

# Project 2: Fisher's Linear Discriminant Analysis

## 1 Fisher's LDA via a toy problem

### 1.1 Introduction

The aim of the Fisher's Linear Discriminant Analysis is to find a separation vector  $v$  to classify data points. This vector can be found by solving the maximization problem:

$$\max \frac{(v^T m_A - v^T m_B)}{v^T (\Sigma_A + \Sigma_B) v} \quad (1)$$

where  $\Sigma_C$  is the covariance matrix and  $m_C$  are the sampled means for  $C \in \{A, B\}$ . We can rewrite (1) as

$$R = \frac{v^T S v}{v^T M v} \quad (2)$$

where  $S = (m_A - m_B)(m_A - m_B)^T$  and  $M = \Sigma_A + \Sigma_B$ . From the exercises we know that the vector  $v$  maximizes (2) if and only if  $Sv = \lambda Mv$  is satisfied. Since  $S$  has rank one, we know  $Sv$  has the direction  $m_A - m_B$ . This implies  $Mv$  has to be in the direction to satisfy  $Sv = \lambda Mv$ .

Together we can conclude  $v = M^{-1}(m_A - m_B) = (\Sigma_A + \Sigma_B)^{-1}(m_A - m_B)$  maximizes (1). The separation vector  $v$  will then be used to classify data points  $x$  based on its projection. We classify  $x$  to class A, if  $v^T x > c$  for a constant  $c$ , and to class B, if  $v^T x \leq c$ .

### 1.2 Building a classifier for the toy problem

For the given toy problem in the task sheet, we aim to build a classifier. First, for given datasets *DataA* and *DataB* we split the data points into training and testing data for each dataset, where each column represents a sample.

We will now create the mean value vector  $m_C$  and the covariance matrix  $\Sigma_C$  for  $C \in \{A, B\}$  to create the separation vector  $v$ .

```
1 % sample mean & covariance
2 mA = mean(TrainA')';
3 mB = mean(TrainB')';
4 sA = cov(TrainA');
5 sB = cov(TrainB');
```

```

6 % separation vector
7 v = (sA+sB)\(mA-mB);
8 v = v/norm(v);

```

Now we can classify the data points, i.e the column vectors, for the data set *DataA* and *DataB*, while counting the false classifications, as described before:

```

1 c = v'*(mA+mB)/2;
2 classifyA = 0;
3 classifyB = 0;
4 missA = 0;
5 missB = 0;
6 for i=1:size(TestA,2)
7     if v'*TestA(:,i) > c
8         classifyA = classifyA+1;
9
10    else
11        missA = missA + 1;
12    end
13 end
14
15 for i=1:size(TestB,2)
16     if v'*TestB(:,i) <= c
17         classifyB = classifyB+1;
18
19    else
20        missB = missB + 1;
21    end
22 end

```

From the vectors *MissA* and *MissB* we can compute the miss rate and the success rate of the classifier.

```

1 missRate = (missA+missB)/(size(TestA,2)+size(TestB,2));
2 successRate = 1 - missRate

```

In this case we get the *successRate* = 1 for our toy problem.

## 2 UCI Benchmark Problems

### 2.1 Building a classifier for different data sets

We will now build the classifier for the data set *sonar.mat* provided by the UCI Machine Learning Repository. The classifier for *ionosphere.mat* works analogously (apart from the singularity problem we will discuss later).

The dataset *sonar.mat* consists of the  $208 \times 60$ -matrix *sonar\_data*, where 208 data points are stored in the rows, each with 60 features stored in the columns. Further there is the vector *sonar\_label* with 0-1 entries representing the classes of each row of the data matrix (0 means class A and 1 means class B).

First we will separate *sonar\_data* into the vector *SonarA* and *SonarB*, where the data points with label 0 are stored in *SonarA* and with label 1 in *SonarB*.

```
1 load sonar.mat
2 sizeOfSonarA = sum(sonar_label == 0);
3 sizeOfSonarB = sum(sonar_label == 1);
4
5 sonarA = zeros(sizeOfSonarA, 60);
6 sonarB = zeros(sizeOfSonarB, 60);
7
8 %Store all sonar_data == 0 in SonarA
9
10 for i = 1:size(sonar_label)
11     if sonar_label(i) == 0
12         sonarA(i,:) = sonar_data(i,:);
13     end
14 end
15
16 %Deleting the 0 rows
17 ind = find(sum(sonarA,2)==0) ;
18 sonarA(ind,:) = [] ;
19
20 %Same for SonarB (sonar_data == 1)
21
22 for i = 1:size(sonar_label)
23     if sonar_label(i) == 1
```

```

24         sonarB(i,:) = sonar_data(i,:);
25     end
26 end
27
28
29 ind = find(sum(sonarB,2)==0) ;
30 sonarB(ind,:) = [] ;

```

This will give us 2 matrices *SonarA* and *SonarB* with data points in class A and B. We now can split these matrices into training and testing data:

```

1 %Create test and train data of SonarA and SonarB
2 m = ceil(size(sonarA,1)*(7/10));
3
4 trainSonarA = sonarA(1:m,:);
5 testSonarA = sonarA(m+1:end,:);
6
7 m = ceil(size(sonarB,1)*(7/10));
8
9 trainSonarB = sonarB(1:m, :);
10 testSonarB = sonarB(m+1:end,:);

```

From the test vectors *testSonarA* and *testSonarB* we can create the mean value vector and the covariance matrix to create the separation vector *vSonar* and the constant *cSonar*.

```

1 %Create test and train data of SonarA and SonarB
2 mSonarA = mean(trainSonarA)';
3 mSonarB = mean(trainSonarB)';
4 sSonarA = cov(trainSonarA);
5 sSonarB = cov(trainSonarB);
6
7 %Create the separation vector and the constant for the
  classification
8 vSonar = (sSonarA+sSonarB)\(mSonarA-mSonarB);
9 vSonar = vSonar/norm(vSonar);
10
11 cSonar = vSonar'*(mSonarA + mSonarB)/2;

```

Like in the toy problem from the first part, we have all the tools to test and report the success rate for classification by the linear discriminant analysis.

```
1 classifySonarA = 0;
2 classifySonarB = 0;
3 missSonarA = 0;
4 missSonarB = 0;
5
6 for i=1:size(testSonarA,1)
7     if vSonar'*testSonarA(i,:) > cSonar
8         classifySonarA = classifySonarA+1;
9
10    else
11        missSonarA = missSonarA + 1;
12    end
13 end
14
15 for i=1:size(testSonarB,1)
16     if vSonar'*testSonarB(i,:) <= cSonar
17         classifySonarB = classifySonarB+1;
18
19    else
20        missSonarB = missSonarB + 1;
21    end
22 end
23
24 missRateSonar = (missSonarA+missSonarB)/(size(testSonarA,1)+
    size(testSonarB,1));
25 successRateSonar = 1 - missRateSonar
```

This gives us following output:

```
successRateSonar =
    0.7742
```

For the data set *ionosphere.data* we follow the same procedure. One thing we notice is that for the covariance matrices  $\Sigma_A$  and  $\Sigma_B$  of *trainIonoA* and *trainIonoB* the sum  $\Sigma_A + \Sigma_B$

is singular. Most likely one feature has such small values that it leads to rounding errors and the values are stored as 0, instead of very small numbers. This leads to a 0 column, which means the  $\det(\Sigma_A + \Sigma_B) = 0$ , i.e the matrix is singular.

If we disregard that  $\Sigma_A + \Sigma_B$  is singular, we get following success rate for ionosphere classification:

```
successRateIono =  
0
```

To avoid the singularity problem, instead of the inverse, we will now compute the pseudo inverse of  $\Sigma_A + \Sigma_B$  to compute the separation vector  $v_{Iono}$ :

```
1 vIono = pinv(sIonoA+sIonoB)*(mIonoA-mIonoB);  
2 vIono = vIono/norm(vIono);
```

This leads to following success rate:

```
successRateIono =  
0.8544
```

## 2.2 Trying different thresholds for constant $c$

In this part we are trying out different constants between  $v^T m_A$  and  $v^T m_B$  to see how sensitive the classification rate is to the choice of the constant  $c$ .

Computing the boundaries of the *sonar.mat* data set we get:

$$v^T m_A = -0,0150 \text{ and } v^T m_B = -0,0353$$

For  $c = -0.02$  we get following output:

```
successRateSonar =  
0.7581
```

and for  $c = -0.033$  we get:

```
successRateSonar =  
, 0.7097
```

After trying out several different constants we can conclude that the closer the constant  $c$  gets to the boundaries  $v^T m_A$  and  $v^T m_B$ , the worse the LDA classification, i.e the success rate, gets.

The same phenomenon we can observe while changing the constant  $c$  in the *ionosphere.data* data set. Here we notice, the further the boundaries  $v^T m_A$  and  $v^T m_B$  are apart, the worse the LDA classification gets, when  $c$  is close to the boundaries.