary (like external behavior or physiological signals)? This relates to holographic inference – using peripheral measurements to guess the internal attention manifold. By grounding these ideas in existing TDA research, we can create robust **metrics for the Donut**, such as a "Torus Confidence" score (already present) or alarms when attention topology changes abruptly (possible indicator of mind-wandering or insight moments).

- **Minimal Code, Maximal Field:** Search for information-theoretic measures or compressive sensing approaches that capture how much of a whole system is encoded in a small part. The Donut philosophy states that a tiny focal point (the *bindu*) can unfold into the entire attention field – "part=whole encoding" [5]. Are there known systems or algorithms that achieve something similar? For example, fractal compression in images stores a large image with a small set of rules, or certain neural networks learn *lottery ticket* sparse sub-networks that carry the same performance as the full network. In cognitive terms, maybe a small set of core ideas (or a brief mantra) can recreate an entire mindset (like how a short equation defines a complex fractal). Explore concepts like **Kolmogorov complexity** in the context of mental states: is a more coherent attentional state one that has lower description length (more compressible) because all parts are in resonance? Also look at **compressive sensing** in signal processing — capturing a signal's essence with few samples — as a metaphor for attention (spotlighting a few key features and still grasping the whole). By quantifying "minimal code, maximal field," we could devise benchmarks for the system: e.g. how short an intention phrase or seed pattern can expand into a rich, multi-layered focus? This prompt encourages bridging theory and practice to ensure the Donut of Attention remains both efficient and holistic, echoing how nature often encodes complex structures with simple rules.

Each of these prompts opens a doorway to relevant literature and ideas that can enrich the Donut of Attention project. By pursuing them, we align the project's design and theory with cutting-edge research and timeless insights, ensuring that the system remains **coherent over controlling**, scientifically informed, and fertile for creative development.

1 2 34 Searching for Shapes in Neural Activity
https://www.simonsfoundation.org/2022/04/28/searching-for-shapes-in-neural-activity/

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 11 15 19 23 27 29 THEORETICAL_FOUNDATIONS.md
file://file_00000000b9b871f48495ae8dd7066414

10 The fractal brain: scale-invariance in structure and dynamics
https://academic.oup.com/cercor/article/33/8/4574/6713293

12 Alpha oscillatory activity is causally linked to working memory retention | PLOS Biology
https://journals.plos.org/plosbiology/article?id=10.1371/journal.pbio.3001999

13 16 25 33 README.md
file://file_000000006e7071f4a78b357a8f198250

14 [PDF] THE EVOLUTION OF THE HUMAN BRAIN
https://cmm.ucsd.edu/research/labs/varki/_files/publications/b099.pdf

17 Self-organized criticality as a fundamental property of neural systems
https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4171833/

18 NeRF: Neural Radiance Field in 3D Vision: A Comprehensive Review
https://arxiv.org/html/2210.00379v6

20 22 31 It Takes Two: Interpersonal Neural Synchrony Is Increased after Musical Interaction - PMC
https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8946180/

21 [PDF] Whence Collective Rituals? A Cultural Selection ... - Pascal Boyer
http://www.pascalboyer.net/articles/LienardBoyerAA.pdf

24 Non-Intrusive WebGL. Part 1: Context Loss & Preloading - Medium
https://medium.com/@mattdesl/non-intrusive-webgl-cebd176c281d

26 [PDF] The Chaos Theory of Careers: Emerging from Simplification to ...
http://asiapacificcda.org/Resources/APCDJ/A0002_1_001.pdf

28 Serendipity in research and practice: Nurturing imagination and ...
https://www.jpedhc.org/article/S0891-5245(04)00378-5/fulltext

30 Preview Winter Garden with Ryan Edwards, Artist & co-founder of ...
https://www.prudentialcenter.com/2025/12/03/preview-winter-garden-with-ryan-edwards-artist-co-founder-of-masary-studios/

32 Brain-to-brain entrainment: EEG interbrain synchronization while ...
https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-04464-4