Macrolop Specification

Temirkhan Myrzamadi (a.k.a. Hirrolot) November 17, 2020

Contents

1	EBNF Grammar	2
2	Notations	2
3	Reduction Semantics	2

1 EBNF Grammar

Figure 1: Grammar rules

A metaprogram in Macrolop consists of a (possibly empty) sequence of terms, each of which is either a macro call or just a value.

Notes:

- The grammar above describes metaprograms already expanded by the C preprocessor, except for MACROLOP_EVAL, call, and v.
- call accepts op either as an identifier or as a non-empty sequence of terms that reduces to an identifier.
- call accepts arguments without a separator.

2 Notations

Notation 1 (Sequences)

- 1. A sequence has the form (x_1, \ldots, x_n) .
- 2. () denotes the empty sequence.
- 3. An element can be appended by comma: if a = (1, 2, 3) and b = 4, then a, b = (1, 2, 3, 4).
- 4. seq-extract extracts elements from a sequence without a separator: seq-extract((a, b, c)) = a b c.
- 5. seq-comma-sep extracts elements from a sequence separated by comma: seq-comma-sep ((a, b, c)) = a, b, c.

3 Reduction Semantics

We define reduction semantics for Macrolop. The abstract machine executes configurations of the form $\langle k; acc; control \rangle$:

- k is a continuation of the form $\langle k; acc; control \rangle$, where control include the ? sign, which will be substituted with a result after a continuation is called. For example: let $k = \langle k'; (1,2,3); v(abc) ? \rangle$, then k(v(ghi)) is $\langle k'; (1,2,3); v(abc) v(ghi) \rangle$. A special continuation halt terminates the abstract machine with provided result.
- acc is an accumulator, a sequence of already computed results.
- control is a concrete sequence of terms upon which the abstract machine is operating right now. For example: call(FOO, v(123) v(456)) v(w 8) v(blah).

And here are the computational rules:

```
(v): \langle k; acc; v(\overline{tok}) \ term \ \overline{term'} \rangle \longrightarrow_{1} \langle k; acc, \ \overline{tok}; term \ \overline{term'} \rangle \\ (v-end): \langle k; acc; v(\overline{tok}) \rangle \longrightarrow_{1} k(seq\text{-}extract(acc, \overline{tok})) \\ (op): \langle k; acc; call(\overline{term}, \overline{a}) \ \overline{term'} \rangle \longrightarrow_{1} \langle \langle k; acc; call(?, \overline{a}) \ \overline{term'} \rangle; (); \overline{term} \rangle \\ (args): \langle k; acc; call(ident, \overline{a}) \ \overline{term} \rangle \longrightarrow_{1} \langle \langle k; acc; ident(seq\text{-}comma\text{-}sep(?)) \ \overline{term} \rangle; (); \overline{a} \rangle \\ (start): MACROLOP\_EVAL(\overline{term}) \longrightarrow_{1} \langle halt; (); \overline{term} \rangle
```

Figure 2: Computational rules

Notation 2 (Reduction step; concrete sequence; meta-variables)

- 1. \rightarrow_1 denotes a single step of reduction (computation).
- 2. \overline{x} denotes a concrete sequence $x_1 \dots x_n$. For example: v(abc) call(FOO, v(123)) $v(u \ 8 \ 9)$.
- 3. tok denotes a single C preprocessor token, term is a term defined by the grammar, a is a term used as an argument.

Notes:

- A body of a macro called using call must follow the grammar of Macrolop, otherwise it might result in a compilation error.
- With the current implementation, at most 2¹⁴ reduction steps is possible. After exceeding this limit, compilation will likely fail.