

THE LEVOGYRE AND THE “DONUT OF ATTENTION”: A MECHANICAL METAPHOR FOR ATTENTIONAL DYNAMICS

INTRODUCTION

The Levogyre is an intriguing concept that straddles the boundary between visionary engineering and symbolic art. Originally the title of a 1974 painting by artist Paul Laffoley, **The Levogyre** depicts a complex gyroscopic device – essentially a series of nested spherical shells connected by gimbals. Laffoley conceived it as a **blueprint for a time machine** based on advanced gyroscopic principles, blending science, metaphysics, and art. This report examines **what The Levogyre is**, its design and supposed operation, and whether it represents a real or purely conceptual device. We then explore how the Levogyre’s form and function might serve as a **metaphor for cognitive processes**, specifically relating to an idea called the **“Donut of Attention.”** The Donut of Attention is a metaphorical framework in cognitive science and psychology that describes how focus and awareness can be distributed – often likened to a ring-shaped or toroidal pattern of attention rather than a single spotlight. By analyzing the Levogyre’s structure and mechanics (notably its gyroscopic, circular-motion system) and drawing parallels to attentional dynamics, we can assess how this visual/mechanical metaphor might enhance understanding of **attentional flow in human cognition.**

THE LEVOGYRE: DESIGN AND CONCEPT

Origin and Inspiration: The term “levogyre” literally means *left-turning* (from Latin *laevus* = left, and *gyre* = turn), and historically it referred to leftward rotation in science. In astronomy, *the Levogyre* was once used to describe an ancient cosmological model – the discredited system of Eudoxus of Cnidus, in which the cosmos consisted of “a series of nested crystalline spheres” carrying stars and planets around the Earth, each sphere connected by gimbal-like axes. Paul Laffoley drew inspiration from such nested-sphere concepts when creating his painting *The Levogyre*. The painting presents an **intricate device of concentric shells** within one another, much like the celestial spheres, but reimaged as a futuristic machine. Laffoley – who was both an artist and an “architect of alternate realities” – conceived this device as a literal **time-machine blueprint** expressed on canvas.

Design Features: At its core, The Levogyre is described as “*a new type of gyroscope*” – essentially a **gyroscope within a gyroscope, within a gyroscope, and so on**. According to Laffoley’s own explanation, it consists of **multiple nested spheres (shells)** that are able to rotate independently. These concentric shells are “*connected by gimbals*”, meaning each sphere can pivot on an axis relative to the one enclosing it. In a traditional gyroscope, a rotor spins within gimbaled rings to maintain orientation; Laffoley’s design generalizes this into many layers. Uniquely, **the axes of rotation are arranged as two interlocking three-dimensional equiangular spirals**. An equiangular spiral (also known as a logarithmic spiral) is a curve that winds around a center with a constant angle to the radius – a shape that appears in nature (shells, galaxies) and has self-similar, infinite properties. Laffoley incorporates “*all the infinity propositions, the logarithmic spiral, like the black hole*” into the Levogyre’s geometry. The result is that the rotational axes of the nested spheres are not simply perpendicular (as in normal gimbals) but instead twist around in a spiral configuration, “*fragmented... into two interlocking tridimensional equiangular spirals*”. This double-spiral framework evokes a **helix or toroidal structure** and suggests a highly symmetrical, perhaps self-stabilizing rotation system.

Another key component is the use of **high-speed light rotation** within the device. Laffoley noted that “*I have a laser going in a circle [inside the Levogyre]. So I have something going at the speed of light in*

the device.” This detail implies a **ring-laser gyroscope** element – ring lasers are used in modern navigation to detect rotation, but here the concept is pushed further. By circulating a laser beam around the apparatus (presumably along a circular path within one of the spherical shells), the design ensures that part of the system moves at light speed. In theory, this could introduce relativistic effects (since light-speed rotation could be related to frame-dragging or time dilation within the device).

The construction of the Levogyre would require extraordinary precision and materials. Laffoley imagined assembling the spherical shells using **ferrofluid oil as a medium**. Ferrofluid is a liquid that becomes strongly magnetized in the presence of a magnetic field; using it as a lubricant or suspension medium suggests a way to “stack” the spinning shells with minimal friction and perhaps magnetic support. Essentially, each sphere could float within its enclosing sphere, stabilized by magnetic-fluid bearings, allowing **multiple freely-spinning layers** without direct mechanical contact. Laffoley remarked that building this would require a collaboration “of a steelworker and a Swiss watchmaker” – in other words, combining heavy industrial engineering with micromechanical precision – and “*would cost billions of dollars just to make the first one*”. This underscores that the Levogyre was never an actual built device but a *conceptual* design pushing the limits of technology.

Operation and Theoretical Mechanism: If one could construct The Levogyre, how would it work? Laffoley believed that when set in motion, the device would **circumvent normal physics**. The nested gyroscopes spinning along spiral axes with a circulating laser were theorized to produce remarkable effects: for one, an **anomalous reduction in weight (mass) while spinning**. He referenced that “*any gyroscope weighs less while it’s in operation than when it’s still*” – citing an experimental claim by Hayasaka and Takeuchi (1989) where spinning gyros reportedly lost a tiny fraction of their weight. (This claim was controversial and not widely accepted in mainstream physics, but it fascinated Laffoley.) The Levogyre’s design was intended to maximize this effect. By spinning on multiple axes with a logarithmic spiral alignment, the device could effectively decouple from Earth’s gravitational frame. Laffoley asserted “*the whole contraption will go in the air*”, essentially levitating when activated. In his words, “*It disconnects from the regular laws of physics*”. The **nested rotations** and the light-circulation would create conditions for **extreme time dilation**, “*much stronger than anything Einstein thought of*”. In other words, The Levogyre was meant to distort spacetime around it, slowing time down (or perhaps accelerating the device into the future relative to outside observers) – functioning as a time machine.

Crucially, *The Levogyre was a conceptual or symbolic device, not a prototype of something that exists*. Laffoley was a visionary artist and described his works as “*structured singularities*” – fusions of scientific diagrams and mystical ideas. *The Levogyre* painting can be read as a diagrammatic portrayal of a **futuristic machine** that obeys speculative physics. Its **reality status** is firmly in the realm of concept art and theoretical exploration. There is no evidence that a levogyre device was ever built or tested; rather, it’s an **artistic thought-experiment**. In Laffoley’s oeuvre, this painting is one instance where he blends engineering drawings with metaphysical purpose. As an imaginative invention, it serves a dual role: on one hand, it’s a fantastical piece of technology; on the other, it’s “*a cartography of consciousness*” – a map connecting physics and metaphysics.

MECHANICAL AND SYMBOLIC IMPLICATIONS OF THE LEVOGYRE

Despite being fictional, The Levogyre’s design has rich **mechanical implications**. It expands on the principle of a **gyroscope**, a device known for its ability to maintain orientation due to angular momentum. A standard gyroscope consists of a spinning wheel mounted in one or more gimbal rings, allowing it to tilt freely while spinning and thus resist changes to its axis (gyroscopic inertia). Laffoley scaled this idea up to a nested, multi-axis system. The implications of such a system include:

- **Extreme Stability and Degrees of Freedom:** A gyroscope within a gyroscope (repeated many times) would have compounded stability. Each spinning shell would resist torque from different directions, potentially making the entire system extraordinarily stable in orientation. The many gimbaled layers grant multiple degrees of freedom – the inner core could reorient in any direction

relative to the outer frame without losing its spin. As Laffoley described, “*It’s a gyroscope within a gyroscope, within a gyroscope...*”, suggesting an infinitely maneuverable yet self-stabilizing structure. In a metaphorical sense, one could imagine this as maintaining a steady focus (the inner core’s orientation) even while the external frame shifts – a point we will revisit when discussing attention.

- **Spiral Axes and Rotation Dynamics:** The choice of **equiangular (logarithmic) spirals** for axis alignment is mechanically unusual. In practical terms, it might mean that each successive gimbal is rotated a fixed angle relative to the previous, not just around one plane but in a continuously twisting manner – forming a helix through the center of the spheres. If one were to connect the orientation vectors of all shells, they would map out spiral curves. Mechanically, this could cause any precession (wobble) of the gyroscope to follow a spiral path rather than a circular one. Symbolically, the logarithmic spiral is known as the “spiral of infinity” – it never reaches the center and never fully unwinds, embodying continuous growth or expansion. Including two interlocking spirals (perhaps a left-handed and right-handed spiral intertwined) could balance the system’s rotation or create a **double-helix structure** at the core. This invites comparison to DNA’s double helix (an emblem of life) or to the duality of forces (perhaps analogous to yin-yang in motion). In purely mechanical terms, interlocking spirals might distribute forces evenly or allow the device to spin up in a self-reinforcing way. In *The Levogyre*, Laffoley might have been implying that such a configuration harnesses rotational symmetry to achieve a breakthrough (like breaking free from gravity or linear time).

- **Relativistic and Gravitational Effects:** By incorporating a circulating laser (moving at light speed) and extreme rotational speeds, *The Levogyre* touches on **frame-dragging** and relativistic mass effects. In general relativity, a rapidly rotating mass can drag spacetime around with it (the Lense-Thirring effect), and light circulating in a loop can create a stable reference (ring laser gyros measure inertial rotation with high precision). Laffoley’s claim that the device “*creates a time dilation much stronger than Einstein thought of*” suggests he envisioned *using rotation to warp time*. The nested spinning shells could generate a strong gravitational field or reduce effective mass (“*weighs less in operation*”) by some unknown physics. While real physics does not support anti-gravity from gyroscopes at the macroscopic level, the **mechanical analogy** here is of *rotation leading to liberation from weight and normal time*. This will later serve as a metaphor for how the mind might “lift off” from the present and roam through time when in certain states of attention or consciousness.

- **Real vs. Conceptual Device:** Practically, *The Levogyre* must be regarded as **conceptual and symbolic**. Laffoley himself acknowledged that it was a speculative invention requiring futuristic engineering. No peer-reviewed scientific proposal exists that matches the *Levogyre*’s design exactly; it’s better understood in the context of *visionary art and esoteric technology*. In interviews, Laffoley indicated that initially people thought him “crazy” for proposing it, until some fringe scientific findings gave a hint of plausibility. But even then, he frames it as a device that would cost an astronomical sum and is on the edge of known science. Thus, *The Levogyre is not a real machine one can build today* – it’s a **blueprint of a hypothetical machine** that serves to challenge our notions of physics and hint at new possibilities.

Philosophical and Consciousness Aspects: Beyond mechanics, Laffoley imbued *The Levogyre* with a *psychological and mystical purpose*. It was not meant as a time machine in the sci-fi sense of a vehicle you sit in and physically travel to the past or future. Instead, it was conceived to act on the **user’s mind and perception**. As journalist Rupert Howe described, Laffoley’s *Levogyre* “*wouldn’t actually transport anyone. Rather, the user’s mental abilities would be enhanced to the point where they could see far into the past and future.*” In other words, the machine’s real function was to **amplify consciousness** or open the doors of perception through time. This notion aligns with Laffoley’s broader artistic goal of uniting mind and matter. In a 2025 retrospective, it’s noted that Laffoley’s gyroscopic device was “*an imaginative mechanism theorized to lose mass and gain consciousness – illustrating his belief that time could be navigated through consciousness amplification rather than brute mechanical force*”. Here we see *The Levogyre* as a **metaphorical bridge between physics and mind**: by spinning fast enough to shed weight (mass-energy), the device would somehow convert that energy into heightened consciousness, enabling time exploration via the mind.

This almost mystical interpretation suggests that Laffoley saw *The Levogyre* as more than a machine – it was an **artistic metaphor for elevating human awareness**. The nested spheres could represent layers of the psyche or levels of reality; the gyroscopic stability could symbolize a focused mind; the spirals indicate an infinite progression of understanding; and the laser light embodies enlightenment

or insight. With this in mind, we can already glimpse how such a structure might serve as a powerful metaphor for cognitive processes like **attention**.

THE "DONUT OF ATTENTION": A FRAMEWORK FOR FOCUS AND AWARENESS

Before linking The Levogyre to attentional dynamics, it's important to outline what the "**Donut of Attention**" means in a cognitive context. In cognitive psychology and neuroscience, attention is often likened to a **spotlight** that highlights certain information in our awareness. Classic models describe a "spotlight of attention" or a "zoom-lens" that can widen or narrow. However, research has shown that attentional focus need not always be a single contiguous beam – it can take on more complex shapes, such as a **ring**. In fact, Müller and Hübner (2002) demonstrated that the attentional spotlight can **exclude the center and form a doughnut-shaped focus**, attending to an annular region while ignoring the middle. In their experiment, subjects viewed a stream of visual stimuli with one set of items in the center and another in the periphery. By instructing subjects to ignore the center and attend only to the surrounding stimuli (or vice versa), they found significantly different brain responses. The *steady-state visual evoked potentials* nearly doubled for attended vs. ignored stimuli, proving that people *can indeed attend to a peripheral ring and filter out the center*. The authors concluded that "*the attentional spotlight can be formed like a doughnut, processing central information differentially depending on whether it is attended or ignored.*"

This finding supports the idea of a "**Donut of Attention**," where attention is concentrated in a ring around a certain point. In plainer terms, one can focus on context and surroundings while deliberately NOT focusing on what is at the center. This goes against a naive view that whatever lies in the middle of our gaze or thought is always attended – humans can maintain an *attentional void* at the center (the *hole* of the donut) and pay attention to the periphery (the *dough* of the donut).

Metaphorically, the "Donut of Attention" captures scenarios like:

- **Context over core:** Focusing on the environment around an object rather than the object itself. (For example, a driver might keep attention on the road peripherally while not staring at any one spot directly.)
- **Indirect focus or open monitoring:** In meditation or awareness practices, one might practice *open monitoring*, where instead of fixating on a single point (like the breath or a mantra), attention is broadly open to all sensations except one avoids getting "stuck" on any particular one – creating a sort of attentional donut where awareness encircles experiences without zeroing in on any single thought.
- **"Focus on the donut, not the hole":** As a popular saying, it encourages looking at what is present (the donut) rather than what is absent (the hole). In an attentional sense, this means appreciating the background or the bigger picture rather than obsessing over a missing piece. This saying, though colloquial, resonates with the idea that shifting attention from the obvious void to the surrounding features can yield a more positive or holistic perception.

Cognitively, attention is often split into **focus** (the central, high-resolution focus of processing) and **peripheral awareness** (the broad, low-resolution monitoring of context). The donut metaphor suggests we can invert the usual priority – sometimes the center (focus) is suppressed and the periphery becomes the locus of attention. Interestingly, this relates to certain visual/cognitive techniques. For instance, astronomers using the naked eye to observe faint stars often **look slightly away from the star** so that the image falls on the more light-sensitive peripheral retina – effectively using a "donut" strategy (avoiding direct focus on the target to perceive it better). In psychology, one could think of solving a problem by *not* staring at it head-on; instead, you let your mind attend around it (considering the context, letting the solution emerge from the periphery of thought).

In summary, the Donut of Attention is a way to conceptualize **attentional distribution and flow** where there is an inner gap and an outer band of focus. It highlights the dynamic nature of attention – it can

be shaped and redirected in non-intuitive ways. With this understanding, we can now draw parallels between the **mechanics of The Levogyre** and the **dynamics of attention (the attentional donut)**.

THE LEVOGYRE AS A METAPHOR FOR ATTENTIONAL DYNAMICS

The structure and operation of The Levogyre provide a rich metaphorical template for thinking about **focus, awareness, and the flow of attention**. Several key analogies emerge:

- **Nested Spheres = Layers of Attention:** The multiple concentric shells of The Levogyre can symbolize the **hierarchical layers of attention and awareness**. We often have different “levels” of cognitive processing happening at once – a focused task in the foreground, broader situational awareness in the background, and perhaps even self-awareness observing the process. These could be seen as concentric layers of a mental “sphere.” In the Levogyre metaphor, the **innermost spinning sphere** might represent the point of **focused attention** (what we are directly and actively concentrating on). Surrounding it, the next sphere could represent **peripheral attention** (what we are aware of in the background). Further out could be **contextual or meta-awareness** (awareness of the environment or of oneself, watching the mind from a higher level). Each layer can rotate or shift independently (just as each Levogyre shell spins on its own gimbal) yet all layers are nested and connected. This suggests that while we may shift our focus at one level, another level can remain stable. For example, you might be intently focused on reading a book (inner sphere spinning steadily on that task) while still vaguely noticing the music playing in the room (an outer sphere of ambient awareness turning slowly), and even keeping an overarching awareness that “I have 30 minutes before my meeting” (an even larger contextual awareness). In a well-functioning attentional system, these layers are **aligned and coordinated**, much like the Levogyre’s shells harmonize in rotation. If one layer is perturbed (say a sudden loud noise grabs your peripheral attention), the gimbaled connection allows your focus to pivot – you turn toward the sound (rotating an inner shell to a new orientation) while the overall structure remains intact. This **gimbal-like flexibility** reflects how attention can shift orientation to a new focus without the mind entirely losing its stability.

- **Gyroscopic Stability = Sustained Focus:** A gyroscope’s hallmark is stability against perturbation. This is a fitting analogy for **sustained attention** – the ability to maintain focus on a task or object despite distractions. In the Levogyre, as each layer spins, it contributes to a compounded gyroscopic inertia that resists external torque. Likewise, when we are deeply absorbed in something (in a “flow state”), our attention gains inertia – distractions have less effect, and we tend to stay oriented on our task. The concept of **inertial attention** can be visualized as a spinning gyroscopic mind: once it’s *up to speed*, it holds its direction. Moreover, Laffoley’s Levogyre design with *two interlocking spirals* as axes suggests **balance and symmetry**, analogous to balancing different aspects of attention. We often balance **bottom-up attention** (stimulus-driven, involuntary, from the environment) with **top-down attention** (goal-driven, voluntary). These two can be thought of as counter-rotating or interwoven forces in the mind. A well-balanced attention system is like a well-tuned gyroscope: it won’t tip over easily. In fact, the phrase “**attentional balance**” is used in mindfulness literature to denote a mind that can stay centered without constantly being toppled by distractions. The Levogyre’s mechanical stability provides a vivid image for this mental quality.

- **Rotation and Circulation = Shifting Focus and Perspective:** Attention is not static; it **moves and cycles**. We scan visual scenes, we shift our thought focus from one idea to another – often in a circular or cyclical manner (for example, reviewing a set of points in an argument repeatedly, or periodically checking mirrors while driving). The Levogyre’s continuous rotations and its circulating laser light evoke this ongoing **circulation of attention**. One could imagine the laser circling at light speed as analogous to the mind’s rapid switching or sampling of the environment – some studies even liken attention to a “strobing” or oscillating process rather than a steady beam. The interlocking spirals might be seen as two cycles of attention: perhaps one spiral could represent the cycle of **external attention** (scanning outward stimuli) and the other **internal attention** (monitoring one’s thoughts and impressions). In cognitive terms, we often speak of **attentional oscillation**, where focus

alternates between different sources (like listening to someone while also internally reflecting, going back and forth). The double spiral rotating in The Levogyre beautifully mirrors a **dual-loop circulation of attention** – one loop might sweep through external sensory space, and the other through internal mental space, intertwining to form a cohesive experience.

- **Toroidal or Donut Shape:** Although The Levogyre is composed of spheres, the presence of two interlocking equiangular spirals hints at a possible **toroidal shape** (a torus, or donut shape) if one considers the field or influence of the device. In electromagnetism, a spinning charged sphere or certain configurations can produce toroidal magnetic fields. By analogy, a **spinning consciousness** might project a toroidal field of attention. How does this relate to the “Donut of Attention”? If we take the **torus as a model for awareness**, one can imagine attention circulating around a central void. The Levogyre’s operation – shedding weight and floating – even conjures the image of a magnetic levitation, which often employs toroidal magnetic fields. In a metaphorical sense, when your mind achieves a balanced, distributed attention (not fixated narrowly, but also not utterly unfocused), it may feel like awareness surrounds experience evenly – **360 degrees of mindful presence with a calm center**. This is very much like a donut: awareness is wrapped around, and the center is a quiet void (no single object dominating attention). In meditation practices, this is sometimes described as maintaining **centerless attention** – attention with no particular center, which is essentially a doughnut configuration (all margin, no center). The Levogyre, by physically embodying a loop (laser ring) and a potential toroidal spin field, provides a **visual aid for this concept**. One could say: *imagine your mind as a Levogyre – a dynamic, rotating system where your focus can orbit around your object of meditation, rather than locking onto it, thus keeping a broad yet engaged awareness*. This might support techniques where you don’t stare “through” the hole (not over-focusing) but rather keep a flexible focus that can rotate to whatever is needed.

- **Consciousness Amplification and Attention:** Laffoley believed the Levogyre would *amplify mental ability and consciousness*. In cognitive terms, one way to amplify conscious experience is through skillful deployment of attention. Attention acts as a **gain control** for the mind – what we attend to seems louder or brighter in our experience (neuroscientifically, attention increases neural firing for attended stimuli). By metaphor, the Levogyre’s ability to “gain consciousness” can be related to **heightened attentional focus and situational awareness**. When one achieves the kind of balanced attention described by the Donut metaphor, it often correlates with a sense of clarity and even altered perception of time. For example, in a state of “flow” (deep engagement), people report that time seems to either slow down or vanish – a form of subjective time dilation. It’s intriguing to parallel this with the Levogyre’s literal time dilation effect. We might say that a *well-honed attention is like a time machine for the mind*. It can make hours feel like minutes or vice versa depending on how engaged or distributed the focus is. If The Levogyre were used as a teaching symbol, it might encourage an understanding that **by rotating one’s “attention gyroscope” correctly, one can bend one’s experience of time and deepen one’s conscious awareness**.

- **Dual Spiral = Dual Attention Processes:** Cognitive psychology often distinguishes between two attentional modes: focused vs. diffuse, or convergent vs. divergent thinking. The double spiral could metaphorically correspond to these two modes working in tandem. One spiral could be tightening inward (converging focus on a detail) while the other expands outward (diverging to take in the bigger picture). Interlocking them means **simultaneous focus and context** – very much what the “donut of attention” implies (maintaining a broad awareness around a focal void). This interplay is crucial in tasks that require creativity or situational awareness: you don’t want to lose the forest for the trees (i.e., not get so fixated that you miss context), nor do you want to overlook key details (not so broad that nothing is scrutinized). The Levogyre’s design inherently combines a focus (the inner core spinning at light-speed laser) and a broad structure (multiple large shells spinning). It’s like having a *high-intensity core of attention and a supportive periphery of attention*, tightly coupled.

LINKING THE LEVOGYRE TO THE DONUT OF ATTENTION: VISUALIZATION AND COGNITIVE INSIGHTS

Bringing these analogies together, we can see *The Levogyre as an artistic visualization of attentional dynamics*. Its mechanical features serve as a **metaphorical diagram** for how attention might operate in the mind:

- **Imagine attention as a **rotating field**:** The mind can be visualized as containing rotating “rings” of attention, analogous to the Levogyre’s spinning shells. These rings correspond to different scopes or targets of attention (inner ring = the task at hand, next ring = peripheral cues, outer ring = background concerns, for instance). Just as in the Levogyre the rings are nested and influence each other via gimbals, in the mind these layers of attention are connected – a shift in one layer (say something in peripheral vision suddenly demands focus) can cause a reorientation of the whole structure smoothly. This addresses the *flow of attention*: rather than a jerky jump from one focus to another, a well-regulated attentional system might *pivot* attention smoothly – much like a gimbal allows a gradual reorientation. The gyroscopic steadiness ensures that even as attention shifts, there is continuity (one doesn’t get completely disoriented when switching tasks if attention is well-managed).

- **Consider the “doughnut” shape of focused vs unfocused zones:** We can overlay a torus (donut) on the Levogyre image – the central hole of the donut could coincide with the intense core (perhaps where the laser circulates at light speed in the device, we place the *object of focus* there conceptually, but note that in the donut metaphor we are *not* directly looking at that object; rather we are attending around it). The torus’ ring would pass through the region of the spinning shells. In this visualization, one achieves optimal awareness when one’s attention is in a toroidal flow – **circling the object of interest, examining it from all sides, while not getting stuck “inside” it**. This is akin to how a seasoned thinker might handle a problem: instead of obsessively staring at one aspect (which can be like falling into the hole), they mentally orbit the problem, seeing its context and perimeter clearly. The Levogyre’s physical ability to see “*far into the past and future*” can be likened to an attentive mind’s ability to consider past experiences and future implications of a problem by not being myopically present-focused. In cognitive terms, attention that is free to roam (due to not being locked onto a single point) can integrate memory (past) and anticipation (future) more effectively – a skill often used in planning and learning from experience.

- **Attentional Energy and Momentum:** The Levogyre requires an initial input of energy to get all those shells spinning and the laser circulating. Once it’s spinning, it stores tremendous angular momentum. Similarly, training one’s attention (through practices like mindfulness or even certain video games or sports that require focus) invests mental energy into creating a stable, resilient attention. Over time, a practiced attention system has **momentum** – it can carry through lengthy tasks and is less perturbed by random thoughts (analogous to the gyroscope resisting tilting). The metaphor can be encouraging: when distracted, one might recall the image of the Levogyre and imagine re-spinning the inner gyroscope of focus, bringing it back to speed, so the whole system re-stabilizes. The nested nature reminds us that even if an outer layer (background thought) wobbles, the inner focus can remain spinning, and vice versa – thus we can regain balance if one part of our attention falters.

- **Supporting Creativity and Insight:** Artists and psychologists have noted that **shifting attention in unconventional ways can spark insight**. For example, defocusing slightly or attending to the “negative space” around a problem can lead to creative solutions. The Levogyre, with its unusual geometry, might inspire one to think of attention not just as a beam but as a **complex, rotating sculpture** in the mind. This could open up new strategies: perhaps solving a problem by mentally “rotating” it, i.e., examining it from different angles sequentially (like how a gyroscope can be oriented in any direction). The device’s **mandala-like structure** (Laffoley’s paintings often resemble mandalas) reinforces a holistic, integrative approach to cognition. Mandalas are used in some contemplative traditions to center the mind – The Levogyre could act as a modern mandala for centering the mind on the process of attention itself. One could meditate on how each ring corresponds to an aspect of awareness, thus gaining insight into one’s own attentional habits.

- **Visual Aid for Teaching Attention:** In an educational or coaching setting, explaining the abstract concept of attention can be aided by visuals. If one has the image of *The Levogyre*, it can be dissected as follows: “See this innermost circle – think of that as what you’re directly focusing on. See the next circle – that’s what you’re still aware of but not focused on. Notice how the inner one is free to spin on its axis (it can change what it points at) but it’s supported by the outer frame – meaning your broad awareness supports your narrow focus. Now, this entire structure is spinning and stable, which is like your mind being in a groove when you’re concentrating well. If something tries to knock you

off task, like a force pushing on a gyroscope, the spinning resists – that's your trained concentration protecting your task." Using the Levogyre metaphor in this way could make the idea of **selective attention and peripheral monitoring** more concrete for learners. It also conveys the importance of balance: all parts must work in harmony (if one ring wasn't aligned properly, a real gyroscope would wobble – similarly, if your peripheral awareness is too neglected or too noisy, it can sabotage your focus).

- **Attentional Flow and Time Perception:** Lastly, consider the Levogyre's time-machine aspect. When one is deeply attentive, often **time perception is altered** – you might "lose track of time" or conversely feel a moment stretch out (athletes sometimes report a feeling of time slowing during high focus moments). This is essentially a cognitive time dilation. It occurs because attention and memory encoding are tightly linked; when we are highly engaged, we lay down dense memories which afterwards make the interval seem long in retrospect, yet during the activity, we aren't noticing time at all. The Levogyre physically embodying time dilation is a perfect external analogy to this internal experience. It suggests that *through mastering attention (getting all those gyroscopic layers spinning in sync), one can experience a form of mental time travel or time expansion*. This could inspire psychological explorations: for instance, could we design an "attentional device" (perhaps in VR or biofeedback) that helps people feel time differently? The Levogyre concept might influence such designs by indicating that **circular motion and feedback loops** are key – perhaps using rotating visual or auditory stimuli to entrain a user's attention into a stable loop, inducing a flow state. In fact, some meditation techniques use circles or mantras (repeating cycles) to achieve exactly that.

ARTISTIC AND COGNITIVE INTERPLAY: ENHANCING UNDERSTANDING OF ATTENTION

The intersection of *The Levogyre* and the *Donut of Attention* exemplifies how **artistic metaphors can enrich psychological insight**. Laffoley's creation, though esoteric, provides a **multi-sensory symbol** – visual (concentric circles, spirals), kinetic (spinning motion), and conceptual (floating, transcending time) – that maps onto complex cognitive phenomena:

- **Focus vs. Fringe:** The image of nested rotating shells helps one imagine the focus-fringe relationship in attention. Rather than a flat spotlight, it's a *3D structure with depth*, implying that what's at the center of attention can be embedded in broader layers of awareness. This could help people understand that *it's possible to maintain peripheral awareness while focusing*, a skill often cultivated in activities like driving, flying, or playing team sports (where you focus on a ball or task but still sense the players or environment around). The Levogyre metaphor shows these layers physically – you cannot have the inner gyroscope without the outer support structure, which is a powerful lesson: **strong focus relies on a stable context of awareness**.

- **Attentional Shifts as Rotations:** Thinking of shifting attention as rotating a gyroscope is an elegant way to emphasize continuity. Instead of "dropping" one thought and picking up another abruptly, one can *rotate into the next task*. This is akin to reducing the cognitive cost of task-switching by keeping some momentum. For example, if you finish writing an email and then turn to write a report, you might mentally "rotate" your perspective, carrying over relevant context. In the Levogyre sense, the same apparatus (your mind) just pivots to a new orientation. Nothing is lost; you just face a new direction. This continuous transformation viewpoint can help reduce the feeling of interruption – useful in productivity and multi-tasking contexts.

- **Preventing Cognitive "Tipping":** One common issue in attention is getting "stuck" or conversely getting overwhelmed. A narrow focus might lead to tunnel vision (losing the bigger picture), whereas too broad focus leads to distraction and no progress. The Levogyre's balanced gyroscopic motion is a reminder of *avoiding extremes*: if one side of the spiral dominates, the system would wobble. Psychologically, if one aspect of attention (say external stimuli) completely overtakes internal focus, we get distracted; if internal thoughts completely override external awareness, we get lost in thought or miss important input. The dual-spiral model suggests a dynamic equilibrium. This metaphor can help people self-correct: if you feel too fixated, consciously "expand your spiral"

outward a bit (noticing context); if you feel too scattered, “spin up the inner core” (pick one focal point to return to). In essence, it’s like tuning a complex instrument – *The Levogyre instrument of the mind*.

- **Innovation in Cognitive Models:** The Donut of Attention is itself a relatively novel way to think about attention (beyond the old spotlight metaphor). By linking it with The Levogyre, we introduce *mechanical systems thinking* into cognitive science. There is a tradition of using physical metaphors in psychology (e.g., Freud’s hydraulic model of instincts, or the brain as a computer). Here we propose a **gyroscopic model of attention**. Such a model might inspire formal theory: one could mathematically model attention as a set of coupled oscillators or spinning vectors (some cognitive neuroscientists do use oscillatory models for attention rhythms). The “donut” aspect could be represented as a **center-surround distribution** of gain (with suppressed center and enhanced surround), analogous to center-surround receptive fields in the visual system. Notably, the visual attention system in the brain does have a kind of center-surround organization (enhancing contrast by inhibiting what’s not attended). The Levogyre’s nested spheres could analogize the multiple spatial frequency or scale channels in vision (we process both global shapes and local details – akin to big outer sphere vs small inner sphere). Thus, the metaphor might not just be poetry; it could hint at actual mechanisms (e.g., **attentional gimbals** could correspond to neural circuits that allow shifting attention independently of eye movements or changing tasks while maintaining stability – perhaps involving the thalamus and frontal executive areas acting like gimbal joints, stabilizing attention).

In conclusion, **The Levogyre** – while a fantastical construct – serves as a compelling *visual and conceptual metaphor for attention*. It illustrates that a *well-regulated attentional system is not a simple beam, but a multi-layered, dynamic structure* capable of remarkable stability and flexibility. The idea of a “**Donut of Attention**” is enriched by this metaphor, gaining a concrete imagery of rings and rotations rather than remaining an abstract notion. By examining The Levogyre’s design (nested rotating spheres, spiral axes, light loops) and intended effect (consciousness expansion, time dilation) and translating those into cognitive terms, we gain a novel perspective: *perhaps the mind, like a Levogyre, can be tuned to “spin” in such a way that it lifts us beyond ordinary perception of time and into a state of heightened awareness*. This synthesis of art, mechanics, and psychology not only aids understanding but also sparks imagination for how we might train attention or design cognitive tools. As Laffoley’s work suggests, the boundaries between machine and mind blur in the realm of ideas – a rotating gyroscope can be at once a physical device and a symbol of the ever-turning wheel of attention.

CONCLUSION

The Levogyre stands as a **conceptual time-machine** and a piece of visionary art, characterized by its gyroscopic nested spheres, interlocking spirals, and ambitious claim to alter gravity and time. While not a real device, its design encapsulates themes of *rotation, balance, and transcending limits*. When we map these themes onto the **cognitive arena of attention**, we find meaningful parallels. The notion of a “**Donut of Attention**” – where focus encircles a central void – can be vividly illustrated by the Levogyre’s structure, with concentric layers of activity and a core of light. Both concepts emphasize an alternative way of understanding focus: not as a single pinpoint, but as a structured field with internal dynamics.

By analyzing the Levogyre’s mechanical blueprint and symbolic purpose, we glean insights into attentional mechanics: the importance of multiple levels of awareness, the value of stability amid change (gyroscopic focus), and the possibility of *expanding consciousness by skillfully rotating our attention*. Artistic metaphors like the Levogyre invite us to visualize our mental processes, potentially making abstract ideas more graspable. In practical terms, this metaphor could influence techniques in meditation, learning, or interface design – any domain where managing attention is key – by encouraging designs that harness circular flows, peripheral cues, and center-surround focus methods.

In summary, while one might initially see **The Levogyre** and the **Donut of Attention** as unrelated – one a fanciful machine, the other a cognitive metaphor – a deeper exploration shows they converge on the concept of harnessing circular, dynamic systems to achieve extraordinary outcomes, be it traveling through time or achieving enlightened focus. Such interdisciplinary analogies underscore how **engineering, art, and psychology** can inform each other. They enrich our vocabulary for discussing the mind: we can speak of “spinning up our attention” or “maintaining a gyroscopic focus” and have a concrete picture of what that entails. Ultimately, the Levogyre metaphor supports and enhances the understanding of attentional flow by providing a **structured, visual language** to describe the delicate dance of focus and awareness – a dance as precise and profound as a nested gyroscope spinning frictionless in the void.

Sources

- Laffoley, Paul. *The Levogyre* (painting, 1974) – as referenced in **Whitewall Magazine** interview and Laffoley’s own commentary .
- Wolf, Guillaume. “**The Legacy of Paul Laffoley**” – *Whitewall* (Winter 2016). Interview with Paul Laffoley discussing *The Levogyre* as a time-machine gyroscope, design details and theoretical basis .
- Kent Fine Art Blog. “**Paul Laffoley’s Visionary Architecture and Esoteric Thought**” (April 25, 2025). Contextual analysis of Laffoley’s work, including the Levogyre’s concept of losing mass and gaining consciousness for time navigation .
- Howe, Rupert. “**Paul Laffoley**” – *Wonderland Magazine* (Sept. 24, 2009). Profile on Laffoley with description of The Levogyre’s purpose (*mental abilities enhanced to see past/future*) .
- **Wikipedia** – “Levogyre.” Disambiguation entry confirming the art piece (1974 painting by Laffoley of nested shells with gimbals) and origin of term in astronomy .
- Müller, M.M., & Hübner, R. (2002). “**Can the Spotlight of Attention be Shaped like a Doughnut? Evidence from Steady-State Visual Evoked Potentials.**” *Psychological Science*, 13(2), 119-124. Empirical support for the donut-shaped attentional focus (center ignored, surrounding attended) .
- Additional reference on attention metaphors: Princeton University news on attention as oscillating samples , and general cognitive science literature on spotlight vs. center-surround attention (Müller & Hübner’s study as cited above).