

# Cochran's Theorem

Yang Feng

# Importance of Cochran's Theorem

- Cochran's theorem tells us about the distributions of partitioned sums of squares of normally distributed random variables.
- Traditional linear regression analysis relies upon making statistical claims about the distribution of sums of squares of normally distributed random variables (and ratios between them)  
In the simple normal regression model:

$$\frac{SSE}{\sigma^2} = \frac{\sum (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n - 2)$$

- Where does this come from?

# Outline

- Establish the fact that the multivariate Gaussian sum of squares is  $\chi^2(n)$  distributed
- Provide intuition for Cochran's theorem
- Prove a lemma in support of Cochran's theorem
- Prove Cochran's theorem
- Connect Cochran's theorem back to matrix linear regression

# $\chi^2$ distribution

Theorem 1: Suppose  $Z_i$  are *i.i.d.*  $N(0, 1)$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2 \sim \chi^2(n)$$

# Proof:

- $Z_i^2 \sim \chi^2(1)$
- If  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are i.i.d. random variables with moment generating functions (MGF)  $m_{Y_1}(t), \dots, m_{Y_n}(t)$ . Then the moment generating function for  $U = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$  is

$$m_U(t) = m_{Y_1}(t) \times m_{Y_2}(t) \cdots \times m_{Y_n}(t)$$

- MGF fully characterize the distribution
- The MGF for  $\chi^2(n)$  is  $(1 - 2t)^{n/2}$

# Quadratic Forms and Cochran's Theorem

- Quadratic forms of normal random variables are of great importance in many branches of statistics
  - Least Squares
  - ANOVA
  - Regression Analysis
- General idea: Split the sum of the squares of observations into a number of quadratic forms where each corresponds to some cause of variation

# Quadratic Forms and Cochran's Theorem

- The conclusion of Cochran's theorem is that, under the assumption of normality, the various quadratic forms are independent and  $\chi^2$  distributed.
- This fact is the foundation upon which many statistical tests rest.

# Preliminaries: A Common Quadratic Form

- Let

$$\mathbf{X} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda})$$

- Consider the quadratic form that appears in the exponent of the normal density

$$(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} (\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu})$$

- In the special case of  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = \mathbf{I}$ , this reduces to  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$  which by what we just proved we know is  $\chi^2(n)$  distributed
- Let's prove it holds in the general case



# Lemma 1

Let

$$\mathbf{X} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda})$$

with  $|\boldsymbol{\Lambda}| > 0$  and  $n$  is the dimension of  $\mathbf{X}$ , then

$$(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} (\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim \chi^2(n)$$

## Proof

Let  $\mathbf{Y} = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1/2}(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu})$ , then we have  $\mathbf{Y} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ . Then,

$$(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} (\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \mathbf{Y}' \mathbf{Y} \sim \chi^2(n)$$

# Cochran's Theorem

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d.  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ -distributed random variables, and suppose that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 = Q_1 + Q_2 + \dots + Q_k,$$

where  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_k$  are positive semi-definite quadratic forms in  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , i.e.,

$$Q_i = \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{X}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

Set  $r_i = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}_i)$ . If  $r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_k = n$ , then

- ①  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_k$  are independent.
- ②  $Q_i \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2(r_i)$

# Several linear algebra results

- $\mathbf{X}$  be a normal random vector. The components of  $\mathbf{X}$  are independent if and only if they are uncorrelated.
- Let  $\mathbf{X} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda})$ , then  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{C}'\mathbf{X} \sim N(\mathbf{C}'\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{C}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\mathbf{C})$ .
  - We can find an orthogonal matrix  $\mathbf{C}$  such that  $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{C}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\mathbf{C}$  is a diagonal matrix. (Eigen Value Decomposition for Semi Positive Definite Matrix)
  - The components of  $\mathbf{Y}$  will be independent and  $\text{var}(Y_k) = \lambda_k$ , where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$

## Lemma 2

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be real numbers. Suppose that  $\sum X_i^2$  can be split into a sum of positive semi-definite quadratic forms, that is

$$\sum X_i^2 = Q_1 + Q_2 + \dots + Q_k$$

where  $Q_i = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{A}_i\mathbf{X}$  with  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}_i) = r_i$ . If  $\sum r_i = n$ , then there exists an orthogonal matrix  $\mathbf{C}$  such that, with  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= Y_1^2 + Y_2^2 + \dots + Y_{r_1}^2 \\ Q_2 &= Y_{r_1+1}^2 + Y_{r_1+2}^2 + \dots + Y_{r_1+r_2}^2 \\ &\vdots \\ Q_k &= Y_{n-r_k+1}^2 + Y_{n-r_k+2}^2 + \dots + Y_n^2 \end{aligned}$$

# Remark

- Different quadratic forms contain different  $Y$ -variables and that the number of terms in each  $Q_i$  equals that rank,  $r_i$ , of  $Q_i$
- The  $Y_i^2$  end up in different sums, we'll use this to prove independence of the different quadratic forms.
- Just prove for  $n = 2$  case, the general case can be obtained by induction.

- For  $n = 2$ , we have  $Q = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{X}$
- There exists an orthogonal matrix  $\mathbf{C}$  such that  $\mathbf{C}'\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{D}$ , where  $\mathbf{D}$  is a diagonal matrix with eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}_1$ .
- Since  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}_1) = r_1$ ,  $r_1$  eigenvalues are positive and  $n - r_1$  eigenvalues are 0.
- Suppose without loss of generality, the first  $r_1$  eigenvalues are positive.
- Set  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y}$ , then we have  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{C}'\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y}$ .

- Therefore,  $Q = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} \lambda_i Y_i^2 + \mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{C}'\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y}$
- Then, rearranging the terms we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r_1} (1 - \lambda_i) Y_i^2 + \sum_{i=r_1+1}^n Y_i^2 = \mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{C}'\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y}$$

- Since  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}_2) = r_2 = n - r_1$ , we conclude that

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_{r_1} = 1$$

$$Q_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} Y_i^2, Q_2 = \sum_{i=r_1+1}^n Y_i^2$$

# From this Lemma

- This lemma is about real numbers, not random variables
- It says that  $\sum X_i^2$  can be split into a sum of positive semi-definite quadratic forms, then there is a orthogonal transformation  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y}$  such that each of the quadratic forms have nice properties: Each  $Y_i$  appears in only one resulting sum of squares, which leads to the independence of the sum of squares.



# Proof of Cochran's Theorem

- ① Using the Lemma,  $Q_1, \dots, Q_k$  can be written using different  $Y_i$ s, therefore, they are independent.
- ② Furthermore,  $Q_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2 \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2(r_1)$ . Other  $Q_i$ s are the same.

# Applications

- Sample variance is independent from sample mean.
- Recall  $SSTO = (n - 1)s^2(Y)$ ,

$$SSTO = \sum (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 = \sum Y_i^2 - \frac{\sum Y_i^2}{n}$$

- Rearrange the term and express in matrix format

$$\sum Y_i^2 = \sum (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 + \frac{(\sum Y_i)^2}{n}$$

$$\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{I}\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Y}'(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J})\mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{Y}'(\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J})\mathbf{Y}$$

- We know  $\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{I}\mathbf{Y} \sim \sigma^2\chi^2(n)$ ,  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J}) = n - 1$  (next slide) and  $\text{rank}(\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J}) = 1$ .
- As a results,

$$\sum (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 \sim \sigma^2\chi^2(n - 1)$$

$$\frac{(\sum Y_i)^2}{n} \sim \sigma^2\chi^2(1)$$

# Rank of $\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J}$

Calculate  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J})$ . First of all, we have

$$\text{rank}(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J}) \geq \text{rank}(\mathbf{I}) - \text{rank}(\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J}) = n - 1$$

On the other hand, since  $(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J})\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}$ , we have

$$\text{rank}(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J}) \leq n - 1$$

Therefore, we have

$$\text{rank}(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J}) = n - 1$$

Another proof, noticing  $\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J}$  is also idempotent and symmetric, therefore,  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J}) = \text{trace}(\mathbf{I}) - \text{trace}(\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J}) = n - 1$

$$SSTO = \mathbf{Y}'[\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J}]\mathbf{Y}$$

$$SSE = \mathbf{Y}'[\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}]\mathbf{Y}$$

$$SSR = \mathbf{Y}'[\mathbf{H} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J}]\mathbf{Y}$$

- Under the null hypothesis, when  $\beta = 0$ , we know  $SSTO \sim \sigma^2\chi^2(n-1)$ .
- From linear algebra:  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}) = n - p$  (next slide) and  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{H} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J}) = p - 1$ .
- Then we have

$$SSE \sim \sigma^2\chi^2(n-p)$$

$$SSR \sim \sigma^2\chi^2(p-1)$$

- As a byproduct,  $MSE = SSE/(n-p)$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ , since the mean of  $\chi^2(n-p)$  is  $n-p$ .

# Rank of $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{trace}(\mathbf{H}) &= \text{trace}(\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}') \\ &= \text{trace}((\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}) \\ &= \text{trace}(\mathbf{I}_p) \\ &= p\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{rank}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}) &= \text{trace}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}) \\ &= \text{trace}(\mathbf{I}) - \text{trace}(\mathbf{H}) \\ &= n - p\end{aligned}$$

# Rank of $\mathbf{H} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J}$

- First, since we have  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$  (This amounts to do a multiple linear regression with the response always equal to 1 and therefore, the fitted value is still 1 because we can just use the constant to perfectly fit the model), then it is straightforward to check that  $\mathbf{H} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J}$  is an idempotent and symmetric matrix.
- Then, we have  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{H} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J}) = \text{trace}(\mathbf{H}) - \text{trace}(\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{J}) = p - 1$