- 1. Title: Wisconsin Diagnostic Breast Cancer (WDBC)
- 2. Source Information
- a) Creators:

Dr. William H. Wolberg, General Surgery Dept., University of Wisconsin, Clinical Sciences Center, Madison, WI 53792 wolberg@eagle.surgery.wisc.edu

W. Nick Street, Computer Sciences Dept., University of Wisconsin, 1210 West Dayton St., Madison, WI 53706 street@cs.wisc.edu 608-262-6619

Olvi L. Mangasarian, Computer Sciences Dept., University of Wisconsin, 1210 West Dayton St., Madison, WI 53706 olvi@cs.wisc.edu

b) Donor: Nick Street

c) Date: November 1995

3. Past Usage:

first usage:

W.N. Street, W.H. Wolberg and O.L. Mangasarian Nuclear feature extraction for breast tumor diagnosis. IS&T/SPIE 1993 International Symposium on Electronic Imaging: Science

and Technology, volume 1905, pages 861-870, San Jose, CA, 1993.

OR literature:

O.L. Mangasarian, W.N. Street and W.H. Wolberg. Breast cancer diagnosis and prognosis via linear programming. Operations Research, 43(4), pages 570-577, July-August 1995.

Medical literature:

W.H. Wolberg, W.N. Street, and O.L. Mangasarian. Machine learning techniques to diagnose breast cancer from fine-needle aspirates. Cancer Letters 77 (1994) 163-171.

W.H. Wolberg, W.N. Street, and O.L. Mangasarian. Image analysis and machine learning applied to breast cancer diagnosis and prognosis.

Analytical and Quantitative Cytology and Histology, Vol. 17

No. 2, pages 77-87, April 1995.

W.H. Wolberg, W.N. Street, D.M. Heisey, and O.L. Mangasarian. Computerized breast cancer diagnosis and prognosis from fine needle aspirates.

Archives of Surgery 1995;130:511-516.

W.H. Wolberg, W.N. Street, D.M. Heisey, and O.L. Mangasarian. Computer-derived nuclear features distinguish malignant from benign breast cytology. Human Pathology, 26:792--796, 1995.

See also:

http://www.cs.wisc.edu/~olvi/uwmp/mpml.html http://www.cs.wisc.edu/~olvi/uwmp/cancer.html

Results:

- predicting field 2, diagnosis: B = benign, M = malignant
- sets are linearly separable using all 30 input features
- best predictive accuracy obtained using one separating plane in the 3-D space of Worst Area, Worst Smoothness and Mean Texture. Estimated accuracy 97.5% using repeated 10-fold crossvalidations. Classifier has correctly diagnosed 176 consecutive new patients as of November 1995.

4. Relevant information

Features are computed from a digitized image of a fine needle aspirate (FNA) of a breast mass. They describe characteristics of the cell nuclei present in the image. A few of the images can be found at http://www.cs.wisc.edu/~street/images/

Separating plane described above was obtained using Multisurface Method-Tree (MSM-T) [K. P. Bennett, "Decision Tree Construction Via Linear Programming." Proceedings of the 4th Midwest Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Science Society, pp. 97-101, 1992], a classification method which uses linear programming to construct a decision tree. Relevant features were selected using an exhaustive search in the space of 1-4 features and 1-3 separating planes.

The actual linear program used to obtain the separating plane in the 3-dimensional space is that described in: [K. P. Bennett and O. L. Mangasarian: "Robust Linear Programming Discrimination of Two Linearly Inseparable Sets", Optimization Methods and Software 1, 1992, 23-34].

This database is also available through the UW CS ftp server:

ftp ftp.cs.wisc.edu
cd math-prog/cpo-dataset/machine-learn/WDBC/

5. Number of instances: 569

- 6. Number of attributes: 32 (ID, diagnosis, 30 real-valued input features)
- 7. Attribute information
- 1) ID number
- 2) Diagnosis (M = malignant, B = benign)
 3-32)

Ten real-valued features are computed for each cell nucleus:

- a) radius (mean of distances from center to points on the perimeter) $\$
 - b) texture (standard deviation of gray-scale values)
 - c) perimeter
 - d) area
 - e) smoothness (local variation in radius lengths)
 - f) compactness (perimeter^2 / area 1.0)
 - g) concavity (severity of concave portions of the contour)
 - h) concave points (number of concave portions of the contour)
 - i) symmetry
 - j) fractal dimension ("coastline approximation" 1)

Several of the papers listed above contain detailed descriptions of how these features are computed.

The mean, standard error, and "worst" or largest (mean of the three largest values) of these features were computed for each image, resulting in 30 features. For instance, field 3 is Mean Radius, field 13 is Radius SE, field 23 is Worst Radius.

- All feature values are recoded with four significant digits.
- 8. Missing attribute values: none
- 9. Class distribution: 357 benign, 212 malignant