

EEG Sonification Strategies for the Chalice

Context and Purpose

The Chalice is envisioned as a live neurofeedback art experience, blending real-time EEG data with ambient sound. Unlike diagnostic EEG sonification aimed at medical analysis, the purpose here is experiential and meditative – to give participants an auditory mirror of their brainwaves in an immersive, calming way. We approach this design through a broad, multi-scale perspective (a *“fractal holographic lens”*), drawing on established sonification techniques to capture as much meaningful EEG information as possible. The focus is on continuous, gentle audio feedback that enhances self-awareness without overwhelming or confusing the listener. Given that we will use consumer-grade EEG devices (e.g. the 8-channel Neurosity Crown, with compatibility for Muse or OpenBCI headsets), our strategy must be robust to limited electrode coverage and noise. Likewise, the audio output will consist of soothing drones and textures with subtle rhythmic elements – **no explicit melodies or jarring sounds** – to maintain an ambient atmosphere conducive to reflection.

Effective EEG-to-Audio Mapping Techniques

Designing an effective EEG sonification requires choosing how to map brain signal features to sound parameters. Several approaches have proven useful:

- **Parameter Mapping Sonification:** This is the most common strategy, where continuous data variables are mapped to continuous sound parameters (pitch, volume, timbre, etc.). For example, a classic mapping is to use the power of a specific EEG frequency band (say alpha waves) to modulate the intensity of a tone ¹. Such direct mappings are popular because they are straightforward and can provide an intuitive link between brain activity and sound output ². In our context, parameter mapping allows the rich, fine-grained temporal details of EEG to directly influence the audio. (Notably, directly “audifying” raw EEG by playing it as sound is not practical, since EEG frequencies (~0.5–30 Hz) are far below the audible range ³. Parameter mapping translates these low-frequency dynamics into audible changes.) Effective examples in research include mapping EEG amplitude to volume (amplitude modulation) or to pitch shifts (frequency modulation) of a tone ⁴. These simple mappings have been shown to be perceivable and meaningful to users – for instance, increasing EEG power making a tone louder or higher creates a clear auditory cue of heightened brain activity ⁴.
- **Event-Based Sonification:** Instead of (or in addition to) continuous parameter changes, one can trigger discrete sound events tied to EEG patterns. Prior work has detected occurrences of specific EEG features – for example, bursts of rhythmic activity or spikes – and mapped them to short sonic events (like tones or percussive hits). Baier and Hermann’s event-based sonification of EEG rhythms is a notable example: they sonified detected EEG wave peaks as brief “blip” tones with vibrato and used percussive envelopes and noise bursts to represent rhythmic patterns ⁵. This approach can highlight salient events (e.g. a surge of alpha oscillation could trigger a gentle chime). For an artistic neurofeedback setting, event-based mapping adds a layer of musicality and punctuates the drone with subtle cues when notable brainwave events occur. We will use this sparingly (to avoid disrupting

the ambience) and in a gentle manner – for instance, a soft tone might fade in when a particular brain rhythm exceeds a threshold.

- **Complex/Model-Based Mappings:** More elaborate strategies have been explored for turning brainwaves into music. Some systems drive generative music algorithms or synthesizers with EEG features, effectively treating the brain as a live musical instrument. An example is *orchestral sonification*, where multiple EEG bands control different instrument sounds or musical parameters ⁶. In one design, alpha and beta band strengths were mapped to aspects of melody and rhythm – higher alpha/beta made the music louder, faster, and shifted its pitch range, and certain EEG thresholds triggered musical notes or transitions ⁶. Another line of work, sometimes called *EEG musification*, uses EEG data to compose tonal music meant to reflect emotional state; for instance, Wu et al. (2010) mapped EEG-derived “arousal” levels to musical structures to represent the subject’s mental state ⁷. While these musical mappings can produce aesthetically pleasing results, they often sacrifice direct transparency of the data. In a neurofeedback art context, a degree of musicality is welcome for engagement, but we **avoid explicit melody** or overly structured music that could distract the user or break the direct brain-sound connection. Instead, the goal is a **harmonious soundscape driven by the brain**, where the user can intuitively sense “this sound is my mind.”

Why focus on well-chosen mappings? Research indicates that certain EEG features are particularly viable for sonification. Alpha and beta rhythms, for example, have been the most frequently sonified bands in prior studies ⁸ – likely because they are strong, well-understood signals associated with mental states (relaxation and alertness, respectively). By using such features, we leverage known correlations (e.g. alpha increases with relaxed wakefulness, beta with concentration or anxiety) that users may quickly connect with the auditory feedback. Moreover, continuous sonification (as opposed to binary beep cues) takes advantage of the ear’s superior temporal resolution to track rapid brain changes ⁹. In neurofeedback training, continuous auditory mapping has been suggested to improve engagement and potentially training efficiency, since the feedback is more immediate and nuanced than visual displays or intermittent tones ⁹.

Pitfalls and Challenges in EEG Sonification

Designing an ambient neurofeedback sonification comes with several challenges and potential pitfalls:

- **Noise and Artifacts:** EEG signals are notoriously noisy. Eye blinks, muscle movements, and electrical interference can cause sudden jumps or spikes in the data. If naively mapped to sound, these artifacts could produce jarring noises or false alarms. It’s crucial to preprocess and smooth EEG features (e.g. using sliding window averages or artifact rejection) so that the sonification remains stable and pleasant. For example, instead of reacting to every micro-fluctuation, the mapping should respond to general trends (perhaps with a slight delay or inertia to filter out bursts). This ensures the output doesn’t become erratic or startling when the user moves or blinks.
- **Perceptual Mapping Problem:** A well-known issue in sonification design is how to map data to sound in a meaningful yet aesthetically pleasing way ¹⁰. If too many EEG parameters are mapped to different sound properties, the result can be confusing or overwhelming. Changes in one auditory dimension might masquerade as changes in another due to human perception – a phenomenon called perceptual entanglement ¹¹. For instance, a loud sound might be perceived as higher pitched even if only volume was intended to change. Such entanglement can obscure the meaning

of the sonification, making it hard for the user to know what their brain is doing. To avoid this, we limit the number of concurrent mappings and choose dimensions that are distinct (e.g. using volume and a gentle pulsation rate, which are easier to separate perceptually). We also make the mapping **transparent** – clearly rooted in known brain signal behaviors – so users can form a mental model of what they're hearing.

- **Annoying or Fatiguing Sound:** Early attempts at data sonification often resulted in alarm-like or grating sounds that, while conveying information, were unpleasant to listen to for long ¹². In our scenario, where participants may be listening for extended periods in a meditative state, sound design is paramount. We must avoid shrill tones, rapid random bleep-bloops, or anything that could cause listener fatigue. Continuous drones with slow evolution are ideal, as they provide a constant sonic “bed” that changes gently. By keeping changes subtle and using harmonious timbres, we ensure the feedback remains in the background of awareness – noticeable enough to be interesting, but never so harsh as to break one’s relaxation. As Barrass et al. noted, good sonification design should consider aesthetics and function together, not as an afterthought ¹³. A beautiful sound that carries no information is useless, but a purely “efficient” sound that annoys the listener is also counterproductive.
- **User Interpretation and Cognitive Load:** In a neurofeedback art setup, we cannot assume the user is an expert at reading EEG patterns. The mapping should be **intuitive or learnable quickly**. If the sonification is too abstract (for example, mapping a complex EEG feature to an obscure modulation in sound), the user might not make the connection that “when my mind does X, the sound does Y.” This could reduce the reflective benefit of the experience. To mitigate this, we align certain mappings with natural metaphors. For instance, a **smoother, louder sound** correlating with relaxed brain activity (alpha waves) makes intuitive sense, as people often describe calmness as “smooth” or “warm.” In contrast, a **noisier or more erratic sound** accompanying stressed or concentrated states aligns with the intuitive notion of mental “static” or tension. By leveraging embodied metaphors (loud = more, quiet = less; smooth = calm, jagged = tense), we help users derive meaning from the sound ¹⁴.
- **Feedback Loop Effects:** A unique aspect of neurofeedback is that the sonification can influence the very brain activity it represents ¹⁵. This closed loop can be positive – for example, hearing a calming tone might further relax the user, increasing alpha waves, which in turn makes the sound even calmer. But it can also backfire – if the sound becomes chaotic when the user is anxious, it might increase anxiety. Our design must therefore aim for *self-reinforcing positive feedback*. We avoid negative or alarming sonic indicators. Even when the user’s EEG reflects stress or loss of focus, the sonification should gently guide them back rather than scold. One strategy is to maintain a pleasant core drone at all times, and only subtly reduce its fullness or add a soft noise when focus is lost – this change suggests “come back to calm” without ever sounding punitive. Essentially, the user should always feel invited by the sound, not intimidated by it.
- **Over-simplification:** Finally, an important pitfall is using overly simplistic binary thresholds. Many legacy neurofeedback systems would play a reward tone when a certain metric crossed a threshold and stay silent otherwise ¹⁶. While easy to implement, that approach discards the rich temporal dynamics of the EEG and can become monotonous (the user hears the same ping repeatedly) or frustrating (if the threshold is hard to reach). In our continuous sonification, we instead represent gradations of state – the sound is *always on*, morphing in real time with the EEG. This ensures that

even small changes in brain activity are conveyed, and the experience feels like a flowing journey rather than stop-and-go signals.

By recognizing these pitfalls, we inform our design choices for the Chalice sonification. Next, we present a concrete mapping scheme that capitalizes on effective strategies while avoiding these issues.

Proposed Sonification Mapping for the Chalice

Based on the above, we propose a **multi-faceted mapping** that translates key EEG features into an evolving ambient soundscape. This mapping is designed to work with a consumer EEG headset (with roughly 4–8 channels) and to produce a continuous audio output of drones and gentle pulses. All mappings will be calibrated to each user's baseline EEG ranges and smoothed over time (to prevent sudden jumps). Below is the mapping strategy, including the chosen EEG features, their target sound parameters, and example value ranges:

- **1. Alpha Power → Drone Volume/Timbre (Calmness as Sound Intensity).** We will use the **alpha band (8–12 Hz) power** as a primary measure of relaxation. Alpha power is computed from electrodes over posterior cortex (e.g. the Crown's PO3/PO4 or CP3/CP4, or analogous channels on Muse/OpenBCI) – these sites typically show strong alpha when the user is calm or eyes-closed. This alpha amplitude (after artifact filtering) will be mapped to the **volume and warmth** of a continuous drone tone. In practice, we define a reasonable range for alpha power by observing the user: for example, low alpha (eyes-open, active mind) might be around 5 μV RMS, while high alpha (eyes-closed relaxation) might be 15–20 μV RMS (exact units depend on the device). We then linearly map this range to a volume gain, say from a base level of –20 dB (for minimal alpha) to 0 dB at the high end. In other words, as the user's alpha increases, the drone swells in loudness. Simultaneously, we can adjust timbre: using a low-pass filter or harmonic content to make the sound richer with higher alpha. For instance, at low alpha the drone could be a muted, filtered tone, and at high alpha the filter opens up to allow brighter harmonics through. This creates an auditory reward for relaxation – the sound literally “blossoms” when the mind is calm. The use of amplitude (or filter) modulation by EEG power is an established technique in neurofeedback sonification ⁴, and here we tune it for aesthetics by ensuring the drone's core frequency is a soothing pitch (e.g. a base note around 100–150 Hz for a pleasant hum) and changes in volume are gentle (fading with a time constant on the order of 1–2 seconds rather than instant jumps).
- **2. Inter-Hemispheric Alpha Coherence → Rhythmic Pulse Depth (Brain Sync as Beat).** To incorporate the idea of “gentle rhythmic pulses tied to phase/coherence,” we introduce a mapping for **alpha coherence** between hemispheres. Coherence measures how synchronized the brainwaves are across two regions; here we focus on left vs. right parity (e.g. coherence between PO3 and PO4 in the alpha band). The coherence value ranges from 0 (hemispheres completely out of sync) to 1 (perfect phase alignment). We map this to the depth of an **amplitude modulation (tremolo)** applied to the drone at the alpha frequency. In essence, when the two hemispheric signals are in phase, we allow the drone to exhibit a slight **beat at the alpha rate**. When coherence is low, the drone remains more steady. For example, suppose the user's dominant alpha frequency is ~10 Hz. At high coherence (say 0.8–1.0), we apply a 10 Hz tremolo up to about 20–30% depth – meaning the drone's volume oscillates by at most 30% around its mean level, creating a noticeable but gentle pulsing in the sound. At coherence values in the mid-range (~0.5), we'd use a much shallower modulation (maybe 10–15% depth), and near zero coherence, essentially no tremolo (the drone is continuous).

The effect is that when the brain's hemispheres **fall into a resonant rhythm**, the user hears a soft throbbing quality, as if the sound itself had a heartbeat. This direct coupling of brain wave frequency to sound rhythm can make the experience viscerally intuitive – the user can literally hear when different parts of their brain are “in sync.” Notably, a similar idea was explored by Potard and Schiemer (2004) in *Listening to the Mind Listening*, where they sonified the coherence matrix of EEG signals as musical interactions ¹⁷. Our implementation simplifies this to a single coherence metric to avoid complexity. The pulse will always remain in a comfortable range (8–12 Hz is on the border of the audible rhythm; by modulating amplitude rather than generating a pure tone, we ensure it's perceived as a flutter in the drone rather than a separate high-pitch sound). If needed, we can further soften the effect by using a sine-wave modulation (which has no harsh overtones) and by keeping the modulation depth modest. The result is a **phase-informed audio heartbeat** that fades in and out according to the brain's internal synchrony.

- **3. Beta/Gamma Activity → Noise Texture (Mental Arousal as Brightness).** While alpha and its coherence cover relaxation and rhythmic unity, we also want to reflect moments of **increased mental activity or stress**. Higher-frequency bands like **beta (13–30 Hz)** and low **gamma (~30–40 Hz)** are indicators of alertness, thinking, or anxiety (with consumer EEG, gamma is often noisy, so beta is our safer metric). We will use **beta power** (for example, averaged from frontal sensors F5/F6 or C3/C4) to modulate a subtle high-frequency sound layer in the mix. This could be implemented as a faint **hiss or wind chime-like sparkle** that increases in volume or presence with rising beta activity. When beta is low (user is calm and not engaged in intense thinking), this layer is nearly inaudible, leaving the drone pure and smooth. If the user becomes more mentally active (beta rises), a hint of soft noise fades in, adding a gentle “sizzle” or shimmering texture on top of the drone. We map the beta power from a baseline (e.g. normal relaxed beta level) to a higher range (e.g. when concentrating or anxious) onto a gain for this noise layer. For instance, if beta amplitude typically varies from 2 μV (relaxed) to 10 μV (high engagement) for a given user, we could make 2 μV correspond to $-\infty$ dB (noise off) and 10 μV correspond to -10 dB (noise present but not overpowering). This way, the soundscape *brightens* or gets slightly busier with mental effort, and conversely, when the user lets go of thoughts, the noise melts away into a clear tone. This design is supported by prior EEG music mappings where beta has been used to control musical intensity and rhythm – e.g. one system modulated melody loudness and speed based on beta levels ⁶. In the Chalice's context, we keep the effect delicate: the noise texture might resemble airy wind, rainstick, or a breathy synthesis that merges with the drone, ensuring it doesn't jar the listener even at high beta. The goal is to provide a subtle cue: *“I notice the sound gets a bit more restless when my mind is racing.”* Recognizing that can encourage the user to re-relax, which will cause the noise to recede again.

(Optionally, depending on artistic direction, additional mappings could be incorporated. For example, we could use theta (4–7 Hz) band power to introduce a slow oscillating bass tone when the user is in a deeply meditative/drowsy state, or map asymmetry (difference between left and right alpha) to a slight panning of the sound. However, these would add complexity and risk perceptual overload. The core three mappings above – alpha calmness, coherence pulse, and beta noise – are our recommended focus for a robust design.)

Mapping Summary and Ranges: In summary, the proposed mapping creates an ambient sound that continuously reflects the user's brainwaves along three dimensions: **intensity, rhythmicity, and brightness**. To recap with nominal ranges: - *Alpha band power (0–100% of a calibrated range) → Drone base amplitude (e.g. -20 dB at 0% to 0 dB at 100%) and filter cutoff (e.g. 500 Hz at low alpha to 5 kHz at high alpha for*

added brightness). - Alpha inter-hemispheric coherence (0.0–1.0) → Tremolo depth (e.g. 0% at coherence <0.3 up to ~30% at coherence >0.8), at a rate equal to alpha frequency (~8–12 Hz determined per user ¹⁷). - Beta power (0–100% of a typical range) → Noise layer gain (e.g. $-\infty$ when low to -10 dB at high end), possibly also slight high-frequency EQ boost on the drone to make it crisper with more beta.

All these transitions are continuous and smoothed. Figure 1 (conceptual) illustrates how the sound would respond: if the user closes their eyes and relaxes, after a few seconds the drone grows louder and richer (alpha up), and if that relaxation also brings the hemispheres into sync, a soft throb begins in the tone (coherence up). If the user's mind then wanders into planning or calculation (beta surges), a soft hiss fades in, signaling increased mental activity. The user, upon noticing the hiss, might refocus on breathing to calm down – the hiss diminishes, the drone steadies.

Conclusion

The **EEG sonification strategy for the Chalice** centers on making the inaudible rhythms of the brain perceptible in an aesthetically pleasing way. By mapping alpha waves to the *heart* of a drone, coherence to its *pulse*, and beta to its *surface texture*, we create a form of music that is both uniquely personal and informative. This design draws on proven sonification methods – simple parameter mappings for clarity ¹, and carefully avoids pitfalls by emphasizing smooth, intuitive correspondences and maintaining a gentle audio profile ¹² ¹¹.

Crucially, the sonification remains **non-intrusive**: it supports the user's internal exploration rather than demanding attention like a traditional alarm or melody would. As the Chalice is not about performance or diagnosis, but about experience, our choices prioritize a meaningful feedback loop that encourages reflection and tranquility. The ranges and mappings proposed can be further tuned through user testing – small adjustments to ensure, for example, that the pulses are noticeable but never unpleasant, or that the drone never becomes completely silent (to avoid any jarring absence of sound).

In implementing this, we recommend starting with a default calibration (perhaps derived from literature values and device specs) and then iteratively refining for each participant. Given the variability in EEG between individuals, a short calibration period (where the user intentionally relaxes, then thinks of something, etc., to see the sound response) could personalize the ranges.

Overall, **EEG sonification for the Chalice** offers an elegant convergence of art and neurofeedback science. Done well, it can externalize the “music” of the mind – allowing participants to literally *hear themselves*, and in doing so, to gently train their awareness towards calmer, more coherent brain states. This aligns perfectly with the project's live, ambient ethos: the brain becomes an instrument, the participant becomes both the performer and audience, and the experience becomes a real-time journey guided by one's own neural rhythms. By adhering to the strategies and considerations outlined above, we maximize both the impact and the serenity of that journey.

Sources:

- Våljamäe, A. *et al.* (2013). *A Review of Real-Time EEG Sonification Research*. *Proc. ICAD 2013*. (Overview of EEG sonification applications) ¹⁸ ⁸.

- Eaton, J., & Miranda, E. (2018). *On Mapping EEG Information into Music*. In *Guide to Brain-Computer Music Interfacing*. (Discusses mapping strategies and the importance of simple, expressive mappings for EEG music) ² .
- Steffert, T. *et al.* (2018). *Real-Time EEG Sonification for Neurofeedback*. PhD Thesis, Open Univ. (Used amplitude and frequency modulation of tones based on EEG power; showed continuous sonification aids feedback) ¹⁶ ⁴ .
- Baier, G. & Hermann, T. (2007). *Event-Based Sonification of EEG Rhythms in Real Time*. *Clin. Neurophysiol.*, 118(6), 1377–1386. (Demonstrated triggering of auditory events from rhythmic EEG events) ⁵ .
- Hinterberger, T. *et al.* (2004). *Auditory Feedback of Human EEG for Direct Brain-Computer Communication*. *Proc. ICAD 2004*. (Early work using musical tones controlled by EEG features).
- Potard, G. & Schiemer, G. (2004). *Listening to the Mind Listening: Sonification of the Coherence Matrix and Power Spectrum of EEG Signals*. *Proc. ICAD 2004*. (Artistic sonification using inter-channel coherence) ¹⁷ .
- Verona, D. *et al.* (2017). *The Mapping Problem in Sonification Design*. *Proc. ICAD 2017*. (Discusses perceptual issues and need for task-focused sonification) ¹⁰ ¹¹ .
- Daly, I. *et al.* (2014). *Brain-Computer Music Interfacing*. Springer. (Various approaches to generating music from brain signals, including musification techniques) ⁷ .
- Miranda, E., & Brouse, A. (2005). *Toward Direct Brain-Computer Musical Interfaces*. *Proc. NIME 2005*. (Introduced using EEG in musical performance; notes simple mappings e.g. EEG alpha to synth pitch can be effective for non-musicians) ¹ .
- Nijboer, F. *et al.* (2008). *Present and Future of Brain-Computer Interfaces: A User Perspective*. *IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng.*, 16(5), 521–529. (While not about sonification per se, underscores importance of user-centered feedback design in BCI).

¹ ⁸ ¹⁸ (PDF) A Review of Real-Time EEG Sonification Research

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/256087661_A_Review_of_Real-Time_EEG_Sonification_Research

² (PDF) On Mapping EEG Information into Music

https://www.academia.edu/93787309/On_Mapping_EEG_Information_into_Music

³ Hearing the Sound in the Brain: Influences of Different EEG ...

<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5859362/>

⁴ ⁹ ¹⁶ oro.open.ac.uk

<https://oro.open.ac.uk/57965/1/Steffert-PhD-2018-Final.pdf>

⁵ A Systematic Review of Mapping Strategies for the Sonification of Physical Quantities - PMC

<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3866150/>

⁶ ¹⁵ ¹⁷ Using physiological signals for sound creation

<https://www.biopac.com/wp-content/uploads/SPECOM06.pdf>

⁷ Mapping rules from EEG to music. | Download Scientific Diagram

https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Mapping-rules-from-EEG-to-music_fig2_220205441

¹⁰ ¹¹ ¹² ¹³ ¹⁴ Microsoft Word - VeronaPeres ICAD 2017 Final.doc

http://icad.org/icad2017/icad2017_paper_71.pdf