1. Consider the following C code that calls fork(). If you assume that the child process is always scheduled before the parent process, what will be the output?

```
int main()
{
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < 3; i++) {
        if (fork() == 0) {
            printf("Child sees i = %d\n", i);
            exit(1);
        } else {
            printf("Parent sees i = %d\n", i);
        }
    }
}</pre>
```

2. Consider the following C code that creates and joins with two threads. Assuming that the threads are scheduled completely before the parent process (i.e., have a higher priority), what will be the output from running this program? Be careful! There is a significant trick!

```
int a = 0;

void *print_fn(void *ptr)
{
  int tid = *(int *)ptr;
  int b = 0;
```

```
a++; b++;
 printf("id: %d a: %d b: %d\n", tid, a, b);
 while (1); // Spin-wait here forever
}
int main()
{
 pthread_t t1, t2;
 int tid1 = 1;
 int tid2 = 2;
 int ret1, ret2;
 a++;
 printf("Parent says a: %d\n", a);
 ret1 = pthread_create(&t1, NULL, print_fn, (void *)&tid1);
 ret2 = pthread_create(&t2, NULL, print_fn, (void *)&tid2);
 if (ret1 | | ret2) {
  fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: pthread_create failed\n");
  exit(1);
 }
 if (pthread_join(t1, NULL)) {
  perror("join of t1");
```

```
exit(1);
}
if (pthread_join(t2, NULL)) {
  perror("join of t2");
  exit(1);
}
printf("Thread 1 and 2 complete\n");
}
```

3. In some multi-threaded applications, m user-level threads are mapped to n kernel-level threads. Why can this be a good idea (compared to using only user-level or only kernel-level threads)?

For what relative values of m and n is this mapping a possibility (or at all reasonable)? For which relative values is this the best choice?

```
m >> n
m > n
m (approx) = n
m < n
m << n
```

Submission Dead line: one week (from date of posting)