Academic Integrity Requirement: You must write your solution in your own words. Do not copy from external sources, classmates, or generative AI tools.

Problem 1 (10 pts)

An independent set I in an undirected graph G = (V, E) is a subset $I \subseteq V$ of vertices such that no two vertices in I are joined by an edge of E. Consider the following greedy algorithm to try to find a maximum size independent set which is based on the general idea that choosing vertices with small degree to be in I will rule out fewer other vertices:

Algorithm 1 GreedyIndependentSet

- 1: $I \leftarrow \emptyset$
- 2: while G is not empty do
- 3: Choose a vertex v of smallest degree in G
- ▶ Not counting deleted edges

- 4: $I \leftarrow I \cup \{v\}$
- 5: Delete v and all its neighbors and their connected edges from G
- 6: \triangleright None of the neighbors can be included since v is included
- 7: end while
- 8: return I

Prove that this algorithm is incorrect by counterexample. Specifically, give an example of a graph on which this algorithm does not produce a largest size independent set. Show both the independent set that the algorithm finds and a larger independent set.

Solution:

The greedy algorithm does NOT always find the biggest possible independent set. Consider this graph:

Edges: A-B, B-C, B-D, B-E

- All outer nodes (A, C, D, E) have degree 1. B has degree 4.
- Greedy would pick A first (lowest degree).
- It then removes A and B.
- We're left with C, D, and E. The final independent set is C, D, E.

But the actual largest solution is A, C, D, E. 4 nodes, and none are connected. Therefore, this greedy algorithm picked a smaller set than was possible.

Problem 2 (10 pts)

Suppose A is an array of n integers that is a strictly decreasing sequence, followed by a strictly increasing sequence such as [12, 9, 8, 6, 3, 4, 7, 9, 11]. Give an $O(\log n)$ algorithm to find the minimum element of the array. Justify your algorithm is correct.

Solution:

This is a simple binary search problem. You can find the lowest point by recursively splitting the array in half.

- 1. Start with the whole array, which can really be thought of as two concatenated presorted arrays. We're trying to find the value which is smaller than the values to its left AND right.
- 2. Look at the middle (index len(A)/2) element:
 - If it is **greater** than the element after it, the valley is to the **right**. Discard the left half.
 - If it is **smaller** than the next element, check if it is also smaller than the previous element. If so, you've found the minimum.
 - Otherwise, the valley is to the **left**. Discard the right half.
- 3. Repeat this process until you find the smallest element.

Since we halve the array at each step, the runtime is $O(\log n)$. This works because the array strictly decreases, then increases, so there is a single turning point where the minimum lies.

Problem 3 (10 pts)

Consider a graph that is a path, where the vertices are v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n , with edges between v_i and v_{i+1} . Suppose that each node v_i has an associated weight w_i . Give an algorithm that takes an n-vertex path with weights and returns an independent set of maximum total weight. The runtime of the algorithm should be polynomial in n. Justify your algorithm is correct.

Solution:

We are given a path of nodes, each with a weight, and we want to choose a set of non-adjacent nodes (an independent set) such that the total weight is maximized.

We can solve this using dynamic programming.

At each node i, we have two options:

- Skip the current node and take the best total from i-1
- Take the current node and add its weight w[i] to the best total from i-2

We define the recurrence:

$$dp[i] = \max(dp[i-1], dp[i-2] + w[i])$$

Pseudocode:

```
dp[0] = w[0]
dp[1] = max(w[0], w[1])
for i from 2 to n-1:
    dp[i] = max(dp[i-1], dp[i-2] + w[i])
```

This algorithm runs in O(n) time and always considers the optimal substructure, ensuring the total weight is maximized.