ChatGPT

Biophotons (Ultraweak Photon Emission): Key References

1. **DOI:** 10.3389/fphys.2024.1348915 (Open Access). **Mould, R. R.**, *et al.* (2024). **Ultra weak photon emission—a brief review.** *Frontiers in Physiology, 15*, 1348915.
2. **Findings:** A comprehensive overview of ultraweak photon emission (UPE) research, covering its history (from Gurwitsch's "mitogenetic rays" to Popp's "biophoton" concept), biochemical sources, and detection challenges. It confirms that living cells emit **~10–100 photons/s·cm²** of light spanning roughly **200–800 nm** as a byproduct of metabolism [1] (principally from reactive oxygen species-driven chemiluminescence). It critically distinguishes *spontaneous* UPE from **delayed luminescence** (afterglow following prior illumination), warning that failure to separate these has confounded some experiments [2] [3]. Advanced photon-counting methods (e.g. cooled PMTs, EMCCD/sCMOS cameras) are reviewed and compared, with emphasis on their sensitivity and noise limits [4] [5].
3. **Relevance:** Provides an up-to-date, critical synthesis of the field's status and experimental techniques, which is invaluable for "sci-magic" extractors seeking reliable baselines. It highlights that while UPE is a real measurable phenomenon tied to core metabolic processes [6] [7], its extremely low intensity makes information transmission or signaling roles contentious [8]. This helps extractors focus on well-supported aspects (e.g. oxidative stress monitoring) versus speculative claims.

4. **Limitations:** As a narrative review, it does not present new experimental data and leans on authors' interpretations of the literature. Some speculative ideas (e.g. possible intracellular optical communication via microtubules or mitochondria as "waveguides" [9] [10]) are discussed as emerging hypotheses, but direct experimental evidence for these is still lacking. The review underscores the need for standardized protocols (especially to eliminate artifacts like delayed luminescence and cosmic rays) and more sensitive instrumentation before certain claims can be validated or refuted.

5. **DOI:** 10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2014.02.009 (Paywalled). **Cifra, M.**, & **Pospíšil, P.** (2014). **Ultra-weak photon emission from biological samples: definition, mechanisms, properties, detection and applications.** *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B: Biology, 139*, 2–10.

6. **Findings:** A seminal review that formalized the definition of UPE (also known as *biophoton* emission) and summarized its known properties. It established that virtually **all living organisms** (microbes, plants, animals) emit an extremely weak light without external excitation [11] [12]. Mechanistically, the authors confirm UPE arises from normal oxidative metabolism and oxidative stress chemistry – notably **excited singlet oxygen** and **triplet carbonyl** reactions – rather than specialized luciferase-based bioluminescence [13] [14]. Reported emission occurs over a broad spectrum (~**350–1300 nm**, UV to near-IR) at intensities of only **a few to a few hundred photons/s·cm² under normal conditions**, rising to thousands under extreme oxidative stress [15]. The review highlights that modern low-noise photomultipliers and CCD cameras enable time-resolved and spatially resolved detection of UPE, opening the door to non-invasive monitoring of physiological state [16]. Proposed

applications include using UPE as an intrinsic marker for oxidative stress, aging, food quality, and disease processes.

7. **Relevance:** This paper provides foundational context and quantitative benchmarks (spectral range, photon counts) for UPE that are crucial for any extraction or modeling of biophoton phenomena. It matters to sci-magic extractors as a *reference standard* – establishing what levels of photon emission are typical and reinforcing that UPE correlates strongly with **ROS-mediated metabolic processes** [17] [18]. Its discussion of detection methods and potential applications guides extractors toward scientifically grounded use-cases (e.g. redox state monitoring) and away from implausible claims (it notes, for example, that UPE is distinct from bioluminescence and too weak for easy communication).

8. **Limitations:** Being a 2014 snapshot, it predates many technical advances and some recent replications. The review is largely qualitative; while it compiles reported ranges and mechanisms, certain aspects (like the exact contribution of specific radical reactions or the existence of coherent states in vivo) remained hypothetical. Also, some application ideas (non-invasive diagnostics, etc.) were speculative at the time – the paper couldn't assess clinical viability due to a lack of extensive pathological data then. These gaps have since been partially addressed by later studies (which this 2014 work helped motivate).

9. **DOI:** 10.1186/1478-811X-11-87 (Open Access). **Kučera, O.**, & **Cifra, M.** (2013). **Cell-to-cell signaling through light: just a ghost of chance?** *Cell Communication and Signaling, 11*(1), 87.

10. **Findings:** A critical examination of the controversial hypothesis that cells might use ultraweak photons for communication. After reviewing known UPE emission levels and detector sensitivities, the authors argue that the **intensity of biophotons is extremely low** – often barely above thermal and biochemical noise in tissues [8]. For photons to serve as signals, cells would need not only to emit them but also have specific photoreceptors sensitive enough to detect single photons amidst background "biological noise." Kučera and Cifra conclude there is **"little concrete evidence"** for such dedicated cellular photoreception mechanisms at present [19]. They characterize proposed biophoton signaling as potentially a physical *paradox* or at least not naturally feasible under normal conditions [20], especially over distances greater than a few cell diameters. The paper does acknowledge that if UPE were much stronger *inside* cells (as some have theorized [21]), or if cells had specialized light-amplifying structures, optical communication might occur – but these remain speculative.
11. **Relevance:** This work is important for sci-magic extractors to **separate fact from fiction**. It provides a balanced, evidence-based perspective that while biophotons are real, their role in long-range or orchestrated cellular signaling is unproven and likely negligible without extraordinary adaptations. By highlighting the detection limits and lack of known photoreceptors, it helps extractors avoid overstating UPE's biological role. In essence, it serves as a scientific "sanity check" on claims of coherence or telepathic-like cell communication via biophotons, reinforcing the need for skepticism unless new data emerge [22] [19].

12. **Limitations:** This is a theoretical review and does not present new experimental evidence – its conclusions are based on plausibility arguments and existing data constraints. Some later studies (for instance, those involving neural UPE and waveguiding, or high local intensities in organelles) propose scenarios that slightly extend what might be possible, but those were not available or confirmed when this was written. The paper's strength is in rigor, but it might underplay niche cases (e.g. *intra*-cellular photonic effects in densely packed structures) that were only hypothesized later.

Overall, its cautious stance remains valid; however, as technology improves, what is “just a ghost of chance” could be revisited.

13. **DOI:** 10.1007/BF02788579 (Paywalled). **Popp, F. A.**, *et al.* (1984). **Biophoton emission – new evidence for coherence and DNA as source.** *Cell Biophysics, 6*(1), 33–52.

14. **Findings:** This pioneering study by Fritz-Albert Popp and colleagues re-ignited modern biophoton research. It reported that living systems (the authors examined various plant and animal cells) emit ultraweak light not explained by thermal radiation or known bioluminescent chemistry. Crucially, Popp **coined the term “biophoton”** for these emissions and proposed they exhibit a high degree of **coherence** [23] – analogous to a laser rather than a thermal glow. The paper presented evidence (e.g. statistical analyses of photon counts) suggesting the photons might be semi-periodic or globally coordinated, and controversially hypothesized that **DNA** could be the primary endogenous source and organizing center of this light [23] [24]. Popp even speculated that this coherent photon field might regulate biochemical events across the organism, functioning as an optical communication network.
15. **Relevance:** As a **seminal** (if contentious) paper, it matters to sci-magic extractors for historical and conceptual reasons. It set the stage for decades of inquiry, introducing ideas of quantum coherence in biology that continue to intrigue researchers. Many subsequent studies — supportive or critical — reference Popp’s claims, so understanding his original evidence and theory is key. In practical terms, this work is why notions of “biophotonic coherence” exist at all; extractors dealing with literature on biophotons will encounter Popp’s legacy frequently. His suggestion that DNA is an *ultraweak light emitter and storage medium* for biological information, while unproven, has motivated experiments (e.g. recent tests of photon emission from DNA [25]) and keeps the dialogue open on quantum biology in living cells.

16. **Limitations:** The coherence claims in this paper remain **highly controversial**. Later attempts to replicate Popp’s findings have yielded mixed results — many scientists argue that the observed correlations in photon emission can be explained without invoking coherent states, and that cells lack known mechanisms to maintain coherence at body temperature. The measurement techniques in 1984 were relatively primitive (photon counters with limited bandwidth), raising the possibility of undetected noise or analysis artifacts. Moreover, attributing emissions to DNA was speculative; alternative sources (like lipid peroxidation) were not fully explored at the time. In hindsight, Popp’s conclusions may have been somewhat **ahead of the evidence** — important as inspiration, but not established fact. This paper should thus be cited with caution, distinguishing its bold hypotheses from experimentally verified knowledge.

17. **DOI:** 10.1016/1011-1344(91)80055-M (Paywalled). **Quickenden, T. I.**, & **Tilbury, R. N.** (1991). **Luminescence spectra of exponential and stationary phase cultures of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.** *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B: Biology, 8*(2), 169–174.

18. **Findings:** This study provided some of the first quantitative spectral data on UPE from living cells. Using a photomultiplier tube coupled to a monochromator, Quickenden and Tilbury measured the extremely weak light emitted by yeast (*S. cerevisiae*) in different growth phases. They confirmed that the yeast emitted only on the order of **tens of photons per second per cm²** [26], well below human visual detection. Importantly, they obtained rudimentary **spectra**, observing that the emission spanned the visible range and changed with metabolic state: actively growing (exponential phase)

cultures showed a different spectral intensity distribution than stationary phase cultures. This implied a link between metabolic activity and the profile of photon emission. The authors attributed the luminescence largely to oxidative metabolic reactions (consistent with later ROS findings) and ruled out contributions from chemiluminescent contamination or known bioluminescent organisms.

19. **Relevance:** Quickenden & Tilbury's work is often cited as *proof-of-principle* that living cells' ultraweak light can be measured and contains useful information (here, reflecting metabolic state). For sci-magic extractors, it represents a **foundational dataset** – demonstrating both the feasibility and limits of early UPE detection. It anchors key reference values (photon rates, broad spectral range) in a controlled setting and thus serves as a baseline when comparing more recent, sophisticated measurements. Additionally, their careful approach to avoid artifacts set methodological standards. This helps extractors identify which subsequent studies built on solid techniques versus those that might lack proper controls.

20. **Limitations:** The spectral resolution in this 1991 experiment was limited (only broad wavelength bands were characterized due to low photon counts), and the study was confined to yeast in vitro – a relatively simple system. Sensitivity was just enough to get a signal; many spectral details or faster dynamics would have been missed. Also, while it linked luminescence changes to metabolic phases, it did not identify specific chemical emitters. Thus, it didn't resolve, for example, precise ROS species or molecular excited states involved. In summary, this paper broke ground but leaves many questions unanswered, requiring modern tools (which later studies employed) to refine and expand its findings.

21. **DOI:** 10.1371/journal.pone.0006256 (Open Access). **Kobayashi, M.**, **Kikuchi, D.**, & **Okamura, H.** (2009). **Imaging of ultraweak spontaneous photon emission from human body displaying diurnal rhythm.** *PLoS ONE, 4*(7): e6256.

22. **Findings:** This landmark experiment was the first to *image* the human body's ultraweak photon emission. The team used an ultra-sensitive, cryogenically cooled CCD camera in a darkroom to record faint visible-range light from volunteers' skin. They discovered that the human body literally **"glimmers"** at levels about *1000 times lower* than the naked eye can see [27]. Photon emission was detected across the body surface, with notable findings: it followed a **diurnal cycle** (peak emission in late afternoon, lowest at late night), and the face showed slightly higher photon output than the torso [28] [29]. The rhythmic change correlated loosely with metabolic rhythms – for instance, the timing of peak biophoton output lagged the core body temperature rhythm by a few hours. The authors suggest this light is a direct byproduct of energy metabolism and oxidative stress in cells, fluctuating with the body's circadian regulation. They also noted anatomical symmetry in photon emission (left/right side outputs were similar), hinting that UPE might reflect systemic physiology rather than random hotspots [30].
23. **Relevance:** This study provides **visual and quantitative evidence** that UPE is not just a test-tube curiosity but exists in whole humans in vivo. For sci-magic extractors, it underscores the potential of UPE as a non-invasive indicator of human metabolic and oxidative state over time. The notion of a diurnal pattern ties UPE to well-known biological rhythms, which could be important for extracting chronobiological or health-related signals. It's also a proof-of-concept for UPE imaging technology – demonstrating what was once intangible can be captured in images (with long exposures) and correlated to physiology. This opens the door for considering biophoton measurement in clinical monitoring or biofeedback contexts (albeit with specialized equipment).

24. **Limitations:** The photon counts are **extremely low**, even at peak emission, requiring long integration times (~20 minutes for an image) and very controlled conditions [31]. This means real-time or practical medical use was not yet feasible. The study had only five healthy male subjects, so generalizing the rhythm or understanding individual variation was limited. While correlations with temperature and cortisol were noted, they were not thoroughly explored, leaving causal relationships unclear. Lastly, this imaging could not pinpoint the exact source of emission (epidermal, deeper tissue, etc.) – it just shows a surface map. Further research with spectroscopy or molecular probes was needed to link the observed light to specific biochemical processes in humans (subsequent studies have started to do this).

25. **DOI:** 10.3390/biom9070258 (Open Access). **Pospíšil, P.**, **Prasad, A.**, & **Rác, M.** (2019). **Mechanism of the formation of electronically excited species by oxidative metabolic processes: role of reactive oxygen species.** *Biomolecules, 9*(7), 258.

26. **Findings:** This is a detailed review of *how* normal metabolic reactions can end up emitting photons. It tracks the sequence from **reactive oxygen species (ROS)** generation to the creation of **electronically excited molecules** that relax and emit light. Key points include: in mitochondria and other sites of respiration, the stepwise reduction of O_2 can produce superoxide, which dismutates to peroxide and can form highly reactive hydroxyl radicals [32]. These ROS then attack lipids and proteins, generating **excited carbonyls** (triplet states) and **singlet oxygen** [32] [33]. When these excited species return to ground state, they release photons – typically in specific spectral bands (e.g. excited carbonyls emit blue-green ~450 nm, singlet oxygen yields red/NIR at ~634, ~703, 1270 nm) [34]. The article compiles evidence that **oxidative stress amplifies UPE** – under stress, hundreds of photons/s·cm² can be emitted compared to tens under normal conditions [35]. It also discusses sub-cellular considerations: intensity inside cells might be much higher than what escapes, and the possibility that biomolecules (like NADH, flavins, or even DNA) might serve as internal light sensitizers or quenchers.
27. **Relevance:** For extractors, this review is a **ground-truth resource on UPE biochemistry**. It matters because it connects UPE unambiguously to mainstream chemistry of ROS and metabolism, rather than mysterious forces. This allows sci-magic pipelines to anchor any detected biophoton correlations to known pathways (e.g. linking a spike in UPE to a burst of lipid peroxidation in a tissue). By enumerating the likely photon-emitting reactions, it also guides what spectral or kinetic signatures to look for. In short, it helps translate the concept of biophotons into the language of biochemistry and molecular signaling, which is crucial for integrating UPE data with other biological data streams.

28. **Limitations:** The paper is dense and technical, focusing on mechanistic details from chemistry and mostly **in vitro** or model studies. It doesn't provide new experimental results and, at times, may oversimplify by focusing on prominent ROS pathways; other lesser-known sources of UPE (e.g. from excited pigments or mechanical stresses) get less attention. Also, while it demonstrates the plausibility of various emitting reactions, the relative contribution of each in a living organism isn't fully resolved – this remains an active area of research. Nonetheless, the mechanistic insight here is solid, even if the exact quantitative breakdown in different cell types is still being worked out.

29. **DOI:** 10.1038/s41598-017-01229-x (Open Access). **Burgos, R. C. R.**, *et al.* (2017). **Ultra-weak photon emission as a dynamic tool for monitoring oxidative stress metabolism.** *Scientific Reports, 7*(1), 1229.

30. **Findings:** This study demonstrated that UPE can serve as a real-time readout of oxidative metabolic changes in living cells. Using human leukemia cells (HL-60) stimulated to undergo a **respiratory burst** (a sudden ROS surge), the authors observed a rapid increase in photon emission intensity concomitant with the burst [36]. By performing metabolomic analysis in parallel, they confirmed that the rise in UPE corresponded with known oxidative stress metabolites [36]. Furthermore, when cells were treated with an NADPH oxidase inhibitor (DPI) to suppress ROS production, the UPE spike was **significantly diminished** [37]. This establishes a causal link between ROS generation and photon output. The paper shows UPE monitoring can capture dynamic metabolic events: the photon counts rose and fell in accordance with the induction and resolution of oxidative stress. The authors suggest UPE measurements could be a nondestructive way to continuously monitor cellular health, stress responses, or the efficacy of antioxidants in near real-time.
31. **Relevance:** This is a compelling application-oriented study that matters for extracting practical value from UPE. It gives **proof that UPE isn't just a static trait but a dynamic signal** reflecting cellular oxidative metabolism. Sci-magic extractors can use this as evidence that changes in biophoton emission can indicate biochemical events (like bursts of ROS) without needing chemical reporters. In broader terms, it supports the idea of UPE as a functional biomarker – for example, drug screening for pro- or anti-oxidative effects could employ photon emission monitoring. The rigorous control with an inhibitor also provides a blueprint for how to validate that a photon signal indeed represents a specific biological process, which is important for extractor algorithms aiming to attribute meaning to UPE data.

32. **Limitations:** The experiments were done in a controlled in vitro setting (cell suspensions in darkness); translating this to tissues or in vivo will have added complexity (photon absorption, multiple cell types, etc.). The sensitivity of the photomultiplier setup was such that it integrated emission from millions of cells – single-cell or localized resolution was not achieved. Additionally, while the study ties UPE to ROS from one source (NADPH oxidase), cells have multiple ROS pathways; the method might need calibration for different contexts. Finally, the authors note that background noise and cosmic rays must be accounted for in long measurements – practical monitoring would require robust artifact filtering (a point of interest for data extractors). Despite these, the study's controlled design and clear outcome make it a strong validation of UPE's utility.

33. **DOI:** 10.1016/j.isci.2025.112019 (Open Access). **Casey, H.**, *et al.* (2025). **Exploring ultraweak photon emissions as optical markers of brain activity.** *iScience, 28*(3), 112019.

34. **Findings:** Pushing UPE research into neuroscience, this study shows that the human **brain emits detectable UPE** that correlates with brain function. Photons were recorded from human volunteers' heads (using photomultiplier detectors in darkness) during different mental tasks and at rest. The spectral distribution and entropy of the emitted light **changed with brain activity** – for instance, certain cognitive tasks consistently shifted the UPE spectrum away from background profiles [38] [39]. Intriguingly, the researchers found moderate correlations between the fluctuations in photon emission and the brain's electrical oscillations (EEG rhythms) during tasks [40] [41]. This suggests a coupling between neurophysiological processes and biophoton output. They also observed that stimulation of the brain (e.g. visual or auditory stimuli) could transiently alter UPE. The authors discuss the concept of "photoencephalography," i.e. reading out brain states via emitted light, and they note that while UPE-based brain imaging is far from replacing EEG or fMRI, it might provide unique information on oxidative stress or electrical discharge patterns in neural tissue.
35. **Relevance:** This is a cutting-edge intersection of biophotons with brain science. For sci-magic extractors, it highlights a novel data modality for brain activity that might complement traditional

signals. The finding that UPE can differ by cognitive state or stimulus means extractors could, in principle, use photon emission patterns as *optical biomarkers* for certain neural conditions (e.g. high oxidative stress in neurodegeneration shows up as altered UPE [39]). It also revives interest in long-speculated ideas that neurons might communicate or influence each other via biophotons – the paper stops short of claiming functional communication, but does mention neural structures might act as light guides [42]. This gives extractors a reason to incorporate UPE data when available in holistic brain models.

36. **Limitations:** The correlations observed were **moderate** and not yet predictive – the approach is in proof-of-concept stage. UPE signals from the brain are exceedingly weak and require lengthy averaging; thus, distinguishing them from stray light or thermal noise is challenging. The study had a relatively small sample size, and individual variability in UPE was noted, which complicates generalization. It's also unclear what specific biochemical events in neurons produce the photons linked to EEG rhythms – the mechanism is presumed to involve ROS from heightened activity, but needs confirmation. Finally, any potential "optical brain communication" is speculative here: no evidence of photons conveying information was directly shown, only correlation with electrical activity. As the authors themselves outline, using UPE to infer precise brain states will need significant technological improvement and theoretical development.

37. **DOI:** 10.1039/c6pp00431h (Paywalled). **Yang, M.**, *et al.* (2017). **Ultra-weak photon emission in healthy subjects and patients with type 2 diabetes: evidence for a non-invasive diagnostic tool.** *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci., 16*(5), 736–743.

    - **Findings:** This clinical-oriented study evaluated whether UPE measurements can differentiate between healthy individuals and people with type 2 diabetes (T2D). The researchers used a highly sensitive photomultiplier setup to measure spontaneous photon emission from five body locations (forehead, neck, heart, stomach, navel) in **50 T2D patients vs. 60 healthy controls** [43]. They analyzed not just total photon count but also statistical parameters of the emission time series (e.g. signal entropy, "squeezed state" parameters related to photon count distributions). The results showed **significant differences** between diabetics and controls in multiple UPE metrics at various body sites [43]. In fact, a multivariate principal components analysis of the UPE data could cluster and distinguish diabetic subjects from healthy ones with good separation [44]. Diabetic patients generally had altered photon emission intensity and dynamics, consistent with the idea that higher oxidative stress in diabetes leads to higher or more erratic biophoton output. The authors suggest building a database of UPE signatures for different diseases, positing that UPE could become a **non-invasive diagnostic or screening tool** for metabolic conditions.
    - **Relevance:** This paper is a concrete step toward *medical application* of biophotons. It matters for extractors focusing on healthcare signals, as it provides evidence that UPE carries clinically relevant information. It demonstrates that a disease characterized by metabolic dysregulation and oxidative stress (diabetes) indeed shows a measurable biophoton "fingerprint," reinforcing the idea that UPE could reflect pathological states [45] [44]. For sci-magic extractors, it's a call to include ultraweak biophoton metrics in multimodal health datasets – especially since the method is label-free and painless. This study's approach to data (using advanced statistical descriptors of photon time series) also offers a blueprint for feature extraction from UPE signals, which extractors can emulate when developing predictive models or classification algorithms for disease vs. healthy states.

- **Limitations:** UPE measurements in humans are challenging; this study required a dark, controlled environment and long acquisition times (10 minutes per site) to gather enough data [46]. Such conditions are not yet practical in routine clinical settings. Moreover, while group differences were significant, there was still overlap – it's not a diagnostic test on its own yet, but a promising indicator. The study didn't clarify which biochemical aspect of diabetes (hyperglycemia, oxidative damage, etc.) was driving the UPE changes, since diabetes is multifactorial. Finally, as with any new diagnostic modality, larger scale validation and standardization would be needed. The concept is at a preliminary stage, but this work provides a necessary statistical groundwork to justify those next steps.

38. **DOI:** 10.3390/biology9060139 (Open Access). **Prasad, A.**, *et al.* (2020). **Spectral distribution of ultra-weak photon emission as a response to wounding in plants: an in vivo study.** *Biology, 9*(6), 139.

    - **Findings:** This study examined how mechanical stress (wounding) affects UPE in plants, combining imaging and spectroscopy. Using *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings, the authors made a small cut (wound) and monitored photon emission in real time with a cooled CCD camera and a PMT with interchangeable optical filters. **Wounded plants showed a clear rise in UPE intensity** compared to controls, confirming that stress enhances biophoton output [47]. More interestingly, the *spectral pattern* of the emitted photons shifted upon wounding [47]. The authors report that certain wavelength bands (in the green-red region) increased disproportionately after injury, suggesting specific excited species were being produced in greater amounts under stress (likely singlet oxygen and excited carbonyls from burst ROS production). The UPE increase and spectral changes persisted in cycles over time as the wound response progressed, aligning with the plant's oxidative burst and subsequent healing processes. The study concludes that UPE imaging and spectroscopy can serve as a non-invasive tool to visualize oxidative stress propagation in plant tissues, with potential to study signaling (e.g. how injury in one leaf leads to photon emission changes in distant leaves).
    - **Relevance:** For sci-magic extractors, this work illustrates the value of the **spectral dimension** of UPE. It's not only the photon count that matters, but *which wavelengths* are emitted, as this can indicate the underlying chemistry (e.g. more red/NIR light might mean singlet oxygen production). The study provides concrete data on stress-induced spectral shifts, which extractors can use to map UPE signals to specific reactive events or molecules. This is especially relevant in plant sciences or agriculture: the demonstrated ability to detect plant stress responses optically (without contact or added reagents) hints at precision farming or early disease detection applications. The paper's method shows that UPE can be imaged in two dimensions and even locally within an organism, offering a rich dataset (both spatial and spectral) for extractors to analyze for patterns of physiological stress.
    - **Limitations:** Plants generally exhibit stronger UPE than animal tissues (due to higher ROS from photosynthesis and a robust oxidative burst during defense), so the results might not directly extrapolate to wounded animal tissue, which often requires more sensitive detection. The spectral resolution here was achieved by using broad-band filters and a scanning monochromator on a single-channel detector – fully resolving the spectrum still required long integration times and trade-offs in signal-to-noise. The approach captured major bands but could miss finer spectral features. Additionally, the study focused on acute mechanical injury; other stress types (drought, pathogens) might produce different UPE signatures not explored in this paper. It nonetheless lays a strong foundation, albeit in a specific context, and the techniques could be refined for other systems.

39. **DOI:** 10.1371/journal.pone.0084579 (Open Access). **van Wijk, E.**, **Kobayashi, M.**, **van Wijk, R.**, & **van der Greef, J.** (2013). **Imaging of ultra-weak photon emission in a rheumatoid arthritis mouse model.** *PLoS ONE, 8*(12): e84579.

    - **Findings:** This study applied UPE imaging to a disease model *in vivo*. Mice were induced with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and then monitored for spontaneous photon emission from their paws (the primary site of inflammation). Using a sensitive CCD camera, the researchers found that arthritic mice emitted **significantly more photons** from inflamed joints than healthy control mice [48]. The photon count increased as the RA pathology developed, correlating with the progression of inflammation and oxidative stress in the joints. They also performed imaging after giving the mice luminol (a chemiluminescent enhancer that reacts with ROS), and saw an even stronger light signal, confirming that the photons were stemming from ROS activity in the inflamed tissue [48]. Essentially, this work provided a visual confirmation that oxidative stress in disease (here chronic inflammation) can be tracked by UPE. The authors suggest that UPE imaging could be a novel way to assess inflammation or the efficacy of anti-oxidative treatments in joints, without needing invasive procedures.
    - **Relevance:** This is an important proof that ultraweak biophoton emission isn't just a cell culture phenomenon – it can be harnessed to visualize *disease processes in a whole organism*. For sci-magic extractors dealing with biomedical data, it reinforces the link between UPE and pathological oxidative stress in living systems. The RA model's results align with the idea that many diseases (arthritis, cancer, neurodegeneration, etc.) involve oxidative damage, which could manifest as elevated biophoton output. Therefore, this study gives extractors a concrete example to cite for the feasibility of UPE-based diagnostics or research in complex organisms. It also shows how adding a reagent like luminol can amplify signals, a technique that might be relevant for designing experiments to verify that observed photons come from specific chemical reactions.
    - **Limitations:** The requirement of a dark box and long exposure for imaging means this is not yet a practical diagnostic tool for human arthritis, but rather a research method. The signal increase in RA mice, while clear, needed careful calibration – e.g. variances in fur, movement, and other optical properties had to be managed. The use of luminol, while validating the ROS origin, introduces an exogenous substance, which wouldn't be ideal in routine use. Moreover, RA in mice is an acute, induced condition; real human RA is more heterogeneous. Still, these limitations are mostly technical – they highlight that more development is needed before UPE imaging could, for instance, replace or supplement current inflammatory biomarkers. The study's significance lies in demonstrating the principle that the body's "weak light" responds to disease in measurable ways, thereby encouraging further engineering to overcome these practical hurdles.

---

[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [13] [14] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24] [26] [30] [32] [33] [34] [35] Ultra weak photon emission—a brief review - PMC
https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10899412/

[11] [12] [15] [16] Ultra-weak photon emission from biological samples: Definition, mechanisms, properties, detection and applications
https://daneshyari.com/article/preview/30197.pdf

25 Ultra-weak photon emission from DNA | Scientific Reports - Nature
https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-024-80469-0

27 28 29 31 Imaging of Ultraweak Spontaneous Photon Emission from Human Body Displaying Diurnal Rhythm - PMC
https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2707605/

36 Ultra-weak photon emission as a dynamic tool for monitoring oxidative stress metabolism | Scientific Reports
https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-01229-x?error=cookies_not_supported&code=b04161b7-03a1-4494-adae-2146a74b2f22

37 The application and trend of ultra-weak photon emission in biology and medicine - PMC
https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9981976/

38 39 40 41 42 Exploring ultraweak photon emissions as optical markers of brain activity - ScienceDirect
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2589004225002792

43 44 45 46 Ultra-weak photon emission in healthy subjects and patients with type 2 diabetes: evidence for a non-invasive diagnostic tool - PubMed
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28294270/

47 Spectral Distribution of Ultra-Weak Photon Emission as a Response to Wounding in Plants: An In Vivo Study
https://www.mdpi.com/2079-7737/9/6/139

48 Imaging of Ultra-Weak Photon Emission in a Rheumatoid Arthritis Mouse Model | PLOS One
https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0084579