# Homework 2

Tate Mason

ECON - 8050

# Problem 1: Costs of Business Cycle

Let utility be given by:

$$E_{-1} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(c_t)$$

where the utility function is CRRA:

$$U(c_t) = \frac{c_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$$

The consumption process is

$$c_t = c_{t-1}^{\alpha} \varepsilon_t \exp(\mu)$$

where

$$\mu = \frac{-\sigma_\varepsilon^2(1-\alpha)}{2(1-\alpha^2)}, \quad \log \varepsilon_t \sim N(0,\sigma_\varepsilon^2) \text{ and i.i.d.}$$

Thus, the log of consumption follows an AR(1) process:

$$\log c_t = \mu + \alpha \log c_{t-1} + \log \varepsilon_t$$

#### Part A

Find the unconditional mean of  $c_t$ ,  $E(c_t)$ . (Hint: recall the properties of the lognormal distribution).

## Part B

Define lifetime utility before any uncertainty is realized as:

$$V_0 = E_{-1} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(c_t)$$

Assume  $c_0$  is drawn from the invariant (unconditional) distribution of c. Now define:

$$V(\lambda) = E_{-1} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U[c_t(1+\lambda)]$$

This is lifetime utility when every period consumption is increased by  $(1 + \lambda)$ . Express  $V(\lambda)$  as a function of  $\mu, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2, \alpha, \gamma, \beta$ .

### Part C

Denote  $V_0$  as the lifetime utility when  $c_t$  is deterministic and equal to its unconditional mean found in part A). Find the compensation  $\lambda$  such that  $V(\lambda) = V_0$ . Find how much compensation the consumer has to be given in order to be indifferent between the stochastic and deterministic cases, Provide economic intuition.

## Part D

Denote the interest rate as r. Find consumption  $c_t$ .

# Problem 2: Non-Expected Utility Framework

This problem follows the Kreps and Porteus (1978), Epstein and Zin (1991), and Weil (1990) frameworks.

Let remaining lifetime utility at time t, once  $c_t$  is known, be given by  $v_t$ , satisfying:

$$v_t = \left[ (1 - \beta)c_t^\rho + \beta (E_t v_{t+1}^\alpha)^{\frac{\rho}{\alpha}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \tag{1}$$

where  $1 - \alpha$  represents risk aversion and  $1 - \rho$  represents the inverse of the intertemporal elasticity of substitution. In standard expected utility,  $\alpha = \rho$ .

Denote pre-realization lifetime utility at time t as  $U_t$ , where:

$$U_t = (E_t v_t^{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

#### Part A

Prove that multiplying  $c_t$  by  $\lambda$  for all  $t = 0, 1, ..., \infty$  is equivalent to multiplying  $v_t$  by  $\lambda$ . (Hint: start by assuming this holds, substitute into equation (1), and show  $v_t$  scales linearly.)

#### Part B

Suppose for all t, we replace  $c_t$  with a deterministic constant  $\bar{c} = E[c_t]$ . Compare welfare in this case with uncertain  $c_t$ . Specifically, find  $\eta$  such that multiplying  $c_t$  by  $(1 + \eta)$  makes ex-ante welfare  $U_0$  equal to that in the deterministic case. Express  $\eta$  in terms of  $U_0$  and  $\bar{c}$ .

### Part C

Suppose consumption follows one of two sequences: with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $c_t = c_l$  for all t, and with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $c_t = c_h$  for all t. The sequence is revealed at t = 0. Find  $\eta$  and analyze its dependence on  $\rho$  and  $\alpha$ .

#### Part D

Now assume  $c_t$  is i.i.d., where each period  $c_t = c_l$  with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $c_h$  with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

- 1. Derive an implicit equation for  $U_0$ .
- 2. Analyze whether  $\eta$  depends on  $\alpha$  and  $\rho$ .

#### Part E

Solve for  $U_0$  numerically using Matlab with given parameters:  $\beta = 0.95$ ,  $c_l = e^{0.98}$ ,  $c_h = e^{1.02}$ . Compute  $\eta$  for:

- $\alpha = 1, 0.5, -1$
- $\rho = 1, 0.5, -1$

Report results in a table and provide economic intuition. (Hint: Use an iterative approach to solve  $U_0 = f(U_0)$  until convergence with tolerance  $10^{-8}$ .)

# 1 Solution 1

(A)

If  $c_t \sim N(m,v)$  for some mean m and variance v,  $\log c_t$  has a log normal distribution such that  $\mathbb{E}[c_t] = \exp[m + \frac{v}{2}]$ . Due to  $c_t$  exhibiting an AR(1) process, we can say that  $m = \mu + \alpha m + 0 \to m(1-\alpha) = \mu \to m = \frac{\mu}{1-\alpha}$ . The unconditional variance can be found by the following  $v = \alpha^2 v + \sigma_\epsilon^2 \to v = \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{(1-\alpha^2)}$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{E}[c_t] = \exp[\frac{\mu}{1-\alpha} + \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{2(1-\alpha^2)}]$  Subbing in the given  $\mu$ ,  $\exp[-\frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2 \mu}{2(1-\alpha^2)(1-\alpha)} + \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{2(1-\alpha^2)}] \to \exp(0) = 1 = \mathbb{E}[c_t]$ 

(B)

$$V_0 = \mathbb{E}_{-1} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(c_t)$$

$$V(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}_{-1} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(c_t(1+\lambda))$$

$$U(c_t(1+\lambda)) = (1+\lambda)^{1-\gamma} \frac{c_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$$

$$V(\lambda) = (1+\lambda)^{1-\gamma} \mathbb{E}_{-1} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{c_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} = (1+\lambda)^{1-\gamma} V_0$$

Now, we apply the same distribution as in (A) to  $c_t^{1-\gamma}$ .

$$\mathbb{E}[c_t^{1-\gamma}] = \exp[(1-\gamma)m + \frac{(1-\gamma)v}{2}]$$

$$\mathbb{E}[c_t^{1-\gamma}] = \exp[\frac{(1-\gamma)\mu}{1-\alpha} + \frac{(1-\gamma)^2\sigma_{\epsilon}^2}{2(1-\alpha^2)}]$$

$$\therefore V_0 = \frac{1}{1-\gamma}\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\beta^t\mathbb{E}[c_t^{1-\gamma}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{1-\gamma}\frac{1}{1-\beta}\exp[\frac{(1-\gamma)\mu}{1-\alpha} + \frac{(1-\gamma)^2\sigma_{\epsilon}^2}{2(1-\alpha^2)}]$$

$$V(\lambda) = (1+\lambda)^{1-\gamma}\frac{1}{1-\gamma}\frac{1}{1-\beta}\exp[\frac{(1-\gamma)\mu}{1-\alpha} + \frac{(1-\gamma)\sigma_{\epsilon}^2}{2(1-\alpha^2)}]$$

(C)

$$V_0 = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(1) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{1}{1-\gamma} = \frac{1}{(1-\beta)(1-\gamma)}$$

Indifference implies that  $V_0 = V(\lambda)$ . Therefore:

$$(1+\lambda)^{1-\gamma} \exp\left[\frac{(1-\gamma)\mu}{1-\alpha} + \frac{(1-\gamma)\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}}{2(1-\alpha^{2})}\right] = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow (1-\gamma)\log(1+\gamma) + \frac{(1-\gamma)\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}}{(1-\alpha)} + \frac{(1-\gamma)^{2}\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}}{2(1-\alpha^{2})} = 0$$

$$\log(1+\lambda) = -\frac{\mu}{1-\alpha} + \frac{(1-\gamma)\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}}{2(1-\alpha^{2})}$$

$$1+\lambda = \exp\left[-\frac{\mu}{1-\alpha} + \frac{(1-\gamma)\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}}{2(1-\alpha^{2})}\right]$$

$$\lambda = \exp\left[-\frac{\mu}{1-\alpha} + \frac{(1-\gamma)\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}}{2(1-\alpha^{2})}\right] - 1$$

$$\lambda = \exp\left[\frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}}{2(1-\alpha^{2})} - \frac{(1-\gamma)\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}}{2(1-\alpha^{2})}\right] - 1$$

$$\lambda = \exp\left[\frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}}{2(1-\alpha^{2})}(1-1+\gamma)\right] - 1$$

$$\lambda = \exp\left[\frac{\gamma\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}}{2(1-\alpha^{2})}(1-1+\gamma)\right] - 1$$

(D)

$$c_t^{-\gamma} = \beta(1+r)\mathbb{E}_t(c_{t+1}^{-\gamma})$$

$$1 = \beta(1+r)\mathbb{E}_t(\frac{c_t^{\alpha}\epsilon_{t+1}\exp[\mu]}{c_{t-1}\epsilon_t\exp[\mu]})^{-\gamma}$$

$$\beta(1+r)\mathbb{E}_t(\alpha\log\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} + \log(\frac{\epsilon_{t+1}}{\epsilon_t}) + 1) = 1$$

$$\beta(1+r)\mathbb{E}_t(\alpha\Delta\log c_{t+1} + 1) \text{ s.t. } \Delta\log c_{t+1} \sim N(\mathbb{E}_t\Delta\log c_{t+1}, v_t\Delta\log c_{t+1})$$

$$\mathbb{E}_t(-\gamma\alpha\Delta\log c_{t+1} + 1) = (-\gamma\alpha\Delta\log c_{t+1} + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma\alpha)^2v_t\Delta\log c_{t+1})$$

$$\mathbb{E}_t\Delta\log c_{t+1} = \frac{\log\beta(1+r)}{\gamma\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma\alpha v_t\Delta\log c_{t+1}$$

## Solution 2

(A)

Proof.  $v_t = [(1-\beta)(\lambda c_t)^{\rho} + \beta(\mathbb{E}[\lambda v_{t+1}]^{\alpha})^{\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$  This allows us to move the  $\lambda$  term out such that  $v_t = [\lambda^{\rho}(1-\beta)(c_t^{\rho}) + \lambda^{\rho}\beta(\mathbb{E}[v_t]^{\alpha})^{\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$ . Finally, we can show that utility is linearly scaled by lambda such that  $v_t = \lambda[(1-\beta)c_t^{\rho} + \beta(\mathbb{E}c_t^{\alpha})^{\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$ .

(B)

In the deterministic case,  $v_t = v_{t+1} = U_0^d$ . This allows us to write the uncertain case as  $U_0^c(1+\eta) = U_0^d \Rightarrow \eta = \frac{U_0^d}{U_0^c} - 1 \Rightarrow \eta = \frac{\bar{c}}{U_0^c}$ .

(C)

Using the probabilities  $\Pi_L = 0.5$ ,  $\Pi_H = 0.5$ , we can derive value functions for the cases in which you get a low draw and a high draw.

$$\begin{split} \bar{c} &= \Pi_L c_L + \Pi_H c_H \\ U_0 &= \left( \mathbb{E}[v_0^{\alpha}] \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \left[ \Pi_L c_L^{\alpha} + \Pi_H c_H^{\alpha} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \end{split}$$

Plugging into  $\eta$  formula derived above

$$\eta = \frac{\Pi_L c_L + \Pi_H c_H}{\left[\Pi_L c_L^{\alpha} + \Pi_H c_H^{\alpha}\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} - 1$$

This shows that  $\eta$  depends on the risk aversion parameter  $\alpha$ .  $\rho$  does not appear as at period t=0, consumption is constant and sees no uncertainty. The agent feels no disutility from pushing consumption to a future period t.

(D)

Let's start with the case in which  $c_t = c_L$ .

$$v_L = \left[ (1 - \beta) c_L^{\rho} + \beta (\mathbb{E}[v_{t+1}^{\alpha}])^{\frac{\rho}{\alpha}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$$

Next, in the case in which  $c_t = c_H$ ,

$$v_H = \left[ (1 - \beta) c_H^{\rho} + \beta (\mathbb{E}[v_{t+1}^{\alpha}])^{\frac{\rho}{\alpha}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$$

Now, allow  $R = \mathbb{E}[v_{t+1}^{\alpha}]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = [\Pi_L v_L^{\alpha} + \Pi_H v_H^{\alpha}]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ . From here, we can update the value functions in each state:

$$v_{L} = [(1 - \beta)c_{L}^{\rho} + \beta R^{\rho}]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$$
$$v_{H} = [(1 - \beta)c_{H}^{\rho} + \beta R^{\rho}]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$$

Now, we can use these functions to update  $U_0$ :

$$U_0 = (\mathbb{E}_0[v_0^{\alpha}])^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} - R$$

$$U_0 = \{\Pi_L[(1-\beta)c_L^{\rho} + \beta U_0]^{\frac{\alpha}{\rho}} + \Pi_H[(1-\beta)c_H^{\rho} + \beta U_0^{\rho})]^{\frac{\alpha}{\rho}}\}$$

Here, in this case,  $\alpha$ ,  $\rho$  are present due to the fact that consumption can now vary in each period. This causes the agent to experience disutility  $\rho$  for creating a buffer stock as well as their risk aversion  $\alpha$ .

 $(\mathbf{E})$ 

From the tables below, it can be seen that risk aversion  $\alpha$  has very little effect, staying stagnant regardless of the level of the parameter.  $\rho$ , however, decreases  $\eta$  as it decreases as well. Thus, the disutility of pushing consumption plays a factor in determining  $\eta$ .

Alpha	Eta	Iterations	Rho	Eta	Iterations
1	2.7188	312	1	2.7188	312
0.5	2.7188	312	0.5	2.7186	316
-1	2.7188	312	-1	2.7178	331