AI ASSIGNMENT – 9

Implement 8 Puzzle problem using below algorithms in prolog.

Compare the complexity of both algorithms.

Which algorithm is best suited for implementing 8 Puzzle problem and why ?

Method 1: DFS

Source Code:

```
puzzle(State):-
    length(Moves, N),
    dfs([State], Moves, Path), !,
    show([start|Moves], Path),
    format('~nmoves = ~w~n', [N]).


dfs([State|States], [], Path) :-
    goal(State), !,
    reverse([State|States], Path).

dfs([State|States], [Move|Moves], Path) :-
    move(State, Next, Move),
    not(memberchk(Next, [State|States])),
    dfs([Next,State|States], Moves, Path).


show([], _).
show([Move|Moves], [State|States]) :-
    State = state(A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I),
    format('~n~w~n~n', [Move]),
    format('~w ~w ~w~n',[A,B,C]),
    format('~w ~w ~w~n',[D,E,F]),
    format('~w ~w ~w~n',[G,H,I]),
    show(Moves, States).

% Empty position is marked with '*'

goal( state(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,*) ).

move( state(*,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,J), state(B,*,C,D,E,F,G,H,J), right).
move( state(*,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,J), state(D,B,C,*,E,F,G,H,J), down ).
move( state(A,*,C,D,E,F,G,H,J), state(*,A,C,D,E,F,G,H,J), left ).
move( state(A,*,C,D,E,F,G,H,J), state(A,C,*,D,E,F,G,H,J), right).
move( state(A,*,C,D,E,F,G,H,J), state(A,E,C,D,*,F,G,H,J), down ).
move( state(A,B,*,D,E,F,G,H,J), state(A,*,B,D,E,F,G,H,J), left ).
move( state(A,B,*,D,E,F,G,H,J), state(A,B,F,D,E,*,G,H,J), down ).
```

```
move( state(A,B,C,*,E,F,G,H,J), state(*,B,C,A,E,F,G,H,J), up ).
move( state(A,B,C,*,E,F,G,H,J), state(A,B,C,E,*,F,G,H,J), right).
move( state(A,B,C,*,E,F,G,H,J), state(A,B,C,G,E,F,*,H,J), down ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,*,F,G,H,J), state(A,*,C,D,B,F,G,H,J), up ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,*,F,G,H,J), state(A,B,C,D,F,*,G,H,J), right).
move( state(A,B,C,D,*,F,G,H,J), state(A,B,C,D,H,F,G,*,J), down ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,*,F,G,H,J), state(A,B,C,*,D,F,G,H,J), left ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,E,*,G,H,J), state(A,B,*,D,E,C,G,H,J), up ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,E,*,G,H,J), state(A,B,C,D,*,E,G,H,J), left ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,E,*,G,H,J), state(A,B,C,D,E,J,G,H,*), down ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,E,F,*,H,J), state(A,B,C,D,E,F,H,*,J), left ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,E,F,*,H,J), state(A,B,C,*,E,F,D,H,J), up ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,E,F,G,*,J), state(A,B,C,D,E,F,*,G,J), left ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,E,F,G,*,J), state(A,B,C,D,*,F,G,E,J), up ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,E,F,G,*,J), state(A,B,C,D,E,F,G,J,*), right).
move( state(A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,*), state(A,B,C,D,E,*,G,H,F), up ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,*), state(A,B,C,D,E,F,G,*,H), left ).
```



```
up

* 2 4
7 5 3
8 1 6

right

2 * 4
7 5 3
8 1 6

right

2 4 *
7 5 3
8 1 6

down

2 4 3
7 5 *
8 1 6

left

2 4 3
7 * 5
8 1 6

down

2 4 3
7 1 5
8 * 6

left

2 4 3
7 1 5
* 8 6

up

2 4 3
* 1 5
7 8 6
```

```
right

2 4 3
1 * 5
7 8 6

up

2 * 3
1 4 5
7 8 6

left

* 2 3
1 4 5
7 8 6

down

1 2 3
* 4 5
7 8 6

right

1 2 3
4 * 5
7 8 6

right

1 2 3
4 5 *
7 8 6

down

1 2 3
4 5 6
7 8 *

moves = 20
true.

?-
```

Method 2: BFS

Source Code:

```
puzzle(State):-
    bfs([State],[State],N),!,
    write("Total Steps: "),
    write(N).

bfs([State | _],_,0):-goal(State).

bfs([CurState | RemQueue],Visited,N):-
    findall(X,move(CurState,X,_),AllPossibleState),
```

```
    removeDuplicate(AllPossibleState,Visited,PossibleState),
    append(PossibleState,Visited,NewVisited),
    append(RemQueue,PossibleState,NewRemQueue),
    bfs(NewRemQueue,NewVisited,N1),
    N is N1 + 1.

removeDuplicate([],_,[]).
removeDuplicate([S1|AllRem],Visited,[S1 | T1]):-
    not(memberchk(S1,Visited)),
    removeDuplicate(AllRem,Visited,T1).

removeDuplicate([S1|AllRem],Visited,T1):-
    memberchk(S1,Visited),
    removeDuplicate(AllRem,Visited,T1).

goal( state(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,*) ).

move( state(*,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,J), state(B,*,C,D,E,F,G,H,J), right).
move( state(*,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,J), state(D,B,C,*,E,F,G,H,J), down ).
move( state(A,*,C,D,E,F,G,H,J), state(*,A,C,D,E,F,G,H,J), left ).
move( state(A,*,C,D,E,F,G,H,J), state(A,C,*,D,E,F,G,H,J), right).
move( state(A,*,C,D,E,F,G,H,J), state(A,E,C,D,*,F,G,H,J), down ).
move( state(A,B,*,D,E,F,G,H,J), state(A,*,B,D,E,F,G,H,J), left ).
move( state(A,B,*,D,E,F,G,H,J), state(A,B,F,D,E,*,G,H,J), down ).
move( state(A,B,C,*,E,F,G,H,J), state(*,B,C,A,E,F,G,H,J), up ).
move( state(A,B,C,*,E,F,G,H,J), state(A,B,C,E,*,F,G,H,J), right).
move( state(A,B,C,*,E,F,G,H,J), state(A,B,C,G,E,F,*,H,J), down ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,*,F,G,H,J), state(A,*,C,D,B,F,G,H,J), up ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,*,F,G,H,J), state(A,B,C,D,F,*,G,H,J), right).
move( state(A,B,C,D,*,F,G,H,J), state(A,B,C,D,H,F,G,*,J), down ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,*,F,G,H,J), state(A,B,C,*,D,F,G,H,J), left ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,E,*,G,H,J), state(A,B,*,D,E,C,G,H,J), up ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,E,*,G,H,J), state(A,B,C,D,*,E,G,H,J), left ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,E,*,G,H,J), state(A,B,C,D,E,J,G,H,*), down ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,E,F,*,H,J), state(A,B,C,D,E,F,H,*,J), left ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,E,F,*,H,J), state(A,B,C,*,E,F,D,H,J), up ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,E,F,G,*,J), state(A,B,C,D,E,F,*,G,J), left ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,E,F,G,*,J), state(A,B,C,D,*,F,G,E,J), up ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,E,F,G,*,J), state(A,B,C,D,E,F,G,J,*), right).
move( state(A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,*), state(A,B,C,D,E,*,G,H,F), up ).
move( state(A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,*), state(A,B,C,D,E,F,G,*,H), left ).
```



Method 3: Uniform Cost Search

As the cost of the step is same for all steps uniform cost search will work same as breadth first search.

Source Code:

```
ucs(State):-
    bfs([State],[State],N),!,
    write("Total Steps: "),
    write(N).
```



Time Complexity

1.) Depth First Search – $O(b^m)$

2.) Breadth First Search – $O(b^d)$

3.) Uniform Cost Search – $O(b^d)$

b- number of branches = 3 (average)

d- depth

m- max depth of tree

Which Algorithm is better?

It depends on the goal state. If goal state is not deeper in the space search tree then BFS is optimal otherwise if goal state is deeper in space search tree then DFS is better but in general.

Time taken by DFS depends on the depth of the entire search tree (which could be infinite, if loopy paths are not eliminated). Even in the case of a search tree with finite depth, the **time complexity** will be O(b^*m*), where *m* is the maximum depth of the search tree, which could be much larger than *d* (the depth of the shallowest goal).

The main reason for the use of DFS over BFS in many areas of AI is because of its very less space consumption. In DFS, we need to store only the nodes which are present in the path from the root to the current node and their unexplored successors. For state space with branching factor *b* and maximum depth *m*, DFS has **space complexity** of O(*bm*), a much better improvement over that of BFS.