## The Pumping Lemma

Consider

$$L = 0^n 1^n : n \in \mathbb{N}$$

where  $0^n$  means 0 repeated n times. Then L can be represented by the generated function

$$L(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^{2i} = \frac{1}{1 - x^2}$$

L has a generating function however it isn't a regular language. This can be proven using the Pumping lemma.

Lemma:

$$\begin{split} \forall L \subseteq \Sigma^* \ni \operatorname{regular}(L) \\ \Longrightarrow & \exists p \in \mathbb{P} \ni \forall w \in L, |w| \geq p \\ \Longrightarrow & \exists x,y,z \in \Sigma^*, (xyz = w) \land (|y| \in \mathbb{P}) \land (|xy| \leq p) \land (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, xy^nz \in L) \end{split}$$

Now take the original Language L, : this language can't be represented in the aforementioned way, we can conclude that it isn't a finite automaton. Proof: Let

$$w = xyz \ni w \in L \implies w = 0^n 1^n, n \in \mathbb{P}, \exists |xy| \le n \land |y| > 0 \land x, y, z \in L$$

The only solution to this is  $x, z = \emptyset \land y = w$ : if  $x \neq \emptyset$ , This would imply that x is either all 0s, or y is all 1s, which both imply that  $x \notin L$  and  $y \notin L$  respectively. Which are both contradictions.

HOWEVER this then means that  $\nexists p \neq 1 \ni y^p \in L \iff \not \forall p \in P, y^p \in L, :$  the statement is proven by contradiction.

## Proof for the Pumping Lemma

Let

- L be a regular Language over  $\Sigma$  character-set,
- recognized by the FSA  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta : Q \times \Sigma \longrightarrow Q, q_0 \in Q, F \subseteq Q)$
- Pumping Length  $p = |Q_M|$
- $w \in L \ni |w| \ge p$
- the ordered tuple of states visited to reach w,  $(q_i)_{0 \le i \le p}$

We know that

 $\implies$  there exists a cycle from  $i^{th}$  to  $j^{th}$  state in the FSA.  $\implies$  You can visit this cycle as many times as you want and the FSA will still recognize the word.