



ITA

Web Engineering: A Practitioner's Approach

by Roger S. Pressman and David Lowe

copyright © 2009

Roger S. Pressman and David Lowe

For Education Use Only

May be reproduced ONLY for student use at the university level when used in conjunction with *Web Engineering: A Practitioner's Approach*.

Chapter 1

■ *Web-Based Systems*

The Web

- An indispensable technology
 - In every aspect of modern living - buy products (e-commerce), meet people (online dating), understand the world (portals), acquire our news (online media), voice our opinions (blogs), entertain ourselves (everything from music downloads to online casinos), and go to school (online learning).
- A transformative technology
 - Changes the way we do things
 - Changes the way we acquire and disseminate information
- An evolving technology
- Bottom line—high impact on everyone in the modern world

WebApps

- The term *Web application (WebApp)* encompasses:
 - Everything from a **simple Web page** that might help a consumer to compute an automobile lease payment to a **comprehensive website** that provides complete travel services for business people and vacationers.
- Category:
 - Complete websites
 - Specialized functionality within websites
 - Information-processing applications that **reside on the Internet or on an Intranet or Extranet**

WebApps

- Means HTML, Java, XML, or any of the countless technologies that must be understood to build successful Web-based systems/applications (WebApps)
- WebApps can be pivotal to the success of all businesses and organizations

Web-Based Systems

- In the early days, the Web systems built using **informality, urgency, intuition, and art**
 - **Informality** leads to an easy work environment—one in which you can do your own thing.
 - **Urgency** leads to action and rapid decision making.
 - **Intuition** is an intangible quality that enables you to “feel” your way through complex situations.
 - **Art** leads to aesthetic form and function—to something that pleases those who encounter it.
- Problem is—**this approach can and often does lead to problems**

Web-Based Systems

- As WebApps become larger and more complex,
 - Informality remains, but some degree of requirements gathering and planning are necessary
 - Urgency remains, but it must be tempered by a recognition that decisions may have broad consequences
 - Intuition remains, but it must be augmented by proven management and technical patterns
 - Art remains, but it must be complemented with solid design
- Bottom line—we must adapt the old-school approach to the realities of a Web 2.0 world....and now Web 3.0 world

WebApp Attributes

- Data driven
- Performance – Not to wait too long for serverside processing, for client-side formatting and display
- Continuous evolution
- Immediacy - exhibit a time-to-market
- Network intensiveness - diverse community of clients on net
- Concurrency - Large number of users may access at one time
- Unpredictable load- No. of users of may vary from day to day.
- Availability
- Content sensitive- simple, yet meaningful for nontechnical user
- Security
- Aesthetics- appeal of a WebApp's look and feel

WebApp Types

- Informational- readonly content with simple navigation and links
- Download - informational and *download capability*
- Customizable – different for each different user
- Interaction – chat room
- User input – take input from user in form for automization
- Transaction-oriented – automated based on user request
- Service-oriented
- Portals - providing website links having answers for customer
- Database access
- Data warehousing

(see <http://digitalenterprise.org/models/models.html> for examples)

Web Apps

- Why Web Applications/Web based systems fail?
- Because many built in an ad hoc manner
 - With little regard to the
 - Fundamental principles of problem analysis
 - Effective design
 - Solid testing
 - Change management

And What's the Solution?

Web Engineering

Web Engineering

- Goal is to build WebApps or Web based system that satisfy users' needs and provide real benefit to their clients' businesses or organizations.
- *i.e. To build **industry-quality** WebApps*

Chapter 2: *Web Engineering*

- Definition
 - An *agile*, yet *disciplined framework* for building *industry-quality WebApps*

Agile Approach

- Business strategies and rules change rapidly
- Management demands near-instantaneous responsiveness (even when such **demands are completely unreasonable**)
- Stakeholders often don't understand the consequences of the Web and **keep changing their mind** even as they **demand rapid delivery**

An agile approach helps to manage with this fluidity and uncertainty

Agile Approach

- Able to appropriately respond to changes, Change is to
 - The software being built
 - The team members
 - New technology
 - Of all kinds that may have an impact on the product they build or the project that creates the product
- Support for changes should be built-in everything we do in software
- An agile team recognizes that software is developed by individuals working in teams and that the skills of these people, their ability to collaborate is at the core for the success of the project

What is an Agile Process?

- Agile Web engineering combines a philosophy and a set of development guidelines. The philosophy encourages:
 - Customer satisfaction
 - Early incremental delivery of the WebApp
 - Small, highly motivated project teams
 - Informal methods
 - Minimal work products
 - Overall development simplicity
- An agile process stresses delivery over analysis and design and also active and continuous communication between developers and customers.


What is a WebE Framework?

■ Framework

- A set of activities that will *always* be performed for *every* Web Engineering project – though the nature of the activities might vary to suit the project
- Each framework activity is composed of a set of actions
- Actions encompass
 - Work tasks
 - Work products
 - Quality assurance points
 - Project milestones
- A framework also has a set of “umbrella activities”

A Generic Framework

WebE process



The WebE Framework: Activities

- **Communication**
- **Planning**
- **Modeling**
- **Construction**
- **Deployment**

The WebE Framework: Activities

- **Communication.** Involves heavy interaction and collaboration with the customer (and other stakeholders) and encompasses requirements gathering and other related activities.
- **Planning.** Establishes an incremental plan for the WebE work.
- **Modeling.** Encompasses the creation of models that assist the developer and the customer to better understand WebApp requirements and the design
- **Construction.** Combines both the generation of HTML, XML, Java, and similar code with testing that is required to uncover errors in the code.
- **Deployment.** Delivers a WebApp increment to the customer who evaluates it and provides feedback based on the evaluation.

Adapting the Framework

- Adapt
 - to the problem
 - to the project
 - to the team
 - to the organizational culture
 - to adapt throughout the project as circumstances change!

Adapting the Framework

- Adaptation leads to,
 - Overall flow of activities, actions, and tasks and the interdependencies among them
 - Degree to which **work tasks are defined** within each framework activity
 - Degree to which **work products are identified** and required
 - Manner in which **quality assurance** activities are applied
 - Manner in which **project tracking and control activities** are applied
 - Overall **degree of detail** and rigor with which the process is described
 - Degree to which **customers and other stakeholders** are involved with the project
 - Level of **autonomy** given to the software project team
 - Degree to which **team organization and roles are prescribed**

Underlying Agility Principles

1. **Highest priority is to satisfy the customer** through early and continuous delivery of valuable software.
2. Welcome changing requirements, even late in development. Agile processes harness **continuous change for the customer's competitive advantage**.
3. **Deliver working software increments frequently**, from as often as every few days to every few months, with a preference to the shorter timescales.

Underlying Agility Principles

4. Business people and developers **must work together** daily throughout the project.
5. Build projects around motivated people. Give them needed **environment and support**, and trust them to get the job done.
6. The most efficient and effective method of **conveying information** to and within a development team is face-to-face conversation.

Underlying Agility Principles

7. **Working software** is the primary measure of progress.
8. Agile processes promote **sustainable development**.
The sponsors, developers, and users should be able to maintain a constant pace indefinitely.
9. Continuous attention to **technical excellence and good design** enhances agility.

Underlying Agility Principles

- 10. **Simplicity**—the art of maximizing the amount of work not done—is essential.
- 11. The best architectures, requirements, and designs emerge from **self-organizing teams**.
- 12. At **regular intervals, the team reflects** on how to become more effective, then tunes and adjusts its behavior accordingly.

Web Engineering = Software Engineering ?

- Software engineering principles, concepts, and methods can be applied to Web development, but their application **requires a somewhat different approach** than their use during the development of conventional software based systems.
- Software engineering is a layered technology



Software Engineering Layers



- **Quality:** Foster a continuous process improvement culture
- **Process:** The glue that holds the technology layers together
 - Work products (e.g., models and documents) are produced, milestones are established, quality is ensured, and change is properly managed
- **Methods:** Provide the technical how-to's
 - Communication, requirements analysis, design modeling, program construction, testing, and support.
- **Tools:** Support for the process and the methods

-
- Upto this...

WebE Methods

- Encompasses a set of technical tasks that enable a Web engineer to understand, characterize, and then build a high-quality WebApp
- Types
 1. Communication methods
 2. Requirements analysis methods
 3. Design methods
 4. Construction methods
 5. Testing methods

WebE Methods

1. Communication methods

- Define the approach used to facilitate communication between Web engineers and all other WebApp stakeholders (e.g., end users, business clients, problem domain experts, content designers, team leaders, project managers)
- Communication techniques are particularly important during requirements gathering and whenever a WebApp increment is to be evaluated.

2. Requirements analysis methods

- Provides understanding the deliverable content of a WebApp, functions for the end user, and the navigation modes of interaction for each class of user

WebE Methods

3. Design methods
 - Design technique for WebApp content, application and information architecture, interface design, and navigation structure
4. Construction methods
 - Set of languages, tools, and related technology to create WebApp
5. Testing methods
 - Testing component-level and architectural issues
 - Navigation testing
 - Usability testing
 - Security testing
 - Configuration testing

WebE Methods

- Other than these are
 - Project management techniques
 - Estimation
 - Scheduling
 - Risk analysis)
 - Software configuration management techniques
 - Review techniques

What about Tools and Technology?

... tools and technology are very important, but they'll work well only if they're used within the context of an agile framework for Web engineering and in conjunction with proven methods for understanding the problem, designing a solution, and testing it thoroughly.

WebE Best Practices

- Take the time to understand business needs and product objectives, even if the details of the WebApp are vague.
- Describe how users will interact with the WebApp using a scenario-based approach.
- *Always develop a project plan*, even if it's very brief.
- Spend some time modeling what it is that you're going to build.
- Review the models for consistency and quality.
- Use tools and technology that enable you to construct the system with as many reusable components as possible.
- Don't reinvent when you can reuse.
- Don't rely on early users to debug the WebApp—design and use comprehensive tests before releasing the system.

Chapter 3


■ *The WebE Process*

Chapter 3: *The WebE Process*


- The process must be agile and adaptable, but it must also be *incremental*
- Why incremental?
 - Requirements evolve over time
 - Changes will occur frequently (and always at inconvenient times)
 - Time lines are short
- Incremental delivery allows you to manage this change!

Incremental Delivery

Repeat the development cycle for each increment!



WebE Process Activities & Actions



Conducting Framework Activities-I

- The first iteration
 - define business context
 - establish overall requirements
 - create a set of usage scenarios
 - negotiate conflicting needs among stakeholders, and
 - from this information derive the set of WebApp increments that is to be delivered.
- Develop a broad outline of all components, recognizing that it will change

Conducting Framework Activities-II

- The second iteration
 - You've learned that the first increment is an informational WebApp and it must be delivered in one week!
 - You meet with stakeholders and later review your notes:
 - Logo and graphics—need aesthetic design.
 - One- or two-paragraph introduction.
 - CPI mission statement (file exists)
 - A word to visitors (someone will write this tomorrow)
 - Basic navigation bar will look like ...
 - About the company
 - Our offerings
 - Home security products (hierarchical at next level)
 - Monitoring services (a list)
 - Our Technology (the new sensor)
 - Contact us
 - Other issues:
 - Informational content will change over time.
 - This “home page” will be the navigation starting point for content and functions required for subsequent increments.

Conducting Framework Activities-III

■ The second iteration

■ You spend a few minutes developing a plan

- Day 1: Create a prototype layout (a model) of the WebApp.
- Collect and review all existing CPI content and graphics.
- Get stakeholder feedback on prototype, if possible.
- Day 2: Using the prototype as a guide, begin construction of the increment.
- Build navigation bar.
- Lay out content areas.
- Integrate graphics, links, etc.
- Test all links for validity.
- Review all content for completeness and correctness.
- Day 3: FTP all files to (an existing) domain.
- Perform navigation tests.
- Deployment: Inform selected stakeholders that the increment is available.
- Day 4: Poll stakeholders for feedback.
- Make modifications based on stakeholder feedback.

Conducting Framework Activities-IV

- The next iteration
 - You've deployed the informational WebApp
- the communication activity during this second iteration will identify the requirements (including content and functionality)
 - assume that the second increment delivers the capability to select and download product specifications and related information
- the process flow is restarted at the beginning, performing the communication activity for this increment.
- The tasks you select to populate each framework activity for the increment may differ from the tasks performed for the preceding increment, but the overall process flow remains the same

Revisiting the Framework Activities

- WEPA pp. 32 - 42 presents a breakdown of the generic actions and tasks for each of the five framework activities
- Recognize that a WebE team must refine and adapt these generic tasks to the problem at hand
 - And continue to refine them throughout the project

Umbrella Activities

- Background activities which occur in parallel with the main development activities
- Equally important to the success of a project
 - And so should be considered explicitly.
- Many umbrella activities can be defined
 - But only four are crucial for a successful Web engineering project:

Umbrella Activities

- **Change management.** Manages the effects of change as each increment is engineered, integrating tools that assist in the management of all WebApp content
- **Quality assurance.** Defines and conducts those tasks that help ensure that each work product and the deployed increment exhibits quality
- **Risk management.** Considers project and technical risks as an increment is engineered
- **Project management.** Tracks and monitors progress as an increment is engineered

■ End

WebApp design


- A combination of art and engineering
- To be effective, a good design must accommodate each of the requirements established by stakeholders during the communication activity
- But it must also accommodate the inevitable changes to requirements that will occur throughout the design and into construction and delivery. Design begins by focusing on the way in which a user will interact with an application and then moves to consider the functions and information content that will be required to meet stakeholders' needs. It then proceeds to physical design, in which the logical elements are mapped into a

Ch. 8 WebApp design

- Generic WebApp design goals:
 - simplicity, consistency, identity, robustness, navigability, visual appeal, and compatibility.
- In addition, a variety of quality frameworks can be applied to WebApp design. These quality criteria provide a set of objectives for achieving WebApp design
- quality. In addition, a user-centric quality model provides a solid indication
- of the degree to which end users like the technology that the WebApp delivers.
- WebApp designers can apply a Design IQ Checklist that serves to assess the importance

Chapter 4: *Communication*

- *Understand the problem before you begin to solve it, and be sure that the solution you conceive is one that people really want*
- To do this, you'll need to:
 - *Formulate*
 - *Elicitate*
 - *Negotiate*



Formulation

- Focuses on defining the project needs and scope
 - begins with the **identification of a business need**
 - moves into a **description of WebApp objectives**
 - defines **major WebApp features**, and
 - establishes a **basis for the elicitation** action that follows.
 - allows stakeholders and the WebE team to **establish a common set of goals and objectives** for the creation of each WebApp increment
 - identifies the **scope of the development effort** and provides a means for determining a successful outcome

What Questions Do We Ask?

- What is the main motivation (business need) for the WebApp?
- What are the objectives that the WebApp must fulfill?
- Who will use the WebApp?
- Note that:
 - Every stakeholder has a different view of the WebApp, achieves different benefits when the WebApp is successfully deployed, and is open to different risks if the development effort should fail.
 - As information from multiple viewpoints is collected, emerging requirements may be inconsistent or may conflict with one another.
 - Your job during formulation and elicitation is to categorize all stakeholder information (including inconsistent and conflicting requirements) in a way that will set the stage for the last WebE action, *negotiation*.

Elicitation

- The intent is to gather detailed requirement collaboratively with all stakeholders
- To do this:
 - A meeting (either physical or virtual) is conducted and attended by all stakeholders.
 - Rules for preparation and participation are established.
 - An agenda is suggested that is formal enough to cover all important points but informal enough to encourage the free flow of ideas.
 - A facilitator (can be a customer, a Web engineer, or an outsider) controls the meeting.
 - A definition mechanism (can be work sheets, flip charts, or wall stickers or an electronic bulletin board, chat room, or virtual forum) is used.

Elicitation Tasks

- Define user categories, and develop descriptions for each category.
- Define content and functionality using the lists each person prepared.
- Consider specific constraints and performance issues.
- Write user scenarios for each user class.

User Descriptions

- What is the user's overall objective when using the WebApp?
- What is the user's background and sophistication level relative to the content and functionality of the WebApp?
- How will the user arrive at the WebApp?
- What generic WebApp characteristics does the user like and dislike?

Content and Functionality

- Each stakeholder has begun this work by preparing lists of content objects and WebApp functions.
- Once the meeting begins these lists can be:
 - displayed on large sheets of paper pinned to the walls of the room
 - displayed on adhesive-backed sheets stuck to the walls, or
 - written on a whiteboard.
 - posted on an electronic bulletin board, at an internal website, or posted in a chat room environment for review prior to the meeting.
- Ideally, each listed entry should be capable of being manipulated separately so that lists can be combined, entries can be deleted, and additions can be made. At this stage, critique and debate are strictly prohibited.

Constraints and Performance

- *Internal constraints* are best understood by thinking about the technical environment in which the WebApp will reside and the project environment in which the WebApp will be built.
 - *technical environment*—specialized database protocols, the vagaries of different Web browsers, operating system characteristics, and client-server issues
 - *project environment*—available WebE tools, development hardware, software standards, and staff skill levels with various WebE technologies.
- *External constraints* can be enumerated by considering the business and usage environment for the WebApp.
 - Business rules, end-user idiosyncrasies, security demands, privacy issues, run-time performance, interoperability requirements, legal restrictions, and government regulations are but a few of possible external constraints

Capturing Interaction: Use Cases

- Use cases describe how a specific user category (called an *actor*) will interact with the WebApp to accomplish a specific action.
- Use cases are developed iteratively. Only those use cases necessary for the increment to be built are developed during the communication activity for the increment.
- Use cases enable you to:
 - provide the detail necessary for effective planning and modeling activities.
 - help you to understand how users perceive their interaction with the WebApp.
 - help to compartmentalize Web engineering work because they can be organized into WebApp increments.
 - provide important guidance for those who must test the WebApp.

From Use Cases to Increments

- A stack of “cards” that contains one usage scenario or use case per card
 - Each card contains the name of the use case, a brief description, and an *effort indicator*—usually a number between 1 and 4
- The cards are:
 - shuffled into random order
 - distributed to selected stakeholders who are asked to arrange the cards into groupings that reflect how they would like content and functionality (implied by the usage scenarios) to be delivered
- The manner in which cards are grouped is constrained by an *effort maximum M*.
 - No grouping of cards can have a cumulative effort indicator value that is greater than M , where M is defined by the WebE team and is a function of available resources and the desired delivery time for each increment.

Negotiation

- Ideally, requirements are defined in sufficient detail to proceed
- BUT, in reality, requirements are often contradictory or infeasible (within the context of real-world constraints, such as cost or time).
- Negotiation involves working with the stakeholders to balance functionality, performance, and other product or system characteristics against cost and delivery time.
- The best negotiators strive for a win-win result.
 - it's a good idea to determine each of the stakeholders' "win conditions".

Negotiation

- *Recognize that it's not a competition.* To be successful, both parties have to feel they've won or achieved something. Both will have to compromise.
- *Map out a strategy.* Decide what you'd like to achieve, what the other party wants to achieve, and how you'll go about making both happen.
- *Listen actively.* Don't work on formulating your response while the other party is talking. Listen. It's likely you'll gain knowledge that will help you to better negotiate your position.
- *Focus on the other party's interests.* Don't take hard positions if you want to avoid conflict.
- *Don't let it get personal.* Focus on the problem that needs to be solved.
- *Be creative.* Don't be afraid to think outside of the box if you're at an impasse.
- *Be ready to commit.* Once an agreement has been reached, don't waffle; commit to it and move on.

Chapter 5: *Planning*

- Planning is a key activity
 - But the scope of planning activities varies among people involved in a WebE project.
 - A team leader plans, monitors, and coordinates the combined work of a WebE team.
 - A Web engineer manages day-to-day work—planning, monitoring, and controlling technical tasks.
- Take an agile approach to planning
 - Adapt effort and time spent on planning to the complexity of the WebApp increment

Planning guidelines

- Understand scope *before* you define work tasks or schedule for an increment
- Refine framework actions and tasks
- Be sure you have the right team
- Evaluate risks
- Define a schedule
- Identify quality filters
- Identify how you'll manage change

WebApp Project Scope

- To plan effectively, you need to understand project scope
- To establish scope be sure you understand:
 - **Context.**
 - How does the WebApp fit into a business context, and what constraints are imposed as a result of the context?
 - **Information objectives.**
 - What customer-visible content objects are used by the WebApp increment?
 - **Functionality.**
 - What functions are initiated by the end user or invoked internally by the WebApp to meet the requirements defined in usage scenarios?
 - **Constraints and performance.**
 - What technical and environmental constraints are relevant?
 - What special performance issues (including security and privacy issues) will require design and construction effort?

Refining Actions and Tasks

<div>content and functions</div> <div>framework actions and tasks</div>	...	Walls	Doorways	Windows	Specify and draw Walls	Specify and draw Doorways	Specify and draw Windows	Compute size of each room	Save/retrieve a named space	Update/delete a named space	Print a named space	...
Modeling												
Analysis												
Review user scenarios												
Show content relationships												
Create interaction model												
Elaborate content detail												
Define database requirements												
Refine function requirements												
Refine interface requirements												
Design												
Perform interface design												
Special interaction mechanics												
Refine page layout												
Show navigation mechanisms												
Perform aesthetic design												
...												

The Team

- *Interestingly, people working together with good communication and interaction can operate at noticeably higher levels than when they use their individual talents. We see this time and again in brainstorming and joint problem-solving sessions. Therefore, agile project teams [WebE teams] focus on increasing both individual competencies and collaboration levels. Cockburn and Highsmith*
- But how do successful teams conduct their business?
 - A **set of team guidelines** should be established.
 - **Strong leadership** is a must.
 - **Respect** for individual talents is critical.
 - Every member of the team should **commit**.
 - It's easy to get started, but it's very hard to **sustain momentum**.

Managing Risk

- Many problems can arise during a project
- Risk management focuses on understanding and managing these problems
 - Identify the risk; Assess its probability of occurrence; Estimate its impact; Establish a contingency plan
- Considers risk at two different levels of granularity
 - (1) the impact of risk on the entire WebApp project, and
 - (2) the impact of risk on the current WebApp increment
- Typical risks:
 - Is the time timeframe defined and reasonable?
 - Will the increments provide ongoing value for end users
 - How will requests for change impact delivery schedules?
 - Is the available technology appropriate for the job?
 - Does the team have the right mix of skills to build this increment

Identifying Risks

- Address the fundamental question: “What can go wrong?”
- Each team member is asked to make a list of risks
 - *People risks*: potential problems that can be directly traced to some human action or failing.
 - *Product risks*: potential problems associated with WebApp content, functions, constraints, or performance.
 - *Process risks*: problems that are tied to the framework actions and tasks that have been chosen by the team

Risk Analysis

Risks	probability	impact
People		
Little XML experience on team	80%	3
Stakeholders uncooperative	60%	2
Senior manager may change mid-stream	40%	1
Product		
Informational content may be outdated	50%	2
Algorithms may not be adequately defined	80%	3
Security for WebApp more difficult than expected	80%	3
Database integration more difficult than expected	40%	3
Space def. capability more difficult than expected	70%	3
Process		
Not enough emphasis on communication	60%	2
Too many analysis tasks (too much time spent)	30%	1
Not enough emphasis on navigation design	40%	2
⋮	⋮	⋮


Risk Contingency Planning

- Development time spans are short, so contingency plans are usually not formally documented.
 - Document as information notes by the team leader
- Consider each risk that falls above the cutoff line in the risk table and answer three questions:
 1. How can we avoid the risk altogether?
 2. What factors can we monitor to determine whether the risk is becoming more or less likely?
 3. Should the risk become a reality, what are we going to do about it?

Developing a Schedule

- How do projects fall behind schedule?
 - *One day at a time*, Fred Brooks
- Approach:
 - List all WebE actions and tasks for an increment
 - Build a network that depicts interdependencies
 - Identify tasks that are criticalT
 - Track progress (especially critical tasks)
- The WebApp schedule evolves over time.
- During the first iteration a macroscopic schedule is developed.
 - Identify all increments and dates on which each will be deployed.
- For each subsequent increment
 - The entry for the increment on the macroscopic schedule is refined into a detailed schedule.

The Schedule




Estimating Time and Effort

- Two viable (and quick) approaches to detailed estimation
- *Usage scenario–based estimation*
 - Examines the usage scenarios for the increment, compares them to previous data on average effort (E_{avg}) for previous increments.
 - Adjust estimates based on perceived complexity
- *Product-process table*
 - First column lists, for all major WebE actions, content objects and functions for an increment
 - Subsequent columns lists estimates of effort (in person-days) required to perform each of the main WebE actions for each content object and function.
- Warning! The relationship between effort, people and time is NOT linear.

Managing Quality

- What Quality Assurance Mechanisms Can the Team Use?
 - A thoughtful, thorough communication activity
 - Careful requirements gathering
 - Pair walkthroughs to assess the quality of all work products
 - Create a generic checklist that you can use to assess models
 - Use tests to evaluate quality

Quality Filters



Pair Walkthrough

- **Review the product, not the producer.**
- **Set an agenda and maintain it.** One of the key maladies of meetings of all types is drift. A walkthrough should be kept on track and on schedule.
- **Limit debate and rebuttal.** When an issue is raised by a reviewer, there may not be agreement on its impact. Rather than spending time debating the question, the issue should be recorded for resolution later.
- **Enunciate problem areas, but don't attempt to solve every problem noted.** A walkthrough is not a problem-solving session.
- **Take written notes.** Notes may be entered directly into a notebook computer.
- **Spend enough time to uncover quality problems, but not one minute more.** In general, a team walkthrough should be completed within 60 to 90 minutes at the most.

Change Management







Figure 5-7 Change management for WebApps

Chapter 6: *The Modeling Activity*

- *All models are wrong, but some models are useful,*
George Box
- We model our perception of reality so that we can understand and change it, but our models of reality are not perfect.
- **Analysis modeling** helps you to understand the nature of the problem being addressed and the “shape” of the WebApp that will allow you to address that problem
- **Design modeling** is about understanding the internal structure of the WebApp being developed and how this creates the shape of the WebApp that was identified by the analysis model.

WAAF Modeling - Example

	Structure (What)	Behaviour (How)	Location (Where)	Pattern
Planning Architecture (Planner's Perspective)				
Business Architecture (Business Owner's Perspective)				
User Interface Architecture (User's Perspective)				
Information Architecture (Information Architect's Perspective)				
System Architecture (System Architect's Perspective)				
Web Object Architecture (Developers' Perspective)				
Test Architecture (Tester's Perspective)				

Modeling Languages

- A *modeling language* (ML) incorporates a set of notations, terms, and/or symbols, as well as the rules for establishing associations between them
- A *modeling language* often has a formally structured representation as well as a set of graphical elements
- Some MLs are general purpose (e.g., UML) and others are more specific (e.g., WebML)

Modeling Languages

- What Capabilities Should Exist to Model Functionality?
 - Ability to model integration and connectivity.
 - Ability to support pattern modeling.
 - Ability to represent concepts in a technology-neutral fashion.
 - Ability to model sophisticated system functionality.
- What Capabilities Should Exist to Model Information Content?
 - Ability to model presentation-level concepts.
 - Ability to model navigational structure and behavior.
 - Ability to model user interactions with the information.
 - Ability to model user roles and user groups.
 - Ability to model content.
- What Generic Capabilities Should Exist in a Modeling Language?
 - Ability to model business domain concepts.
 - Ability to link business models with the technical architecture.
 - Ability to link information with functionality.
 - Ability to maintain system integrity.
 - Ability to support understanding and communication.
 - Ability to support Web system life cycle management.

Chapter 7 *Analysis Modeling*


- Analysis modeling helps you to understand the detailed requirements that will allow you to satisfy user needs
- Analysis models look at content, interaction, function and behavior, and the WebApp configuration
- To determine the how much analysis modeling to do, examine the:
 - Size and complexity of the WebApp increment
 - Number of stakeholders (analysis can help to identify conflicting requirements coming from different sources)
 - Size of the WebE team
 - Degree to which members of the WebE team have worked together before (analysis can help develop a common understanding of the project)
 - Degree to which the organization's success is directly dependent on the success of the WebApp

Analysis Outputs

- **Interaction model.** Describes the manner in which users interact with the WebApp.
- **Information model.** Identifies the full spectrum of content to be provided by the WebApp. Content includes text, graphics and images, and video and audio data.
- **Functional model.** Defines the operations that will be applied to WebApp content and describes other processing functions that are independent of content but necessary to the end user.
- **Configuration model.** Describes the environment and infrastructure in which the WebApp resides.


Understanding Users

- Crucial to understand your users!
- For each user class:
 - What is the user's overall objective?
 - What is the user's background?
 - How will the user arrive at the WebApp?
 - What characteristics does the user like and dislike?



Revisiting Use Cases


- Analyse and elaborate where necessary
 - Find gaps, missing details
- Identify overlaps and possible optimizations
 - Allows design simplification
 - E.g. often “view” task can be seen as a specialization of an “edit” task.




The Content Model

- Identify content objects:
 - *External entities* (e.g., other systems, databases, people) that produce or consume information to be used by the WebApp
 - *Things* (e.g., reports, displays, video images) that are part of the information domain for the problem
 - *Occurrences or events* (e.g., a quote or an order) that occur within the context of a user's interaction with a WebApp
 - *Roles* (e.g., retail purchasers, customer support, salesperson) played by people who interact with the WebApp
 - *Organizational units* (e.g., division, group, team) that are relevant to an application
 - *Places* (e.g., manufacturing floor or loading dock) that establish the context of the problem and the overall function of the WebApp
 - *Structures* (e.g., sensors, monitoring devices) that define a class of objects or related classes of objects

Web Info. Exchange - Notation




Web Info. Exchange - Example



Data Tree


- In some cases, the content model may benefit from a richer analysis
- *Data trees* depict the relationships among content objects and/or the hierarchy of content maintained by a WebApp.



The Interaction Model


- Can be represented using:
 - Use cases
 - Sequence diagrams
 - State diagrams
 - User interface prototypes
- In many instances, a set of use cases is sufficient to describe the interaction at an analysis level (further refinement and detail will be introduced during design)
- However, when the sequence of interaction is complex and involves multiple analysis classes or many tasks, it is sometimes worthwhile to depict it using a more rigorous diagrammatic form.

Sequence Diagram




UML *sequence diagrams* describe how user actions collaborate with analysis classes (the structural elements of a system).

State Diagram



- UML *state diagrams* describe dynamic behavior of the WebApp as an interaction occurs.
- State diagrams are most useful when a user interaction triggers a change in the state of the WebApp—and hence changes the way in which it might react to a user.

Active Interface Prototype




- A prototype shows the layout of the user interface, the content, interaction mechanisms and overall aesthetic
- Supports validation with the client of the requirements and analysis

The Functional Model

- Addresses two processing elements of the WebApp, each representing a different level of procedural abstraction:
 - user-observable functionality that is delivered by the WebApp to end users, and
 - the operations contained within analysis classes that implement behaviors associated with the class.
- The UML *activity diagram* can be used to represent processing details

Activity Diagram

- Illustrates the processing flow and logical decisions within the flow.
 - The construction details indicate how these operations are invoked, and the interface details for each operation are not considered until WebApp design commences.



The Configuration Model

- Among the many configuration issues that should be addressed are:
 - Server hardware and operating system environments
 - Interoperability considerations on the server side (e.g., large database access, other IT applications, specialized communication protocols)
 - On the client side:
 - Local OS
 - Browser software
 - Client hardware variations

Relation-Navigation Analysis

- *Relationship-navigation analysis* (RNA) provides a series of analysis steps that strive to identify relationships among the elements uncovered as part of the creation of the analysis model
- The RNA approach is organized into five steps:
 - **Stakeholder analysis.** Identifies the various user categories, and establishes an appropriate stakeholder hierarchy
 - **Element analysis.** Identifies the content objects and functional elements that are of interest to end users
 - **Relationship analysis.** Describes the relationships that exist among the WebApp elements
 - **Navigation analysis.** Examines how users might access individual elements or groups of elements
 - **Evaluation analysis.** Considers pragmatic issues (e.g., cost-benefit) associated with implementing the relationships defined earlier

Chapter 8 *WebApp Design*

- Jakob Nielsen [Nie00] states: “There are essentially two basic approaches to design: the **artistic ideal of expressing yourself** and the **engineering ideal of solving a problem for a customer.**”
- Even today, some proponents of agile software development use WebApps as poster children for the development of applications based on “limited design.”
 - However --
 - When content and function are complex
 - when the size of the WebApp encompasses hundreds of content objects, functions, and analysis classes
 - when multiple people become involved in the design; and
 - when the success of the WebApp will have a direct impact on the success of the business,
 - **design cannot and should not be taken lightly.**

WebApp Design

- The design model encompasses **content, aesthetics, architecture, interface, navigation, and component-level design issues.**
- The design model provides sufficient information for the WebE team to construct the final WebApp
- alternative solutions are considered, and the degree to which the current design model will lead to an effective implementation is also assessed


Design Goals - I

- **Simplicity.** Although it may seem old-fashioned, the aphorism “all things in moderation” applies to WebApps. Rather than *feature-bloat*, it is better to strive for moderation and simplicity.
- **Consistency.**
 - Content should be constructed consistently
 - Graphic design (aesthetics) should present a consistent look
 - Architectural design should establish templates that lead to a consistent hypermedia navigation
 - Navigation mechanisms should be used consistently
- **Identity.** The aesthetic, interface, and navigational design of a WebApp must be consistent with the application domain for which it is to be built.

Design Goals - II


- **Robustness.** The user expects robust content and functions that are relevant to the user's needs.
- **Navigability.** users should be able to understand how to move about the WebApp without having to search for navigation links or instructions.
- **Visual appeal.** design characteristics (e.g., the look and feel of content, interface layout, color coordination, the balance of text, graphics and other media, and navigation mechanisms) contribute to visual appeal.
- **Compatibility.** Most WebApps will be used in a variety of environments (e.g., different hardware, Internet connection types, operating systems, and browsers) and must be designed to be compatible with each

Design & WebApp Quality




- Try using the design IQ checklist!

Design Actions



The Design Process



Conceptual Architecture

- Provides an overall structure for the WebApp design
 - Affects all later increments – so important for it to be developed in the context of the full set of *likely* increments
- Represents the major functional and information components for the WebApp and describes how these will fit together
 - Depends on the nature of the WebApp, but in every case, it should ensure a sound integration between the WebApp information and the WebApp functionality.


Developing the architecture-I

- How do we achieve an effective balance between information and functionality in the conceptual architecture?
- A good place to start is with workflows or functional scenarios (which are an expression of the system functionality) and information flows
- As a simple example, consider the following set of key functionalities for **SafeHomeAssured.com**
 - Provide product quotation
 - Process security system order
 - Process user data
 - Create user profile
 - Draw user space layout
 - Recommend security system for layout
 - Process monitoring order
 - Get and display account info
 - Get and display monitoring info
 - Customer service functions (to be defined later)
 - Tech support functions (to be defined later)

Developing the architecture-II

- From these key functionalities we can identify the following partial list of *functional subsystems*:
 - **UserManagement.** Manages all user functions, including user registration, authentication and profiling, user-specific content, and interface adaptation and customization.
 - **ProductManagement.** Handles all product information, including pricing models and content management.
 - **OrderHandling.** Supports the management of customers' orders.
 - **AccountAdministration.** Manages customers' accounts, including invoicing and payment.
 - **SecuritySystemSupport.** Manages users' space layout models and recommends security layouts.
 - **SecuritySystemMonitoring.** Monitors customers' security systems and handles security events.
- And, of course, there are overall management subsystems:
 - **ClientInterface.** Provides the interface between users and the other subsystems, as required to satisfy users needs.
 - **SystemMaintenance.** Provides maintenance functionality, such as database cleaning.

Architecture



Technical Architecture

- Shows how the conceptual architecture can be mapped into specific technical components
- Any decision made about how one component might map into the technical architecture will affect the decisions about other components
 - For example, the WebE team may choose to design **SafeHomeAssured.com** in a way that stores product information as XML files. Later, the team discovers that the content management system doesn't easily support access to XML content, but rather assumes that the content will be stored in a conventional relational database. One component of the technical architecture conflicts with constraints imposed by another component.

Chapter 9 *Interaction Design*

- Design an interface to answer three generic questions:
 - *Where am I?* The interface should (1) provide an indication of the WebApp that has been accessed and (2) inform users of their location in the content hierarchy.
 - *What can I do now?* The interface should always help users understand their current options—what functions are available, what links are live, what content is relevant?
 - *Where have I been, where am I going?* The interface must facilitate navigation. Hence, it must provide a “map” (implemented in a way that is easy to understand) of where users have been and what paths they may take to move elsewhere within the WebApp.

Design Principles (Tognozzi) - I

- **Anticipation.** *Designed so that it anticipates the user's next move.*
- **Communication.** *The interface should communicate the status of any activity initiated by the user.*
- **Consistency.** *The use of navigation controls, menus, icons, and aesthetics (e.g., color, shape, layout) should be consistent throughout the WebApp.*
- **Controlled autonomy.** *The interface should facilitate user movement throughout the WebApp, but it should do so in a manner that enforces navigation conventions that have been established for the application.*
- **Efficiency.** *The design of the WebApp and its interface should optimize the user's work efficiency, not the efficiency of the Web engineer who designs and builds it or the client-server environment that executes it.*
- **Flexibility.** *The interface should be flexible enough to enable some users to accomplish tasks directly and others to explore the WebApp in a somewhat random fashion.*
- **Focus.** *The WebApp interface (and the content it presents) should stay focused on the user task(s) at hand.*


Design Principles (Tognozzi) - II

- **Fitt's law.** *“The time to acquire a target is a function of the distance to and size of the target”*
- **User Interface Objects.** *A vast library of reusable human interface objects (and patterns) has been developed for WebApps.*
- **Latency reduction.** *Rather than making the user wait for some internal operation to complete (e.g., downloading a complex graphical image), the WebApp should use multitasking in a way that lets the user proceed with work as if the operation has been completed.*
- **Learnability.** *A WebApp interface should be designed to minimize learning time and, once learned, to minimize relearning required when the WebApp is revisited.*
- **Metaphors.** *An interface that uses an interaction metaphor is easier to learn and easier to use, as long as the metaphor is appropriate for the application and the user.*

Design Principles (Tognozzi) - III

- **Maintain work product integrity.** *A work product (e.g., a form completed by the user, a user-specified list) must be automatically saved so that it will not be lost if an error occurs.*
- **Readability.** *All information presented through the interface should be readable by young and old.*
- **Track state.** *When appropriate, the state of user interactions should be tracked and stored so that users can log off and return later to pick up where they left off.*
- **Visible navigation.** *A well-designed WebApp interface provides “the illusion that users are in the same place, with the work brought to them”*

Preliminary Page Layout




Pragmatic Design Guidelines

- Reading speed on a computer monitor is approximately 25 percent slower than reading speed for hard copy. Therefore, *do not force the user to read voluminous amounts of text*
- *Avoid “under construction” signs* —they raise expectations and cause an unnecessary link that is sure to disappoint or frustrate users.
- *Users prefer not to scroll.* Important information should be placed within the dimensions of a typical browser window.
- *Navigation menus and head bars should be designed consistently and should be available on all pages that are available to the user.* The design should not rely on browser functions (e.g., the back arrow) to assist in navigation.
- *Aesthetics should never supersede functionality.* For example, a simple button might be a better navigation option than an aesthetically pleasing, but vague image or icon whose intent is unclear.
- *Navigation options should be obvious, even to the casual user.* The user shouldn't have to search the screen to determine how to link to other content or services.

Interface Design Workflow - I


- Review user characteristics and categories, user tasks, use cases, and related information contained in the analysis model and refine as required.
- Develop a rough design prototype of the WebApp interface layout.
- Map user objectives into specific interface actions.



Interface Design Workflow - II

- Define a set of user tasks that are associated with each action.
- Develop screen images for each interface action.
- Refine interface layout and screen images using input from aesthetic design.
- Identify user interface objects that are required to implement the interface.
- Develop a procedural representation of the user's interaction with the interface.
- Develop a behavioral representation of the interface.
- Describe the interface layout for each state.
- Pair walkthroughs (Chapter 5) should be conducted throughout all these design tasks and should focus on usability.

Elaborate the design



Elaborate the Design

SafeHome Products

Security & Monitoring Systems for Home and Office

[About the Company](#)
[Home security](#)
Product specs
Installation
[Get a price quote](#)
Layout your system
[Get a BoM](#)
[Place an Order](#)
[Monitoring services](#)
[Our Technology](#)
[Contact Us](#)

Security Products

Drafting tool box

—	wall
▬	window
↗	door
C	camera
▮	stairs

Drawing functions:
create label
rotate
specify dimensions

System functions:
recommend sensors
specify sensors
place an order


Floor Plan Layout

[Edit layout](#) [Get an existing layout](#) [Save layout](#) [Delete layout](#)

[About the Company](#) [Home security](#) [Monitoring services](#) [Our Technology](#)
[Contact Us](#)

Different Users in Different Roles


*The swimlane diagram:
Captures workflows
and shows interactions
between different users*



Translating Actions and Objects

- *From the use case on pp. 213 - 214*
 - *Accesses the **SafeHome** system*
 - *Enters an **ID** and **Password** to allow remote access*
 - *Displays **FloorPlan** and **SensorLocations***
 - *Displays **VideoCameraLocations** on floor plan*
 - *Selects **VideoCamera** for viewing*
 - *Views **VideoImages** (four frames per second)*
 - *Pans or zooms the **VideoCamera***
- *Based on these actions and objects we create a design layout -*
->

Design Layout



Revising the Layout

SafeHome Products
Security & Monitoring Systems for Home and Office

About the Company

Home security

Monitoring services

About monitoring

Sign-up

Log-in

Account info


System history

Arm/disarm

On-line Surveillance

Special features


Monitoring Services



First Floor

S door/window sensor
M motion detector (beam shown)
C video camera location

On-line Surveillance



About the Company

Home security

Monitoring services

Our Technology

Contact Us

Aesthetic Design - I

- Don't be afraid of white space.
- Emphasize content.
- Organize layout elements from top-left to bottom-right.
- Don't extend your real estate with the scrolling bar.
- Consider resolution and browser window size when designing the layout.
- Design the layout for freedom of navigation.
- Don't assume that the layout will be consistent across different display devices and browsers.
- If you use photos, make them small format with the option to enlarge.
- If you want a cohesive layout, look, and feel across all WebApp pages, use a cascading style sheet (CSS).

Usability

- Is the WebApp usable without continual help or instruction?
- Do the rules of interaction and navigation help a knowledgeable user work efficiently?
- Do interaction and navigation mechanisms become more flexible as users become more knowledgeable?
- Has the WebApp been tuned to the physical and social environment in which it will be used?
- Are users aware of the state of the WebApp? Do users know where they are at all times?
- Is the interface structured in a logical and consistent manner?
- Are interaction and navigation mechanisms, icons, and procedures consistent across the interface?
- Does the interaction anticipate errors and help users correct them?
- Is the interface tolerant of errors that are made?
- Is the interaction simple?

Other Design Issues

- Response time
- “Help” facilities
- Error handling
- Accessibility
- Internationalization