

# Quantifying Serendipity: Research Foundations and Design Inspirations

## Introduction

**Serendipity** – often described as a “*happy accident*” or an unexpected but beneficial discovery – is a notoriously hard phenomenon to quantify. Yet, many of life’s most positive breakthroughs and innovations are born from serendipitous moments <sup>1</sup>. Recent research suggests that serendipity is **not purely random chance**; instead, it can be influenced by our behavior, mindset, and environment <sup>2</sup>. This report surveys academic research and practical tools that attempt to **measure or cultivate serendipity**, especially in personal contexts. We explore:

- **Serendipity diaries and journaling practices** for tracking unexpected positive outcomes.
- Evidence that **intention-setting, active noticing, and reflection** can increase “prepared luck.”
- **Metrics for measuring serendipity**, from qualitative journals to quantitative “serendipity scores” in algorithms.
- Case studies in **innovation management and personal knowledge management (PKM)** where serendipitous insights are tracked or encouraged.
- Examples of **apps/platforms** – such as Slack’s *Donut* bot – designed to *engineer* or log serendipitous encounters, often linking them to context (mood, environment, goals).

The goal is to provide both research foundations and design inspiration for a potential “**Serendipity Log**” feature in DonutOS – a tool that would prompt users to reflect on surprises and identify the conditions that led to them.

## Serendipity Journals and Diaries: Logging the Unexpected

One approach to making serendipity tangible is through **journaling**. Researchers have used “**serendipity diaries**” to capture serendipitous experiences in real time. For example, Sun *et al.* (2011) developed a *mobile diary app* for 11 PhD students, prompting them to record any serendipitous information discoveries they made, along with contextual details like where and how it happened <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup>. Over a one-week study, participants logged surprise encounters with information (including photos and notes), which were later discussed in interviews <sup>3</sup>. This diary method revealed key elements of serendipity and highlighted the “**influential role of context**” in such experiences <sup>5</sup> – for instance, noting whether the person was at a library or in a relaxed setting when the insight occurred.

Outside of formal studies, the concept of a **Serendipity Journal** has entered popular discourse as a personal development tool. A serendipity journal is essentially a dedicated log where individuals “**track and examine moments of seemingly unexpected luck.**” The practice involves writing down recent positive coincidences or surprises and reflecting on how they came about <sup>6</sup>. Psychology experts suggest that journaling in this way helps us “connect the dots” between unrelated events and **legitimize the role of chance** in our decisions <sup>7</sup>. By capturing these moments, people exercise their “*serendipity muscle*” –

training their minds to spot and connect unfolding opportunities <sup>7</sup> . For example, author Christian Busch recommends prompts like: list three serendipitous moments from the past six months and identify what they had in common; or write about a time you *almost* had a lucky break but missed it, and what you could do differently next time <sup>8</sup> <sup>9</sup> . Such guided reflections not only document serendipitous events but also heighten one's awareness of patterns that lead to serendipity.

**Key insight:** *Logging surprise events through diaries or journals creates a record of serendipity that can later be analyzed. It also primes individuals to notice unexpected opportunities more readily. Research diary studies confirm that rich context (where, when, mood, etc.) is valuable in understanding serendipity <sup>5</sup> , while popular journaling practices show that even anecdotal tracking can cultivate a “serendipity mindset” <sup>1</sup> <sup>6</sup> .*

## Cultivating “Prepared Luck”: Intention, Noticing, and Reflection

A consistent theme in serendipity research is that **chance favors the prepared mind**. In other words, we can't force lucky breaks to happen, but we *can* make ourselves more likely to recognize and capitalize on them. Studies in psychology and information science provide evidence that certain **mindsets and habits increase serendipity**:

- **Openness and Curiosity:** Openness to new experiences and a curious outlook make people more prone to happy accidents. Psychologist Richard Wiseman's research on “lucky people” found they tend to be curious, explore diverse interests, and meet new people – behaviors which “create an environment where chance events are more likely to occur and be recognized” <sup>10</sup> . In one study, openness was described as a “*temporary state of unfocused, exploratory attention*” that allowed individuals to notice useful information they weren't actively seeking <sup>11</sup> . In short, an open mind creates more opportunities for serendipity to strike.
- **Intention-Setting and Forward Motion:** Paradoxically, having goals and **taking action** can invite more unexpected opportunities. As one article put it, “*Serendipity favors forward motion*” <sup>12</sup> . Pursuing new interests or projects increases the odds of encountering something (or someone) useful by surprise. In innovation management, scholars note that serendipitous discoveries often emerge *in the middle of* working toward a planned objective – essentially as a side-effect of purposeful activity <sup>13</sup> <sup>14</sup> . For example, a five-year study of R&D scientists showed that initially “mundane” planned work led them to stumble onto new, unplanned discoveries when they dug deeper or connected with others, illustrating how **action + openness** yields lucky outcomes <sup>15</sup> <sup>13</sup> .
- **Active Noticing (Mindfulness of the Unexpected):** Being mentally present and observant greatly increases the chance that a passing anomaly will register as meaningful. McCay-Peet and Toms (2015) emphasize “*noticing*” as a critical step in serendipity – you must first **be aware** that something unexpected has happened <sup>16</sup> . This is supported by the psychology of attention: we tend to notice what we *expect* to see (selective attention), so deliberately expecting the possibility of positive surprises can expand what we pay attention to <sup>17</sup> . For instance, people in **positive moods** have been found to be more receptive to unexpected information and less tunnel-visioned <sup>18</sup> . A relaxed or playful mindset can foster “chance encounters” by allowing the mind to wander and pick up peripheral cues <sup>19</sup> . In practical terms, simply reminding oneself to “*keep an eye out*” for the unexpected – or using a journaling app that asks “Did you encounter any surprise today?” – can train this noticing skill.

- **Reflection and Follow-up:** Experiencing a fortuitous event is one thing; extracting value from it requires reflection. Researchers argue that *“only a prepared mind will recognize [an unexpected discovery] as fulfilling a need”* <sup>20</sup>. In serendipitous science, the moment of discovery is often followed by an *“iterative cycle of reflection”* – making sense of the coincidence, connecting it to one’s knowledge or goals, and deciding to follow up <sup>21</sup>. Deliberate reflection turns a random encounter into a usable insight. This is why journaling and discussion are emphasized: writing about a serendipitous event (or sharing the story with others) forces one to articulate *why* it was valuable and how to exploit it <sup>22</sup> <sup>23</sup>. Indeed, one study found that people who *missed* acting on a chance opportunity (e.g. not speaking to someone they “randomly” ran into) often later identified a personal barrier (like fear or bias) that prevented them from seizing it – a recognition that can help them behave differently next time <sup>9</sup>. Thus, reflecting on near-misses or latent opportunities can also increase future serendipity.

In summary, research supports the idea that serendipity can be **cultivated as a skill** or “smart luck.” It emerges when **preparedness meets opportunity** <sup>24</sup>: by staying open-minded, actively noticing our surroundings, and regularly reflecting on the surprises we encounter, we essentially “prepare” for luck. As one 2025 psychology article succinctly put it, *“Serendipity isn’t purely random. It’s shaped by external events and our internal preparedness.”* By adopting certain habits and mindsets, we *“can enhance serendipity and, in turn, improve our luck.”* <sup>2</sup>.

## Measuring Serendipity: Qualitative and Quantitative Metrics

Quantifying serendipity is challenging – how do you measure a surprise? – but researchers have approached it from multiple angles. Broadly, there are **qualitative measures** (capturing subjective experiences of serendipity) and **quantitative measures** (computing serendipity using formulas or ratings). Both are relevant to a Serendipity Log design:

- **Qualitative Metrics (Diaries and Scales):** These focus on *descriptions and self-reports* of serendipity. In diary studies, researchers may simply count the number of serendipitous events a person records in a given period, or categorize the types of serendipity (e.g. personal life, work-related, minor insight vs. major breakthrough). For richer analysis, **coding of journal entries** is used – for example, identifying common “triggers” or contextual factors across a person’s serendipity diary. Sun *et al.* (2011) proposed a framework classifying serendipitous encounters by the activity and context, noting that elements like the *source of information* and the *user’s interaction with their environment* were key dimensions <sup>25</sup>. More recently, social psychologists have even developed a **Serendipity Scale**: a set of survey items to measure how often and how intensely someone experiences serendipity. Knabe *et al.* (2025) introduced a **theory-grounded serendipity scale** in a series of museum studies, which had participants rate statements about accidental discoveries (e.g. “I often find something valuable when I’m not looking for it”) on a Likert scale <sup>26</sup> <sup>27</sup>. The scale showed good internal consistency and helped distinguish serendipity from related concepts like general insight or curiosity <sup>28</sup>. Such qualitative measures yield *subjective scores* or narratives that indicate a person’s propensity for serendipitous experiences. In a personal logging context, one could imagine a weekly “serendipity score” based on journal reflections (e.g. number of surprises + self-rated impact of each).
- **Quantitative Metrics (Algorithms and Serendipity Scores):** In fields like recommender systems and information retrieval, serendipity has been given more **formal definitions** so it can be optimized. A common approach is to treat serendipity as a combination of two components:

**relevance** and **novelty (unexpectedness)** <sup>29</sup> <sup>30</sup> . In simple terms, a serendipitous recommendation is one that *pleases* the user (relevant to their tastes or needs) **and** *surprises* them (introduces something they wouldn't have found or chosen on their own). One widely cited formula defines:

> **Serendipity(i) = Unexpectedness(i) × Relevance(i)**,

where *Relevance(i)* is 1 if the user actually liked or benefited from item *i*, and *Unexpectedness(i)* is a measure of how different *i* was from the user's past choices <sup>31</sup> . By averaging across all items and users, researchers can compute an overall “*serendipity score*” for a system <sup>32</sup> <sup>33</sup> . In practice, to calculate unexpectedness, one might compare a recommendation to the user's history or to popular trends – for example, an item that very few similar users have interacted with would score high on novelty <sup>34</sup> <sup>35</sup> . If the user ends up loving that item, it's a serendipitous hit. This concept has led to **evaluation metrics** for algorithms: e.g., percentage of recommendations that the user rated positively *and* said were unexpected. There is no single industry standard for serendipity measurement <sup>36</sup> , but many studies use variants of the above approach (some incorporate a *diversity* term or other factors) <sup>37</sup> <sup>38</sup> . The key is quantifying how far outside the expected a suggestion was, and whether it was actually useful.

To illustrate, consider a music app: recommending another song by an artist you already love is high relevance but low novelty; recommending a random avant-garde track is high novelty but likely low relevance. A *serendipitous* pick might be a song from a different genre that you end up obsessed with – the algorithm scores points for finding that hidden gem. In a personal Serendipity Log, one could borrow these ideas by tracking, say, the *novelty* of a user's daily activities or content consumption and correlating it with positive outcomes. Some experimental apps and research prototypes even suggest “serendipity indices” – for example, showing a user how often they deviated from their usual routines or information sources (as a proxy for opportunities for serendipity). Table 1 summarizes examples of serendipity metrics:

Approach	Example Metric / Method	Context
<i>Diary count / coding</i>	Frequency of serendipitous events recorded per week; content analysis of entries to identify triggers (e.g. “conversation with stranger,” “web surfing”) <sup>5</sup> .	Qualitative personal tracking
<i>Survey scale</i>	<b>Serendipity Scale</b> – e.g. agreement with “I often make valuable discoveries by accident.” Produces a score indicating one's perceived serendipity level <sup>28</sup> .	Experimental studies, surveys
<i>Algorithmic score</i>	<b>Serendipity = Unexpectedness × Relevance</b> – e.g. in a recommender, an item that is highly dissimilar to prior picks but is liked by the user scores high <sup>31</sup> . Overall serendipity is averaged across users <sup>32</sup> .	Recommender systems (e.g. content recommendations)
<i>User feedback (mixed)</i>	<b>Serendipity rating by user</b> – after a recommendation or search result, asking the user: “ <i>Was this a pleasant surprise?</i> ” (rate 1–5). Combines subjective feedback with system logs (what the user clicked).	UX studies, iterative app design

**Why do these metrics matter?** For one, “if you can’t measure it, you can’t improve it.” Even a loose quantification of serendipity allows individuals or systems to tune for more of it <sup>39</sup>. In a personal context, maintaining a serendipity log with periodic self-scoring could help users see if they are becoming more open to surprises over time. In recommender systems, serendipity metrics help ensure algorithms aren’t just accurate, but also interesting – avoiding the “filter bubble” or boredom that comes from overly predictable content <sup>40</sup> <sup>29</sup>.

## Serendipity in Innovation and Knowledge Management

Serendipity has long been recognized as a driver of innovation – from the **famous Post-it Note invention** (a failed adhesive turned into a new office product) to serendipitous scientific breakthroughs like penicillin. The question is how to *manage* or *track* serendipity in organizational settings or personal knowledge systems. Research and case studies highlight a few approaches:

- **“Serendipity Work” in Organizations:** A 2025 longitudinal study in *Strategic Organization* examined how a pharma R&D team intentionally or unintentionally cultivated serendipitous discoveries over five years <sup>15</sup> <sup>13</sup>. Instead of treating serendipity as pure luck, the authors conceptualized it as a **process** composed of ordinary practices: “*deep-diving*” (thoroughly exploring a topic), “*listening in*” (paying attention to peripheral conversations or information), “*connecting*” (bridging people and ideas across silos), and “*implementing*” (testing out ideas) <sup>13</sup> <sup>41</sup>. Initially, scientists engaged in these practices for planned tasks, but over time the same behaviors led them toward unplanned insights – essentially, innovation emerging from the **intersection of multiple ongoing activities** <sup>42</sup> <sup>43</sup>. The takeaway is that companies can encourage certain behaviors (cross-team coffee chats, explorative research time, open information-sharing) to increase the odds of serendipity. Some organizations have even tried to **track serendipitous insights** by collecting “innovation stories” from employees – asking them to report how new ideas were born. These case studies often reveal patterns (e.g. many “aha moments” happened during informal interactions or while pursuing unrelated side projects). Logging such origin stories can help management recognize which workflows or cultural practices are yielding positive accidents. For instance, if multiple breakthroughs came from people tinkering during unstructured time, that supports allocating more free exploration time.
- **Personal Knowledge Management (PKM) and Digital Tools:** On the individual level, people using PKM systems (note-taking apps, idea journals) have noticed that certain features spur serendipity. Users of networked note-taking tools like Roam Research or Obsidian frequently talk about “*serendipitous connections*” among their notes. Features like **backlinking** (which surfaces other notes related to a concept) and **random note generators** can mimic the role of chance. For example, one PKM enthusiast describes implementing a “**random note review**” in his daily routine: each day the system pulls up a few randomly selected old notes for him to revisit. The process, dubbed “graph pruning,” not only cleans up obsolete information but sometimes leads to “*a serendipitous event*” – encountering a forgotten idea that turns out to be useful in a current project <sup>44</sup> <sup>45</sup>. In effect, the software injects serendipity by intentionally surfacing something unexpected from the user’s own knowledge base. Similarly, the practice of maintaining a Zettelkasten (a linked note archive) is often justified by its serendipity benefits: over time, as you accumulate and interlink ideas, the system “**starts having a life of its own**” and presents combinations you hadn’t considered <sup>46</sup>. In designing a Serendipity Log or knowledge tool, these insights suggest value in features that *deliberately*

*introduce randomness or cross-linkages* – for instance, showing the user a “Surprise me” item or reminding them of past notes when new context might make them relevant.

- **Innovation Case Examples:** Many companies have instituted programs to boost serendipitous interaction, essentially *engineering luck*. Tech firms like Google famously allowed engineers 20% time to pursue side projects, which led to serendipitous products (e.g. Gmail’s origin story). In remote or distributed teams, tools like **Slack’s Donut bot** have become popular – Donut randomly pairs coworkers for short chats, simulating the watercooler collisions that often spark ideas. This can be seen as “*assisted serendipity*”: by algorithmically introducing people who wouldn’t otherwise connect, Donut increases the chance they’ll exchange a useful insight or form a new collaboration. While such programs don’t *log* serendipity per se, some organizations encourage employees to share any serendipitous outcomes from these encounters (e.g. “Thanks to a Donut chat, I discovered X department was working on something related to my project”). Capturing these anecdotes can help justify and refine serendipity initiatives. Another example is innovation management software that includes a “**serendipity**” tag or tracker – for instance, an idea submission form might ask “*How did this idea come about? Was anything unexpected involved?*” This not only makes people reflect on the role of chance, but over time could build a database of conditions under which serendipity tends to occur (time of day, cross-department meetings, etc.).

**Case in point:** During the COVID-19 pandemic, many companies worried about the loss of informal encounters that drive innovation. Solutions ranged from virtual coffee meet-ups (via Slack apps like Donut) <sup>47</sup> to purposely mixing teams on projects. Early evidence from these interventions suggested that *structured randomness* can indeed reproduce some of the creative sparks of in-person serendipity <sup>48</sup>. For a personal knowledge worker, the analogous strategy is to mix up one’s information sources or schedule – e.g. attend an occasional webinar outside your field, or use a news app that includes a “try something different” recommendation. The *patterns* of serendipitous insight – whether in an organization or an individual’s life – often involve **exposure to diverse inputs** and **frequent, rich interaction** (with people, information, or one’s own ideas). Logging these patterns (e.g. noting “I get my best ideas on afternoon walks” or “Three separate great ideas came from chatting with mentors”) is the first step to actively nurturing them.

## Tools and Platforms for Enhancing or Logging Serendipity

While serendipity logging is an emerging concept, a few **apps and platforms** have features aligned with tracking or encouraging unexpected discoveries:

- **Donut (Slack Integration):** *Donut* is an app for Slack that automatically pairs team members for casual one-on-one conversations on a regular basis. It’s essentially a **serendipity facilitator** for networking and knowledge exchange. Donut doesn’t explicitly log “serendipity metrics,” but its entire purpose is to “*make it easier for connections to form*” by random chance <sup>49</sup>. Some companies have extended its use by prompting employees to record outcomes of their Donut meetings – for example, a quick note if they learned something new or decided to collaborate as a result. This begins to form a lightweight **serendipity diary at the team level**. For DonutOS’s Serendipity Log feature, Slack Donut offers inspiration on interface and engagement: it uses *prompts and scheduling* (nudges people to meet/talk) and *ice-breaker questions* to spark meaningful exchanges <sup>50</sup>. A

Serendipity Log could similarly prompt users at random (or at set intervals) to reflect or to seek out a new connection, then capture the outcome.

- **Contextual Journaling Apps:** A few personal logging apps track contextual data that could be correlated with serendipitous moments. For instance, the now-defunct app **Reporter** (by designer Nicholas Felton) would randomly ping the user to ask a few questions about what they were doing, who they were with, and mood at that moment. Over time it compiled personal analytics (e.g. “You’re happiest on Fridays at 6pm”). One could imagine a similar approach for serendipity: an app could ask *“Have you experienced any surprising delight today? What were you doing?”* and also record sensor data (location, time, perhaps step count or heart rate as a proxy for activity). By aggregating this, patterns might emerge like *“You report more serendipity on days you go outside and when you feel calm.”* In fact, research in information behavior has looked at mood and environment as factors – positive mood and a relaxed, tolerant environment have been linked to higher likelihood of information-rich accidental discoveries <sup>18</sup> <sup>19</sup>. Thus, an app that logs mood (via self-report or even wearable sensor) alongside serendipitous event entries could help users see these correlations. The *DonutOS Serendipity Log* could integrate with existing context streams (calendar, location, weather, etc.) to automatically tag entries, making it easier later to filter and see, for example, all your “work-related serendipity” versus “personal-life serendipity,” or to notice you tend to have creative coincidences in coffee shops but not when working from home.
- **Serendipity Highlighting in Recommender Systems:** On platforms like YouTube, Spotify, or news readers, some algorithms now explicitly try to inject serendipity (as noted earlier). A design idea is to allow users to opt into a *serendipity mode* – e.g. a toggle that says “Surprise Me” – and then **log which surprises worked out well**. Netflix has experimented with something akin to this by adding a “Play Something” random pick button. If a Serendipity Log could tap into a user’s media or content consumption history, it might highlight items that were out-of-the-ordinary hits. For instance, if DonutOS knows you usually listen to rock music but suddenly loved a classical music recommendation one day, it could flag that as a serendipitous find (relevant + unexpected) and prompt you with a question: “You enjoyed something quite different today – anything notable about how you found it?” This crosses into personal analytics, but it shows how automated detection of *novelty* combined with user feedback can feed a serendipity tracking system.
- **Innovation Platforms with Serendipity Features:** Some enterprise innovation platforms attempt to mimic serendipity by connecting ideas or people. For example, Idea management software might suggest to a user, “This new problem you’re working on is similar to X project from last year.” If the user wasn’t aware of that and it proves helpful, that’s a serendipitous linkage. Logging such occurrences (perhaps via a “Was this suggestion useful?” prompt) contributes to a dataset of successful unexpected connections. Over time, this could even produce a **serendipity score for the platform** (e.g. “30% of users reported a surprise connection that led to a new solution this quarter”). While concrete examples of “serendipity logs” in the wild are limited, these features point to a potential design: combining **user-driven logging (via journals or prompts)** with **system-driven logging (via context sensing and algorithmic surprise generation)**.

## Designing a “Serendipity Log” in DonutOS: Key Takeaways

Drawing on the above research and examples, we can outline a vision for a Serendipity Log feature that encourages users to notice, record, and learn from life’s unexpected joys:

- **Regular Prompts & Journaling:** Incorporate a gentle prompt (perhaps a daily or weekly notification) asking the user to reflect: *“Did anything surprise you or delight you unexpectedly today?”* If yes, the user can jot a quick note in the log. The prompt itself keeps the concept of serendipity salient, training the user’s attention. Busch (2023) found that starting a serendipity journal is an effective first step to *“encounter serendipity more often”* by cultivating awareness <sup>1</sup>.
- **Context Capture:** Whenever a serendipitous event is logged, automatically attach contextual metadata if available – time, location, weather, the user’s calendar entries (e.g. “during Project meeting”), even **mood** (perhaps via an integration with a mood-tracking app or a simple emoji self-rating). This mirrors what research diaries did manually and allows later analysis. Users could tag entries with custom labels like *#career*, *#social*, or *#travel*, to categorize domains of serendipity.
- **Reflection Aids:** The log could provide structured questions to help users reflect deeply, similar to the prompts by Busch <sup>22</sup> or Glam’s journaling guide <sup>51</sup> <sup>52</sup>. For example, after the user records a serendipitous event, the app might ask: *“What prior decision or action made this possible?”* (to reinforce the role of preparation), *“How did you feel and what might you have missed if you weren’t paying attention?”* (to underscore noticing), and *“Is there any follow-up action you’ll take?”* (to encourage turning the serendipity into value). These questions are drawn from best practices that link intention and reflection with increased serendipity <sup>53</sup> <sup>21</sup>.
- **Serendipity Metrics for the User:** Provide the user with some visualization or summary of their serendipity log. This could be as simple as a count of serendipitous moments this month, or something like a personal “serendipity index” that combines quantity and quality (perhaps weighting events by the user-marked impact or surprise level). Inspired by the **serendipity scale** research, the app might even periodically quiz the user with a few scale items to gauge if their serendipity mindset is changing (e.g. greater agreement over time with *“I am good at finding value in unexpected places”* could indicate growth). While highly experimental, such metrics give feedback and can make the abstract concept feel more concrete – akin to how fitness trackers quantify the abstract concept of “activity” into step counts and streaks.
- **Pattern Analysis (Learning from Data):** Over time, the Serendipity Log could analyze the accumulated data to highlight patterns back to the user. For example, it might discover *“80% of your recorded serendipity happened on days you logged above-average mood”* or *“You often experience serendipity while exploring content about [Photography]”*. These insights resemble the findings of Knabe et al. (2025) that serendipity was *“consistently associated with people’s motivation and interest in a topic”* <sup>26</sup> – i.e., when you’re engaged, you get more out of chance. They also reflect McCay-Peet & Toms’ observation that openness and certain environments facilitate serendipity <sup>19</sup>. By revealing the user’s personal serendipity drivers (be it mood, place, or activity), the feature can help them **optimize for serendipity** – for instance, scheduling creative work after activities that often yield happy surprises, or deliberately injecting more variety into routines that are tied to few serendipitous events.



- **Integration with Social/Network Elements:** Since serendipity often involves people, DonutOS could integrate the log with social features. Perhaps users can share anonymized serendipity stories on a community feed (inspiring others and normalizing the practice of noticing serendipity). Or, similar to Slack Donut, the OS could occasionally recommend “*Why not reach out to someone new?*” and then note if any outcome was logged. Another idea is a **serendipity buddy system**: two users compare their logs or even swap a “surprise recommendation” with each other. This is speculative, but it draws from the concept of *collaborative serendipity*, where diverse social connections create a web of chance (recall Wiseman’s finding that building broad social networks increases luck <sup>10</sup>).

In conclusion, quantifying serendipity requires a blend of subjective storytelling and clever proxies for “surprise.” The research suggests that while we may never predict serendipity with precision, we *can* design tools to *notice, record, and encourage* it. A Serendipity Log that combines diary-style reflection with context-aware data capture would be firmly grounded in the literature – honoring the importance of the **prepared mind** <sup>20</sup>, the power of **openness** <sup>54</sup>, and the utility of **measuring what we value** (even if it’s as whimsical as luck). By learning from serendipity diaries, journaling exercises, and algorithmic serendipity metrics, DonutOS can offer its users a feature that not only tracks their “happy accidents” but also gently teaches them how to invite more of those magic moments into their lives <sup>55</sup> <sup>1</sup>.

## References

- Sun, X., Sharples, S., & Makri, S. (2011). *A user-centred mobile diary study approach to understanding serendipity in information research*. **Information Research**, 16(3). (Diary study capturing serendipitous information discoveries; identified key elements and the critical role of context <sup>5</sup>.)
- Busch, C. (2023). *Starting a Serendipity Journal to Connect the Dots*. **Psychology Today** (Mar 13, 2023). (Popular article introducing the concept of a serendipity journal as a tool to cultivate a serendipity mindset <sup>1</sup> <sup>8</sup>.)
- Glam Editorial Team. (2023). *What Is a Serendipity Journal and How Can It Change Your Spiritual Journey?* **Glam.com** (Apr 23, 2023). (Explains serendipity journaling practice and its benefits for embracing life’s unpredictability <sup>6</sup> <sup>51</sup>.)
- McCay-Peet, L., & Toms, E. G. (2015). *Investigating serendipity: How it unfolds and what may influence it*. **J. Assoc. Inf. Sci. Technol.** 66(7): 1463–1476. (Interview study on work-related serendipity. Highlights the importance of openness – unfocused, exploratory attention <sup>11</sup> – and the need for a prepared, reflective mind to recognize serendipitous events <sup>20</sup> <sup>21</sup>.)
- Wiseman, R. (2003). *The Luck Factor*. (Psychology research on why some people are consistently “lucky.” Found that attitudes like openness to new experiences, expectation of good fortune, and social networking increase the likelihood of happy coincidences <sup>10</sup>.)
- Smith, P., & Hilbolling, S. (2025). *Demystifying serendipity: How mundane practices enable the identification and pursuit of extraordinary discoveries*. **Strategic Organization**, 23(5). (Long-term organizational study. Defines “serendipity work” via everyday practices that lead to unexpected discoveries over time <sup>13</sup> <sup>42</sup>.)
- Knabe, M., Altenmüller, M. S., et al. (2025). *Lucky Coincidences: Experiencing Serendipity in Museums and Beyond*. **J. Applied Social Psychology**, 55(11): 855–870. (Multi-study paper introducing a serendipity experience scale <sup>26</sup>. Found serendipity is independent of personality traits but linked to situational interest and interactive engagement <sup>28</sup>. Offers a validated measure of serendipitous experience.)
- Yan, Z. (2020). *Serendipity: Accuracy’s Unpopular Best Friend in Recommenders*. (Blog summary of recommender system literature. Defines serendipity as *unexpectedness* × *relevance* <sup>31</sup> and reviews metrics and trade-offs in algorithmic recommendations <sup>56</sup> <sup>57</sup>.)

- Shaped.ai Blog. (2025). *Not your average RecSys metrics. Part 1: Serendipity*. (Explainer on implementing serendipity metrics in machine learning. Emphasizes the “wow factor” of relevant-yet-unexpected recommendations <sup>29</sup> and balancing novelty with usefulness <sup>58</sup> .)
- Wu, T., & Williamson, B. (2025). *New Year, New Luck: Why Enhancing Serendipity Is a Skill*. **Psychology Today** (Jan 17, 2025). (Article on practical strategies to cultivate serendipity. Reinforces that luck can be “created” by mindset and habits <sup>2</sup> – e.g. maintaining curiosity, forward momentum, and resilience <sup>55</sup> <sup>54</sup> .)

*(Additional sources are embedded as inline citations in the text above, denoted by the bracketed numbers – for example, <sup>1</sup> – which correspond to specific lines from the source material.)*

---

<sup>1</sup> <sup>7</sup> <sup>8</sup> <sup>9</sup> <sup>22</sup> <sup>23</sup> Starting a Serendipity Journal to Connect the Dots | Psychology Today

<https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/connect-the-dots/202303/starting-a-serendipity-journal-to-connect-the-dots>

<sup>2</sup> <sup>10</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>17</sup> <sup>24</sup> <sup>54</sup> <sup>55</sup> New Year, New Luck: Why Enhancing Serendipity Is a Skill | Psychology Today

<https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/achieving-the-objective/202501/new-year-new-luck-why-enhancing-serendipity-is-a-skill>

<sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> <sup>5</sup> <sup>25</sup> (PDF) A user-centred mobile diary study approach to understanding serendipity in information research

[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/286987696\\_A\\_user-centred\\_mobile\\_diary\\_study\\_approach\\_to\\_understanding\\_serendipity\\_in\\_information\\_research](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/286987696_A_user-centred_mobile_diary_study_approach_to_understanding_serendipity_in_information_research)

<sup>6</sup> <sup>51</sup> <sup>52</sup> What Is A Serendipity Journal And How Can It Change Your Spiritual Journey?

<https://www.glam.com/1262079/what-serendipity-journal-how-change-spiritual-journey/>

<sup>11</sup> <sup>16</sup> <sup>18</sup> <sup>20</sup> <sup>21</sup> <sup>53</sup> Investigating Serendipity: How It Unfolds and What may Influence It | Request PDF

[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/273129314\\_Investigating\\_Serendipity\\_How\\_It\\_Unfolds\\_and\\_What\\_may\\_Influence\\_It](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/273129314_Investigating_Serendipity_How_It_Unfolds_and_What_may_Influence_It)

<sup>13</sup> <sup>14</sup> <sup>15</sup> <sup>41</sup> <sup>42</sup> <sup>43</sup> (PDF) EXPRESS: Demystifying serendipity: How mundane practices enable the identification and pursuit of extraordinary discoveries

[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/395945653\\_EXPRESS\\_Demystifying\\_serendipity\\_How\\_mundane\\_practices\\_enable\\_the\\_identification\\_and\\_pursuit\\_of\\_extraordinary\\_discoveries](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/395945653_EXPRESS_Demystifying_serendipity_How_mundane_practices_enable_the_identification_and_pursuit_of_extraordinary_discoveries)

<sup>19</sup> [PDF] Journal of Documentation - Nottingham Repository

<https://nottingham-repository.worktribe.com/OutputFile/961743>

<sup>26</sup> <sup>27</sup> <sup>28</sup> (PDF) Lucky Coincidences: Experiencing Serendipity in Museums and Beyond

[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/395715392\\_Lucky\\_Coincidences\\_Experiencing\\_Serendipity\\_in\\_Museums\\_and\\_Beyond](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/395715392_Lucky_Coincidences_Experiencing_Serendipity_in_Museums_and_Beyond)

<sup>29</sup> <sup>30</sup> <sup>58</sup> Not your average RecSys metrics. Part 1: Serendipity | Shaped Blog

<https://www.shaped.ai/blog/not-your-average-recsys-metrics-part-1-serendipity>

<sup>31</sup> <sup>32</sup> <sup>33</sup> <sup>34</sup> <sup>35</sup> <sup>36</sup> <sup>37</sup> <sup>38</sup> <sup>39</sup> <sup>40</sup> <sup>56</sup> <sup>57</sup> Serendipity: Accuracy’s Unpopular Best Friend in Recommenders

<https://eugeneyan.com/writing/serendipity-and-accuracy-in-recommender-systems/>

<sup>44</sup> <sup>45</sup> <sup>46</sup> Serendipity for Serendipity - by Ivo Velitchkov

<https://www.linkandth.ink/p/serendipity-for-serendipity>

<sup>47</sup> How To Create Serendipity on Remote Teams

<https://www.remoteworkprep.com/blog/how-to-create-serendipity-on-remote-teams>

48 Donut | Slack Marketplace

<https://slack.com/marketplace/A11MJ51SR-donut>

49 Assisted Serendipity, Random Coffee and the power ... - Emily Webber

<https://emilywebber.co.uk/assisted-serendipity-random-coffee-and-the-power-of-the-unstructured-meeting/>

50 Virtual Coffee Program | Slack Channel Templates by Donut

<https://www.donut.com/templates/virtual-coffee-program/>