Tutorial 3 . Et 302 Control Systems 20" Feb 2020. Q-1 Counides on - O = Gallo. Assume (in this problem) cloud loop is consider inputs: step, ramp, paudolic inputs. For type 0, type 1, type 2 systems, obtain steady state-enon type 0 type 1 type 2 systems, steady state-enon type 0 type 1 type 2 stap framp for type 1 parabolic 2-2: Suppore Galoove's 33+452+25+9 Find range of Ix for closed loop stability using louth Huwitz table. Q-3: Suppose G (in Prob 1) is (s2+s+1)(s+5) (a) Find range of for dond loop stability. (b) Approximate G by a 2nd order system G (but same possition and for G obtain range of K for closed (oop chalorlity. (a) Find number of OLHP/ORHP) jR roots of 35+ 95+ 65+ 45+75 (b) Deduce with reason just Huwit on not-Huwitz

(without Routh-Hurwitz) & With the table for

(at least table then the table of then state of the s (c) For 35+254+353+652+55+3, find OLMP, ORHP, jk breakup uning &-method & reciproid poly nomid (d) Find OLAP, ORAP, jR breaders for (55+754+653+4252+85+56) = P(5) and for sp(s) > with & without extracting sas a factor. Centire row is zero at 0-4: For 50+5-654-52-5+6=:p(s) some stage.). split p(s) into Peven(s) + Pods(s) = Phipher + Plower (say). Perfoun successive division of Phigher by Plower to see that we get Routh-Humitz table exactly. Phigher Podal Q-5: For the polynomial (2.04+69,1+692+29,92)+5(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2)+3(9,+92+2 sketch the stable region in the 91,92 parameter space. Q-6: Can (1+s+ses)=0 ham a root in the RHP? Furtify wing proper arguments. (This is called "transendented of acises due to pure - delays) Q-7: Pure delays in the system are eliminated in the done loop voring "the Smith predictors" making Controller design easies. Consider the following configuration (proposed by O.J. Smith in 1959.)

