

VE401 Probabilistic Methods in Eng.

Final Review Part 1

CHEN Xiwen

UM-SJTU Joint Institute

May 9, 2020

Table of contents

Hypothesis Tests

- Fisher's Null Hypothesis Test

- Neyman-Pearson Decision Theory

- Null Hypothesis Significance Testing

Hypothesis Tests

Fisher's Null Hypothesis Test

Neyman-Pearson Decision Theory

Null Hypothesis Significance Testing

Fisher's Null Hypothesis Test

Overview.

1. Set up a **null hypothesis** H_0 that compares a population parameter θ to a given null value θ_0 .
 - ▶ $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$,
 - ▶ $H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0$,
 - ▶ $H_0 : \theta \geq \theta_0$.
2. Try to reject the null hypothesis by finding **P-value** for the test.
 - ▶ One-tailed: upper bound of probability of obtaining the data or more extreme data (based on the null hypothesis), given that the null hypothesis is true.

$$P[D|H_0] \leq P\text{-value}.$$

- ▶ Two-tailed: twice of p-value for one-tailed test.
3. We either
 - ▶ fail to reject H_0 or
 - ▶ reject H_0 at the [p-value] level of significance.

Understanding P-values

One-tailed tests. For one-tailed test, the p-value is an upper bound of probability of obtaining the data or more extreme data based on the null hypothesis, given that the null hypothesis is true.

▶ $H_0 : \mu \geq \mu_0$, extreme \Leftrightarrow too small $\hat{\mu}$.

▶ $H_0 : \mu \leq \mu_0$, extreme \Leftrightarrow too large $\hat{\mu}$.

Note: Refer to an estimator of the parameter in the null hypothesis (μ in this case).

Understanding P-values

One-tailed tests. For one-tailed test, the p-value is an upper bound of probability of obtaining the data or more extreme data based on the null hypothesis, given that the null hypothesis is true.

▶ $H_0 : \mu \geq \mu_0$, extreme \Leftrightarrow too small $\hat{\mu}$.

▶ $H_0 : \mu \leq \mu_0$, extreme \Leftrightarrow too large $\hat{\mu}$.

Note: Refer to an estimator of the parameter in the null hypothesis (μ in this case).

Assignment 5.2. X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. exponential random variables with parameter β , then the sum Y

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{\beta^n}{\Gamma(n)} y^{n-1} e^{-\beta y} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2n\beta\bar{X} \sim \chi_{2n}^2.$$

The maximum-likelihood estimator for β is $\hat{\beta} = 1/\bar{X}$. Then we reject $H_0 : \beta \leq \beta_0$ with test statistic $2n\beta_0\bar{X}$ if $P[2n\beta_0\bar{X} < 2n\beta_0\bar{x} | \beta = \beta_0]$ is too small.

Understanding P-values

Two-tailed tests. For two-tailed test, the p-value is twice of p-value for one-tailed test. Namely, suppose for one-tailed hypotheses $H_{0,u} : \mu \geq \mu_0$ and $H_{0,l} : \mu \leq \mu_0$, the corresponding p-values are p_u and p_l , then for the two-tailed H_0 ,

$$\text{pvalue} = 2 \min(p_u, p_l).$$

The intention of doubling is to consider “more extreme data” in both directions, while taking the minimum corresponds to taking the one-tailed hypothesis such that the data seems more “extreme”.

In some cases (where the distribution of test statistic is not symmetric), the p-value for a two-tailed test is not understood in terms of “probability of more extreme data”, but in terms of “double of p-value for one-tailed test”.

P-values for Representative Parametric Tests

T-test for mean. With sample X_1, \dots, X_n from normal populations with mean μ and unknown variance σ^2 , we have test statistic

$$T_{n-1} = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{S/\sqrt{n}}$$

and p-values: (F is the c.d.f. of T_{n-1} .)

► $H_0 : \mu \leq \mu_0$.

$$\text{pvalue} = 1 - F(t_{n-1});$$

► $H_0 : \mu \geq \mu_0$.

$$\text{pvalue} = F(t_{n-1});$$

► $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$.

$$\text{pvalue} = 2 \min (F(t_{n-1}), 1 - F_{T_{n-1}}(t_{n-1})) .$$

P-values for Representative Parametric Tests

F-test for comparing variances. Let S_1^2 and S_2^2 be sample variances from independent samples of sizes n_1, n_2 from normal populations with means μ_1, μ_2 and variances σ_1^2, σ_2^2 , we have test statistic

$$F_{n_1-1, n_2-1} = \frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2}.$$

and p-values: (F is the c.d.f. of F_{n_1-1, n_2-1} .)

► $H_0 : \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2$.

$$\text{pvalue} = 1 - F(f_{n_1-1, n_2-1});$$

► $H_0 : \sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2$.

$$\text{pvalue} = F(f_{n_1-1, n_2-1});$$

► $H_0 : \sigma_1 = \sigma_2$.

$$\text{pvalue} = 2 \min (F(f_{n_1-1, n_2-1}), 1 - F(f_{n_1-1, n_2-1})).$$

P-values for Representative Non-parametric Tests

Signed test for median. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample, we have test statistic

$$Q_- = \#\{X_k : X_k - M_0 < 0\}.$$

and p-values:

- ▶ $H_0 : M \leq M_0$. pvalue = $F[q_-]$;
- ▶ $H_0 : M \geq M_0$. pvalue = $1 - F[q_-]$;
- ▶ $H_0 : M = M_0$. pvalue = $2 \min(F(q_-), 1 - F(q_-))$,

where with a binomial random variable Y and $n' = q_+ + q_-$,

$$F(k) = P[Y \leq k | M = M_0] = \sum_{y=0}^k \binom{n'}{y} \frac{1}{2^{n'}}.$$

Note. In lecture slides, we use $\min(q_-, q_+)$ for two-tailed test, which is equivalent since

$$\text{pvalue} = \min(F(q_-), F(q_+)) = F(\min(q_-, q_+)).$$

P-values for Representative Non-parametric Tests

Wilcoxon signed rank test. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample of size n from a symmetric distribution. We have test statistic

$$|W_-| = \sum_{R_i < 0} |R_i|, \quad E[|W_-|] = \frac{n(n+1)}{4}, \quad \text{Var}[|W_-|] = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{24},$$

and for large sample size, we have p-values (F is the c.d.f for normal distribution with mean and variance above.):

► $H_0 : M \leq M_0$.

$$\text{pvalue} = F(|w_-|);$$

► $H_0 : M \geq M_0$.

$$\text{pvalue} = 1 - F(|w_-|);$$

► $H_0 : M = M_0$.

$$\text{pvalue} = 2 \min(F(|w_-|), 1 - F(|w_-|)).$$

Hypothesis Tests

Fisher's Null Hypothesis Test

Neyman-Pearson Decision Theory

Null Hypothesis Significance Testing

Neyman-Pearson Decision Theory

Overview.

1. Set up a *null hypothesis* H_0 and an *alternative hypothesis* H_1 .
2. Determine a desirable α and β , where
 - ▶ $\alpha := P[\text{reject } H_0 | H_0 \text{ true}]$,
 - ▶ $\beta := P[\text{accept } H_0 | H_1 \text{ true}]$, and
 - ▶ $\text{power} := 1 - \beta = P[\text{reject } H_0 | H_1 \text{ true}]$.
3. Use α and β to determine the appropriate sample size n .
4. Use α and n to determine the *critical region*.
5. Obtain sample statistics, and reject H_0 at significance level α and accept H_1 if the test statistic falls into critical region. Otherwise, accept H_0 .

Type-I Error: α

Type-I error. It is

- ▶ only related to H_0 :

$$P[\text{reject } H_0 | H_0 \text{ true}];$$

- ▶ used to determine the critical region: this means that the critical region (whether H_0 is rejected or not, whether H_1 is accepted or not), is only determined by H_0 .

△ If H_0 is true, the probability of rejecting H_0 is no more than α .

Type-II Error: β

Type-II error. It is

- ▶ related to both H_0 and H_1 :

$$P[\text{fail to reject } H_0 | H_1 \text{ true}];$$

- ▶ used to analyze the power:

$$\text{power} = 1 - \beta;$$

- ▶ either calculated analytically or read from OC curves.

△ *If H_1 is true, the probability of accepting H_0 is no more than β .*

Type-II Error: β

F-test for comparing variances. Let S_1^2 and S_2^2 be sample variances based on independent random samples of sizes n_1 and n_2 drawn from normal populations with means μ_1 and μ_2 and variances σ_1^2 and σ_2^2 , respectively. The test statistic is given by

$$F_{n_1-1, n_2-1} = \frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2}.$$

We reject at significance level α

- ▶ $H_0 : \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2$ if $S_1^2/S_2^2 > f_{\alpha, n_1-1, n_2-1}$,
- ▶ $H_0 : \sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2$ if $S_2^2/S_1^2 > f_{\alpha, n_2-1, n_1-1}$,
- ▶ $H_0 : \sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ if $S_1^2/S_2^2 > f_{\alpha/2, n_1-1, n_2-1}$ or $S_2^2/S_1^2 > f_{\alpha/2, n_2-1, n_1-1}$.

OC curve. The abscissa is defined by

$$\lambda = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}.$$

Type-II Error: β

F-test for comparing variances. Consider the following cases (suppose $\delta > 1$).

- ▶ One-tailed test.

$$H_0 : \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2, \quad H_1 : \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} \geq \delta.$$

- ▶ One-tailed test.

$$H_0 : \sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2, \quad H_1 : \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} \leq \delta.$$

- ▶ Two-tailed test.

$$H_0 : \sigma_1 = \sigma_2, \quad H_1 : \max\left(\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}, \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}\right) \geq \delta.$$

Recall that $\sigma_2^2 S_1^2 / (\sigma_1^2 S_2^2) \sim F_{n_1-1, n_2-1}$. We only discuss the last case here.

Type-II Error: β

F-test for comparing variances. Based on the distribution, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} P[\text{accept } H_0 | H_1 \text{ true}] &= P \left[\frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2} f_1 \leq F_{n_1-1, n_2-1} \leq \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2} f_2 \mid \max \left(\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}, \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} \right) \geq \delta \right] \\ &= P \left[\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} \geq \delta \right] \times P_1 + P \left[\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \right] \times P_2 \leq \max(P_1, P_2), \end{aligned}$$

where with $f_1 = f_{1-\alpha/2, n_1-1, n_2-1}$, $f_2 = f_{\alpha/2, n_1-1, n_2-1}$,

$$P_1 \leq \left[\frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2} f_1 \leq F_{n_1-1, n_2-1} \leq \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2} f_2 \mid \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} = \delta \right] = F \left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} f_2 \right) - F \left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} f_1 \right),$$

$$P_2 \leq \left[\frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2} f_1 \leq F_{n_1-1, n_2-1} \leq \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2} f_2 \mid \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} = \frac{1}{\delta} \right] = F(\delta^2 f_2) - F(\delta^2 f_1),$$

where F is the c.d.f. for F_{n_1-1, n_2-1} . Then we have the upper bound $\beta = \max(P_1, P_2)$.

Determining Sample Size using β

Normal case. Suppose the sample mean \bar{X} follows a normal distribution with unknown mean μ and known variance σ^2 , and we have hypothesis

$$H_0 : \mu = \mu_0, \quad H_1 : |\mu - \mu_0| \geq \delta_0.$$

Relation between α , β , δ , σ and n . With true mean $\mu = \mu_0 + \delta$, the test statistic $Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(\delta\sqrt{n}/\sigma, 1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} P[\text{fail to reject } H_0 | \mu = \mu_0 + \delta] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-z_{\alpha/2}}^{z_{\alpha/2}} e^{-(t - \delta\sqrt{n}/\sigma)^2/2} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-z_{\alpha/2} - \delta\sqrt{n}/\sigma}^{z_{\alpha/2} - \delta\sqrt{n}/\sigma} e^{-t^2/2} dt \\ &\approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{z_{\alpha/2} - \delta\sqrt{n}/\sigma} e^{-t^2/2} dt \stackrel{!}{=} \beta, \end{aligned}$$

where we set $-z_\beta = z_{\alpha/2} - \delta\sqrt{n}/\sigma$.

Determining Sample Size using β

Normal case. Suppose the sample mean \bar{X} follows a normal distribution with unknown mean μ and known variance σ^2 , and we have hypothesis

$$H_0 : \mu = \mu_0, \quad H_1 : |\mu - \mu_0| \geq \delta_0.$$

Choosing the sample size n .

$$n \approx \frac{(z_{\alpha/2} + z_{\beta})^2 \sigma^2}{\delta^2},$$

where $z_{\alpha/2}$ and z_{β} satisfies that

$$\Phi(z_{\alpha/2}) = 1 - \alpha/2, \quad \Phi(z_{\beta}) = 1 - \beta,$$

given cumulative distribution function Φ of standard normal distribution.

Determining Sample Size using β

OC curves. Still taking the F-test as an example, when $n_1 = n_2 = n$, the abscissa is given by

$$\lambda = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}.$$

If we have the hypotheses

$$H_0 : \sigma_1 = \sigma_2, \quad H_1 : \max \left(\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}, \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} \right) \geq \delta,$$

Shall we use $\lambda = \delta$ or $\lambda = 1/\delta$ or both? As we have calculated,

$$P[\text{accept } H_0 | H_1 \text{ true}] \leq \max \left\{ F \left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} f_2 \right) - F \left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} f_1 \right), F(\delta^2 f_2) - F(\delta^2 f_1) \right\},$$

However, with equal sizes, $f_2 = 1/f_1$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} F(\delta^2 f_2) - F(\delta^2 f_1) &= F \left(\frac{\delta^2}{f_1} \right) - F \left(\frac{\delta^2}{f_2} \right) = 1 - F \left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} f_1 \right) - \left[1 - F \left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} f_2 \right) \right] \\ &= F \left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} f_2 \right) - F \left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} f_1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The two cases $\sigma_1/\sigma_2 = \delta$ or $\sigma_2/\sigma_1 = \delta$ are equivalent.

Hypothesis Tests

Fisher's Null Hypothesis Test

Neyman-Pearson Decision Theory

Null Hypothesis Significance Testing

Null Hypothesis Significance Testing

- ▶ H_0 and H_1 are set up, but H_1 is always the logical negation of H_0 .
- ▶ Either a hypothesis test is performed by finding a critical region, or the test statistic is evaluated and a p-value is found to reject or accept H_1 .
- ▶ In either case, there is no meaningful discussion of β , since H_1 is exactly the negation of H_0 .

Setting up Hypotheses

- ▶ **Setting up H_0 .** In most of the cases, when we want to find evidence **for** an event, H_0 is set up to be the opposite of this event.

Assignment 6.2.(i). Is there evidence that the success rate is greater for longer tears (μ_1)?

$$H_0 : \mu_1 \leq \mu_2$$

- ▶ **Alternative hypothesis H_1 .** In addition to testing equality and inequality, we would like to test an additional **amount** of such difference.

Assignment 6.3. Is there sufficient evidence to conclude that the two population standard deviations differ by at least 10%?

$$H_0 : \sigma_1 = \sigma_2, \quad H_1 : \max\left(\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}, \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}\right) \geq 1.1$$

Critical Region vs. Confidence Interval

Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n is a sample of size n from a normal population X with mean μ and known variance σ^2 . We have

$$H_0 : \mu \leq \mu_0, \quad H_1 : \mu > \mu_0.$$

Then what is the corresponding confidence interval? We know that we reject H_0 at significance level α if

$$Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > z_\alpha \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mu_0 < \bar{X} - z_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

In this case the null value μ_0 falls **outside** of the confidence interval. Therefore, the corresponding confidence interval is given by

$$\text{CI} = \left[\bar{X} - z_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}, \infty \right).$$

Similarly, if the null hypothesis is $H_0 : \mu \geq \mu_0$, the corresponding one-sided confidence interval is given by

$$\text{CI} = \left(-\infty, \bar{X} + z_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \right].$$

Thanks for your attention!
Good luck for Final exam!