

# Homework 3

**Tate Mason**

An ECON - 8070 Homework Assignment

November 7, 2024

## Question 4.2

### Problem

(a)

Show that, under random sampling and the zero conditional mean assumption  $\mathbb{E}(u|\mathbf{x}) = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(\beta|\mathbf{X}) = \beta$  if  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}'$  is nonsingular. (Hint: use property CE.5 in the appendix of chapter 2)

(b)

In addition to the assumption from part a, assume that  $\text{var}(u|\mathbf{x}) = \sigma^2$ . Show that  $\text{var}(\hat{\beta}|\mathbf{X}) = \sigma^2((\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}')^{-1})$

### Solutions

## Question 4.3

### Problem

Suppose that in the linear model 4.5,  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{x}'u) = \mathbf{0}$  (where  $\mathbf{x}$  contains unity),  $\text{var}(u|\mathbf{x}) = \sigma^2$ , but  $\mathbb{E}(u|\mathbf{x}) \neq \mathbb{E}(u)$ .

(a)

Is it true that  $\mathbb{E}(u^2|\mathbf{x}) = \sigma^2$ ?

(b)

What relevance does part a have for OLS estimation?

### Solutions

## Question 4.17

### Problem

## Question 5.1

### Problem

In this problem you are to establish the algebraic equivalence between 2SLS and OLS estimation of an equation containing an additional regressor. Although the result is completely general, for simplicity consider a model with a single (suspected) endogenous variable:

$$y_1 = z_1\delta_1 + \alpha_1 y_2 + u_1,$$

$$y_2 = z_2\pi_2 + v_2.$$

For notational clarity, we use  $y_2$  as the suspected endogenous variable and  $z$  as the vector of all exogenous variables. The second equation is the reduced form for  $y_2$ . Assume that  $z$  has at least one more element than  $z_1$ . We know that one estimator of  $(\delta_1, \alpha_1)$  is the 2SLS estimator using instruments  $x$ . Consider an alternative estimator of  $(\delta_1, \alpha_1)$ : (a) estimate the reduced form by OLS, and save the residuals  $\hat{v}_2$ ; (b) estimate the following equation by OLS:

$$y_1 = z_1\delta_1 + \alpha_1 y_2 + \rho\hat{v}_2 + \text{error}. \quad (5.52)$$

Show that the OLS estimates of  $\delta_1$  and  $\alpha_1$  from this regression are identical to the 2SLS estimators. (Hint: Use the partitioned regression algebra of OLS. In particular, if  $\hat{y} = x_1\beta_1 + x_2\beta_2$  is an OLS regression,  $\beta_1$  can be obtained by first regressing  $x_1$  on  $x_2$ , getting the residuals, say  $\hat{x}_1$ , and then regressing  $y$  on  $\hat{x}_1$ ; see, for example, Davidson and MacKinnon (1993, Section 1.4). You must also use the fact that  $z_1$  and  $\hat{v}_2$  are orthogonal in the sample.)

## Question 5.2

### Problem

Consider a model for the health of an individual:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{health} = & \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{age} + \beta_2 \text{weight} + \beta_3 \text{height} \\ & + \beta_4 \text{male} + \beta_5 \text{work} + \beta_6 \text{exercise} + u_1, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where *health* is some quantitative measure of the person's health; *age*, *weight*, *height*, and *male* are self-explanatory; *work* is weekly hours worked; and *exercise* is the hours of exercise per week.

### Parts

(a)

Why might you be concerned about *exercise* being correlated with the error term  $u_1$ ?

(b)

Suppose you can collect data on two additional variables, *disthome* and *distwork*, the distances from home and from work to the nearest health club or gym. Discuss whether these are likely to be uncorrelated with  $u_1$ .

(c)

Now assume that *disthome* and *distwork* are in fact uncorrelated with  $u_1$ , as are all variables in equation (1) with the exception of *exercise*. Write down the reduced form for *exercise*, and state the conditions under which the parameters of equation (1) are identified.

(d)

How can the identification assumption in part c be tested?

## Question 5.11

### Problem

A model with a single endogenous explanatory variable can be written as

$$y_1 = z_1\delta_1 + \alpha_1 y_2 + u_1, \quad (2)$$

$$E(z'u_1) = 0, \quad (3)$$

where  $z = (z_1, z_2)$ . Consider the following two-step method, intended to mimic 2SLS:

(a)

Regress  $y_2$  on  $z_2$ , and obtain fitted values,  $\hat{y}_2$ . (That is,  $z_1$  is omitted from the first-stage regression.)

(b)

Regress  $y_1$  on  $z_1, \hat{y}_2$  to obtain  $\hat{\delta}_1$  and  $\hat{\alpha}_1$ . Show that  $\hat{\delta}_1$  and  $\hat{\alpha}_1$  are generally inconsistent. When would  $\hat{\delta}_1$  and  $\hat{\alpha}_1$  be consistent? (Hint: Let  $y_2^0$  be the population linear projection of  $y_2$  on  $z_2$ , and let  $a_2$  be the projection error:  $y_2^0 = z_2\lambda_2 + a_2$ ,  $E(z'a_2) = 0$ . For simplicity, pretend that  $\lambda_2$  is known rather than estimated; that is, assume that  $\hat{y}_2$  is actually  $y_2^0$ . Then, write)

$$y_1 = z_1\delta_1 + \alpha_1 y_2^0 + \alpha_1 a_2 + u_1 \quad (4)$$

and check whether the composite error  $\alpha_1 a_2 + u_1$  is uncorrelated with the explanatory variables.