

# **TIMESTAMP-BASED PROTOCOLS**

# Timestamp-Based Protocols

- Each transaction is issued a timestamp when it enters the system. If an old transaction  $T_i$  has time-stamp  $TS(T_i)$ , a new transaction  $T_j$  is assigned time-stamp  $TS(T_j)$  such that  $TS(T_i) < TS(T_j)$ .
- The protocol manages concurrent execution such that the **time-stamps determine the serializability order**
- In order to assure such behavior, the protocol maintains for each data  $Q$  two timestamp values:
  - **W-timestamp**( $Q$ ) is the largest time-stamp of any transaction that executed **write**( $Q$ ) successfully
  - **R-timestamp**( $Q$ ) is the largest time-stamp of any transaction that executed **read**( $Q$ ) successfully

# Timestamp-Based Protocols

- The timestamp ordering protocol ensures that any conflicting **read** and **write** operations are executed in timestamp order
  
- Suppose a transaction  $T_i$  issues a **read**( $Q$ )
  1. If  $TS(T_i) \leq \mathbf{W}\text{-timestamp}(Q)$ , then  $T_i$  needs to read a value of  $Q$  that was already overwritten.
    - Hence, the **read** operation is rejected, and  $T_i$  is rolled back.
  2. If  $TS(T_i) \geq \mathbf{W}\text{-timestamp}(Q)$ , then the **read** operation is executed, and  $\mathbf{R}\text{-timestamp}(Q)$  is set to  $\mathbf{max}(\mathbf{R}\text{-timestamp}(Q), TS(T_i))$ .



# Timestamp-Based Protocols (Cont.)

- Suppose that transaction  $T_i$  issues **write**(Q).
  1. If  $TS(T_i) < \mathbf{R}$ -timestamp(Q), then the value of Q that  $T_i$  is producing was needed previously, and the system assumed that that value would never be produced
    - Hence, the **write** operation is rejected, and  $T_i$  is rolled back
  2. If  $TS(T_i) < \mathbf{W}$ -timestamp(Q), then  $T_i$  is attempting to write an obsolete value of Q
    - Hence, this **write** operation is rejected, and  $T_i$  is rolled back
  3. Otherwise, the **write** operation is executed, and  $\mathbf{W}$ -timestamp(Q) is set to  $TS(T_i)$

# Example Use of the Protocol

A partial schedule for several data items for transactions with timestamps 1, 2, 3, 4, 5

$T_1$	$T_2$	$T_3$	$T_4$	$T_5$
read (Y)	read (Y)	write (Y) write (Z)		read (X)
	read (Z) abort			read (Z)
read (X)		write (W) abort	read (W)	
				write (Y) write (Z)



# Correctness of Timestamp-Ordering Protocol

- The timestamp-ordering protocol guarantees serializability since all the arcs in the precedence graph are of the form:



Thus, there will be no cycles in the precedence graph

- Timestamp protocol ensures freedom from deadlock as no transaction ever waits
- But the schedule may not be cascade-free, and may not even be recoverable