UNIT-2

- Predicate calculus
- Inference theory of predicate calculus

• Predicate calculus

- Predicates
- Statement function
- Variables
- Quantifiers
- Predicate formulas
- Free and Bound variables
- Universe of Discourse

• The propositional logic is not powerful enough to represent all types of statements that are used in computer science and mathematics, or to express certain types of relationship between propositions such as equivalence.

• For example, the statement "x is greater than 1", where x is a variable, is not a proposition because you can not tell whether it is true or false unless you know the value of x.

• Thus the propositional logic can not deal with such sentences.

• However, such statements appear quite often in mathematics and we want to do inferencing on those statements.

- "Not all birds fly" is equivalent to "Some birds don't fly".
- "Not all integers are even" is equivalent to "Some integers are not even".
- "Not all cars are expensive" is equivalent to "Some cars are not expensive".

. . .

- Each of those propositions is treated independently of the others in propositional logic. For example, if P represents "Not all birds fly" and Q represents "Some integers are not even", then there is no mechanism in propositional logic to find out that P is equivalent to Q.
- Thus we need more powerful logic to deal with these and other problems. The predicate logic is one of such logic and it addresses these issues among others.

Predicates

• A predicate is a verb phrase template that describes a property of objects, or a relationship among objects represented by the variables.

• The logic based upon the analysis of predicates in any statement is called predicate logic.

- John is a bachelor.
- · Smith is a bachelor.
 - → the part "is a bachelor" is called a predicate.
- All human beings are mortal.
- John is a human being.
- Therefore, John is a mortal.

- Symbolize a predicate by a capital letter and names of individuals or objects in general by small letters.
- Every predicate describes about one or more objects.
- Therefore a statement could be written symbolically in terms of the predicate letter followed by the name or names of the objects to which the predicate is applied.

- (1) John is a bachelor.
- (2) Smith is a bachelor.

- Here, "is a bachelor " symbolically denoted by the predicate letter B, "John" by j, and "Smith" by s.
- Statements (1) and (2) can be written as B(j) and B(s) respectively.
- In general, any statement of the type "p is Q" where Q is a predicate and p is the subject can be denoted by Q(p).

- A statement which is expressed by using a predicate letter must have at least one name of an object associated with the predicate.
- A predicate requiring m(m>0) names is called an m-place predicate.

Example:

B in (1) and (2) is a 1-place predicate.

When m=0, then we shall call a statement a 0-place predicate because no names are associated with a statement.

- 3. This painting is red. R(p)
- \triangleright B(j) \wedge R(p).
- \triangleright B(j) \rightarrow R(p).
- ➤ ~R(p).

Statements involving the names of two objects

- 4. <u>Jack</u> is taller than <u>Jill</u>.
- 5. <u>Canada</u> is to the north of the <u>United states</u>.

Note: the order in which the names appear in the statement as well as in the predicate is important.

Examples of 3-place and 4-place predicates.

- Susan sits between Ralph and Bill.
- Green and Miller played bridge against Johnston and Smith.
- In general, an n-place predicate requires n names of objects to be inserted in fixed positions in order to obtain a statement. The position of these names is important.
- If S is an n-place predicate letter and a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are the names of objects, then $S(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ is a statement.

Statement function, Variables, and Quantifiers

- A statement function of one variable is defined to be an expression consisting of a predicate symbol and an individual variable.
- > Such a statement function becomes a statement when the variable is replaced by the name of any object.
- The statement resulting from a replacement is called a substitution instance of the statement function and is a formula of statement calculus.

The statement function of two variables

G(x, y): x is taller than y

- ➤ If both x and y are replaced by the names of objects, we get a statement.
- ➤ If m represents Mr. Miller and f Mr. Fox, then we have
 - \triangleright G(m, f): Mr. Miller is taller than Mr. Fox
 - \triangleright and G(f, m): Mr. Fox is taller than Mr. Miller

It is possible to form statement function of two variables by using statement functions of one variable

Example

- \rightarrow M(x): x is a man
- \triangleright H(y): y is a mortal
 - \blacktriangleright $M(x) \land H(y)$: x is a man and y is a mortal

➤ It is not possible to write every statement function of two variables using statement function of one variable

Quantifiers

- Quantifiers allow us to quantify (count) how many objects in the universe of discourse satisfy a given predicate
- Universe of discourse the particular domain of the variable in a propositional function
- Two types of quantifiers
- Universal
- Existential

Predicative Logic Universal & Existential Quantifiers.

The quantifier **all** is called as the **Universal quantifier**, denoted as ∀**x**.

Represents each of the following phrases:

For all x, All x are such that

For every x Every x is such that

For each x Each x is such that

The quantifier **some** is the **Existential quantifier** denoted as ∃**x**.

Represents each of the following phrases:

There exists an x such that ...

There is an x such that ...

For some x ...

There is at least one x such that ...

Some x is such that ...

The symbol ∃!x. is read there is a unique x such that ... or There is one and only one x such that ...

Ex: There is one and only one even prime.

∃!x, [x is an even prime]

 $\exists !x, P(x)$ where $P(x)\equiv x$ is an even prime integer.

Statement Negation

All true $\forall x, F(x)$

 $\exists x, [\sim F(x)]$ Atleast one false

All false $\forall x$, [$\sim F(x)$]

 $\exists x, F(x)$ Atleast one true

To form the negation of a statement involving one quantifier, change the quantifier form universal to existential, or from existential to universal, and negate the statement, which it quantifies.

Quantified statements and their abbreviated and meaning:

Sentence

$\forall x, F(x)$

$$\exists x, F(x)$$

$$\forall x, [\sim F(x)]$$

$$\sim \{\exists x, [\sim F(x)]\}$$

$$\sim \{ \forall x, [F(x)] \}$$

Abbreviated Meaning

All true

Atleast one true

None true

All false

Atleast one false

None false

Not all true / Atleast one false

Not all false / Atleast one true

Equivalent Formulas:

All true $\{\forall x, F(x)\}\$ \equiv $\{\sim[\exists x, \sim F(x)]\}$ None false All false $\{\forall x, [\sim(x)]\}$ \equiv $\{\sim[\exists x, F(x)]\}$ None true

Not all true $\{\sim [\forall x, F(x)]\} \equiv \{\exists x, [\sim F(x)]\}$ Atleast one false

Not all false $\{ \sim [\forall x, \{ \sim F(x) \}] \} \equiv \{ \exists x, F(x) \}$ Atleast one true

Free and Bound Variables

A formula containing a part of the form (x)P(x) or $(\exists x)P(x)$, such a part is called an x-bound part of the formula.

Any occurrence of x in an x-bound part of a formula is called a bound occurrence of x, while any occurrence of x or of any variable that is not a bound occurrence is called a free occurrence

The formula P(x) either in (x)P(x) or in $(\exists x)P(x)$ is described as the scope of the quantifier.

Quantified Propositions:

Fundamental rule 5: (Universal Specification)

If a statement of a form $\forall x$, P(x) is assumed to be true, then the universal quantifier can be dropped to obtain P(c) is true for an arbitrary object c in the universe. This may be represented as

$$\forall x, P(x)$$

∴ P(c) for all c

Ex: Suppose the universe is the set of humans.

M(x) denotes the statement "x is mortal."

If $\forall x$, M(x) i.e. "All men are mortal" is true,

then "Socrates is mortal" is true.

Fundamental Rule 6: (Universal Generalisation)

If a statement P(c) is true of each element c of the universe, then the universal quantifier may be prefixed to obtain $\forall x$, P(x). It is represented as

P(c) for all c

 $\therefore \forall x, P(x)$

Fundamental Rule 7: (Existential Specification)

If $\exists x$, P(x) is assumed to be true, then there is an element c in the universe such that P(c) is true. This may be represented as

$$\exists x, P(x)$$

∴ P(c) for some c

Fundamental Rule 8: (Existential Generalization)

If P(c) is true for some element c in the universe then $\exists x$, P(x) is true. This may be represented as

P(c) for some c

∴ ∃x, P(x)

In order to draw conclusions from quantified premises, (we need to) remove the quantifiers properly, argue with the resulting propositions, and then properly prefix the correct quantifiers.

Examples:

1. Consider the argument.

All men are fallible.

All kings are men.

∴ All kings are fallible.

Let M(x) denote the assertion "x is a man"

K(x) denote the assertion "x is a king"

F(x) denote the assertion "x is fallible"

The above argument is symbolised as

$$\forall x, [M(x) \rightarrow F(x)]$$

$$\forall x, [K(x) \rightarrow M(x)]$$

$$\therefore \forall x, [K(x) \rightarrow F(x)]$$

Proof:

1) $\forall x, [M(x) \rightarrow F(x)]$

2) $M(c) \rightarrow F(c)$

3) $\forall x, [K(x) \rightarrow M(x)]$

4) $K(c)\rightarrow M(c)$

5) $K(c) \rightarrow F(c)$

6) $\forall x, [K(x) \rightarrow F(x)]$

Premise 1

Step 1) and Rule 5

Premise 2

by 3) and Rule 5

by 2) & 4) and Rule 2

by 5) and Rule 6

2. Symbolize the following argument and check for its validity:

Premise 1

Lions are dangerous animals.

There are lions.

.: There are dangerous animals.

Let L(x) denotes 'x is a lion'

D(x) denotes 'x is dangerous'

Symbolically

$$\forall x, [L(x) \rightarrow D(x)]$$

 $\exists x, L(x)$
 $\therefore \exists x, D(x)$

Proof:

1. $\forall X$, $[L(x) \rightarrow D(x)]$

2. $L(c)\rightarrow D(c)$ by 1) and Rule 5

3. $\exists X, L(x)$. Premise 2

4. L(c) by 3) and Rule 7

5. D(c) by 2) & 4) and Rule 1

6. ∃X, D(x) by 5) and Rule 8