

# Macrolop Specification

Temirkhan Myrzamadi (a.k.a. Hirrolot)

November 17, 2020

## Abstract

Macrolop [2] is a metalanguage on top of the standard C preprocessor aimed at language-oriented programming. It provides both the means to express intention in terms of domain-specific languages and a way to extend C with new programming language constructs, leading to a clearer and safer design of APIs. One example is `typext4c` [4], a header-only library implementing various type system extensions.

The two main characteristics of this project are:

- **Embedded.** Macrolop is implemented as a set of standard-compliant C99 macros, therefore it can be embedded directly in `*.c/*.h` source files without introducing third-party code generators.
- **General recursion.** The recursion mechanism is explicitly blocked by the standard [5]. Contrary to Boost/Preprocessor [1], Macrolop provides general recursion as-is (up to a certain limit, see 3).

This document describes the formal syntax and semantics of the metalanguage. See the official documentation [3] for the accompanied standard library.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>EBNF Grammar</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Notations</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Reduction Semantics</b>	<b>2</b>

# 1 EBNF Grammar

```

<eval> ::= "MACROLOP_EVAL(" { <term> }* ")" ;

<term> ::= "call(" <op> "," { <term> }* ")"
        | "v(" <preprocessor-token-list> ")" ;

<op>    ::= <ident> | { <term> }+ ;

```

Figure 1: Grammar rules

A metaprogram in Macrolop consists of a (possibly empty) sequence of terms, each of which is either a macro call or just a value.

Notes:

- The grammar above describes metaprograms already expanded by the C preprocessor, except for `MACROLOP_EVAL`, `call`, and `v`.
- `call` accepts `op` either as an identifier or as a non-empty sequence of terms that reduces to an identifier.
- `call` accepts arguments without a separator.

## 2 Notations

### Notation 1 (Sequences)

1. A sequence has the form  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .
2.  $()$  denotes the empty sequence.
3. An element can be appended by comma: if  $a = (1, 2, 3)$  and  $b = 4$ , then  $a, b = (1, 2, 3, 4)$ .
4. *seq-extract* extracts elements from a sequence without a separator: *seq-extract* $((a, b, c)) = a \ b \ c$ .
5. *seq-comma-sep* extracts elements from a sequence separated by comma: *seq-comma-sep* $((a, b, c)) = a, b, c$ .

## 3 Reduction Semantics

We define reduction semantics for Macrolop. The abstract machine executes configurations of the form  $\langle k; acc; control \rangle$ :

- $k$  is a continuation of the form  $\langle k; acc; control \rangle$ , where *control* include the `?` sign, which will be substituted with a result after a continuation is called. For example: let  $k = \langle k'; (1, 2, 3); v(abc) ? \rangle$ , then  $k(v(ghi))$  is  $\langle k'; (1, 2, 3); v(abc) \ v(ghi) \rangle$ . A special continuation *halt* terminates the abstract machine with provided result.
- *acc* is an accumulator, a sequence of already computed results.
- *control* is a concrete sequence of terms upon which the abstract machine is operating right now. For example: `call(F00, v(123) v(456)) v(w 8) v(blah)`.

And here are the computational rules:

$(v) : \langle k; acc; v(\overline{tok}) \text{ term } \overline{term'} \rangle$	$\rightarrow_1 \langle k; acc, \overline{tok}; \text{term } \overline{term'} \rangle$
$(v\text{-end}) : \langle k; acc; v(\overline{tok}) \rangle$	$\rightarrow_1 k(\text{seq-extract}(acc, \overline{tok}))$
$(op) : \langle k; acc; call(\overline{term}, \overline{a}) \overline{term'} \rangle$	$\rightarrow_1 \langle \langle k; acc; call(?, \overline{a}) \overline{term'} \rangle; (); \overline{term} \rangle$
$(args) : \langle k; acc; call(ident, \overline{a}) \overline{term} \rangle$	$\rightarrow_1 \langle \langle k; acc; ident(\text{seq-comma-sep}(?)) \overline{term} \rangle; (); \overline{a} \rangle$
$(start) : MACROLOP\_EVAL(\overline{term})$	$\rightarrow_1 \langle \text{halt}; (); \overline{term} \rangle$

**Figure 2:** Computational rules

**Notation 2 (Reduction step; concrete sequence; meta-variables)**

1.  $\rightarrow_1$  denotes a single step of reduction (computation).
2.  $\overline{x}$  denotes a concrete sequence  $x_1 \dots x_n$ . For example:  $v(\overline{abc}) \text{ call}(\overline{FOO}, v(\overline{123}))$   
 $v(\overline{u \ 8 \ 9})$ .
3.  $\overline{tok}$  denotes a single C preprocessor token,  $\overline{term}$  is a term defined by the grammar,  $\overline{a}$  is a term used as an argument.

Notes:

- A body of a macro called using `call` must follow the grammar of Macrolop, otherwise it might result in a compilation error.
- With the current implementation, at most  $2^{14}$  reduction steps is possible. After exceeding this limit, compilation will likely fail.

## References

- [1] Boost. *Boost/Preprocessor*. URL: <http://boost.org/libs/preprocessor>.
- [2] Temirkhan Myrzamadi. *Language-oriented programming in C*. URL: <https://github.com/Hirrolot/macrolop>.
- [3] Temirkhan Myrzamadi. *The Macrolop standard library documentation*. URL: <https://hirrolot.github.io/macrolop/>.
- [4] Temirkhan Myrzamadi. *Type system extensions for C*. URL: <https://github.com/Hirrolot/typext4c>.
- [5] Brian Tompsett. *Is the C99 preprocessor Turing complete?* URL: <https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3136686/is-the-c99-preprocessor-turing-complete>.