EE 381V: Large Scale Optimization

Fall 2012

Lecture 24 — November 27

Lecturer: Caramanis & Sanghavi

Scribe: Jahshan Bhatti and Ken Pesyna

24.1 Last Time: Mirror Descent

The convergence of subgradient descent is given by

$$f\left(x_{\text{best}}^{\star}\right) = f^{\star} \le \frac{L \cdot R}{\sqrt{k+1}} \tag{24.1}$$

where L is the Lipschitz constant with respect to $\|\cdot\|_2$ and R is the size of the set $\|x_0 - x^*\|_2$. The subgradient update is given by

$$x^{+} = \operatorname{Proj}_{\mathscr{X}}(x - \gamma_{t}g) \tag{24.2}$$

$$=\arg\min_{u\in\mathscr{X}}\left[\left\langle \gamma g-\nabla w\left(x\right),u\right\rangle +w\left(u\right)\right]\tag{24.3}$$

where $g \in \partial f(x)$ and $w(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_2^2$ is the "distance generating function" that is continuous, differentiable, and strongly convex with respect to $\|\cdot\|_2$. The idea is to replace w with some other function. The bounds are replaced by $L \to L_f$ and $R \to$ "size of set" measured by Bregman divergence given by DGF $w(\cdot)$. Also, $w(\cdot)$ is α -strongly convex with respect to $\|\cdot\|$.

24.2 Analysis of Convergence

In Euclidean case: Guaranteed decrease in Lyapunov function ($||x_k - x^*||_2$). For any $u \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|x - u\|_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \|x_{+} - u\|_{2}^{2} \ge \gamma \langle g, x - u \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{2} \|g\|_{2}^{2}$$
(24.4)

The Bregman Divergence of $||u-v||_2^2$ is given by

$$D(u,v) = w(u) - w(v) - \langle \nabla w(v), u - v \rangle \tag{24.5}$$

Analog to key inequality:

$$D(u, x_t) - D(u, x_{t+1}) \ge \gamma_t \langle g_t, x_t - u \rangle - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \gamma_t^2 \|g_t\|_{\star}^2$$
 (24.6)

$$\underbrace{\left[\left\langle \nabla w\left(x_{t}\right), x_{t} - u\right\rangle - w\left(x_{t}\right)\right]}_{H_{u}\left(x_{t}\right)} - \underbrace{\left[\left\langle \nabla w\left(x_{t+1}\right), x_{t+1} - u\right\rangle - w\left(x_{t+1}\right)\right]}_{H_{u}\left(x_{t+1}\right)}$$

$$\geq \gamma_{t} \left\langle g_{t}, x_{t} - u\right\rangle - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \sum_{t} \gamma_{t}^{2} \left\|g_{t}\right\|_{\star}^{2} \quad (24.7)$$

Recall

$$f(u) \ge f(x_t) + \langle g_t, u - x_t \rangle \tag{24.8}$$

$$\gamma_t \left(f\left(x_t \right) - f\left(u \right) \right) \le \gamma_t \left\langle g_t, x_t - u \right\rangle \tag{24.9}$$

Summing (24.7) from t = 0 to t = T yields

$$\sum_{t=0}^{T} \gamma_{t} \langle g_{t}, x_{t} - u \rangle \leq \underbrace{H_{u}(x_{0}) - H_{u}(x_{T})}_{\Theta} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{t}^{2} \|g_{t}\|_{\star}^{2}$$
 (24.10)

$$\sum \gamma_t \underbrace{\left(f\left(x_t\right) - f\left(u\right)\right)}_{f\left(x_{\text{best}}^T\right) \le f\left(x_t\right)} \le \tag{24.11}$$

$$\underbrace{\left(f\left(x_{\text{best}}^{T}\right) - f\left(u\right)\right)}_{\text{Let }u = x^{\star}} \sum \gamma_{t} \leq \Theta + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \sum \gamma_{t}^{2} \left\|g_{t}\right\|_{\star}^{2} \tag{24.12}$$

$$f\left(x_{\text{best}}^{T}\right) - f^{\star} \leq \frac{\Theta + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \sum \gamma_{t}^{2} \left\|g_{t}\right\|_{\star}^{2}}{\sum \gamma_{t}}$$

$$(24.13)$$

where Θ is the upper bound on $\|x^* - x_0\|_2^2 = \text{diam} \mathcal{X}$ or generally "size of \mathcal{X} measured by $D(\cdot, \cdot)$."

Take

$$\gamma_t = \frac{\sqrt{\Theta \cdot \alpha}}{\|g_t\|_{\star} \cdot \sqrt{t}} \tag{24.14}$$

Exercise:

$$\epsilon_T \leq O(1) \frac{\sqrt{\Theta} L_{\|\cdot\|}^F}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{T}} If \|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_2, w = \frac{1}{2} \|\cdot\|_2$$

For

$$X \in \Delta_n^+(R), \ w(x) = \sum x_i \ln(x_i), \|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_2$$

then mirror descent update is easy

Exercise:

$$\alpha = O(1)/R^{2} \text{(modulus of strong convexity w.r.t. } \|\cdot\|_{1}$$

$$\Theta \leq O(1) \ln(n)$$

$$\epsilon_{T} \leq O(1) \sqrt{\ln(n)} \frac{L_{\|\cdot\|}^{F} R}{\sqrt{T}}$$

Mirror Descent versus Subgradient Descent (Error Ratio)

$$\frac{\epsilon_{MD}}{\epsilon_{SD}} = \underbrace{O\left(\sqrt{\ln\left(n\right)}\right)}_{(I)} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\max_{X} \|x - y\|_{1}}{\max_{X} \|x - y\|_{2}}}_{(II)} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{L_{\|\cdot\|_{1}}^{f}}{L_{\|\cdot\|_{2}}^{f}}}_{(III)}$$

Analysis:

- (I) Always Favors Euclidean
- (II) Always favors Euclidean (1
 $\leq ratio \leq \sqrt{n})$
- (III) Favors MD-simplex $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \le ratio \le 1)$
- For \mathscr{X} ball, f is sensitive to O(1) coordinate \to subgradient descent much better: $\sqrt{n\ln{(n)}}$
- For \mathscr{X} simplex, f is sensitive to O(n) coordinates \to MD-Simplex better: $\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{\ln(n)}}$

24.3 Algorithms that use the Dual

Recall Duality

Primal:

$$\min_{x} f(x) \ s.t. \ h(x) \le 0, Ax = b$$

Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda \geq 0}(x,\lambda,\nu) &=& f(x) + \lambda^T h(x) + \nu (Ax - b) \\ g(\lambda,\nu) &=& \min_x \mathcal{L}(x,\lambda,\nu) \end{aligned}$$

Dual:

$$\lambda^{\star}, \nu^{\star} = arg \max_{\lambda \geq 0, \nu} g(\lambda, \nu)$$

Then can get primal back by

$$x^{\star} = \arg\min_{x} \mathscr{L}(x, \lambda^{\star}, \nu^{\star})$$

24.3.1 Primal and Dual Decomposition

Idea: Use the problem structure for faster/parallel solution

- Complicating variable
- Complicating constraint

Complicating Variable:

subproblem 1
$$\min_{x_1} f_1(x,y) \} \phi_1(y)$$

subproblem 2 $\min_{x_2} f_2(x,y) \} \phi_2(y)$
master problem $\min_y \phi_1(y) + \phi_2(y)$

Options to solve: Bisection, take gradient of ϕ , solve ϕ_1, ϕ_2 exactly \rightarrow Doesn't matter

24.3.2 Dual Decomposition

$$\min_{\substack{x_1y_1x_2y_2 \\ x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)}} \quad f_1(x_1, y_1) + f_2(x_2, y_2) \ s.t. \ y_1 = y_2$$

$$\mathcal{L}(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = f_1(\cdot) + f_2(\cdot) + \lambda(y_1 - y_2)$$
 subproblem 1
$$\min_{\substack{x_1y_1 \\ x_1y_1}} f_1(x_1, y_1) + \lambda y_1$$
 subproblem 2
$$\min_{\substack{x_2y_2 \\ x_2y_2}} f_2(x_2, y_2) - \lambda y_2$$

$$\lambda_+ = \lambda - \alpha(y_2 - y_1)$$