

# Creative Time: Self-Narrated Trajectory Mapping Toward Billion-Dollar Attractors

## Abstract

Individuals can learn to steer the course of their lives by combining personal narrative with advanced attention and time models. We introduce **Creative Time**, a speculative framework built on the *Donut of Attention* model – a toroidal (donut-shaped), holographic field model of attention – and its *Creative Time* sub-membrane. This framework treats one’s **“eternal-self-time”** as a subjective temporal coordinate system, wherein past experiences form memory attractors and future goals act as intentional attractors. By mapping life as a trajectory through a personal phase-space, Creative Time enables **self-narrated trajectory mapping** toward personally meaningful **“billion-dollar briefcase”** attractors (a metaphor for one’s most valuable, motivating goals). We describe how phase-space modeling, intention setting, and recursive memory loops can be integrated in an interactive DonutOS UI. The proposed interface – featuring orbit/phase indicators, control dials for attention, intention prompts, and memory anchors – helps users visualize and navigate multiple possible timelines. This approach aims to maximize “prepared luck” and serendipity by aligning everyday attention with long-term attractors, reinforcing narrative coherence **over** rigid control. We discuss theoretical underpinnings in attention research, time perception, predictive processing, chaos theory, quantum metaphor, and self-narrative psychology, and outline future experiments to test Creative Time as a personal navigation system for selecting and realizing desirable life timelines.

## Motivation

Modern life presents a paradox of choice and complexity: while we crave control over our destiny, the dynamics of real-world systems are highly unpredictable. Chaos theory famously illustrates this with the **butterfly effect**, where *“small changes can lead to largely altered outcomes, making the future vastly difficult to predict”* <sup>1</sup>. Traditional linear goal-setting or rational planning often fails in such nonlinear conditions. Instead of deterministic plans, we may need tools to **navigate by attractors** – stable patterns or goals that exert pull on our life trajectory even amid chaos. Researchers in applied psychology have begun to frame life design in complexity terms. For example, Ikiugu (2005) conceives *meaningfulness* as an **attractor** in one’s occupational life path, with conditions that make activities meaningful forming a “basin of attraction” that shapes the trajectory <sup>2</sup>. In one case study, a participant’s life project (becoming a doctor) functioned as a preferred attractor state, giving coherence and direction to her choices; consistency in study habits became part of the basin of attraction stabilizing that trajectory <sup>3</sup>. Such perspectives suggest that rather than micromanaging every step, individuals can orient toward **“personally meaningful attractors”** that naturally draw their efforts and attention.

However, identifying and navigating toward one’s ideal attractors (the metaphorical *“billion-dollar briefcases”* representing supremely valuable outcomes) is cognitively demanding. Humans have limited attentional capacity and must allocate it wisely. Classic models describe attention as a spotlight or zoom-lens – focusing on one region of space or one task at a time <sup>4</sup>. While useful, these metaphors are somewhat linear and

do not capture the holistic, field-like nature of lived experience. We are rarely attending to just a single point; rather, our attention *field* can encompass multiple threads (internal thoughts, external stimuli, memories, future plans) in parallel. A **toroidal field model of attention** posits that attention can be seen as a continuous loop flowing between an inner focus (self, memory, imagination) and outer focus (environment, tasks), more like a *doughnut-shaped* field than a narrow beam. This finds resonance in emerging interdisciplinary insights: across diverse complex systems – from physics to biology and AI – researchers observe recurring **toroidal geometries** and dynamic loops that balance stability with chaos <sup>5</sup>. Stability in such systems tends to support **memory, structure, and predictable patterns**, whereas chaotic dynamics promote **creativity, expansion, and exploratory adaptation** <sup>6</sup>. By modeling attention as a toroidal, self-organizing field, we can attempt to harness that balance: maintaining enough order for coherence and memory, while embracing enough chaos for novelty and creative insight.

Another motivation comes from the link between how we narrate our lives and our well-being. Psychological studies of **narrative identity** show that making sense of past events in a coherent story is associated with greater mental health and purpose. Autobiographical remembering serves crucial functions: *“interpreting and linking past events enables us to construct a personal identity and maintain a stable sense of self”*, and also guides our *“future behavior”* effectively <sup>7</sup>. In other words, a coherent narrative helps us see patterns in the past and project trajectories into the future. Conversely, a fragmented or incoherent self-story can leave one feeling directionless. Thus, there is a need for tools that help individuals continually integrate past experiences (anchors, lessons, rituals) with future intentions (goals, hopes) into a unified narrative – essentially keeping one’s “story” aligned with one’s desired “trajectory.”

Finally, advances in cognitive science encourage us to revisit how we conceive of time and foresight. The brain is not a passive recording device but an active **prediction machine**. In the predictive processing framework, *“the brain is constantly generating and updating a ‘mental model’ of the environment”* to anticipate incoming inputs <sup>8</sup>. Crucially, this predictive capacity *“depends on previous experience and builds on memories of various kinds”*, actively cooperating with memory systems to *“generate goal-directed and adapted behavior”* <sup>9</sup>. In essence, our brains are wired to use the past to sketch the future. We also have the remarkable ability for **mental time travel** or chronesthesia – the conscious awareness of subjective time that lets us re-live the past and pre-live possible futures <sup>10</sup> <sup>11</sup>. These faculties suggest that humans are inherently equipped to do what Creative Time proposes: survey the timeline of one’s life in imagination, revisit anchor points in the past, and chart paths into the future. The motivation, then, is to build a framework and interface that *amplifies* these natural abilities – guiding attention in a way that leverages our predictive brain, our narrative capacity, and our openness to serendipity, so that we can deliberately **“select timelines”** aligned with our values.

In summary, the convergence of these ideas – chaos and attractors in life paths, field models of attention, narrative coherence, predictive processing, and subjective time awareness – motivates a new approach. Creative Time is envisaged as a personal navigation system that treats one’s life like a **phase-space** to be explored rather than a script to be followed. By doing so, it aims to help users cultivate what some call *“prepared luck”* – the idea that by being mentally prepared and aware, one increases the chances of noticing and seizing opportunities that random chance presents. As an attention-training and narrative-aligning practice, Creative Time emphasizes **coherence over control**: maintaining a coherent direction and flexible mindset, rather than rigidly controlling every variable. This ethos recognizes that while we cannot eliminate uncertainty, we can optimize how we respond to it – keeping our life trajectory oriented toward the attractors we find most meaningful.

## Method

**The Donut of Attention Model:** At the heart of our method is the Donut of Attention, a theoretical model depicting attention as a *toroidal/holographic field*. Imagine a donut-shaped energy field surrounding an individual, where the inner surface of the donut represents inward attention (introspection, memories, bodily sensations) and the outer surface represents outward attention (perceiving the environment, present tasks). Attention continuously circulates through this torus – one moment flowing outward to engage with external events, then looping inward as we reflect or imagine, and back out again. This circular flow aligns with the idea that attention is not static or beam-like, but dynamic and self-referential. In a holographic manner, each small segment of the attention field can reflect aspects of the whole: a fleeting thought or cue can evoke a whole memory or goal, just as a fragment of a hologram can encode the entire image <sup>12</sup>. Our model thus allows that even when attention is focused on a specific detail, the broader context (the “big picture” of one’s goals and identity) can remain implicitly present in the field. By designing around a torus, we also incorporate the **feedback loops** inherent in cognition – the same loop that connects perception, action, and learning. Notably, the toroidal attention idea finds support in recent observations of large-scale systems: complex networks (including neural networks) often exhibit torus-like manifolds and cyclic patterns as organizational features <sup>5</sup>. We leverage this metaphor to assert that a balanced attention system will naturally oscillate between phases of *stability* (concentrated focus, consolidation, routine) and *flexibility* (diffuse attention, creativity, exploration). The Donut model explicitly includes a **Creative Time sub-membrane** – a layer within the torus associated with imagination, innovation, and envisioning future possibilities. When attention passes through this sub-membrane, the person enters a creative/reflective mode (daydreaming about the future, re-framing past narratives, generating ideas). This is akin to the “default mode network” in neuroscience, which activates during introspective, self-referential thought. The sub-membrane provides a dedicated conceptual space for creative processing within the overall attention field.

**Eternal-Self-Time (Subjective Temporal Coordinate System):** Complementing the spatial model of attention is our treatment of time. We introduce *eternal-self-time (EST)* as a personalized temporal coordinate system anchored in the self’s experience. In EST, the “origin” is not an objective date but the **present moment as experienced by the self**, and coordinates are defined by psychological distance and significance rather than uniform clock time. This draws on the concept of subjective time (the internal sense of time’s flow) and chronesthetic ability. Humans can mentally travel to “*nonpresent times (past and future)*”, thanks to chronesthesia, which Tulving defines as a form of consciousness allowing us to consider past and future in our mind’s eye <sup>10</sup> <sup>11</sup>. We model EST as a kind of pliable spacetime fabric that can be **curved** by the gravity of memory and intention. Significant past events – *identity anchors* such as formative experiences, core memories, or rituals – are like masses that indent the temporal fabric, creating “wells” (attractors) that the present can easily slip into (e.g. recurring patterns or habits influenced by those memories). On the other side, strong future intentions – vividly imagined goals or fears – exert pull like distant gravitational bodies, bending the trajectory of one’s anticipated future. The result is that the straight line of chronological time is warped into a unique shape for each individual: perhaps looping around certain past routines, or accelerating toward a compelling future milestone, or avoiding certain valleys of experience. We can illustrate this with a phase-space portrait: instead of time being a linear axis, time in EST might be plotted as orbits around attractors. For instance, someone might orbit weekly around a “Sunday family ritual” attractor (a past-based rhythm), while also being drawn forward in a long elliptical trajectory toward a “starting a business” attractor in their future. In the phase-space view, these appear as closed curves or spirals, indicating periodic behavior and long-term drift, respectively.

Mathematically, we can think of the person's **state** as a vector in a high-dimensional space (dimensions could include emotional state, knowledge, social connections, financial status, etc.). As time progresses (in objective terms), the state vector traces a path (trajectory) through this space. The **phase-space modeling** approach means we consider not just the current state, but its momentum and potential – how it changes in response to various forces. Attractors in this space correspond to regions or patterns the system tends to settle into. There may be point attractors (a stable state one keeps returning to), cyclic attractors (repeating cycles of behavior – e.g. a weekly routine, or a creative cycle of brainstorming and editing), or even strange attractors (complex, chaotic patterns that nonetheless have structure). The **core theoretical contribution** of Creative Time is to enable individuals to *map and influence their own trajectory* in this phase-space. By identifying their personal attractors (positive ones like “healthy lifestyle” or “creative project completion,” and negative ones like “destructive habit loop”) they can attempt to adjust their course accordingly – amplifying the pull of desirable attractors and escaping basins of unhealthy ones.

Three key techniques are combined to accomplish this:

- **Intention Setting:** In our model, setting an intention (e.g. “I want to write a novel” or “improve my health”) is akin to placing a new attractor in the phase-space or increasing the mass of an existing future attractor. It creates a vector field that gently guides the trajectory in that direction. Unlike a rigid plan (“write 1000 words every day”), an intention is a flexible attractor; it does not fix the path but biases the probabilities of where one’s trajectory will flow. This resonates with the **Bayesian brain** perspective: the brain constantly updates expectations and goals, functioning like a Bayesian predictor <sup>8</sup>. By consciously setting intentions, we feed the predictive mind a “prior” bias toward certain outcomes. The system then can organize perception and attention around cues relevant to that intention. For example, once you set the intention “start a business,” you may start *noticing* opportunities or ideas for it where previously they were filtered out. This is essentially harnessing attentional bias for constructive purposes.
- **Recursive Memory Loops:** Memory is not just a record of where we’ve been, but a toolkit for navigating where we go. We employ *recursive memory loops* in the Creative Time method – structured reflections where one repeatedly revisits past experiences to extract guidance for the future. For instance, a user might periodically recall a peak experience of success (say, a time they delivered a great public speech) to consciously *reinforce* the attractor of “effective communicator” in their self-concept. Likewise, reflecting on a past failure in a nonjudgmental way can yield insight that prevents repeat mistakes, effectively reshaping the trajectory away from that basin. These loops create a feedback cycle: past informs future, and as the future becomes present and then past, those outcomes further inform the next cycle. This aligns with the idea that “*predictive processes cooperate and actively build on mnemonic ones*” to generate goal-directed behavior <sup>9</sup>. Practically, the method might involve journaling exercises, storytelling, or visualization meditations where one oscillates attention between past and future contexts. By strengthening memory-intention links, we essentially **increase the curvature** in EST that links particular past states to desired future states. The result is a more coherent narrative thread: “*I succeeded before when I was authentic; thus, by envisioning a future where I act authentically, I draw power from that past into realizing the goal.*” Over time, these reinforced loops may create what we could call **memory attractors** – stable narratives or lessons that the person can fall back on in times of uncertainty, providing inner guidance much like a known landmark in a territory.

- **Phase-Space Feedback and Adjustment:** Using data from one's daily life (routines followed, deviations, mood swings, successes/failures), the system encourages periodic recalibration. In dynamical terms, this is like adjusting parameters of the model – e.g. recognizing that an attractor is too weak (perhaps the goal isn't motivating enough, so intention needs to be clarified or emotionally charged), or that a certain region of phase-space is chaotic and risky (e.g. noticing a pattern of behavior that leads to burnout, which might correspond to approaching a known chaotic attractor). Techniques from control theory could be metaphorically applied: *weak control* strategies that nudge the system without trying to forcibly overhaul it. Importantly, the aim is not to impose a strict trajectory (which is likely futile in a complex system), but to keep the trajectory within a **"coherence corridor."** By coherence, we mean alignment with the individual's core values, narratives, and chosen attractors. As long as the person's path stays within this corridor, short-term fluctuations or detours are acceptable, even useful. This approach echoes the idea of maintaining criticality: allowing the system to explore creative deviations (critical fluctuations) without tipping into destructive chaos. Indeed, evidence suggests the human brain itself *"operates in a self-organized critical state – at the edge of chaos between order and randomness – allowing quick switches between mental states to respond to changing conditions"* <sup>13</sup> <sup>14</sup> . Our method similarly tries to keep the person's mindset at a balanced edge – not so ordered that they are rigid, and not so chaotic that they lose all sense of direction.

**Quantum Metaphorics:** A playful but potentially useful conceptual aid in our method is the incorporation of quantum metaphors. We liken the individual's array of possible futures to a quantum superposition of states – a cloud of probability that hasn't "collapsed" into one reality yet. In standard decision-making, humans often behave in ways that defy classical logic, and researchers have found that *"these lapses in logic can be well explained by quantum probability theory"* <sup>15</sup> . The overlap between quantum physics and psychology is more than whimsical: *"both disciplines attempt to predict how unruly systems might behave in the future"* <sup>16</sup> – one dealing with particles, the other with human choices. We borrow this analogy in two ways. First, *before* an intention is set or a decision made, the person entertains multiple potential self-trajectories (e.g. multiple career paths, lifestyles, etc.) akin to a quantum wavefunction of possibilities. The act of **choosing an attractor** and committing attention to it is analogous to a measurement that collapses the wavefunction, bringing one potential into focus as the path to pursue. By consciously selecting a timeline (through intention setting), you're collapsing the haze of indecision into a tangible goal state. Second, even after choosing, one's identity can maintain *entanglement* with alternate paths not taken. Rather than deny these, Creative Time's narrative loops allow a person to dialog with their "ghost trajectories" – learning from the road not taken or keeping a door open for pivot if needed. This is speculative, but it serves to remind users that unchosen possibilities still exert subtle effects (a bit like how, in quantum theory, unobserved possibilities can interfere). It encourages psychological flexibility: just because you collapsed one outcome doesn't mean you cannot ever explore others, should conditions change. In implementation, this might mean periodically revisiting and updating one's intentions (re-collapsing the wavefunction as new information comes in). Embracing quantum metaphorics reinforces the humility that our knowledge of the future is probabilistic. It underlines the goal of **maximizing "prepared luck"** – by preparing for various plausible futures (in a superposed sense) while leaning toward the preferred one, the person increases their chances of experiencing positive "random" outcomes. As Louis Pasteur said, chance favors the prepared mind; here, we prepare the mind by broadening our awareness of multiple outcomes (quantum-style) and then tuning our attention toward the beneficial ones (collapsing the desired reality).

In summary, the method of Creative Time marries these abstract concepts into a practical framework: use the Donut of Attention to monitor and modulate where and how one's focus is allocated; use the Eternal-

Self-Time concept to anchor a personal narrative that links past and future through attractors; and use iterative cycles of intention and reflection (with a dash of quantum open-mindedness) to stay adaptive at the edge of chaos. This theoretical grounding paves the way for an application interface that can make these ideas tangible to users.

## App Integration

To bring the Creative Time framework to life, we outline an application – a kind of personal *DonutOS* – that serves as a cockpit for one's life trajectory. The design centers on visualization and interaction elements that represent the user's attention field, temporal map, and narrative cues in intuitive ways. Here we describe key components of the app UI and their intended functions:

- **Donuscope – Toroidal Attention Display:** The *Donuscope* is a circular interface element resembling a donut (torus) as a realtime map of the user's attention distribution. Imagine a translucent donut shape on the screen. The inner ring corresponds to internal focus and the outer ring to external focus. As the user goes about their day, the Donuscope could use data from device sensors or self-report to display where attention is leaning. For example, when the user is engrossed in deep thought or reminiscing, the inner ring of the donut might glow or thicken, indicating attention is directed inward. When they're actively engaging with outside tasks or conversations, the outer ring lights up. Often, we multitask – the Donuscope can show a **split attention state** as different segments of the torus lighting in different colors (a portion of attention on music playing in the background, another on writing a document, etc.). This gives the user an ambient awareness of their attentional balance. The toroidal display also doubles as a timeline: one can rotate the donut to scroll through time (past and future). Marks on the donut's circumference act as **orbit indicators** for cyclical events. For instance, if every week the user has a Monday team meeting that occupies a lot of attention, a small notch or icon appears on the Donuscope's ring at the corresponding phase (like an orbital position), helping the user see recurring "*orbits*" of attention in their life. Similarly, upcoming known events (a deadline, a vacation) are shown on the ring ahead of the current point, so one literally *sees the future coming around the bend*. By presenting time in a circular fashion, the UI emphasizes rhythmicity and returns (much as seasons or phases return cyclically), reinforcing the notion that time is not just a linear arrow but has loops and orbits. The Donuscope thus visualizes the *state of the attention field* and the *structure of personal time* in one integrated graphic.
- **Creative Time Index and Phase Dials:** Borrowing terminology from the theory, the app computes a **Creative Time Index (CTI)** – a metric that quantifies the user's engagement with creative, future-oriented thinking versus routine, present-focused execution. This could be calculated from various proxies (e.g., time spent journaling or brainstorming in the app, diversity of activities in a week, frequency of trying new things – all indicating creative exploration). A higher CTI means the user is devoting significant attention to the *Creative Time sub-membrane*, i.e., exploring possibilities and reflecting, which is generally positive for innovation but if too high might indicate procrastination or lack of grounding. A lower CTI means focus on executing known tasks and sticking to routine, which gives stability but if too low might indicate stagnation. The CTI is presented as a gauge or **dial** on the dashboard. The app might suggest an optimal range (like a green zone) for CTI tailored to the user – for example, a novelist might aim for a higher CTI during drafting periods, whereas someone in recovery from burnout might aim for a moderate CTI to balance novelty and rest. Alongside CTI, other **phase dials** let the user adjust and experiment with their attention and time allocation. For instance, a **Past-Future Dial** allows the user to reflect on whether they have been overly past-

focused (ruminating, dwelling on old memories) or overly future-focused (anxious about what's next) and encourages a healthy equilibrium. The user can literally drag a slider or dial that represents attention to past vs. future. If the system detects (via journaling content or mood reports) that the user is stuck in the past (perhaps feeling nostalgic or regretful frequently), it may nudge them to turn the dial a bit toward future – suggesting exercises to imagine positive outcomes or set a fresh goal. Conversely, if someone is very future-focused but feels ungrounded, the app might suggest turning the dial toward past – maybe by reconnecting with family traditions or revisiting lessons learned. Another dial could be **Order-Chaos (Stability-Flexibility)**. The user, with self-knowledge, can indicate how structured vs. exploratory they want the upcoming period to be. Turning toward Order might prompt the app to help schedule consistent routines, while a tilt toward Chaos might prompt it to inject some randomness or challenge (like “try a completely new activity this week”). These dials do not *directly* control life (one cannot truly control chaos by a knob), but they influence the app's suggestions and the framing of one's mindset, thereby indirectly affecting the trajectory.

- **Intention Prompts and Attractor Selection:** A core interactive element is the **Intention Prompt**, which functions like a smart journaling and planning assistant. Each morning (or week or any set interval), the app asks the user in a gentle dialogue: “What is your intention for this next phase?” This isn't merely a to-do list entry, but phrased to encourage identifying or reaffirming attractors. An intention might be abstract (“cultivate curiosity” or “be kinder in my interactions”) or concrete (“complete draft chapter 5”). The prompt interface could present a **palette of attractors**, possibly derived from the user's past entries or values. For example, it might show icons or keywords representing Health, Creativity, Family, Learning, Wealth, etc., which the user has indicated matter to them (their “billion-dollar attractors”). The user can tap one to set a focused intention linked to that attractor (e.g., tap “Health” and type an intention “run 10km this week”). The system may use AI to help clarify intentions: if an intention is vague, it can ask guiding questions to make it more actionable (turning “get healthier” into “cook at home 3 times this week to improve nutrition”). Once set, intentions are visualized on the Donuscope timeline as highlighted targets or checkpoints in the near future. The app then subtly integrates these intentions into the user's attention field: for example, the home screen might occasionally show a *contextual nudge* (“You intended to practice guitar – how about 15 minutes now?”) when free time is detected. Importantly, these prompts are framed positively (no guilt if ignored) – they are attractor reminders, not obligations. At day's end or week's end, the app might prompt reflection: “Your intention was X; how did your trajectory unfold? Did you move closer to the attractor?” The user can then journal briefly, closing the loop. Over time, these intention prompts train the user in **prospective self-narration** – continuously articulating where they want to go, which reinforces the narrative link between present actions and future outcomes.

- **Memory Anchors and Narrative Archive:** On the flip side of intention (future-oriented) is the **memory anchor** system (past-oriented). The app provides a space for the user to store and revisit key memories, stories, and lessons – a personal archive that functions as the ballast for their life narrative. Users can record *Anchor Points* – for example, “Graduation day – proved to myself I can finish what I start” or “June 2025: Trip to mountains – realized the importance of nature for my peace.” These anchors can be tagged with themes (e.g., Achievement, Realization, Relationship) and even linked to attractors (“Graduation day” might link to a Career/Knowledge attractor). The UI might present these anchors on a **timeline spiral** or as floating islands around the torus, signifying the gravitational effect they have. Users are encouraged to regularly browse their anchors, almost like consulting a map of where they've been. The app could implement a feature where, if the user

seems lost or demotivated, it proactively brings up a memory anchor relevant to their current context: *“Remember how you overcame stage fright in 2019? That strength is still in you now as you prepare for this presentation.”* By weaving past success and meaning into the present challenge, the system reinforces identity coherence. The narrative archive also includes the user’s journaling entries, intention results, and perhaps even alternative “draft timelines” the user once imagined. It’s essentially a **self-history and future history book** that the user is writing over time. By having this readily accessible, the app leverages the therapeutic insight that *reviewing one’s life narrative can increase coherence and well-being*, since *“linking past events to a broader story provides meaning and continuity”* <sup>7</sup> <sup>17</sup>. Additionally, this archive contributes to the holographic aspect of the attention model: any current dilemma can be illuminated by the light of analogous past experiences retrieved from the archive, showing that the whole of one’s self is present in each part.

- **Feedback on Prepared Luck (Serendipity Log):** A novel component aligned with the idea of maximizing *prepared luck* is a Serendipity Log. Users can record instances of unexpected positive outcomes – times where chance seemed to favor them. For example, “Met a former colleague randomly who offered me a job lead.” The app then allows the user (and the system) to reflect on precursors to that event: *What had the user been doing that prepared them to benefit from this chance?* Perhaps they had expressed an intention to find new work, which led them to attend an event where the encounter happened. Or maybe maintaining a broad social network (a choice driven by their values) set the stage. By logging such stories, the app can bolster the user’s belief in the efficacy of staying open and prepared. It’s a positive reinforcement: *the more I align with my narrative and intentions, the more “lucky” coincidences occur*. Over time, analysis of the Serendipity Log might reveal patterns – for instance, many of the lucky breaks happened during periods when CTI was in a certain range or when the user was particularly balanced in the Past-Future dial. This can provide actionable insight: it’s like finding the personal **sweet spot for synchronicity**. The app may then advise the user to maintain those conditions (“You often report lucky outcomes when you are in a creative, exploratory phase – consider scheduling a ‘play day’ soon to invite new possibilities.”).

All these integration elements work in concert. A use case scenario might illustrate it: Imagine Alice, who uses the Creative Time app. In the morning, she checks her Donuscope – it shows she has been heavily outer-focused with work tasks lately (outer ring bright) and her CTI is low (little creative time). The app gently suggests an intention prompt: Alice sets an intention to spend an hour on a creative hobby (painting) this week to rebalance. She turns the Past-Future dial slightly toward future, as she feels a bit stuck in memories of a past project that failed. The app then schedules a reminder on Thursday evening for “Painting hour.” Thursday comes, the reminder pops up, and Alice engages with her hobby, feeling reenergized. She logs a journal entry about how it felt and saves an image of her painting as a Memory Anchor (“I can create beauty when I let myself”). A week later, during a stressful day at work, the app notices her mood (perhaps via an input or wearable) and surfaces that painting memory anchor: “Take a deep breath – recall that feeling of creative flow you had last week.” Alice does so and feels a bit more centered rather than overwhelmed. In the following month, Alice experiences a stroke of luck – an old friend calls her with a tip about a job opening that is exactly what she was hoping for. She records this in the Serendipity Log. Looking back, she realizes had she not been painting and posting it online (honoring her intention, which made her happy and active on social media), that friend might not have thought to reach out. The app highlights this connection: by following her intention and staying true to her creative self, she indirectly set up the condition for that opportunity – *prepared luck* in action.



Through cycles like this, the app helps users like Alice remain actively involved in **self-narration** (telling themselves where they are going and why), while giving them concrete feedback and visualizations to stay engaged. It's important that the tone of the interface remains one of *playful experimentation* and *compassionate coaching*, rather than surveillance or judgment. The DonutOS is more a **navigation instrument** than an autopilot; the user is the storyteller and pilot, and the system provides maps, dials, and occasional advice from the tower. By regularly consulting these instruments, users practice the meta-skill of adjusting their own mindset and focus – essentially learning to become both the protagonist *and* the narrator of their life story.

## Experiments and Future Work

The Creative Time framework is speculative, but it lends itself to empirical exploration. We propose several avenues of experimentation and future development:

**1. Feasibility Pilot with Narrative Feedback:** As a first step, a low-fidelity prototype of the app (perhaps as a combination of a journaling tool and visual dashboard) can be tested with a small group of users interested in personal development. The goal would be to observe how users naturally use features like intention prompts and memory anchors. Do users find the toroidal attention display intuitive? Does explicitly mapping past and future in one interface feel motivating or overwhelming? Qualitative feedback can refine the UI – for example, users might want more guidance in identifying their attractors, or they might prefer the timeline in a linear view for certain tasks. In this pilot, we would also track narrative coherence metrics: using content analysis of participants' journal entries over time, we can assess if their narratives become more structured and future-linked. Prior research indicates *"narrating personal experiences in a coherent manner is associated with greater sense of purpose and well-being"* <sup>17</sup>. We hypothesize that engaging with Creative Time's tools will naturally encourage more coherent self-narration (users will mention connections between past events and future plans more frequently). This could be measured by an increase in causal or thematic linkage statements in their writing, or by standard narrative coherence scales administered before and after the pilot.

**2. Behavioral Outcomes Study:** A longer-term controlled study could examine whether using the Creative Time system yields quantifiable changes in behavior and goal attainment compared to a control group. For instance, recruit two groups of individuals who have important personal goals. One group uses Creative Time for six months, the other uses a standard goal-tracking app or none at all. We would then compare outcomes: Did more people in the Creative Time group reach or make significant progress toward their self-defined attractors? Are they reporting higher "prepared luck" incidents? One might operationalize *prepared luck* by counting opportunities seized (e.g., number of unplanned beneficial events that participants can identify and attribute to their actions or mindsets). Though luck is hard to measure, differences in how often participants note serendipitous positive events could be telling. We would also look at psychological outcomes: do Creative Time users show reduced stress about the future (because the framework fosters a sense of agency and coherence)? Do they show greater adaptability in the face of setbacks (perhaps because the narrative approach helps reframe failures as part of a trajectory rather than as the end)? The predictive processing aspect suggests that aligning one's internal model (via intentions and narrative) with desired outcomes might sharpen one's perception for opportunities. Thus, a possible outcome is that Creative Time users will demonstrate more proactive behavior – for example, they might initiate more new projects or network connections in line with their attractors than control subjects do. This can be tracked through self-report diaries or even activity logs.

**3. Cognitive and Neural Correlates:** For a more scientific understanding, we could investigate how using the Creative Time approach affects cognitive processes. Are users better at prospective memory (remembering to carry out future intentions) because they regularly practice it with intention prompts? Laboratory tasks could test this: participants could be given prospective memory tasks (e.g., “when X event happens, do Y”) while using or not using the system. The hypothesis is that those engaged in Creative Time have a stronger mental representation of future events and thus perform better at these tasks. At a neural level, neuroimaging studies (if feasible) might examine whether the intervention strengthens connectivity between brain regions associated with episodic memory (like the hippocampus) and those associated with prospection and planning (like prefrontal cortex). We might expect changes in activation patterns akin to those seen in studies of mental time travel <sup>18</sup> – perhaps more efficient recruitment of the chronesthesia-related regions when thinking about one’s future, after training with these tools. EEG or fMRI studies pre- and post-intervention could also see if there’s a shift in oscillatory dynamics (does the brain show any increased criticality or complexity measures reflecting that edge-of-chaos tuning? This is very exploratory, but if users subjectively report feeling more “in the zone” or creative, there might be subtle neural signatures).

**4. Iterative Design and Gamification:** Future work on the app itself could explore gamification to increase user engagement. For example, one could earn “coherence points” or badges for maintaining a consistent narrative (based on journal analysis or completing reflection exercises). There could be a “time traveler” challenge where users intentionally revisit a past anchor and then take a future-oriented action, then share that story with a community. A community aspect, if added, would allow shared attractors or collaborative timelines (imagine two users linking their donuts for a joint project – aligning their attractors and coordinating their narrative, which could be useful for team or relationship contexts). Privacy and personal focus are paramount, so community features would be opt-in, but they could provide support and inspiration – seeing archetypes of attractors or common challenges might help individuals not feel alone in their narrative struggles.

**5. Theoretical Extensions:** On the theoretical front, further work can refine the Donut of Attention model with insights from attention research. For instance, is there evidence that attention has field-like properties? Research in mindfulness and open-monitoring meditation might support the idea of an expanded attentional field. Collaborating with cognitive scientists, we could formalize the torus model (perhaps modeling attention allocation as a toroidal oscillator) and test predictions (like how quickly one can switch from inner to outer focus under different conditions). Moreover, the model could be extended to account for emotional valence: perhaps the torus isn’t uniform but has “hot” and “cool” zones (areas of the attention field linked to emotional response). The Creative Time sub-membrane might be further detailed – e.g., is there a way to quantify when someone is in a “creative time” mode? Possibly by tracking divergent thinking outputs or physiological states (alpha brain waves are linked to creative ideation, etc.). Integrating such biofeedback could be a future direction – the app could detect (via a wearable EEG or simply patterns of phone use) when the user is in a mind-wandering state and then gently scaffold that into a productive creative session (for example, if you’re mind-wandering during a commute, the app could open a voice note recorder and prompt, “Any ideas flowing? Say them out loud.”).

**6. Critical Evaluation and Ethics:** Lastly, future work must also critically evaluate the approach. Does narrating and mapping one’s life in this way ever backfire? For some, too much self-focus or constant narrativizing could lead to anxiety or overanalysis. We’d need to identify for whom this method is most beneficial and where the boundaries are. Perhaps those with severe uncertainty or identity crises might need more guidance or find the open-ended approach daunting. We should also guard against the app

becoming a deterministic oracle in users' minds – it's a tool, not a prophecy. Research with diverse populations can ensure the metaphors (donut, attractors, etc.) are culturally inclusive and adaptable. The ethical design should prioritize user agency: recommendations should always be suggestions, not imperatives, preserving the principle that *the user is the author of their story*. Data privacy is critical too, given the sensitivity of personal reflections; future development must use secure, offline-first data storage or encryption for cloud sync.

In conclusion, while Creative Time is currently a speculative synthesis of many ideas, it opens a rich field for both practical and theoretical exploration. By bridging **existing models of attention, time perception, predictive processing, chaos theory, quantum metaphor, and self-narration**, it invites a multidisciplinary inquiry. The hope is that through iterative experimentation, we can determine if people indeed find value in viewing their life course through this hybrid lens of science and story – using a donut-shaped compass to navigate the wild, winding paths of time.

## References

- <sup>4</sup> Klatt, S. & Memmert, D. (2021). *Studying Spatial Visual Attention: The Attention-Window Task as a Measurement Tool for the Shape and Maximum Spread of the Attention Window*. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12:614077. (Describes classic metaphors of attention, e.g., spotlight (Posner, 1980) and zoom-lens models.)
- <sup>5</sup> <sup>6</sup> Grillos, C. (2025). *Universal Coherence Templates as the Foundation of Physical, Biological, Cognitive, and AI Systems*. Medium. (Observes recurring toroidal geometries and stable-chaotic dynamic switching across systems, proposing that stable dynamics support memory/reasoning and chaotic dynamics support creativity/expansion.)
- <sup>9</sup> Bar, M. et al. (2010). *Prediction, Cognition and the Brain*. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 4:25. (Notes that prediction “*crucially depends on previous experience and builds on memories*” and that predictive processes build on memory to generate goal-directed behavior.)
- <sup>16</sup> <sup>15</sup> Lanese, N. (2020). *What is quantum cognition? Physics theory could predict human behavior*. Live Science, Jan 28, 2020. (Explains that quantum physics and human psychology both attempt to predict unruly systems' future behavior, and quotes a scientist saying human decision “lapses in logic can be well explained by quantum probability theory.”)
- <sup>10</sup> <sup>11</sup> Nyberg, L., Kim, A. S. N., & Tulving, E. (2010). *Scientists find evidence for 'chronesthesia,' or mental time travel*. Medical Xpress (PhysOrg feature), Dec 22, 2010. (Reports fMRI evidence for chronesthesia: the brain's ability to be aware of past and future, i.e., subjective time, distinct from external time.)
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>17</sup> Vanden Poel, L. & Hermans, D. (2019). *Narrative Coherence and Identity: Associations With Psychological Well-Being and Internalizing Symptoms*. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10:1171. (Introduction notes that autobiographical memory serves to guide future behavior and maintain a stable self, and that narrating experiences coherently is linked to well-being, purpose, and positive relationships.)
- <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> Ikiugu, M. N. (2005). *Meaningfulness of Occupations as an Occupational-Life-Trajectory Attractor*. *Journal of Occupational Science*, 12(2), 102–109. (Applies chaos theory to career development, describing meaningful occupations as an attractor that can structure one's life trajectory, and explaining attractors as preferred patterns to which a system's behavior is drawn.)
- <sup>1</sup> Rauch, A. (2025). *Butterfly effect*. Encyclopædia Britannica, last updated Oct 24, 2025. (Defines the butterfly effect in chaos theory as the idea that small changes can lead to dramatically different outcomes, making long-term prediction difficult.)

- 1 Butterfly effect | Chaos Theory, Popular Culture, & Facts | Britannica  
<https://www.britannica.com/science/butterfly-effect>
- 2 3 (PDF) Meaningfulness of Occupations as an Occupational-Life-Trajectory Attractor  
[https://www.academia.edu/31408970/Meaningfulness\\_of\\_Occupations\\_as\\_an\\_Occupational\\_Life\\_Trajectory\\_Attractor](https://www.academia.edu/31408970/Meaningfulness_of_Occupations_as_an_Occupational_Life_Trajectory_Attractor)
- 4 Frontiers | Studying Spatial Visual Attention: The Attention-Window Task as a Measurement Tool for the Shape and Maximum Spread of the Attention Window  
<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.614077/full>
- 5 6 MASTER PAPER: Universal Coherence Templates as the Foundation of Physical, Biological, Cognitive... | by Chris Grillos | Dec, 2025 | Medium  
<https://medium.com/@cmgrillos529/master-paper-universal-coherence-templates-as-the-foundation-of-physical-biological-cognitive-9a63525615c2>
- 7 17 Frontiers | Narrative Coherence and Identity: Associations With Psychological Well-Being and Internalizing Symptoms  
<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01171/full>
- 8 Predictive coding - Wikipedia  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Predictive\\_coding](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Predictive_coding)
- 9 Frontiers | Prediction, cognition and the brain  
<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/human-neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnhum.2010.00025/full>
- 10 11 18 Scientists find evidence for 'chronesthesia,' or mental time travel  
<https://medicalxpress.com/news/2010-12-scientists-evidence-chronesthesia-mental.html>
- 12 Holonomic brain theory - Wikipedia  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Holonomic\\_brain\\_theory](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Holonomic_brain_theory)
- 13 14 The human brain is on the edge of chaos | University of Cambridge  
<https://www.cam.ac.uk/research/news/the-human-brain-is-on-the-edge-of-chaos>
- 15 16 What is quantum cognition? Physics theory could predict human behavior. | Live Science  
<https://www.livescience.com/quantum-like-model-of-decision-making-proposed.html>