
In [2]: #import data using the pandas libraries.
boston\_data = pd.read\_csv("HousingData.csv")
boston\_data.head()

## Out[2]:

	CRIM	ZN	INDUS	CHAS	NOX	RM	AGE	DIS	RAD	TAX	PTRATIO	В	LSTA
0	0.00632	18.0	2.31	0.0	0.538	6.575	65.2	4.0900	1	296	15.3	396.90	4.9
1	0.02731	0.0	7.07	0.0	0.469	6.421	78.9	4.9671	2	242	17.8	396.90	9.1
2	0.02729	0.0	7.07	0.0	0.469	7.185	61.1	4.9671	2	242	17.8	392.83	4.0
3	0.03237	0.0	2.18	0.0	0.458	6.998	45.8	6.0622	3	222	18.7	394.63	2.9
4	0.06905	0.0	2.18	0.0	0.458	7.147	54.2	6.0622	3	222	18.7	396.90	Na
4													•

In [3]: #Heatmap to check the missig values
sns.heatmap(boston\_data.isnull(),yticklabels=False,cbar=False,cmap='viridis')


## Out[3]: <matplotlib.axes.\_subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x261b4b7de88>



```
In [4]: #Yellow color shows that values are missing.
     # Handling missing values by replacing them by their mean values
     boston_data=boston_data.fillna(boston_data.mean())
     # Now checking again the count of missing/null values
     boston_data.isnull().sum()
```

Out[4]: CRIM 0 ΖN 0 **INDUS** 0 CHAS 0 NOX 0 RM0 AGE 0 0 DIS RAD 0 TAX 0 **PTRATIO** 0 0 LSTAT MEDV dtype: int64

All count 0, shows no any missing value present. Now, we need to perform Exploratory Data Analysis. It is a very important step before training the model. In this section, we will use some visualizations to understand the relationship of the target variable with other features.



In [6]: #This shows that values of MEDV are distributed normally with few outlier.

## In [7]: # create a correlation matrix that measures the linear relationships between the variables.

#The correlation matrix can be formed by using the corr function from the pand as dataframe library.

#We will use the heatmap function from the seaborn library to plot the correlation matrix

In [8]: correlation\_matrix = boston\_data.corr().round(2)
# annot = True to print the values inside the square
sns.heatmap(data=correlation\_matrix, annot=True)

Out[8]: <matplotlib.axes.\_subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x261b4f08548>



In [9]: ''

Feature selection using correlation matrix:

To fit a linear regression model, we select those features which have a high c orrelation with our target variable MEDV.

By looking at the correlation matrix we can see that RM has a strong positive correlation with MEDV (0.7)

where as LSTAT has a high negative correlation with MEDV(-0.72).

An important point in selecting features for a linear regression model is to c heck for multi-co-linearity.

The features RAD, TAX have a correlation of 0.91. These feature pairs are strongly correlated to each other.


We should not select both these features together for training the model. Check this for an explanation.


Same goes for the features DIS and AGE which have a correlation of -0.75

Out[9]: '\nFeature selection using correlation matrix:\n\nTo fit a linear regression model, we select those features which have a high correlation with our target variable MEDV. \nBy looking at the correlation matrix we can see that RM has a strong positive correlation with MEDV (0.7) \nwhere as LSTAT has a high neg ative correlation with MEDV(-0.72).\nAn important point in selecting features for a linear regression model is to check for multi-co-linearity. \nThe features RAD, TAX have a correlation of 0.91. These feature pairs are strongly cor related to each other.\nWe should not select both these features together for training the model. Check this for an explanation. \nSame goes for the features DIS and AGE which have a correlation of -0.75\n'

```
In [10]: #Using a scatter plot let's see how these features vary with MEDV.
plt.figure(figsize=(20, 5))
features = ['LSTAT', 'RM']
target = boston_data['MEDV']

for i, col in enumerate(features):
    plt.subplot(1, len(features), i+1)
    x = boston_data[col]
    y = target
    plt.scatter(x, y, marker='o')
    plt.title(col)
    plt.xlabel(col)
    plt.ylabel('MEDV')
```





```
Boston housing dataset SGD Momentum
In [11]: #The prices increase as the value of RM increases linearly. There are few outl
         iers and the data seems to be capped at 50.
         #The prices tend to decrease with an increase in LSTAT. Though it doesn't look
         to be following exactly a linear line.
In [12]: #Preprocessing data to implement Linear Regression using SGD with momentum
In [13]: | #We concatenate on the LSTAT and RM columns using np.c | provided by the numpy
          library.
         boston data = pd.DataFrame(np.c [boston data['LSTAT'], boston data['RM'],bosto
         n_data['MEDV']], columns = ['LSTAT','RM','MEDV'])
In [14]: #spliting data in training and test set
         #To train the model with 70% of the samples and test with the remaining 30%.
         n = int(len(boston data)*0.70)
         df train, df test = boston data.iloc[:n, :], boston data.iloc[n:, :]
In [ ]:
In [15]: # Initial Coefficients
         B = np.array([0, 0, 0]) #Weights array
         alpha = 0.0001 # Learning rate
         #Spliting the training and testing data in X,Y train and test sets.
         m = len(df train.iloc[:,:-1])
         x0 = np.ones(m)
         Xtrain = np.array([x0, df_train['LSTAT'],df_train['RM']]).T
         ytrain = np.array(df train['MEDV'])
         m = len(df_test.iloc[:,:-1])
         x0 = np.ones(m)
         Xtest= np.array([x0, df_test['LSTAT'], df_test['RM']]).T
         ytest= np.array(df_test['MEDV'])
```

```
In [16]: #Cost function
    def cost_function(X, Y, B):
        m = len(Y)
        J = np.sum((X.dot(B) - Y) ** 2)/(2 * m)
        return J
```

```
In [17]: #mplementation of linear regression for the given numbers of iterations.
         def gradient descent(boston data, B, alpha, iterations):
             cost history = [0] * iterations
             #B=np.zeros(shape=(1,boston data.shape[1]-1))
             k = 10
             vt=0
             for iteration in range(iterations):
                 # Hypothesis Values
                 temp= boston data.sample(k)
                 lstat = temp['LSTAT']
                 rm = temp['RM']
                 m = len(lstat)
                 x0 = np.ones(m)
                 X1 = np.array([x0, lstat, rm]).T
                 Y1 = np.array(temp['MEDV'])
                 h = X1.dot(B)
                 # Difference b/w Hypothesis and Actual Y
                 loss = h - Y1
                 # Gradient Calculation
                 gamma = 0.9
                 vt = (gamma*vt) + alpha * (X1.T.dot(loss)/k)
                 # Changing Values of B using Gradient
                 B = B - vt
                 # New Cost Value
                 cost = cost_function(X1, Y1, B)
                  cost history[iteration] = cost
             return B, cost_history
```

```
In [18]: #evaluating our model using RMSE and R2-score.
def rmse(Y, Y_pred):
    rmse = np.sqrt(sum((Y - Y_pred) ** 2) / len(Y))
    return rmse

# Model Evaluation - R2 Score
def r2_score(Y, Y_pred):
    mean_y = np.mean(Y)
    ss_tot = sum((Y - mean_y) ** 2)
    ss_res = sum((Y - Y_pred) ** 2)
    r2 = 1 - (ss_res / ss_tot)
    return r2
```

```
In [19]: newB, cost history = gradient descent(df train, B, alpha, 10000)
        # New Values of B
        Y pred = Xtrain.dot(newB)
        print("The model performance for training set")
        print("----")
        print('RMSE is {}'.format(rmse(ytrain, Y_pred)))
        print('R2 score is {}'.format(r2 score(ytrain, Y pred)))
        print("\n")
        The model performance for training set
        RMSE is 4.662566968966443
        R2 score is 0.6937542612954646
        #newB, cost_history = gradient_descent(df_test, B, alpha, 10000)
In [20]:
        Y pred = Xtest.dot(newB)
        print("The model performance for test set")
        print("-----")
        print('RMSE is {}'.format(rmse(ytest, Y pred)))
        print('R2 score is {}'.format(r2 score(ytest, Y pred)))
        print("\n")
        The model performance for test set
        -----
        RMSE is 8.30074777087901
        R2 score is -0.038527274438226566
In [ ]:
```