Homework 7

Tate Mason

Collaboration to varying degrees with Timothy Duhon, Josephine Hughes, Abdul Khan, Kasra Lak, Rachel Lobo, Mingzhou Wang, Wenyi Wang

Due on Friday, November 22, by 11:59pm

An ECON - 8040 Homework Assignment

November 25, 2024

Question 1

Problem

Consider the following infinite horizon production economy with a household sector and a business sector:

Business Sector: Firms in the economy produce a composite good that can be used for either consumption or investment purposes according to the following technology:

$$Y_t = K_{Mt}^{\alpha} N_{Mt}^{1-\alpha}$$

where K_{Mt} is the amount of capital rented by the firm at date t and N_{Mt} is the amount of labor hired by the firm at date t.

Household Sector: There is a continuum of measure 1 of infinitely lived households.

Preferences: Preferences are given by

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log(c_t)$$

where the variable c_t is an aggregator of the good produced by the business sector and a good produced by the household. More specifically:

$$c_t = \left[\mu c_{Mt}^{\rho} + (1 - \mu)c_{Ht}^{\rho}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$$

where c_{Mt} is the good produced in the business sector and c_{Ht} is the good produced at home.

Home Production: Each household has access to the same technology to produce the home good. The use of this technology by a particular household requires that household's own capital and labor. This technology is:

$$c_{Ht} = k_{Ht}^{\alpha} n_{Ht}^{1-\alpha}$$

Endowments: Each household is endowed with one unit of time. Additionally, each household is endowed with k_{M0} units of capital it can rent out to firms in the economy and k_{H0} units of capital that it can use to produce the home good. The two capital stocks depreciate at their respective rates δ_K and δ_H . Capital is sector specific so home capital cannot be used in the business sector and vice versa.

Questions

- 1. Write down the Social Planner's problem.
- 2. Write down the Social Planner's problem in recursive form (Bellman equation) what are the state variables?
- 3. Write down FOCs and envelope conditions for this Bellman equation.
- 4. Write down equations that characterize the steady state.

Solutions

(a)

$$\max_{c_t, n_t, N_t, Y_t, k_{Ht}, K_{Mt}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log(c_t)$$

$$s.t.$$

$$c_t = \left[\mu c_{Mt}^{\rho} + (1 - \mu) c_{Ht}^{\rho}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$$

$$c_{Ht} = k_{Ht}^{\alpha} n_{Ht}^{1-\alpha}$$

$$Y_t = K_{Mt}^{\alpha} N_{Mt}^{1-\alpha}$$

$$Y_t = c_{Mt} + x_{Mt} + x_{Ht}$$

$$K_{Mt+1} = x_{Mt} - (1 - \delta_M) K_{Mt}$$

$$k_{Ht+1} = x_{Ht} - (1 - \delta_H) k_{Ht}$$

$$n_{Ht} + N_{Mt} = 1$$

$$k_{Ht}, K_{Mt}, c_{Mt}, c_{Ht}, n_{Ht}, N_{Mt}, Y_t > 0$$

$$k_{H0}, K_{M0} \ given$$

(b)

$$v(k_{Ht}, K_{Mt}) = \max_{\substack{c_{Mt}, k_{Ht+1}, K_{Mt+1} \\ c_{Mt}, k_{Ht+1}, K_{Mt+1}}} \frac{1}{\rho} \log(\mu c_m^{\rho} + (1 - \mu)c_H^{\rho}) + \beta v(k_H', K_M')$$

$$s.t.$$

$$c_t = (\mu c_m^{\rho} + (1 - \mu)[k_{ht}^{\alpha} (1 - N_{Mt})^{1-\alpha}]^{\rho})^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$$

$$c_{Ht} = k_{Ht}^{\alpha} (1 - N_{Mt})^{1-\alpha}$$

$$c_{Mt} + k_{Ht+1} + K_{Mt+1} = K_{MT}^{\alpha} N_{MT}^{1-\alpha} - (1 - \delta_H)k_{Ht} - (1 - \delta_M)K_{Mt}$$

In this case, the state variables are K_{Mt} and k_{Ht} as we have some sort of control over labor, consumption, and investment.

(c)

Lagrangian (note that capital K will refer to market capital will lowercase k will refer to home capital):

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{\rho} \log(\mu c_M^{\rho} + (1 - \mu)c_H^{\rho}) + \beta V(k_H', K_M') + \lambda_M (K^{\alpha} N^{1 - \alpha} - (1 - \delta_H)k - (1 - \delta_M)K - c_M - k' - K') + \lambda_H (k^{\alpha} (1 - N)^{1 - \alpha} - c_H)$$

FOC's:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_M} &= \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\rho \mu(c_M^{\rho-1})}{\mu c_M^{\rho} + (1 - \mu) c_M^{\rho}} = \lambda_M \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_H} &= \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\rho (1 - \mu) c_H^{\rho-1}}{\mu c_M^{\rho} + (1 - \mu) c_M^{\rho}} = \lambda_H \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial k'} &= \beta V_k'(k', K') = \lambda_M \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial K'} &= \beta V_K'(k', K') = \lambda_M \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial K'} &= \beta V_K'(k', K') = \lambda_M \end{split}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial N} &= \lambda_M (1 - \alpha (\frac{K}{N})^{\alpha}) = \lambda_H (1 - \alpha (\frac{k}{1 - N})^{\alpha}) \end{split}$$

Envelope Conditions:

$$v_k : \lambda_H \left(\alpha \left(\frac{k}{1-N}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right) + \lambda_M (1-\delta_H)$$

$$v_K : \lambda_M \left(\alpha \left(\frac{K}{N}\right)^{\alpha-1} - (1-\delta_M)\right)$$

$$v_k' : \lambda_H' \left(\alpha \left(\frac{k'}{1-N'}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right) + \lambda_M' (1-\delta_H)$$

$$v_K' : \lambda_M' \left(\alpha \left(\frac{K'}{N'}\right)^{\alpha-1} (1-\delta_H)\right)$$

(d)

To define steady state we do the following,

$$v_k = v_K$$

$$\lambda_H(\alpha(\frac{k}{1-N})^{\alpha-1}) + \lambda_M(1-\delta_H) = \lambda_M(\alpha(\frac{K}{N})^{\alpha-1} - (1-\delta_M))$$

$$\lambda_M(\alpha(\frac{K}{N})^{\alpha-1} - \delta_M + \delta_H) = \lambda_H(\alpha(\frac{k}{1-N})^{\alpha-1})$$

$$\frac{\lambda_M}{\lambda_H} = \frac{\alpha(\frac{k}{1-N})^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha(\frac{K}{N})^{\alpha-1} - \delta_M + \delta_H}$$

From the FOC w.r.t N,

$$\frac{\lambda_M}{\lambda_H} = \frac{\left(\frac{k}{1-N}\right)^{\alpha}}{\left(\frac{K}{N}\right)^{\alpha}}$$

From the FOC w.r.t c_i :

$$\frac{\lambda_M}{\lambda_H} = \frac{\mu}{1 - \mu} \left(\frac{c_M}{c_H}\right)^{\rho - 1}$$

Now, putting the pieces together:

$$\frac{\mu}{1-\mu} \left(\frac{c_M}{c_H}\right)^{\rho-1} = \frac{\left(\frac{k}{1-N}\right)^{\alpha}}{\left(\frac{K}{N}\right)^{\alpha}};$$

$$\frac{\left(\frac{k}{1-N}\right)^{\alpha}}{\left(\frac{K}{N}\right)^{\alpha}} = \frac{\alpha\left(\frac{k}{1-N}\right)^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha\left(\frac{K}{N}\right)^{\alpha-1} - \delta_M + \delta_H};$$

$$\frac{\alpha\left(\frac{k}{1-N}\right)^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha\left(\frac{K}{N}\right)^{\alpha-1} - \delta_M + \delta_H} = \frac{\mu}{1-\mu} \left(\frac{c_M}{c_H}\right)^{\rho-1};$$

$$c_H = k^{\alpha}(1-N)^{1-\alpha}$$

$$c_M + k' + K' = K^{\alpha}N^{1-\alpha} - (1-\delta_H)k - (1-\delta_M)K$$

Question 2

Problem

This question is based on a paper by Bob Hall and Chad Jones. Consider a model with endogenous mortality. Let h_t be consumption of medical services per person. Let c_t be non-medical consumption per person. Suppose the economy starts with N_0 individual alive in period 0. Let the number of individuals who survive to period t be N_t . Survival rate depends on medical care h_t according to the following:

$$N_{t+1} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{f(h_t)}\right) N_t,$$

where $f(\cdot)$ is a strictly increasing and strictly concave function. Moreover

$$1 \leq f(h_t) < \infty$$
.

This equation implies that number of people who survive to period t+1 depends on how many are alive in period t and what is per capita medical care h_t .

There is no capital and no storage technology. Each person who is alive in period t is endowed with y units of consumption good that can be either consumed or converted to medical care. Suppose it takes p units of consumption good to produce one unit of medical care (in other words, h unit of medical cost ph in terms of consumption good).

- 1. Write the planning problem in which the planner chooses consumption c_t , medical care h_t and number of survivors N_t in each period to maximize present discounted sum of utilities for all surviving individuals $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} N_t u(c_t)$.
- 2. Write the problem recursively (as a Bellman equation). What is the state variable? Does the Bellman operator satisfy Blackwell sufficient conditions?
- 3. Verify that a value function of the form V(N) = vN, solves the Bellman equation, where v is a number. Write down the equation that describes v.
- 4. Write down the first order condition and characterize the solution.

- 5. Assume $u(c) = b + \frac{c^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$ (assume $\sigma > 1$), and $f(h) = Ah^{\alpha}$. The parameter b > 0 is the value of being alive. Parameter A is the effectiveness of health enhancing technology in reducing mortality. Parameter α is the elasticity of "health" with respect to medical care. Solve for $s \equiv \frac{ph}{y}$, which is the share of medical expenditure as total expenditure.
- 6. How does s change as you increase y, b, p, and A, and α ? Is health a necessity or luxury in this model?

Solutions

(a)

The planning problem is as follows:

$$\max_{c_t, h_t, N_{t+1}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} N_t u(c_t)$$

s.t.

$$c_t + p_t h_t = y$$

$$N_{t+1} = (1 - \frac{1}{1 - f(h_t)}) N_t$$

$$c_t, h_t, N_{t+1} \ge 0$$

$$1 \le f(h_t) < \infty$$

$$N_0 \ given$$

(b)

The Bellman is as follows:

$$vN = \max_{c,\,h,\,N'}\{u(c)+v(N')\}$$

s.t.

$$c = y - ph$$

$$N' = (1 - \frac{1}{f(h)})N$$

Blackwell conditions are satisfied for monotonicity, but not discounting. This can be seen in the following:

(i) Monotonicity

Proof. Assume $\exists somew(N) \geq v(N)$ for any (N, N'). With this assumption, we can state that $(Tw)(N) = \max_{h,N'} [Nu(y_t - ph_t) + w(N')] \geq (Tv)(N) = \max_{h,N'} [Nu(y_t - ph_t) + v(N')]$. Thus, since $(Tw)(N) \geq (Tv)(N)$ when we assume that $w(N) \geq v(N)$, monotonicity is proven true

(ii) Discounting

Proof. Assume $\exists \gamma$ such that $T(v+\gamma)(N) = \max_{h,N'} [Nu(y_t-ph_t) + (v(N')+\gamma)]$. This is equivalent to $(Tv)(N) + \gamma = \max_{h,N'} [Nu(y_t-ph_t) + v(N')] + \gamma$ Thus, we do not satisfy discounting.

(c)

Verification:

$$v = u(y - ph) + v(1 - \frac{1}{f(h)})$$
$$v(\frac{1}{f(h)}) = u(y - ph)$$
$$v = f(h)u(y - ph)$$

(d)

Now we take the derivative of Nu(y-ph)+[u(y-ph)f(h)-u(y-ph)]N with respect to h:

$$pu'(y-ph)f(h) = f'(h)u(y-ph)$$
$$p = \frac{f'(h)}{f(h)} \cdot \frac{u(y-ph)}{u'(y-ph)} = \frac{f'(h)}{f(h)} \cdot \frac{u(c)}{u'(c)}$$

(e)

$$p = \frac{\alpha A h^{\alpha - 1}}{A h^{\alpha}} \cdot \frac{\left(b + \frac{c^{1 - \sigma}}{1 - \sigma}\right)}{c^{-\sigma}}$$
$$\frac{\alpha}{h} \frac{b}{c^{-\sigma}} + \frac{c}{1 - \sigma} = p$$
$$ph = \alpha \left(bc^{\sigma} + \frac{c}{1 - \sigma}\right)$$
$$s \equiv \frac{ph}{y} \to \frac{\alpha}{y} \left(bc^{\sigma} + \frac{c}{1 - \sigma}\right)$$

As y increases, s decreases. As α increases, s increases. As A increases, there is no effect. The effect of b on s is dependent on c and vice versa.

Question 3

Problem

This question introduces you to the classical asset pricing model known as "Lucas Tree" model. Here is the description of the model: There are large number of identical households. The only asset in the economy is a tree. Households own and trade shares of tree, s_t . The price of a share of the tree is p_t^s . Each period the tree gives dividends d_t which is consumed by households (a period who owns share s_t of tree consumes $s_t d_t$ of dividend). Households

can also trade one period bonds b_t at price p_t^b . A household who holds b_t is entitled b_t unit of consumption good. The budget constraint looks like the following

$$c_t + p_t^b b_{t+1} + p_t^s (s_{t+1} - s_t) \le b_t + s_t d_t$$

- 1. Define a sequential market competitive equilibrium.
- 2. Define a Recursive Competitive Equilibrium. Here is hint on how to do it. The only aggregate state variable in the economy is the dividends, d. You don't need to include any aggregate law of motion (or any consistency condition). All prices are function of aggregate state variable d. For individual state variable, define wealth as $w \equiv b + s(p^s(d) + d)$. That is your state variable. Household takes w and d as given, and chooses s' and b' (and c). Their choices determine what the future wealth w' will be. Note that in this economy the evolution of dividends is known (see part (c) and (d) for examples).
- 3. Assume $d_t = 1$ for all t. Find stock prices and bond prices in every period.
- 4. Assume $d_t = 1$ when $t = 0, 2, 4, \ldots$ and $d_t = 2$ when $t = 1, 3, 5, \ldots$ Assume $u(c) = \log c$. Solve for stock prices and bond prices on even and odd period (hint: stock prices will take only two values. You can set this up as a two equations and two unknowns solve it)

Solutions

(a)

$$\max_{c_t, b_t, s_t} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t)$$

s.t.

$$c_t + p_t^b b_{t+1} + p_t^s (s_{t+1} - s_t) \le b_t + s_t d_t$$
$$c_t \ge 0$$
$$s_0, b_0 \text{ given}$$

Markets Clear

$$s_t = 1$$
$$b_t = 0$$
$$c_t = d_t$$

(b)

$$v(w, d) = \max_{c, s', b'} \{u(c) + \beta v(w', d')\}$$

s.t.

$$c + p^b b' + p^s (s' - s) \le w$$
$$w \equiv b + s(p^s(d) + d)$$
$$s_0, b_0, w, d \text{ given}$$

(c)

$$v(w,d) = u(c) + \beta v(w',1) + \lambda(b+s-c-p^bb'-p^s(s'-s))$$

FOC w.r.t c

$$: u'(c) = \lambda$$

FOC w.r.t b'

$$: \lambda' = p^b \lambda$$

FOC w.r.t s'

$$: p^{s}\lambda = p^{s'}\lambda'$$

$$\therefore p^{s}u(c) = p^{s'}p^{b}u(c)$$

$$p^{b} = \frac{p^{s}}{p^{s'}}$$

$$p^{s} = p^{s'}p^{b}$$

(d)

This will be broken into two cases, one for when d=1 and another for d=2.

Case 1

$$\log(c) + \lambda(b + s - c - p^b b' - p^(s' - s))$$

Follows same path as in above case

$$p^b = \frac{p^s}{p^{s\prime}}$$
$$p^s = p^b p^{s\prime}$$

Case 2

$$\beta \log(c) + \lambda(b + 2s - c0p^bb' - p^s(s' - s))$$

FOC w.r.t $\mathbf c$

$$\frac{\beta}{c} = \lambda$$

FOC w.r.t b'

$$\lambda' = p^b \lambda$$

FOC w.r.t s'

$$p^s \lambda = 2p^{s'} \lambda'$$

following same as above:

$$p^s = 2p^{s\prime}p^b$$
$$p^b = \frac{p^s}{2p^{s\prime}}$$

Question 4 (Final Exam, Fall 2017)

Problem

Consider an infinite horizon economy with production. There is a representative household with the following utility function (Note: this is a little different than the one we studied in class. Here, the head of household cares about the number of family members, as well as each person's utility. Hence, the term $(1 + \eta)^t$ appears in the objective.)

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} (1+\eta)^{t} [\phi \log c_{t} + (1-\phi) \log(1-h_{t})],$$

where c_t and h_t are consumption and hours worked per person. The output is given by the following production function

$$Y_t = AK_t^{\alpha}((1+\gamma)^t(1+\eta)^th_t)^{1-\alpha}$$

where Y_t and K_t are aggregate output and stock of capital, $\gamma > 0$ is rate of growth of labor augmented technology, and $\eta > 0$ is the rate of growth of population (both technology and population are normalized to 1 at date 0). Capital accumulates according to

$$K_{t+1} = (1 - \delta)K_t + X_t,$$

where K_t and X_t are aggregate capital and investment.

Government collects indirect business taxes at rate τ (paid by producers). So a firm that produces (and sells) Y_t , pays τY_t to the government. Taxes are lump-sum rebated to the household. Therefore the government budget constraint is

$$\tau Y_t = (1+\eta)^t T r_t,$$

where Tr_t is the lump-sum transfer per person in period t.

Let r_t and w_t be rental rate on capital and wage rate in period t (in terms of consumption good in period t).

- 1. Write down aggregate feasibility for this economy. (you can write it in terms of per person variables or aggregate variables. Be consistent).
- 2. Write down the maximization for representative firm. Derive formulas for r_t and w_t . (Note: inputs to production function are aggregate capital K_t and aggregate labor $(1+\eta)^t h_t$)
- 3. What is the national income in this economy? Write it in terms of τ and Y_t .
- 4. Assume households can trade one period assets a_t (in zero net supply) that pays interest rate i_t in period t. Define a Sequential Market Competitive Equilibrium.
- 5. Write down 3 equations that describes the equilibrium allocations in the economy (write them in terms of per person variables).
- 6. Derive a formula for equilibrium interest rate i_t in terms of allocations and tax rate.
- 7. What is the long run growth rate of per capita variables (output, consumption, capital, investment) in this economy? What is the long run growth rate of hours per person? De-trend all per capita variables appropriately and re-write the aggregate feasibility in de-trended variables (denote them by '^').
- 8. Write down three equations that describe the long run values of the de-trended variables. Argue that these variables are indeed constant in the long run.
- 9. What is the long run effect of τ on interest rate i_t ?
- 10. What is the long run effect of τ on capital per worker $\frac{k_t}{h_t}$?
- 11. Now suppose at "date 0" we have the following data in the National Income and Product Account.

GDP and Its Components		National Income by Type of Income	
Gross Domestic Product	100	Gross Domestic Product (Y)	100
Investment	20	Indirect Business Taxes (τY)	10
Consumption	80	Capital Income (rK)	30
		Labor Income (wL)	60

We also know the following information:

fraction of time allocated to work
$$(h)=0.3$$
 population growth $(\eta)=0.01$ growth rate of GDP per capita $=0.02$ Capital to Output ratio $(\frac{K}{Y})=4$

Assuming the economy is on balanced growth path, find parameters δ , α , ϕ , β and tax rate τ such that the model is consistent with the data.

Solutions

(a)

$$C_t + X_t = (1 - \tau)Y_t$$

s.t.

$$C_t = c_t (1+\eta)^t$$

$$X_t = K_{t+1} - (1-\delta)K_t$$

$$Y_t = AK^{\alpha}((1+\gamma)^t (1+\eta)^t h_t)^{1-\alpha}$$

thus,

$$c_t(1+\eta)^t + K_{t+1} = (1-\tau)AK^{\alpha}((1+\gamma)^t(1+\eta)^t h_t)^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta)K_t$$

(b)

$$\max_{K,h} \tau Y_t - r_t K_t - w_t N_t$$

s.t.

$$N_t \equiv (1+\eta)^t h_{Yt} = AK_t^{\alpha} ((1+\gamma)^t N_t)^{1-\alpha} - r_t K_t - w_t N_t$$

FOC's w.r.t K, N

$$: K : \alpha \tau A \left(\frac{K_t}{(1+\gamma)^t N_t}\right)^{1-\alpha} = r_t$$
$$N : (1-\alpha)\tau A \left(\frac{K_t}{(1+\gamma)^t N_t}\right)^{\alpha} = w_t$$

(c)

National Income is equal to the sum of Capital and Labor income:

$$NI = (1 - \alpha)\tau A \left(\frac{K_t}{(1 + \gamma)^t N_t}\right)^{\alpha} N_t + \alpha \tau A \left(\frac{K_t}{(1 + \gamma)^t N_t}\right)^{1 - \alpha} K_t$$

(d)

SMCE:

Household

$$\max_{c_t, h_t, K_{t+1}, a_{t+1} \ge 0} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (1+\eta)^t (\phi \log c_t + (1-\phi) \log(1-h_t))$$

s.t.

$$c_t + K_{t+1} + a_{t+1} \le w_t h_t + r_t K_t + (1+i_t)a_t + Tr_t$$

$$a_{t+1} > \bar{A}^{-1}$$

Firm

$$r_t = \alpha \tau A \left(\frac{K}{(1+\gamma)^t (1+\eta)^t h_t}\right)^{\alpha - 1}$$
$$w_t = (1-\alpha)\tau A \left(\frac{K}{(1+\gamma)^t (1+\eta)^t h_t}\right)^{\alpha}$$

Government

$$\tau Y_t = (1+\eta)^t T r_t$$

Clear

$$(1+\eta)^{t} c_{t} + K_{t+1} = Y_{t} + (1-\delta)K_{t}$$
$$Y_{t} = AK_{t}^{\alpha} ((1+\gamma)^{t} (1+\eta)^{t} h_{t})$$

(e)

 $\mathcal{L} = \beta^{t} (1 + \eta)^{t} (\phi \log c_{t} + (1 - \phi) \log(1 - h_{t})) + \lambda (w_{t} h_{t} + r_{t} k_{t} + (1 + i_{t}) a_{t} + T r_{t} - c_{t} - k_{t+1} - a_{t+1})$ FOC's w.r.t h, c, h', c'

:

$$h_t : \beta^t (1+\eta)^t (\frac{1+\phi}{1-h_t}) = \lambda (1-\alpha)\tau A (\frac{k}{(1+\gamma^t h_t)})^{\alpha}$$

$$c_t : \beta^t (1+\eta)^t (\frac{\phi}{c_t}) = \lambda \frac{1+\phi}{1+h_t} = \frac{\phi}{c_t} (1-\alpha)\tau A (\frac{k}{(1+\gamma)^t h_t})^{\alpha}$$

$$c_{t+1} : \beta^{t+1} (1+\eta)^{t+1} (\frac{\phi}{c_{t+1}}) = \lambda'$$

$$k_{t+1} : \lambda = r_{t+1} + (1-\delta)\lambda'$$

Putting pieces together

$$\frac{c_{t+1}}{\beta c_t} = (1 - \delta) + \alpha \tau A \left(\frac{k}{(1 + \gamma)th_t}\right)^{1 - \alpha}$$
$$c_t + (1 + \eta)^t k_{t+1} = Ak_t^{\alpha} ((1 + \gamma)^t h_t) + (1 - \delta)k_t$$

(f)

From the FOC's in (e),

$$\frac{c_{t+1}}{\beta c_t} = (1 - \delta)r_{t+1}$$

Taking FOC w.r.t. a_{t+1} :

$$\lambda = (1 + i_{t+1})\lambda' \to \frac{c_{t+1}}{\beta c_t} = 1 + i_{t+1}$$

Math shown in part (e)

By this definition, $i_{t+1} = r_{t+1}$.

(g)

Long run hours worked will be constant, 0 for simplicity. Once we detrend by growth rate $(1+\gamma)^t$ we get:

$$\hat{c}_t + (1+\gamma)^t (1+\eta)^t \hat{k}_{t+1} = A\hat{k}_t^{\alpha} h_t^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta)\hat{k}_t$$

(h)

$$\begin{split} \frac{1-\phi}{1-h} &= \frac{\phi}{\hat{c}} \tau A (1-\alpha) (\frac{\hat{k}}{h})^{\alpha} \\ \frac{1}{\beta(1+\gamma)} &= (1-\delta) + \tau A \alpha (\frac{\hat{k}}{h})^{\alpha-1} \\ \frac{\hat{c}}{h} + (1+\gamma)(1+\eta)(\delta-1) (\frac{\hat{k}}{h}) &= A (\frac{\hat{k}}{h})^{\alpha} \end{split}$$

(i)

$$i_t = \tau \alpha A(\frac{\hat{k}}{h})^{\alpha - 1} = \frac{1}{\beta(1 + \gamma)} + \delta - 1$$

Since i does not appear in long run formula, there is no effect.

(j)

$$\frac{\hat{k}}{h} = \left(\frac{\frac{1}{\beta(1+\gamma)} + \delta - 1}{\tau \alpha A}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha - 1}}$$

Thus, as taxes increase, capital per worker decreases.

(k)

$$\tau = 0.1$$

$$\alpha = \frac{rk}{y} = 0.3$$

$$\frac{1 - \phi}{\phi} \frac{\hat{c}/\hat{y}}{1 - h} = (0.9 \cdot 0.3) \frac{1}{h} \rightarrow$$

$$\frac{1 - \phi}{\phi} = \frac{\frac{0.9 \cdot 0.3}{0.3}}{0.8/0.7}$$

$$\frac{1 - \phi}{\phi} = 1.84 \rightarrow \phi = \frac{1}{2.84}$$

$$\phi \approx 0.35$$

$$\gamma = 0.02$$

$$\eta = 0.01$$

$$(1.02 \cdot 1.01 + \delta - 1)(\frac{\hat{k}}{y}) = 0.2$$

$$\frac{\hat{k}}{y} = 4$$

$$4\delta = 0.079$$

$$\delta \approx 0.02$$

$$\tau \alpha \frac{y}{k} = \frac{1}{\beta(1 + \gamma)} + \delta - 10.1 \cdot 0.3 \cdot 0.25 = \frac{1}{\beta(1.02)} - 1.02$$

$$\beta \approx 0.95$$

Question 5 (Macro Core Exam, Summer 2018)

Problem

Consider an infinite horizon economy with two type of households i = 1, 2. Households of type 1 have the following preferences

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} [\log(c_{t}^{1}) + \log(1 - n_{t}^{1})]$$

where c_t^1 is consumption and n_t^1 is labor supply. These households do not own any asset. Every period they make a static decision on how much to work. Their consumption is equal to their labor income in every period t.

Households of type 2 have the following preferences

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log(c_t^2).$$

They own firms in the economy. Each household of type 2 owns share s_t of firm which they can trade at prices q_t . They do not work. The firm pays dividend d_t which is distributed to the shareholders according to their ownership.

The government spends constant fraction g of GDP. Government finances its expenditure by taxing labor income of the workers at rate τ_L and taxing dividends τ_d which is paid by the firm. Let p_t be time 0 price of consumption good in period t (Arrow-Debreu price). The firm maximizes the present value of after tax dividend

$$\max \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (1 - \tau_d) p_t d_t$$

subject to

$$d_t = K_t^{\alpha} N_t^{1-\alpha} - w_t N_t - (K_{t+1} - (1-\delta)K_t)$$

where N_t and K_t are demand for labor and capital by the firm.

- 1. Define an Arrow-Debreu competitive equilibrium
- 2. Solve for equilibrium labor supply n_t^1 and consumption (c_t^1, c_t^2) in terms of $(1 \tau_L)w_t$ and $(1 \tau_d)d_t$.
- 3. Derive an asset pricing equation for q_t (that relates current price to future price, future dividends and growth of consumption).
- 4. Write down firm's optimality conditions.
- 5. Find steady state level of capital.
- 6. Find equilibrium wage in steady state.
- 7. Find stock price q_t in steady state.
- 8. Suppose government cuts dividend tax rate and to finance the tax cut it raises tax rate on labor income. What is the long run effect of this policy on GDP, aggregate stock of capital, wage, stock price, labor supply, aggregate consumption. What is the long run effect on consumption inequality among workers and capitalists?
- 9. Suppose government claims that "dividend tax cut will increase output and income hence tax base and it will pay for itself, so raising labor tax rate may not be necessary after all." Use this model to evaluate the claim.

Solutions

(a)

HH1

$$\max_{c_t, n_t} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t [\log c_t^1 + \log(1 - n_t^1)]$$

s.t.

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t c_T^1 = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t (1 - \tau_L) w_t n_t^1$$

HH2

$$\max_{c_t} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log c_t^2$$

s.t.

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t c_t^2 = s_0 q_0 + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t (1 - \tau_D) d_t$$

 \mathbf{Firm}

$$\max_{d_t} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{p}_t (1 - \tau_D) d_t$$

s.t.

$$d_t = K_t^{\alpha} N_t^{1-\alpha} - w_t N_t - (K_{t+1} - (1-\delta)K_t)$$

Government

$$g(K_t^{\alpha} N_t^{1-\alpha}) = \tau_L w_t N_t + \tau_D d_t$$

Market Clears

$$n_t^1 = N_t$$

$$s_t = 1$$

$$c_t^1 + c_t^2 - (1 - \delta)K_t + g(K_t^{\alpha}N_t^{1-\alpha}) = K_t^{\alpha}N_t^{1-\alpha}$$

(b)

Household 1

$$\log((1 - \tau_L)w_t n_t^1)_+ \log(1 - n_t)$$

FOC w.r.t. n

$$\frac{1}{n_t^1} = \frac{1}{1 - n_t^1}$$

$$1 = 2n_t$$

$$n_t = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\therefore c_t^1 = \frac{(1 - \tau_L)w_t}{2}$$

Household 2

$$\beta \log(c_t^2) + \lambda (s_0 q_0 + p_t (1 - \tau_D) d_t - p_t c_t^2)$$

FOC w.r.t. c^2

$$\frac{\beta}{c_t^2} = \lambda p_t$$

$$c_t^2 = (1 - \beta) \left(\frac{(1 - \tau_D)d_t}{p_t} \right)$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} s_{t+1} : p_t q_t - p_{t+1} q_{t+1} &= p_{t+1} d_{t+1} (1 - \tau_D) \\ p_t q_t &= p_{t+1} (d_{t+1} (1 - \tau_D) + q_{t+1}) \\ q_t &= \frac{p_{t+1}}{p_t} (d_{t+1} (1 - \tau_D) + q_{t+1}) \end{aligned}$$

(d)

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t (1 - \tau_D) [K^{\alpha} N^{1-\alpha} - w_t N_t - K_{t+1} + (1 - \delta) K_t]$$

FOC w.r.t. $K_{t+!}$

$$p_t(1 - \tau_D) = p_{t+1}(1 - \tau_D)[\alpha(\frac{K}{N})^{\alpha - 1} + (1 - \delta)]$$
$$\frac{p_t}{p_{t+1}} = \alpha(\frac{K}{N})^{\alpha - 1} + 1 - \delta$$

(e)

From the Euler equation of Household 2, we get the following. Keep in mind that in steady state $c_{t+1} = c_t = c^*$

$$\frac{c_{t+1}^2}{c_t^2\beta} = \frac{p_t}{p_{t+1}}$$
$$\frac{1}{\beta} = \alpha \left(\frac{K}{N}\right)^{\alpha - 1} + 1 - \delta$$
$$\frac{1}{\beta} + \delta - 1 = \alpha \left(\frac{K}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{1 - \alpha}}$$
$$K^* = N\left(\frac{\frac{1}{\beta} + \delta - 1}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha - 1}}$$

(f)

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial d_t}{\partial N_t} &= (1-\alpha)(K_t^\alpha N_t^{-\alpha}) = w_t \\ w_t &= (1-\alpha)[(N(\frac{\frac{1}{\beta}+\delta-1}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}})^\alpha]N^{-\alpha} \\ w_t &= (1-\alpha)(\frac{\frac{1}{\beta}+\delta-1}{\alpha})^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \end{split}$$

(g)

$$\frac{1}{\beta}q_t = [q_{t+1} + d_{t+1}(1 - \tau_D)]$$
$$q_t = \beta[q_{t+1} + d_{t+1}(1 - \tau_D)]$$

In steady state, $q_t = q_{t+1} - q^*$

$$q^* = \beta q^* + \beta d_{t+1} (1 - \tau_D)$$
$$q^* = \frac{\beta d_{t+1} (1 - \tau_D)}{1 - \beta}$$

(h)

 $GDP = Y = K^{\alpha}N^{1-\alpha}$.

$$N^{\alpha} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{\beta} + \delta - 1}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}} \cdot N^{1 - \alpha}$$
$$N \left(\frac{\frac{1}{\beta} + \delta - 1}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}}$$

Since taxes do not appear in the formula for GDP, tax policy will have no effect. The same is true of K^* as found in part (e). Wages will also be unaffected by tax policy. Since q only

effects household two, labor will be unaffected. Stock prices will be. Aggregate consumption would be altered by the proposed tax policy. Household 1 would be able to consume less, while household 2 could consume more. The level of cuts/increases would determine the overall effect.

0.0.1 (i)

This model would show that the claim made is dubious at best. Workers see nothing while capitalists see capital expansion through higher dividend payoffs. The level of capital reinvestment would be the determining factor for if the tax cut "pays for itself". If the level is inadequate, there is a loss of government revenue which will result in lowered utility for laborers through higher labor taxes or through lower employment opportunities.