

Assignment 3

Tate Mason

Due: February 27th, 11:59pm

Question 1: Optimal Auctions (25 pts)

In this problem, you will compute the auction that maximizes the auctioneer's expected revenue.

There is a seller looking to sell a single object. There are N bidders (indexed by $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$) for this object. Each bidder i has an i.i.d value v_i drawn from a distribution F with support on $[0, M]$. We assume that the density function f is continuous, where $F(a) = \int_0^a f(x)dx$. Furthermore, assume that $\frac{f(x)}{1-F(x)}$ is non-decreasing.

- Write down the seller's optimization problem. Hint: use the revelation principle we discussed in class.
- Take a given bidder i and the corresponding incentive constraints. Write these constraints as the solution to a maximization problem.
- Reformulate the constraints using the envelope theorem and derive an expression for $t(v_i)$.
- Solve the optimization problem for the seller. What is the allocation and transfer rule that maximizes expected revenue?
- Interpret your answer in (d). What kind of auction is this?

Question 2 (15 pts)

A seller is selling a single object. There are N bidders. Each bidder i has an i.i.d value v_i drawn from a distribution F with support $[0, M]$.

Let $OPT(N)$ be the expected revenue from the optimal auction with N bidders. Let $S(N+1)$ denote the expected revenue from a second-price auction with $N+1$ bidders.

- Prove that $S(N+1) \geq OPT(N)$.
- Interpret the result in (a). What does it mean? What is the takeaway?

Question 3: Correlated Values (10 pts)

There are two bidders with private values $v_i \in \{1, 2\}$. The values are correlated:

- Probability $\frac{1}{3}$: both bidders have a value of 1.
- Probability $\frac{1}{3}$: both bidders have a value of 2.
- Probability $\frac{1}{6}$: one has 2, the other has 1.

- (a) Suppose the auctioneer runs a second-price auction with random tie-breaking. Is truthful bidding still weakly dominant? Find expected revenue and bidder surplus.
- (b) Construct an allocation and transfer rule that extracts full surplus while keeping the allocation rule unchanged.

Question 4 (25 pts)

This question involves the Principal-Agent problem we examined in Lecture.

The principal (seller) sells a quantity $x \geq 0$ of a good for payment t . The cost function is $c(x)$. The agent's utility is $v(x, \theta) - t$, where θ is private information.

- (a) Write down the seller's optimization problem (objective, IC, and IR constraints).
- (b) Rewrite the constraints using ICFOC and monotonicity.
- (c) Solve for the optimal mechanism (menu/contract).
- (d) Under what conditions on $v(x, \theta)$ does marginal markup decrease?
- (e) Show that constant marginal cost implies quantity discounting.
- (f) Suppose $v(x, \theta) = \theta\gamma(x)$. Show that a power-law distributed θ leads to a two-part tariff.