

Using AC-3 to come up with a good title

David Hilderling Dennis Lindberg Joel Maxson Helen Sand
Andy S. Tatman

Tuesday 25th March, 2025

Abstract

We give a toy example of a report in *literate programming* style. The main advantage of this is that source code and documentation can be written and presented next to each other. We use the listings package to typeset Haskell source code nicely.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	The skeleton files	3
2.1	The AC3Solver library	3
2.2	The Backtracking library	5
3	The problem files	7
3.1	The NQueens library	7
3.2	The Graph Colouring library	8
3.2.1	Benchmarking GraphCol	10
3.3	The Scheduling library	11
3.4	The Sudoku Library	13
4	Wrapping it up in an exectuable	17
5	The test file(s)	18
6	AC3 tests	18
7	Conclusion	20

8	Future works??	20
	Bibliography	20

1 Introduction

Many problems in computer science can be written as a set of possible values for each body in the problem instance, and a set of restrictions, or constraints, between pairs of bodies. Using this method, we can then define a problem instance as a graph, where each vertex has a set of possible values, or domain, and where each edge between two vertices is a constraint.

This forms the basis behind the arc consistency algorithms discussed in [Mac77], including the AC-3 algorithm we use in our implementation. The AC-3 algorithm aims to efficiently iterate over all of the constraints, and remove any values for which the constraints is invalidated.

Take as an example X with domain $[1, 2]$ and Y with domain $[2, 3]$, with an edge connecting them representing the constraint $(=)$. The AC-3 algorithm will remove the value 1 from X 's domain and the value 3 from Y 's domain, as there is no value in the other body's domain such that the constraint is true for $X = 1$ or $Y = 3$.

Notably, AC-3 cannot *solve* problems. As an example, take 3 entities X, Y, Z , each with domain $[0, 1]$, and with edges (\neq) between each. The AC-3 algorithm does not purge any values. For each value, the other entities have a value for which the constraint holds. However, if we try to find a solution with backtracking, we find that no solution exists, as the three entities need to all have a unique value.

As such, AC-3's main purpose is to reduce the search space, by pruning values for which no solution can exist. If at least one vertex has no possible values, then there is no solution. Else, we can use backtracking to determine if a solution exists, and if so what said solution is.

We discuss our AC-3 and backtracking implementation in Section 2. We then introduce a number of different computer science problems in Section 3, and show how our implementation attempts to solve them.

2 The skeleton files

These files form the basis of our implementation, which we then use to solve various problems.

2.1 The AC3Solver library

This module contains the main algorithm and definition for our project, the **AC3** type.

```
module AC3Solver where

import Control.Monad.Writer
  ( runWriter, MonadWriter(tell), Writer )
```

To start of, we define the **AC3** instance. An **AC3** instance contains a list of constraints **constraintAA**, and a list of domains. Each **constraintAA** contains a pair of agents (X, Y) , and then a function, such as $(=)$, which is the constraint on the arc from X to Y .¹ Each **Domain** item contains an agent, and then a list of values of type **b**.

¹Note that we only allow for *binary* constraints. The AC-3 algorithm does not allow for ternary (or greater) constraints, and unary constraints can be resolved by restricting that agent's domain. [Mac77] provides other approaches for achieving path consistency, where you may have ternary (or greater) constraints.

We may have multiple constraints for a pair of agents (X, Y) , such as both $(>)$ and (\geq) , or an agent may not be in any constraint. The programme however expects that each agent has exactly 1 (possibly empty) domain specified for it.

Note that we do not define an arbitrary instance for AC3. Instead, we can define arbitrary instances for specific problems. (See for example Section 3.2.)

```
data AC3 a b = AC3 {
  -- Constraint should take values from the first & second agents as params x & y resp.
  in \x,y-> x == y.
  -- We should allow for multiple constraints for (X,Y), eg. both (x > y) AND (x < y) in
  the set.
  cons :: [ConstraintAA a b],
  -- Assume we have 1 domain list for each variable.
  domains :: [Domain a b] }

type Domain a b = (Agent a, [b])
type ConstraintAA a b = (Agent a, Agent a, Constraint b)
type Constraint a = a -> a -> Bool

type Agent a = a
```

For each constraint (X, Y, f) , we want to check for each value x in the domain of X whether there is at least one value y in Y 's domain such that $f \ x \ y$ is satisfied. Values of X for which there is no such value in Y are removed from X 's domain. We make use of the Writer monad to do an $O(1)$ lookup to see if we removed items from X 's domain.

```
-- | Return the elements of xs for which there exist a y \in ys, such that c x y holds.
-- | Using the writer monad, we also give a O(1) method to check whether we altered x's
  domain after termination.
checkDomain :: [a] -> [a] -> Constraint a -> Writer String [a]
checkDomain [] _ _ = return []
checkDomain (x:xs) ys c = do
  rest <- checkDomain xs ys c
  if not $ null [ y' | y' <- ys, c x y' ] then return $ x:rest
  else tell "Altered domain" >> return rest -- This is nicely formatted for readability,
  it could just be something simple such as ".".
```

Each time we call `iterate`, we start by looking for the domains of agents X & Y for our constraint (X, Y) . Once we find these, we are likely to replace the original domain for X with a reduced one. We use `popXy` and `popX` to find the domains for X & Y , and at the same time we also remove the *old* domain for X . Using `popXy`, we do one walk through the list, and save two walks, compared to doing a separate lookup for y , and a separate walk to delete the old x .

```
-- | PRE: x is an element of (a:as)
-- | POST: Output = (X's domain, the original domain with X's domain removed.)
popX :: Eq a => Agent a -> [Domain a b] -> ([b], [Domain a b])
popX _ [] = error "No domain found for an agent X in a constraint." -- should not occur.
popX x (a@(aA, aD):as) = if x == aA then (aD, as)
  else let (x', as') = popX x as in (x', a:as')

-- | PRE: x /= y; x,y are elements of (a:as).
-- | (else, this is not a binary constraint but a unary one.)
-- | POST: Output = (X's domain [b], Y's domain [b], the original domain d with X's domain
  removed.)
popXy :: Eq a => Agent a -> Agent a -> [Domain a b] -> ([b], [b], [Domain a b])
popXy _ _ [] = error "No domains found for both agents X & Y in a constraint." -- should
  not occur.
popXy x y (a@(aA, aD):as)
  | x == aA = let -- we want to REMOVE a from the list.
    -- search through the rest of the list and return y's domain.
    yDomain = head [b' | (a', b') <- as, y == a']
    in (aD, yDomain, as)
  | y == aA = let (retX, retAs) = popX x as in (retX, aD, a:retAs)
```

```
| otherwise = let (retX, retY, retAs) = popXy x y as in (retX, retY, a:retAs)
```

We now come to the main part of the algorithm. The `iterateAC3` function runs as long as the queue of constraints is not empty, starting with the original set of constraints. We get the domains of X & Y , and remove the *old* domains of X . We then run `checkDomain`, and add the new domain of X back to the list of domains. If X 's domain was altered, then we add all constraints of the form (Y, X) to the back of the queue.

```
-- | Given an legal AC3 instance, this function returns a reduced list of domains, where
-- |     each agent's domain now only contains values which are arc-consistent with
-- |     the set constraints.
-- | POST: for each agent x, x's domain in the output \subseteq x's domain originally.
ac3 :: (Ord a, Ord b) => AC3 a b -> [Domain a b] -- return a list of domains.
ac3 m@(AC3 c d) = let
    queue = c
    in iterateAC3 m queue d

iterateAC3 :: (Ord a, Ord b) => AC3 a b -> [ConstraintAA a b] -> [Domain a b]
            -> [Domain a b]
iterateAC3 _ [] d = d
iterateAC3 m@(AC3 fullCS _) ((x,y,c):cs) d = let
    (xDomain, yDomain, alteredD) = popXy x y d
    (newX, str) = runWriter $ checkDomain xDomain yDomain c
    -- In a lens, we could do this with "modify (\ (a,_) -> (a, newX))"
    newDomains = (x, newX) : alteredD
    -- take all constraints of the form (y,x, c)
    z = if null str then cs else cs ++ [c' | c'@(y1,x1,_)<-fullCS, y1/=y && y1/=x, x1==x ]
    in iterateAC3 m z newDomains
```

2.2 The Backtracking library

Using our AC3 instances, we now define a backtracking method to find one or all solutions (where possible) for a given instance. We start by defining the ‘output’ of our backtracking method, which will be a list `[Assignment a b]`.

```
module Backtracking where

import AC3Solver

type Assignment a b = (Agent a, b)
```

First of all, we can provide a fast method to check that a solution is even *theoretically* possible: if at least 1 agent has an empty domain, then there will never be a legal assignment.

```
--Returns true iff at least 1 agent has an empty domain.
--Post: Returns true -> \not \exist a solution.
--     However, returns false does NOT guarantee that a solution exists.
determineNoSol :: [Domain a b] -> Bool
determineNoSol = any (\(_,ds) -> null ds)
```

Next, we use backtracking to try and find a solution, using backtracking. For our agent X , we iterate over each value in X 's domain. For every constraint (X,Y) or (Y,X) , where Y has already got an assigned value, we check if this constraint holds. If at least one of these constraints does not hold, then we continue with the next value in X 's domain. Else, we continue with the next agent. If we find a valid assignment `Just ...`, then we return this, else we try the next value in X 's domain.

If no value in X 's domain leads to a valid assignment, we return `Nothing`, and try a different assignment, or return `Nothing` if no solution exists for this instance.

Notably, while `findSolution` takes an instance of `AC3`, we can run `findSolution` *without* having run `ac3`, and so we can compare the runtime of `findSolution` before and after running `ac3`.

```
findSolution :: Eq a => AC3 a b -> Maybe [Assignment a b]
findSolution (AC3 c d) = helpFS c d []

helpFS :: Eq a => [ConstraintAA a b] -> [Domain a b] -> [Assignment a b] -> Maybe [Assignment a b]
helpFS _ [] as = Just as -- Done
helpFS constrs ((x, ds):dss) as = recurseFS ds where
  recurseFS [] = Nothing
  recurseFS (d:ds') = let
    -- we want to try assigning value d to agent x.
    -- Get all constraints (X,Y) and (Y,X), where Y already has a value assigned to it.
    -- Check if x=d works, for all previously assigned values Y.
    checkCons = and $
      [cf d (valY y as) | (x',y,cf)<-constrs, x==x', y `elemAs` as] ++
      [cf (valY y as) d | (y,x',cf)<-constrs, x==x', y `elemAs` as]
    in
    if not checkCons then recurseFS ds' -- easy case, x=d is not allowed.
    else --
      case helpFS constrs dss ((x,d):as) of
        Nothing -> recurseFS ds' -- x=d causes issues later on.
        Just solution -> Just solution -- :)
```

As with `findSolution`, `findAllSolutions` returns the (possibly empty) list of all solutions, again using backtracking.

```
-- find all
findAllSolutions :: Eq a => AC3 a b -> [[Assignment a b]]
findAllSolutions (AC3 c d) = helpFSA11 c d []

-- helper function for find all
helpFSA11 :: Eq a => [ConstraintAA a b] -> [Domain a b] -> [Assignment a b] -> [[Assignment a b]]
helpFSA11 _ [] as = [as] -- Found a complete solution
helpFSA11 constrs ((x, ds):dss) as = concatMap recurseFS ds where
  recurseFS d =
    let checkCons = all (\(x', y, cf) -> not (x == x' && y `elemAs` as) || cf d (valY y as)) constrs
        && all (\(y, x', cf) -> not (x == x' && y `elemAs` as) || cf (valY y as) d) constrs
    in if checkCons then helpFSA11 constrs dss ((x,d):as) else []
```

Given a solution, verify whether this solution is permissible with the provided constraints.

```
checkSolution :: Eq a => [ConstraintAA a b] -> [Assignment a b] -> Bool
checkSolution [] _ = True
checkSolution ((x,y,f):cs) as = elemAs x as && elemAs y as && let
  xN = valY x as
  yN = valY y as
  in f xN yN && checkSolution cs as
```

Help-functions used by our solution methods.

```
-- Find whether agent Y has an assignment.
elemAs :: Eq a => Agent a -> [Assignment a b] -> Bool
elemAs _ [] = False
elemAs y ((x,_):as) = x==y || y `elemAs` as

-- Find agent Y's assigned value
-- PRE: y \in as.
valY :: Eq a => Agent a -> [Assignment a b] -> b
valY _ [] = error "Y's value could not be found in the assignment." -- should not happen.
valY y ((x,b):as) = if x == y then b else valY y as
```

3 The problem files

3.1 The NQueens library

The NQueens module defines a constraint satisfaction problem where we place N queens on an $N \times N$ chessboard so that no two queens attack each other.

```
module NQueens where

import AC3Solver ( ac3, AC3(AC3) ) -- Import AC3 solver
import Backtracking (findSolution, findAllSolutions) -- Import backtracking solver

notSameQueenMove :: (Int, Int) -> (Int, Int) -> Bool
notSameQueenMove (a1, a2) (b1, b2) =
    not (a1 == b1 || a2 == b2 || abs (a1 - b1) == abs (a2 - b2))

(//=) :: (Int, Int) -> (Int, Int) -> Bool
(a1, a2) //=(b1, b2) = notSameQueenMove (a1, a2) (b1, b2)
```

The `nQueens` function encodes the N-Queens problem as a constraint satisfaction problem. The domain is defined in such a way that exactly one queen must be placed in each row. Constraints are generated using list comprehension together with the custom infix function `(//=)`, which ensures that no two queens share the same row, column, or diagonal.

```
nQueens :: Int -> AC3 Int (Int, Int)
nQueens n = let
    agents = [0 .. n-1] -- Queens as row numbers
    domain = [(row, [(row, col) | col <- [0 .. n-1]]) | row <- agents] -- 1 queen per row
    constraints = [(a, b, (//=)) | a <- agents, b <- agents, a < b]
    in AC3 constraints domain
```

There are two functions available to solve the problem. The function `solveNQueens` finds a single solution using backtracking. Meanwhile, the function `solveAllNQueens` finds all possible solutions.

```
solveNQueens :: Int -> Maybe [(Int, (Int, Int))]
solveNQueens n = findSolution (AC3 constraints (ac3 (nQueens n)))
    where
        AC3 constraints _ = nQueens n

solveAllNQueens :: Int -> [[(Int, (Int, Int))]
solveAllNQueens n = findAllSolutions (AC3 constraints (ac3 (nQueens n)))
    where
        AC3 constraints _ = nQueens n
```

The function `prettyPrintBoard` is responsible for printing the board. Solutions are displayed using numbers (0,1,2,...) to represent queens, while empty spaces are represented by a dot (.).

```
prettyPrintBoard :: Int -> [(Int, (Int, Int))] -> IO ()
prettyPrintBoard n solution = do
    let board = [[if (r, c) `elem` map snd solution then show r else "." | c <- [0 .. n-1]]
                  | r <- [0 .. n-1]]
    mapM_ (putStrLn . pptHelper) board
    putStrLn ""

pptHelper :: [String] -> String
pptHelper [] = ""
pptHelper [x] = x
pptHelper (x:xs) = x ++ " " ++ pptHelper xs
```

The `nQueensMain` function provides user interaction by asking for an input value N . It then solves the problem and either prints the full solutions or just the count of solutions, depending

on whether `prettyPrintBoard` is enabled. To start the `NQueens` program, run `stack ghci` and then `nQueensMain`, after which you are prompted to give an integer for N .

```
nQueensMain :: IO ()
nQueensMain = do
  putStrLn "Enter board size (N):"
  n <- readLn
  let solutions = solveAllNQueens n
  -- Uncomment for 1 solution instead
  -- let solutions = solveNQueens n
  if null solutions
  then putStrLn "No solution found."
  else do
    putStrLn "Solutions: "
    -- Comment out if only interested in the number of solutions
    -- mapM_ (prettyPrintBoard n) solutions
    putStrLn $ "Found " ++ show (length solutions) ++ " solution(s)"
```

3.2 The Graph Colouring library

Graph colouring is a well-known NP-Complete problem [GJS74]. Its nature as a graph problem lends it well to being modelled as an `AC3` instance, and then being solved using our backtracking functions.

A problem instance consists of an undirected graph, and an integer $n > 0$. We are asked to assign a colour $0..(n - 1)$ to each vertex, where for each edge (u, v) , u and v have different colours.

```
module GraphCol where

import Control.Monad (when, foldM_)
import Criterion.Main
import Data.Char (toUpper)
import Data.Graph
import Data.Maybe
import Data.List
import Text.Read (readMaybe)
import Test.QuickCheck

import AC3Solver
import Backtracking
import Scheduling (parseInput)
```

We make use of Haskell's `Graph` library, following in its convention that vertices are numbers, and edges are pairs of vertices.

We define a newtype `GraphCol` using `AC3`, where the agents are of type `Vertex` and the domain is a set of colours $\subseteq [0..(n-1)]$. All constraints should be of the form $(X, Y, (/=))$, and this represents an edge (X, Y) in the graph.

We define arbitrary instances for `GraphCol` using following these conventions.

```
-- We define a newtype, so that we can generate arbitrary instances.
newtype GraphCol = GC (AC3 Vertex Int)

seqPair :: (Gen a, Gen a) -> Gen (a,a)
seqPair (ma, mb) = ma >=> \a -> mb >=> \b -> return (a,b)

-- It appears that Haskell graphs do not already have an arbitrary instance.
instance Arbitrary GraphCol where
  arbitrary = sized arbitGraphColN where
    arbitGraphColN n = do
      nColours <- chooseInt (1, max (n `div` 4) 1) -- we require n to be > 0
      --nColours <- chooseInt (2, max (n `div` 4) 2)
```



```

sizeV <- choose (0, n 'div' 3) -- we make vertices 0..sizeV INCLUDING SIZEV!
--let sizeV = 498
let eMax = max sizeV $ (sizeV*(sizeV-1)) 'div' 4
sizeE <- chooseInt (sizeV, eMax)
e <- sequence [seqPair (chooseInt (0, sizeV), chooseInt (0, sizeV)) | _<-[0..
    sizeE]]
-- we do not want edges (x,x), nor do we want repeat edges.
let nonRefLE = nub $ filter (uncurry (/=)) e
let g = buildG (0, sizeV) nonRefLE
return $ convertGraphToAC3 g nColours --return $ convertGraphToAC3 g n

-- | We require show to use the arbitrary instance above in QuickCheck.
-- | Note that we cannot actually check that each constraint is a (/=), we must
-- | assume it to be so.
instance Show GraphCol where
  show (GC (AC3 c d)) = let
    strCon = "[" ++ makeShow c ++ "]" where
      makeShow [] = ""
      makeShow ((x,y,_) : cs) =
        "(" ++ show x ++ ", " ++ show y ++ ", (/=))"
        ++ if not $ null cs then ", " ++ makeShow cs else ""
    strD = show d
  in "GC (AC3 " ++ strCon ++ " " ++ strD ++ " )"

```

We define a method to convert a graph into an instance of `GraphCol`, and vice versa. Note that graph colouring concerns *undirected* graphs while the `Graph` library concerns *directed* graphs. As a result, `g == ac3ToGraph $ convertGraphToAC3 g n` (for any $n > 0$) is NOT guaranteed to hold.

```

-- | NOTE: The Graph library uses *directed* graphs.
-- | We add both (x,y,/=) and (y,x,/=), as graph colouring concerns Undirected graphs
.
-- | Create an instance with colours [0..(n-1)]
-- | PRE: n >= 1
convertGraphToAC3 :: Graph -> Int -> GraphCol
convertGraphToAC3 g n = let
  agents = vertices g
  constr = [(x,y, (/=)) | (x,y)<-edges g]
  in GC $ AC3
    (constr ++ reverseCons constr)
    -- In graph colouring, we want to check both X's domain to Y, and Y's to X.
    ((head agents, [0]) : [(a, [0..(n-1)]) | a<-tail agents])

-- | Help function: If we have an edge (x,y), we need both (x,y, /=) and (y,x,/=) as
  constraints.
reverseCons :: [(a,b,c)] -> [(b,a,c)]
reverseCons = map (\ (a,b,c) -> (b,a,c))

-- | Given a graph colouring instance, return the graph of it.
-- | POST: Edges contains at most 1 Directed edge (x,y) for all vertices x/=y.
ac3ToGraph :: GraphCol -> Graph
ac3ToGraph (GC (AC3 c d)) = let
  v = [a | (a,_)<-d]
  e = nub [ (a,b) | (a,b,_)<-c ] -- If we originally had (x,y) AND (y,x) in our graph,
    then c contains each twice.
  in buildG (foldr min 0 v, foldr max minBound v) e

```

We provide a section of code that may optimise the `GraphCol` instance. We assign the colour 0 to the vertex 0, as in graph colouring we can arbitrarily assign a colour to the ‘first’ vertex.

However, if the graph consists of multiple disconnected components, then we can do such an arbitrary assignment to a vertex in each separate component, thereby reducing the search space.

```

optimiseGC :: GraphCol -> GraphCol
optimiseGC gc@(GC (AC3 c d)) = let
  comps = components $ ac3ToGraph gc
  -- As far as I can find with the tests, if 0 is an element of a component, then

```

```

-- 0 is at the root. (Assuming a normal, legal GC instance of course).
-- We assume this is the case. For each component, we assign the reduced domain [0]
-- to the root, thereby reducing the search space.
dChanges = map (\(Node r _) -> r) comps
in GC (AC3 c (map (\(a,b) -> if a 'elem' dChanges then (a,[0]) else (a,b)) d))

```

3.2.1 Benchmarking GraphCol

We set to benchmark `GraphCol` in 2 different ways: given an instance of `GraphCol`, first, we determine the total number of options across all domains in the instance, and we compare this to the number of options once we have run `ac3`. We then do the same having run `optimiseGC`, with and without running `ac3`.

Next, we run `findSolution` on this instance, as well as on the same instance altered using `ac3` and/or `optimiseGC`, and record the time using Haskell's Criterion library [O'S].

```

-- | Given a file name, run the benchmark suite on the graphcol instance in this file.
runBenchmark :: String -> IO ()
runBenchmark filename = do
  gc@(GC inst) <- readGraphFromFile filename

```

Note: Only 1 of the following 2 code blocks should be run, and the other should be hidden.

```

let origNOpts = getTotalDomainOptions $ domains inst
let newD = ac3 inst
let newNOpts = getTotalDomainOptions newD

let (GC optiInst) = optimiseGC gc
let newOptiD = ac3 optiInst
let newNOptiD = getTotalDomainOptions newOptiD

putStrLn $ "Filename: " ++ filename
putStrLn $ "Pre AC-3:      " ++ show origNOpts
putStrLn $ "Post AC-3:       " ++ show newNOpts
putStrLn $ "OptimiseGC:      " ++ (show . getTotalDomainOptions . domains) optiInst
putStrLn $ "OptimiseGC AC-3: " ++ show newNOptiD

```

```

-- Benchmark using Criterion
defaultMain [
  bgroup filename [ bench "pre AC-3" $ whnf findSolution inst
                    , bench "post AC-3" $ whnf findSolution (AC3 (cons inst) (ac3 inst))
                    , bench "OptimiseGC, no AC-3" $ whnf (\(GC oi) -> findSolution oi) (
                      optimiseGC gc)
                    , bench "OptimiseGC, + AC-3" $ whnf (\(GC oi) -> findSolution (AC3 (
                      cons inst) (ac3 oi))) (optimiseGC gc)
                  ]
]

```

To get the most fair comparison between the instance before and after running `ac3`, we will discuss instances where there is no solution.² In such cases, `findSolution` needs to go through the entire search space, and as such `ac3` is most likely to be effective in reducing the run-time.

We highlight one specific example, but all results can be found in the `benchmark` folder.

We take the `example_N200_AC3` case, which has 200 vertices, 11324 constraints or 10548 unique edges, and 3 colours. By running `ac3` on this instance, we can reduce the total number of options in the domain from 598 ($200 * 3 - 2$) to 553. Then, running `findSolution`, the runtime

²Note that the `ac3` function can change the order of the domain. As a result, comparing runtimes of `findSolution` or `findAllSolutions` may lead to erroneous results.

decreases from 119ms on the original instance to 45ms running on the reduced instance. This is an instance that greatly benefits from running AC-3, as we see the run-time reducing as a result of the reduced search space.

3.3 The Scheduling library

```
module Scheduling where

import Text.Parsec
import Text.Parsec.String
import Control.Monad (replicateM)
import Data.List (elemIndex)
import AC3Solver
import Backtracking (findSolution)

type ClassAssignment = (Int, Int, Int)
dayNames :: [String]
dayNames = ["monday", "tuesday", "wednesday", "thursday", "friday"]

parseInt :: Parser Int
parseInt = do
  spaces
  n <- many1 digit
  return (read n)

parseInput :: String -> IO Int
parseInput prompt = do
  putStrLn prompt
  read <$> getLine

getNames :: String -> Int -> IO [String]
getNames prompt n = do
  putStrLn prompt
  replicateM n getLine

getUserInputs :: IO (Int, Int, Int, [String], [String], [String])
getUserInputs = do
  numClasses <- parseInput "Enter the number of classes:"
  classNames <- getNames "Enter class names:" numClasses
  numRooms <- parseInput "Enter the number of rooms:"
  roomNames <- getNames "Enter room names:" numRooms
  numTimeSlots <- parseInput "Enter the number of time slots per day:"
  timeSlotNames <- getNames "Enter time slot names:" numTimeSlots
  return (numClasses, numRooms, numTimeSlots, classNames, roomNames, timeSlotNames)

testUserInputs :: IO ()
testUserInputs = do
  (numClasses, numRooms, numTimeSlots, classNames, roomNames, timeSlotNames) <-
    getUserInputs

  putStrLn "\nCollected Inputs:"
  putStrLn $ "Number of Classes: " ++ show numClasses
  putStrLn $ "Class Names: " ++ show classNames
  putStrLn $ "Number of Rooms: " ++ show numRooms
  putStrLn $ "Room Names: " ++ show roomNames
  putStrLn $ "Number of Time Slots per Day: " ++ show numTimeSlots
  putStrLn $ "Time Slot Names: " ++ show timeSlotNames

checkSameDay :: ClassAssignment -> ClassAssignment -> Bool
checkSameDay (x,_,_) (y,_,_) = x == y

checkBefore :: ClassAssignment -> ClassAssignment -> Bool
checkBefore (x,x2,_) (y,y2,_) = x == y && x2 + 1 == y2

checkAfter :: ClassAssignment -> ClassAssignment -> Bool
checkAfter (x,x2,_) (y,y2,_) = x == y && x2 == y2 + 1

checkDay :: Int -> ClassAssignment -> Bool
checkDay a (x,_,_) = x == a
```

```

checkTime :: Int -> ClassAssignment -> Bool
checkTime a (_,x,_) = x == a

checkRoom :: Int -> ClassAssignment -> Bool
checkRoom a (_,_,x) = x == a

filterDomains :: [Domain Int ClassAssignment] -> [(Agent Int, ClassAssignment -> Bool)] ->
[Domain Int ClassAssignment]
filterDomains domainList conditions =
  [(agent, [v | v <- values, all (\(a, f) -> (a /= agent) || f v) conditions]) | (agent,
    values) <- domainList]

getConstraint :: [String] -> IO (Maybe [ConstraintAA Int ClassAssignment])
getConstraint classNames = do
  putStrLn "Enter a constraint (e.g., 'class1 is before class2' or 'class1 is the same day
    as class2'). Type 'Done' to finish:"
  input <- getLine
  if input == "Done" then return Nothing else do
    let parts = words input
    case parts of
      [class1, "is", "before", class2] -> Just <$> processConstraints class1 class2 "is
        before" classNames
      [class1, "is", "the", "same", "day", "as", class2] -> Just <$> processConstraints
        class1 class2 "is the same day as" classNames
      _ -> do
        putStrLn "Invalid input format"
        getConstraint classNames

processConstraints :: String -> String -> String -> [String] -> IO [ConstraintAA Int
  ClassAssignment]
processConstraints class1 class2 keyword classNames = do
  case (elemIndex class1 classNames, elemIndex class2 classNames) of
    (Just i, Just j) -> case keyword of
      "is before" -> return [(i, j, checkBefore), (j, i, checkAfter)]
      "is the same day as" -> return [(i, j, checkSameDay), (j, i, checkSameDay)]
      _ -> error "Invalid keyword"
    _ -> error "Invalid class names"

collectConstraints :: [String] -> IO [ConstraintAA Int ClassAssignment]
collectConstraints classNames = do
  let loop acc = do
    constraint <- getConstraint classNames
    case constraint of
      Nothing -> return acc
      Just cs -> loop (cs ++ acc)
  loop []

getStartingValues :: [String] -> [String] -> [String] -> IO (Maybe (Agent Int,
  ClassAssignment -> Bool))
getStartingValues classNames roomNames timeslotNames = do
  putStrLn "Enter known values (e.g., 'class1 is in room3', 'class1 is at 11am' or 'class1
    is on monday'). Type 'Done' to finish:"
  input <- getLine
  if input == "Done" then return Nothing else do
    let parts = words input
    case parts of
      [class1, "is", "in", room] -> Just <$> processStartingValues class1 room "is in"
        classNames roomNames
      [class1, "is", "at", time] -> Just <$> processStartingValues class1 time "is at"
        classNames timeslotNames
      [class1, "is", "on", day] -> Just <$> processStartingValues class1 day "is on"
        classNames dayNames
      _ -> do
        putStrLn "Invalid input format"
        getStartingValues classNames roomNames timeslotNames

processStartingValues :: String -> String -> String -> [String] -> [String] -> IO (Agent
  Int, ClassAssignment -> Bool)
processStartingValues class1 value keyword classNames valueNames = do
  case (elemIndex class1 classNames, elemIndex value valueNames) of
    (Just i, Just j) -> case keyword of
      "is in" -> return (i, checkRoom j)
      "is at" -> return (i, checkTime j)

```

```

    "is on" -> return (i, checkDay j)
    -      -> error "Invalid keyword"
    - -> error "Invalid name"

collectStartingValues :: [String] -> [String] -> [String] -> IO [(Agent Int,
    ClassAssignment -> Bool)]
collectStartingValues classNames roomNames timeslotNames = do
    let loop acc = do
        value <- getStartingValues classNames roomNames timeslotNames
        case value of
            Nothing -> return acc
            Just svcs -> loop (svcs : acc)
    loop []

printSolution :: [String] -> [String] -> [String] -> [String] -> [(Agent Int,
    ClassAssignment)] -> IO ()
printSolution classNames days roomNames timeSlotNames list = putStrLn $ concat
    [classNames !! agent ++ " is scheduled on " ++ days !! dayId ++
    " in " ++ roomNames !! roomId ++ " at " ++ timeSlotNames !! timeId ++ ".\n"
    | (agent, (dayId, roomId, timeId)) <- list]

schedulingMain :: IO ()
schedulingMain = do
    (numClasses, numRooms, numTimeSlots, classNames, roomNames, timeSlotNames) <-
        getUserInputs
    constraints <- collectConstraints classNames

    let uniquenessConstraints = [(i, j, (/=)) | i <- [0..numClasses-1], j <- [0..numClasses
        -1], i /= j]
    let allConstraints = constraints ++ uniquenessConstraints
    let classDomains = [(i, [(d, t, r) | d <- [0..5], t <- [0..numTimeSlots-1], r <- [0..
        numRooms-1]]) | i <- [0..numClasses-1]]
    domainConditions <- collectStartingValues classNames roomNames timeSlotNames
    let filteredDomains = filterDomains classDomains domainConditions

    let possibleSolutions = ac3 AC3 { cons = allConstraints, domains = filteredDomains }

    let solution = findSolution AC3 { cons = allConstraints, domains = possibleSolutions }

    case solution of
        Nothing -> putStrLn "No solution found."
        Just sol -> printSolution classNames dayNames roomNames timeSlotNames sol

```

```

module Sudoku where

-- General imports
import Data.List ( intercalate )
import Data.Time.Clock ( getCurrentTime, diffUTCTime )
import Text.Printf ( printf )

-- Import AC3 solver and backtracking algorithm
import AC3Solver ( AC3 (..), ac3, ConstraintAA, Domain )
import Backtracking ( findSolution )

```

3.4 The Sudoku Library

This file implements Sudoku in a suitable format for our AC3 and backtracking algorithms.

In our formulation:

1. Each cell on the Sudoku board is represented as an *Agent* with its associated domain - An agent is identified by a coordinate (i, j) where i is the row $[1 - 9]$ and j is the column $[1 - 9]$ - Each agent maintains a domain of possible values $[1 - 9]$
2. Sudoku's rules are encoded as binary constraints between agents:

- **Row constraint:** All cells in the same row must contain different values
- **Column constraint:** All cells in the same column must contain different values
- **Box constraint:** All cells in the same 3-by-3 box must contain different values

These constraints are implemented as inequality relations (\neq) between cells. For instance, cell (3,2) and cell (3,7) are in the same row, thus, a constraint is added to ensure that they do not have the same value.

Below is the definition for a list of all cells, and the conditions for two cells being on the same row and in the same column.

```
allCells :: [(Int, Int)]
allCells = [(i,j) | i <- [1..9], j <- [1..9]]

sameRow :: (Int, Int) -> (Int, Int) -> Bool
sameRow (x1,_) (y1,_) = x1 == y1

sameCol :: (Int, Int) -> (Int, Int) -> Bool
sameCol (_,x2) (_,y2) = x2 == y2
```

A similar condition can be constructed for two cells being in the same 3-by-3 box.

```
sameBox :: (Int, Int) -> (Int, Int) -> Bool
sameBox (x1,y1) (x2,y2) = (x1 - 1) 'div' 3 == (x2 - 1) 'div' 3 && (y1 - 1) 'div' 3 == (y2 - 1) 'div' 3
```

With these conditions, the constraints can be modelled as a list of inequalities between cells. Two cells receive an inequality if they are distinct and share the same row, column, or box.

```
sudokuConstraints :: [ConstraintAA (Int, Int) Int]
sudokuConstraints = [(i, j, (/=)) | i <- allCells, j <- allCells, i /= j, i 'sameRow' j]
++ [(i, j, (/=)) | i <- allCells, j <- allCells, i /= j, i 'sameCol' j]
++ [(i, j, (/=)) | i <- allCells, j <- allCells, i /= j, i 'sameBox' j]
```

Below is an example of an empty Sudoku board, that is, the domain of every cell is [1..9].

```
sudokuDomains :: [Domain (Int, Int) Int]
sudokuDomains = [(i, [1..9]) | i <- allCells]

sudokuEmpty :: AC3 (Int, Int) Int
sudokuEmpty = AC3 sudokuConstraints sudokuDomains
```

Using the 'sudokuConstraints' as a backbone we can define our own sudoku puzzle. It is quite tedious because it requires us to specify the initial grid. The example below is a Sudoku puzzle with a unique solution.

```
startingCellsUnique :: [Domain (Int, Int) Int]
startingCellsUnique = [ ((1,3), [1]),
  ((1,5), [6]),
  ((1,9), [4]),
  ((2,1), [8]),
  ((2,4), [1]),
  ((2,6), [4]),
  ((2,8), [6]),
  ((3,2), [3]),
  ((3,7), [8]),
  ((3,9), [5]),
  ((4,1), [7]),
  ((4,3), [8]),
  ((4,5), [2]),
  ((4,9), [3]),
  ((5,2), [6]),
  ((5,3), [3]),
  ((5,7), [1]),
```

```

((5,8), [2]),
((5,9), [9]),
((6,5), [1]),
((7,1), [3]),
((7,7), [2]),
((7,9), [8]),
((8,1), [1]),
((8,3), [4]),
((8,5), [5]),
((8,6), [9]),
((8,7), [3]),
((9,2), [7]),
((9,4), [8]),
((9,6), [3]),
((9,7), [5]),
((9,8), [9]),
((9,9), [1])
]

sudokuExampleDomainUnique :: [Domain (Int, Int) Int] -- | Complete the initial grid by
adding empty cells
sudokuExampleDomainUnique = startingCellsUnique ++ [(i, [1..9]) | i <- allCells, i `notElem`
  'map fst startingCellsUnique]

sudokuExampleUnique :: AC3 (Int, Int) Int
sudokuExampleUnique = AC3 sudokuConstraints sudokuExampleDomainUnique

```

Instead of specifying our own sudoku puzzles we can leverage the repository of [Ash], in which 100+ puzzles are available. These puzzles are stored as nine rows separated by a newline character, each row containing nine entries. Empty cells are represented by ".".

```

readSudokuFromFile :: FilePath -> IO [Domain (Int, Int) Int]
readSudokuFromFile filePath = do -- | filePath is the path to the sudoku
  puzzle file
  contents <- readFile filePath
  let rows = lines contents
  return (parseSudokuDomains rows)

parseSudokuDomains :: [String] -> [Domain (Int, Int) Int]
parseSudokuDomains rows = cellDomains where
  charToDomain :: Char -> [Int] -- | Converts characters to domain values
  charToDomain '.' = [1..9] -- | Empty cell
  charToDomain c = if c >= '1' && c <= '9' -- | Should always be true if c!='.', added
    for safety
    then [read [c]] -- | Fixed cell
    else [1..9] -- | Empty cell

  cellDomains = [(i, j), charToDomain c) |
    (i, row) <- zip [1..9] (take 9 rows),
    (j, c) <- zip [1..9] (take 9 row)]

```

Leveraging these functions we can load a sudoku puzzle by specifying its name and return an AC3 instance.

```

loadSudokuPuzzle :: String -> IO (AC3 (Int, Int) Int)
loadSudokuPuzzle fileName = do -- | fileName is the name of the sudoku
  puzzle
  let filePath = "sudokuPuzzles/" ++ fileName ++ ".sud"
  cellDomains <- readSudokuFromFile filePath
  return (AC3 sudokuConstraints cellDomains)

```

With the tools above, we can finally define a few different functions that the user can interact with. To start with, we need a function that loads a sudoku puzzle from its file name, runs AC3, and returns the puzzle with its reduced domains.

```

runAC3OnSudokuFile :: String -> IO (AC3 (Int, Int) Int)
runAC3OnSudokuFile fileName = do
  puzzle <- loadSudokuPuzzle fileName -- | Load sudoku puzzle from file name
  putStrLn "Initial puzzle:"

```

```

printSudokuPuzzle puzzle -- | Display the initial puzzle

putStrLn "Running AC3..." -- | Run AC3 and create a new puzzle with
    reduced domains
let reducedDomain = ac3 puzzle
let reducedPuzzle = AC3 sudokuConstraints reducedDomain

let oldDomain = getDomains puzzle -- | Display the average domain size before
    and after running AC3
let newDomain = getDomains reducedPuzzle
let (beforeAC3, afterAC3) = computeDomainReduction oldDomain newDomain
putStrLn "Average domain size"
putStrLn $ "    Before AC3: " ++ beforeAC3
putStrLn $ "    After AC3:  " ++ afterAC3

return reducedPuzzle

```

The reduction in domain size from running AC3 varies between puzzles, easier puzzles experience greater reduction than harder puzzles. However, only getting the domain reduction is unsatisfactory, we want a solution to the sudoku as well. The following function does just that by running the backtracking algorithm over the AC3 reduced puzzle.

```

solveSudokuFromFile :: String -> IO ()
solveSudokuFromFile fileName = do
    reducedPuzzle <- runAC3OnSudokuFile fileName -- | Get the puzzle with reduced domains

    putStrLn "Running backtracking..." -- | Run backtracking to find a solution
    let solutions = findSolution reducedPuzzle

    let solvedDomain = case solutions of -- | Check for solutions, extract solved
        domain if found
            Nothing -> [] -- | No solution found
            Just assignments -> [((row, col), [number]) | ((row, col), number) <-
                assignments]

    if null solvedDomain
    then putStrLn "No solution was found"
    else do
        let solvedPuzzle = AC3 sudokuConstraints solvedDomain
        putStrLn "Solved puzzle:"
        printSudokuPuzzle solvedPuzzle

```

With these function we can define the main loop that the user interacts with. It asks the user to choose a sudoku puzzle, and then runs AC3 and backtracking on it. The user can choose between easy, hard, and special puzzles. Easy and hard puzzles are chosen by number, while special puzzles are chosen by name. Each of these three cases are considered, and the user is prompted to choose again if an invalid choice is made.

```

sudokuMain :: IO ()
sudokuMain = do
    showWelcomeMessage

    putStr "Choose your difficulty: \n\
        \ (1) easy\n\
        \ (2) hard\n\
        \ (3) special\n"

    putStr "\nSelect one of (1, 2, 3): "
    diff <- getLine

    fileName <- case diff of
        -- | Easy puzzle case
        "1" -> do getEasyPuzzle where -- | Start the recursive prompt
            getEasyPuzzle = do
                putStr "Choose a puzzle number between 1 and 50: "
                puzzleNum <- getLine

```



```

-- Check if input is a valid number in range
case reads puzzleNum :: [(Int, String)] of
  [(num, "")] | num >= 1 && num <= 50 ->
    return ("easy" ++ puzzleNum)
  _ -> do
    putStrLn $ "Invalid choice. Please enter a number between 1 and 50."
    getEasyPuzzle -- | Try again

-- | Hard puzzle case
"2" -> do getHardPuzzle where -- | Start the recursive prompt
  getHardPuzzle = do
    putStr "Choose a puzzle number between 1 and 95: "
    puzzleNum <- getLine
    -- Check if input is a valid number in range
    case reads puzzleNum :: [(Int, String)] of
      [(num, "")] | num >= 1 && num <= 95 ->
        return ("hard" ++ puzzleNum)
      _ -> do
        putStrLn $ "Invalid choice. Please enter a number between 1 and 95."
        getHardPuzzle -- | Try again

-- | Special puzzle case
"3" -> do askForSpecialPuzzle where -- | Start the recursive prompt
  askForSpecialPuzzle = do
    putStr "Choose a puzzle: \n\
      \ (1) impossible\n\
      \ (2) Mirror\n\
      \ (3) Times1\n"

    putStr "\nSelect one of (1, 2, 3): "
    puzzleName <- getLine
    case puzzleName of
      "1" -> return "impossible"
      "2" -> return "Mirror"
      "3" -> return "Times1"
      _ -> do
        putStrLn $ "Sorry, " ++ show puzzleName ++ " is not a valid choice.
          Please try again."
        askForSpecialPuzzle -- | Try again

-- | Invalid choice
x -> do
  putStrLn $ "Sorry, " ++ show x ++ " is not a valid choice. Please try again."
  sudokuMain -- | Restart if invalid choice
  return "" -- | This line is dealt with below

if null fileName then return () -- | fileName is null after user executes case x, but
the program has already successfully run
else do
  -- | Solve the Sudoku puzzle from the file
  putStrLn $ "\nSolving Sudoku puzzle " ++ fileName ++ "..."
  solveSudokuFromFile fileName

-- | Display welcome banner
showWelcomeMessage :: IO ()
showWelcomeMessage = do
  putStrLn "-----"
  putStrLn "|                WELCOME TO THE                |"
  putStrLn "|                SUDOKU AC3 SOLVER                |"
  putStrLn "-----"
  putStrLn ""

```

4 Wrapping it up in an executable

TODO

```

module Main where

import Text.Read (readMaybe)

import GraphCol
import Scheduling
import Sudoku
import NQueens
import ZebraPuzzle

getChoice :: IO Int
getChoice = do
    putStr "Choose one of the following options: \n\
        \1: Graph Colouring \n\
        \2: N-Queens \n\
        \3: Scheduling \n\
        \4: Sudoku \n\
        \5: Zebra Puzzle \n"
    choice <- getLine
    case readMaybe choice of
        Nothing -> do
            putStrLn "Invalid choice, please try again."
            getChoice
        Just n ->
            if n > 0 && n < 6 then return n else do
                putStrLn "Invalid choice, please try again."
                getChoice

main :: IO ()
main = do
    putStrLn "Hello!"
    --print somenumbers
    --print (map funnyfunction somenumbers)
    --myrandomnumbers <- randomnumbers
    --print myrandomnumbers
    --print (map funnyfunction myrandomnumbers)
    --putStrLn "GoodBye"

    -- Get choice
    choice <- getChoice
    case choice of
        1 -> graphColMain
        2 -> nQueensMain
        3 -> schedulingMain
        4 -> sudokuMain
        5 -> zebraPuzzleMain
        _ -> undefined

```

We can run this program with the commands:

```

stack build
stack exec myprogram

```

5 The test file(s)

6 AC3 tests

```

module Main where

import AC3Solver
import Backtracking

import Data.Maybe
import Test.Hspec

```

```

import Test.QuickCheck

main :: IO ()
main = hspec $ do
  describe "AC3 Tests" $ do
    -- TODO remove
    --it "Example test" $
    -- ac3 exampleAC3 'shouldBe' [(4,[1,2]),(3,[0,1,2]),(2,[0,1,2]),(1,[0,1,2]),(0,[0])]
    it "Positive example (each agent has non-empty domain) - 1" $
      ac3 exampleAC3 'shouldNotSatisfy' determineNoSol
    it "Positive example (each agent has non-empty domain) - 2" $
      ac3 exampleAC3_2 'shouldNotSatisfy' determineNoSol
    it "Positive example (each agent has non-empty domain) - 3" $
      ac3 exampleAC3_GFG 'shouldNotSatisfy' determineNoSol

    it "Positive example (at least 1 actual solution) - 1" $ do
      let newD = ac3 exampleAC3
      findSolution (AC3 (cons exampleAC3) newD) 'shouldSatisfy' isJust
    it "Positive example (at least 1 actual solution) - 2" $ do
      let newD = ac3 exampleAC3_2
      findSolution (AC3 (cons exampleAC3_2) newD) 'shouldSatisfy' isJust
    it "Positive example (at least 1 actual solution) - 3" $ do
      let newD = ac3 exampleAC3_GFG
      findSolution (AC3 (cons exampleAC3_GFG) newD) 'shouldSatisfy' isJust

    it "Negative example (has no solution) - 1" $ do
      let newD = ac3 exampleAC3_bad
      findSolution (AC3 (cons exampleAC3_bad) newD) 'shouldBe' Nothing
    it "Negative example (has no solution) - 2" $ do
      let newD = ac3 exampleAC3_triv
      findSolution (AC3 (cons exampleAC3_triv) newD) 'shouldBe' Nothing
    it "Negative example (has no solution) - 3" $ do
      let newD = ac3 exampleAC3_no_solution
      findSolution (AC3 (cons exampleAC3_no_solution) newD) 'shouldBe' Nothing

    -- The --coverage says these cases are never reached, but that is simply not true lol.
    -- It says this even with these cases, but that notwithstanding: according to the test
    -- report,
    -- we always get the otherwise case, which would seemingly point to us eventually
    -- reaching the
    -- [] = undefined case
    let xAgent = ("x", [1 :: Int])
    let yAgent = ("y", [2])
    let d = [xAgent, yAgent]
    it "Test popXy x==a" $ do
      popXy "x" "y" d 'shouldBe' ([1], [2], [yAgent])
    it "Test popXy y==a" $ do
      popXy "y" "x" d 'shouldBe' ([2], [1], [xAgent])

```

```

-- TEST CASES

exampleAC3 :: AC3 Int Int
exampleAC3 = let
  nColours = 3
  nAgents = 5
  -- we assign a specific starting value to an (arbitrary) node. (TODO: for general
  -- encoding, if a vertex has no edges, assign an arbit colour.)
  in AC3 [ (a, (a+1) 'mod' nAgents, (/=)) | a<-[0..nAgents-1]] ((0, [0]) : [ (a, [0..
  nColours-1]) | a<-[1..nAgents-1]])

-- A graph is 2-colourable iff it is bipartite iff it has no cycles of odd length.
-- (Such as, this example which is a circle of even length.)
exampleAC3_2 :: AC3 Int Int
exampleAC3_2 = let
  nColours = 2
  nAgents = 6
  -- we assign a specific starting value to an (arbitrary) node.
  in AC3 [ (a, (a-1) 'mod' nAgents, (/=)) | a<-[0..nAgents-1]] ++ [ (a, (a+1) 'mod'
  nAgents, (/=)) | a<-[0..nAgents-1]]
  ((0, [0]) : [ (a, [0..nColours-1]) | a<-[1..nAgents-1]])

-- NOT 2-colourable, as it has an odd cycle (circle of len 5).

```

```

exampleAC3_bad :: AC3 Int Int
exampleAC3_bad = let
  nColours = 2
  nAgents = 5
  -- we assign a specific starting value to an (arbitrary) node.
  in AC3 ([ (a, (a-1) `mod` nAgents, (/=)) | a<-[0..nAgents-1]] ++ [ (a, (a+1) `mod`
    nAgents, (/=)) | a<-[0..nAgents-1]])
    ((0, [0]) : [ (a, [0..nColours-1]) | a<-[1..nAgents-1]])

-- NOT 1-colourable, as it has an edge.
exampleAC3_triv :: AC3 Int Int
exampleAC3_triv = let
  nColours = 1 -- can only be 1-colourable iff cons = [].
  nAgents = 5
  -- we assign a specific starting value to an (arbitrary) node.
  in AC3 ([ (a, (a+1) `mod` nAgents, (/=)) | a<-[0..nAgents-1]])
    ((0, [0]) : [ (a, [0..nColours-1]) | a<-[1..nAgents-1]])

-- Example based on https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/3-coloring-is-np-complete/
-- IS 3-colourable.
exampleAC3_GFG :: AC3 String Int
exampleAC3_GFG = let
  nColours = 3 -- can only be 1-colourable iff cons = [].
  agentsA = ["v", "w", "u", "x"]
  agentsB = [s++"'" | s<-agentsA]
  agents = agentsA ++ agentsB -- does NOT include "B"

  bCons = [("B", a, (/=)) | a<-agents]
  outsideCons = [ (a, a++"'", (/=)) | a<-agentsA ]
  reverseCons = map \ (a,b,c) -> (b,a,c))
  in AC3 (bCons ++ reverseCons bCons ++
    outsideCons ++ reverseCons outsideCons)
    ( ("B", [0]) : [ (a, [0..nColours-1]) | a <- agents])

-- A problem that should have no solutions
exampleAC3_no_solution :: AC3 Int Int
exampleAC3_no_solution = let
  domains_no_sol = [(0, [1,2]), (1, [1,2]), (2, [1,2])]
  constraints_no_sol = [(0, 1, (/=)), (1, 2, (/=)), (0,2, (/=))]
  in AC3 constraints_no_sol domains_no_sol

```

7 Conclusion

Finally, we can see that [LW13] is a nice paper.

8 Future works??

References

- [Ash] Ben Ashing. Jabenjy/Sudoku: Completed Sudoku solver written in Haskell as part of an assignment as part of a Functional Programming module.
- [GJS74] M. R. Garey, D. S. Johnson, and L. Stockmeyer. Some simplified NP-complete problems. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, STOC '74, page 47–63, New York, NY, USA, 1974. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [LW13] Fenrong Liu and Yanjing Wang. Reasoning about agent types and the hardest logic puzzle ever. *Minds and Machines*, 23(1):123–161, 2013.

- [Mac77] Alan K. Mackworth. Consistency in networks of relations. *Artificial Intelligence*, 8(1):99–118, 1977.
- [O’S] Bryan O’Sullivan. criterion: Robust, reliable performance measurement and analysis.