

Formal Power series

$$\forall (F, \oplus, \odot, 0, 1) \ni \forall x \in F \exists \tilde{x} \ni x \oplus \tilde{x} = 0 \wedge \forall y \in F / \{0\} \exists \hat{y} \ni y \odot \hat{y} = 1$$

Let

$$F[[x]] := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \odot x^i \mid \forall i, a_i \in F \right\}$$

Definitions

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall f, g \in F[[x]] \\ f \oplus g &:= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (a_{g \cdot i} \oplus a_{f \cdot i}) \odot x^i \\ f - g &:= f \oplus \tilde{g} \\ f \odot g &:= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j+k=i} (a_{g \cdot j} \odot a_{f \cdot k}) \odot x^i \\ \text{val} &:= \lambda f. \min\{n \mid a_n \neq 0\} \\ \forall f \in F[[x]], |f| &:= \begin{cases} 2^{-\text{val } f} & \text{iff } f \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases} \\ d &:= \lambda f. \lambda g. |f - g| \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

$\forall (F, \oplus, \odot, 0, 1) \ni \forall x \in F \exists \tilde{x} \ni x \oplus \tilde{x} = 0 \wedge \forall y \in F \exists \hat{y} \ni y \odot \hat{y} = 1, F[[x]]$ is 1. a commutative unital RING and, 2. a Metric Space

Lemmas

- $\forall f \in F[[x]], f \oplus \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 0 \odot x^i = f$
- $\forall f \in F[[x]], f \odot \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \odot x^i (\ni a_0 = 1 \wedge a_{i>0} = 0) = f$
- $\forall f, g \in F[[x]], f \oplus g = g \oplus f$
- $\forall f, g \in F[[x]] f \odot g = g \odot f$
- $\forall f \in F[[x]] \exists \tilde{f} \in F[[x]] \ni f \oplus \tilde{f} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 0 \odot x^i$
- $\forall f, g \in F[[x]], d \ f \ g = d \ g \ f$
- $\forall f, g \in F[[x]] \ni f = g \iff d \ f \ g = 0$
- $\forall f, g \in F[[x]] d \ f \ g \in \{x \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$
- $\forall f, g, h \in F[[x]] \ni f \neq g \neq h, d \ f \ g \leq \max(d \ f \ h)(d \ g \ h) \leq d \ f \ h + d \ g \ h$

Proofs

1.

$$\forall f \in F[[x]], f \oplus \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 0 \odot x^i = f$$

By definition of $f \oplus g$

$$f \oplus \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 0 \odot x^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (a_{f \cdot i} \oplus 0) \odot x^i$$

Since, 0 is the addition identity of F, We can simplify the expression to

$$\boxed{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{f \cdot i} \odot x^i}$$

Which is just the definition of f

■

2.

$$\forall f \in F[[x]], f \odot \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \odot x^i (\ni a_0 = 1 \wedge a_{i>0} = 0) = f$$

By the definition of $f \odot g$,

$$f \odot \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \odot x^i (\ni a_0 = 1 \wedge a_{i>0} = 0) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j+k=i} (a_{f \cdot j} \odot a_k) \odot x^i (\ni a_0 = 1, a_{k>1} = 0)$$

$\because 0$ is the product irreducible element of F , $\implies \forall k > 0, a_{f \cdot j} \odot a_k = 0$ $\because 0$ is the additive identity element of F ,
 $\implies \sum_{j+k=i} (a_{f \cdot j} \odot a_k) = a_{f \cdot i}$

$$\implies \boxed{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j+k=i} (a_{f \cdot j} \odot a_k) \odot x^i (\ni a_0 = 1, a_{k>1} = 0) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{f \cdot i} \odot x^i}$$

Which is just the definition of f

■

3.

$$\forall f, g \in F[[x]], f \oplus g = g \oplus f$$

Simplifying using the definition of $f \oplus g$ LHS:

$$f \oplus g = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (a_{f \cdot i} \oplus a_{g \cdot i}) \odot x^i$$

RHS:

$$g \oplus f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (a_{g \cdot i} \oplus a_{f \cdot i}) \odot x^i$$

We know that $\forall a, b \in F a \oplus b = b \oplus a$

$$\implies \forall i, a_{g \cdot i} \oplus a_{f \cdot i} = a_{f \cdot i} \oplus a_{g \cdot i}$$

Hence we can just change the terms in the summation to be equal. Since two summations are equal, if all of their co-efficients are equal, this proves the lemma.

■

4.

$$\forall f, g \in F[[x]], f \odot g = g \odot f$$

Simplifying by defintion LHS:

$$f \odot g = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j+k=i} (a_{f \cdot j} \odot a_{g \cdot k}) x^i$$

RHS:

$$g \odot f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j+k=i} (a_{g \cdot j} \odot a_{f \cdot k}) x^i$$

$$\because j, k \in \mathbb{N} \implies \{j|j+k=i\} = \{k|j+k=i\}, \wedge \forall x, y, z \in F, x \oplus (y \oplus z) = (x \oplus y) \oplus z$$

$$\implies LHS = RHS$$

■

5.

$$\forall f \in F[[x]] \exists \tilde{f} \in F[[x]] \ni f \oplus \tilde{f} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 0 \odot x^i$$

Simplifying by definition

$$f \oplus \tilde{f} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (a_{f,i} \oplus a_{\tilde{f},i}) \odot x^i$$

To prove that,

$$\forall i, a_{f,i} \exists a_{\tilde{f},i} \ni a_{f,i} \oplus a_{\tilde{f},i} = 0$$

Remember,

$$\forall i, a_{f,i}, a_{\tilde{f},i} \in F \implies a_{\tilde{f},i} = \widetilde{a_{f,i}}$$

■

6.

$$\forall f, g \in F[[x]], d f g = d g f$$

Case 1 ($f = g$):

$$d f g = d g f = 0$$

so the lemma holds Case 2 ($f \neq g$): LHS:

$$d f g = |f - g| = 2^{-\text{val}(f-g)} = 2^{-\min\{n|a_{f,n} - a_{g,n} \neq 0\}}$$

RHS:

$$d g f = |g - f| = 2^{-\text{val}(g-f)} = 2^{-\min\{n|a_{g,n} - a_{f,n} \neq 0\}}$$

$\therefore \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a - b \neq 0 \iff b - a \neq 0$ This proves the lemma.

■

7.

$$d f g = 0 \iff f = g$$

$$d f g = |f - g| = |f \oplus \tilde{g}| = 0 \iff f \oplus \tilde{g} = 0$$

$$\implies \tilde{g} = \tilde{f}$$

$$\implies g = f$$

■

8.

$$\forall f, g \in F[[x]], d f g \in \{x \in \mathbb{Q}^+ | 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$$

This is trivial, since it can just be proven by simplifying the definition of d.

9.

$$\forall f, g, h, \in F[[x]] d f g \leq \max(d f h)(d g h) \leq d f h + d g h$$

Proving first inequality

LHS:

$$d f g = |f - g| = 2^{-\text{val}(f-g)} = 2^{-\min\{n|a_{f,n} - a_{g,n} \neq 0\}}$$

RHS:

$$\max(d f h)(d g h) = \max(2^{-(\text{val } f-h)})(2^{-(\text{val } g-h)}) = \max 2^{-\min\{n|a_{f,n} - a_{h,n} \neq 0\}} 2^{-\min\{n|a_{g,n} - a_{h,n} \neq 0\}}$$

$$2^{\max(-\min\{n|a_{f,n} - a_{h,n} \neq 0\})} 2^{(-\min\{n|a_{g,n} - a_{h,n} \neq 0\})}$$

Taking \log_2 on both sides, we can rewrite the inequality to be

$$-\min\{n|a_{f,n} - a_{g,n} \neq 0\} \leq \max\{-\min\{n|a_{f,n} - a_{h,n} \neq 0\}, -\min\{n|a_{g,n} - a_{h,n} \neq 0\}\}$$