Tests paramétriques

TP4 Analyse de données

Sommaire:

Question 1	2
Question 2	
Question 2	
Ouestion 3	4

Question 1

```
T16 = \begin{bmatrix} 39 & 39 & 40 & 33 & 36 & 40 & 37 & 41 & 39 & 34 & 42 & 41 & 42 & 42 & 42 & 42 & 41 & 40 & 43 & 43 & 40 & 39 & 37 \end{bmatrix};
T_ref = 37.5;
T_{mean} = mean(T16);
%Nous sommes ici dans le cas d'un petit échantillon gaussien de variance
%inconnue que nous approcherons par la variance de l'échantillon.
%Nous faisons l'hypothese que l'année 2016 est une année exeptionelle
%Nous prendrons un seuil a 95%
a_t = tinv((1+0.95)/2, length(T16) - 1);
std_T = std(T16);
%Notre intervalle est donc :
I_{compatible} = [T_{ref} - a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{ref} + a_t * std_T / (length(T16) - 1)^0.5, T_{re
 (length(T16) - 1)^0.5];
Hypothese = (T_mean > I_compatible(1)) && (T_mean < I_compatible(2))</pre>
"Nous contastatons donc que la valeur moyenne de l'échantillon est hors de l'intervalle de
compatibilité.";
 "Nous pouvons donc conclure que la valeur est anormalement élevée.";
"L'année 2016 est bien exeptionelle."
```

```
Hypothese =
  logical
  0
ans =
  "L'année 2016 est bien exeptionelle."
```

Question 2

drug on deers"

```
load deerSample.mat
%Ce fichier correspond au tableau de l'énoncé.
level_capture = Sample1(:,2:2);
level_after30 = Sample1(:,3:3);
mean_capture = mean(level_capture);
mean_after30 = mean(level_after30);
n1 = length(level_capture);
n2 = length(level_after30);
var1 = var(level_capture);
var2 = var(level_after30);
%Considering that we have a small sample, from which we don't know the
%variance:
%we are going to test our experience with a 0.05 risk.
a_t = tinv((1+0.95)/2, n1 + n2 - 2);
% Let's assume that the to sample are equivalent.
delta_androgen_level = abs(mean_capture - mean_after30)
reject_limit = a_t * (1 / n1 + 1 / n2)^0.5 * ((var1 .* n1 + var2 .* n2)/(n1 + n2 - 2))^0.5
% If so delta_androgen_level must be inferior to the reject limit
delta_androgen_level < reject_limit</pre>
Conclusion = ["The to sample are the same, therefore can't say anything about the efficiency
of this drug on the deers"]
delta_androgen_level =
    9.8480
reject_limit =
   19.2225
ans =
  logical
   1
Conclusion =
```

"The to sample are the same, therefore can't say anything about the efficiency of this

Question 3

```
% Let's consider that we are sure about the 60% effectivness of the common % drug. Therefore n1 = +infinite % Let's assume that the 70% effectiness was obtain with a bernoulli law of % probability 0.7 % Let's make the hypothesis HO that p = p_0 % With a 5% risk : n = 100; p = 0.7; p_0 = 0.6; a_t = norminv((1 + 0.95)/2, 0, 1); HO = (p - p_0) > a_t * (p * (1 - p) / 100) \land 0.5
```

```
HO = logical
```

Since it's true we have to reject the hypothesis HO, therefore the new drug is more effective than the previous one.

Published with MATLAB® R2018a