

Assignment 1

Tate Mason

Due:

Problem 1

Consider the following version of the Modigliani-Brumberg life-cycle model. A consumer lives for T periods. During the first N periods, he receives deterministic age-dependent labor income y_t every period. During the last $T - N$ periods (from age $N + 1$ to T), he does not receive any income. A consumer faces survival uncertainty: he survives from period t to $t + 1$ with probability θ . Every period, a consumer can purchase an asset a . A unit of this asset costs q this period and pays out a unit of consumption good next period. Thus, if a consumer invests qa_{t+1} in period t , he gets a_{t+1} in period $t + 1$. Consumer enters the model without holding any assets. A consumer discounts the future at the rate β and has the following preferences over consumption: $u(c_t) = \ln(c_t)$. Assume that $\beta = 1$.

1. Derive the consumer's lifetime budget constraint.
2. Set up consumer's optimization problem and derive the Euler equation.
3. Assume that the asset price is as follows: $q = \frac{1}{1+r}$. Modify your answers to parts 1 and 2 using this information.
4. Assume instead that the asset price is as follows: $q = \frac{\theta}{1+r}$. Modify your answers to parts 1 and 2 using this information.
5. Assume $r = 0$ and $y_t = y$ for all t . Solve for optimal consumption c_t for cases described in parts 3 and 4 of the problem. What is the difference between the solutions in the two cases? Provide intuition.

Problem 2

Suppose consumers maximize a quadratic utility function and can freely borrow and lend at a rate r . Denote assets at age t as a_t . Contrary to the permanent income model, we assume consumers have finite lifetime. They start working as soon as they are born at age 1, work until age 40, retire at age 41, and die at the end of their 60th year. Both working life and retirement are deterministic. So a worker of age t maximizes

$$\sum_{s=t}^{60} \beta^{s-t} u(c_s)$$

with $u(c_s) = -\frac{(c_s - \bar{c})^2}{2}$, and \bar{c} large enough never to be reached. Suppose a worker's labor income process satisfies

$$y_t = \begin{cases} y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t & \text{if } t \leq 40 \\ 0 & \text{if } t > 40 \end{cases}$$

For simplicity, assume $r = 0$ and $\beta = 1$.

- (a) Write down the consumer sequence problem. Write down the Euler equation. Obtain the intertemporal budget constraint and solve for the consumption function for a consumer of arbitrary age t . Distinguish between retired and working consumers. (Hint: start from retirement years and move back. Recall, the terminal wealth cannot be negative).
- (b) Derive the saving function for a consumer of arbitrary age t . Distinguish between retired and working consumers.
- (c) Derive the relationship between the change in consumption $\Delta c_{t+1} = c_{t+1} - c_t$ and the innovation in labor income ε_{t+1} . This has the form $\Delta c_{t+1} = \alpha_{t+1} \varepsilon_{t+1}$. Here α_{t+1} is the response of consumption to an innovation in income for a consumer of age t . How is α_t related to age t ? What is its value at $t = 1$ and $t = 39$? Explain.
- (d) What is the relationship between Δc_t and ε_t for the permanent income consumption model with infinite lifetime and labor income following a random walk? How does this compare to the average α_t you calculated above? Try explaining the difference between the two results.
- (e) Assume now that consumer lives only for 40 periods, i.e., there is no retirement period. Derive the consumption function. What is the relationship between Δc_t and ε_t . Compare with (D) and (E). Discuss.

Solution 1

(a)

The budget constraint is derived as follows:

$$\sum_{t=1}^T c_t = \sum_{t=1}^N y_t$$

(b)

The optimization problem is:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\{c_t, a_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^T} \quad & \sum_{t=1}^T \theta^t \ln(c_t) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & c_t + qa_{t+1} = y_t + a_{t+1} \\ & \text{No Ponzi} \end{aligned}$$

The Euler equation is derived through the following process:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \theta^t \ln(c_t) + \lambda(y_t + a_{t+1} - c_t - qa_{t+1}) \\ \mathcal{L}_{c_t} : \quad & \frac{\theta^t}{c_t} = \lambda \\ \mathcal{L}_{c_{t+1}} : \quad & \frac{\theta^{t+1}}{c_{t+1}} = \lambda \\ \mathcal{L}_{a_{t+1}} : \quad & q = \lambda \\ \frac{q}{c_t} &= \frac{\theta}{c_{t+1}} \end{aligned}$$

(c)

Substituting $q = \frac{1}{(1+r)}$:

Optimization Problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\{c_t, a_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^T} & \sum_{t=1}^T \theta^t \ln(c_t) \\ \text{s.t. } & c_t + \frac{a_{t+1}}{(1+r)} = y_t + a_{t+1} \end{aligned}$$

Euler:

$$\frac{1}{c_t} = \frac{(1+r)\theta}{c_{t+1}}$$

(d)

Substituting $q = \frac{\theta}{(1+r)}$:

Optimization Problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\{c_t, a_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^T} & \sum_{t=1}^T \theta^t \ln(c_t) \\ \text{s.t. } & c_t + \frac{\theta a_{t+1}}{1+r} = y_t + a_{t+1} \end{aligned}$$

Euler:

$$\frac{1+r}{\theta c_t} = \frac{\theta}{c_{t+1}}$$

(e)

Solving for optimal c_t for variations in q and interpreting differences.

$$\begin{aligned} q &= \frac{1}{1+r}; \quad r = 0; \quad y_t = y \\ \ln(c_t) &= \ln(c_{t+1}) \rightarrow \frac{1}{c_t} = \frac{1}{c_{t+1}} \rightarrow c_t = c_{t+1} \\ c_t &= y + a_{t+1} - a_{t+1} = c_{t+1} \rightarrow c^* = y \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the agent will choose to consume his income each period. Here, they are living off of human wealth.

$$\begin{aligned} q &= \frac{\theta}{1+r}; \quad r = 0; \quad y_t = y \\ c_t &= (1-\theta)(a_{t+1} - a_{t+2}) = c_{t+1} \rightarrow c^* = (1-\theta)(a_{t+1} - a_{t+2}) \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the agent will live off of investment assets, contributing a portion of consumption to future investment. Here, they are living off of financial wealth.

Solution 2:

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{t=s}^{60} \beta^{(s-t)} - \frac{(c_s - \bar{c})^2}{2} \right] \\ & \text{s.t. } c_t + a_{t+1} = y_t + a_t \\ & y_t = \begin{cases} y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t & \text{if } t \leq 40 \\ 0 & \text{if } t > 40 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In the case of quadratic utility which is given assumptions $r = 0$; $\beta = 1$, we know that the Euler equation is as follows:

$$c_t = \mathbb{E}_t c_{t+1}$$

Then, we can derive the consumption functions by recursion. For instance, the worker's function is found as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{40} &= y_{40} + a_{40} - a_{41} \\ c_{41} &= \frac{a_{41}}{20} \\ y_{40} + a_{40} - a_{41} &= \frac{a_{41}}{20} \Rightarrow \frac{20}{21}(y_{40} + a_{40}) = a_{40} \\ c_{40} &= y_{40} + a_{40} - \frac{20}{21}(y_{40} + a_{40}) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{21}y_{40} + \frac{1}{21}a_{40} \\ c_{39} &= y_{39} + a_{39} - a_{40} = \frac{1}{21}(y_{39} + \varepsilon_{40} + a_{40}) \\ a_{40} &= \frac{20}{22}y_{39} + \frac{21}{22}a_{39} \\ c_{39} &= y_{39} + a_{39} - \frac{20}{22}y_{39} - \frac{21}{22}a_{39} \Rightarrow \frac{2}{22}y_{39} + \frac{1}{22}a_{39} \\ & \text{and the pattern continues s.t. } c_t = \frac{40-t+1}{T-t+1}y_t + \frac{1}{T-t+1}a_t \end{aligned}$$

For the retiree. the function is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} c_t &= \frac{a_t}{T-t} \text{ s.t. } t > 40 \\ c_{60} &= a_{60} \\ c_{59} &= a_{59} - a_{60} \\ c_{60} = c_{59} &\Rightarrow 2a_{60} = a_{59} \Rightarrow a_{60} = \frac{1}{2}a_{59} \\ c_{59} &= \frac{a_{59}}{2} = a_{58} - a_{59} = c_{58} \\ a_{58} &= \frac{3a_{59}}{2} \Rightarrow a_{59} = \frac{2}{3}a_{58} \\ c_{58} &= \frac{a_{58}}{3} \\ & \text{and the pattern follows s.t. } c_t = \frac{1}{T-t+1}a_t \end{aligned}$$

(b)

The budget constraint is an indication of the savings function. Moving terms we get:

$$a_{t+1} = y_t + a_t - c_t$$

Based on the results from part (a),

$$a_{t+1} = \frac{20}{T-t+1}y_t + \frac{T-t}{T-t+1}a_t \text{ for workers}$$

$$a_{t+1} = \frac{T-t}{T-t+1}a_t \text{ for retirees}$$

(c)

$$\Delta c_{t+1} = \frac{40-t+1}{T-t+1}(y_{t+1}) + \frac{1}{T-t+1}a_t$$

$$\frac{\partial \Delta c_{t+1}}{\partial \varepsilon_{t+1}} = \frac{40-t+1}{T-t+1} = \alpha_{t+1}$$

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_{t+1}}{\partial t} = \frac{20}{(T-t+1)^2}$$

$$t = 1 : \alpha_t = \frac{20}{360} = \frac{1}{18}$$

$$t = 39 : \alpha_t = \frac{20}{440} = \frac{1}{22}$$

a_t becomes less influential as time goes on.

(d)

In random walk, $\Delta c_t = \varepsilon_t$. Above, α shrinks as $t \rightarrow T$ but the shock stacked from period t to $t+1$.

(e)

By law of iterated expectations, it would be expected that there is no shock ε_{t+1} . Because of this, $y_t = y_{t+1}$. Thus, with no income variation, the agent will choose to consume all earned income as they are guaranteed they will receive the same increment in period $t+1$. The consumption function is thus:

$$c_t = y_t$$