Macrolop Specification

Temirkhan Myrzamadi (a.k.a. Hirrolot)

November 17, 2020

Contents

1 EBNF Grammar

```
<eval> ::= "MACROLOP_EVAL(" { <term> }* ")" ;

<term> ::= "call(" <op> "," { <term> }* ")"
         | "v(" <preprocessor-token-list> ")" ;

<op>    ::= <ident> | { <term> }+ ;
```

Figure 1: Grammar rules

A metaprogram in Macrolop consists of a (possibly empty) sequence of terms, each of which is either a macro call or just a value.

Notes:

- The grammar above describes metaprograms already expanded by the C preprocessor, except for MACROLOP_EVAL, call, and v.

- call accepts op either as an identifier or as a non-empty sequence of terms that reduces to an identifier.

- call accepts arguments without a separator.

2 Reduction Semantics

We define reduction semantics for Macrolop. First of all, take into consideration the following notation for sequences:

Notation 1 (Sequences). *A sequence has the form* $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. *() denotes the empty sequence. An element can be appended by comma: if* $a = (1, 2, 3)$ *and* $b = 4$, *then* $a, b = (1, 2, 3, 4)$. *seq-extract extracts elements from a sequence without a separator: seq-extract$((a, b, c)) = a\ b\ c$. seq-comma-sep extracts elements from a sequence separated by comma: seq-comma-sep$((a, b, c)) = a, b, c$.*

The abstract machine executes configurations of the form $\langle k; acc; control \rangle$:

- k is a continuation of the form $\langle k; acc; control \rangle$, where *control* include the ? sign, which will be substituted with a result after a continuation is called. For example: let $k = \langle k'; (1, 2, 3); v(abc)\ ? \rangle$, then $k(v(ghi))$ is $\langle k'; (1, 2, 3); v(abc)\ v(ghi) \rangle$. A special continuation *halt* terminates the abstract machine with provided result.

- *acc* is an accumulator, a sequence of already computed results.

- *control* is a concrete sequence of terms upon which the abstract machine is operating right now. For example: call(FOO, v(123) v(456)) v(w 8) v(blah).

$$
\begin{aligned}
(v) &: \langle k; acc; v(\overline{tok})\ term\ \overline{term'}\rangle & \rightarrow_1 &\langle k; acc,\ \overline{tok}; term\ \overline{term'}\rangle \\
(v\text{-}end) &: \langle k; acc; v(\overline{tok})\rangle & \rightarrow_1 &k(seq\text{-}extract(acc, \overline{tok})) \\
(op) &: \langle k; acc; call(\overline{term}, \overline{a})\ \overline{term'}\rangle & \rightarrow_1 &\langle\langle k; acc; call(?, \overline{a})\ \overline{term'}\rangle; ();\overline{term}\rangle \\
(args) &: \langle k; acc; call(ident, \overline{a})\ \overline{term}\rangle & \rightarrow_1 &\langle\langle k; acc; ident(seq\text{-}comma\text{-}sep(?))\ \overline{term}\rangle; ();\overline{a}\rangle \\
(start) &: MACROLOP\_EVAL(\overline{term}) & \rightarrow_1 &\langle halt; ();\overline{term}\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 2: Computational rules

Notes:

- A body of a macro called using `call` must follow the grammar of Macrolop, otherwise it might result in a compilation error.