

TTK4115

# Lecture 10

Random state space systems

Morten. O. Alver (based on material by Morten D. Pedersen)

## 1. Random state space systems

## 2. Optimal estimation preview

## Deterministic state-space model

Assume that  $\mathbf{x}_0$  and  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  are *known*. Then, the state space model given below is a deterministic process

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbf{x}} &= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}, & \mathbf{x}(0) &= \mathbf{x}_0 \\ \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}\end{aligned}$$

It is in fact straightforward to compute the deterministic solution which is given by

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{\mathbf{A}t}\mathbf{x}_0 + \int_0^t e^{\mathbf{A}(t-\tau)}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}(\tau) d\tau, \quad \mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}(t)$$

## Problem

What happens if

- $\mathbf{u}(t)$  is unknown and random? (Denoted  $\mathbb{u}(t)$ )
- $\mathbf{x}_0$  is unknown and random? (Denoted  $\mathbb{x}_0$ )

Then it follows that  $\mathbf{y}(t)$  and  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  must also be random and unknown! Here denoted by the symbols  $\mathbb{y}(t)$  and  $\mathbb{x}(t)$ .

## Uncertain state-space model

The state space model given below describes a *random* process

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbf{x}} &= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}, & \mathbf{x}(0) &= \mathbf{x}_0 \\ \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}\end{aligned}$$

The *uncertain* solution follows from

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{\mathbf{A}t}\mathbf{x}_0 + \int_0^t e^{\mathbf{A}(t-\tau)}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}(\tau) d\tau, \quad \mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}(t)$$

---

Rather than attempting to find out what **will** happen, it is possible to find out what is **likely** to happen.

## What can one expect from $\mathbf{x}(t)$ , $\mathbf{x}_0$ , $\mathbf{y}(t)$ , $\mathbf{u}(t)$ ?

The expectation operator<sup>1</sup>  $E$  can be used to identify a series of important quantities at each time  $t$ .

**Mean** :  $m_{\mathbf{x}}(t) = E[\mathbf{x}(t)]$

**Variance** :  $\text{var}[\mathbf{x}(t)] = E[(\mathbf{x}(t) - m_{\mathbf{x}}(t))^2]$

**Covariance** :  $\text{cov}[\mathbf{x}_1(t), \mathbf{x}_2(t)] = E[(\mathbf{x}_1(t) - m_{\mathbf{x}_1}(t))(\mathbf{x}_2(t) - m_{\mathbf{x}_2}(t))^T]$

---

<sup>1</sup>A linear operator satisfying  $E[\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}] = E[\mathbf{x}] + \mathbf{c}$ ,  $E[\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2] = E[\mathbf{x}_1] + E[\mathbf{x}_2]$ ,  $E[a\mathbf{x}] = aE[\mathbf{x}]$ .

## Uncertain state-space model

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{\mathbf{A}t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \int_0^t e^{\mathbf{A}(t-\tau)} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}(\tau) d\tau, \quad \mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{C} \mathbf{x}(t)$$

## Mean of random process

Where to *expect*  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  is found in the following manner

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_x(t) \triangleq E[\mathbf{x}(t)] &= E \left[ e^{\mathbf{A}t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \int_0^t e^{\mathbf{A}(t-\tau)} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}(\tau) d\tau, \quad \mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{C} \mathbf{x}(t) \right] \\ &= e^{\mathbf{A}t} E[\mathbf{x}_0] + \int_0^t e^{\mathbf{A}(t-\tau)} \mathbf{B} E[\mathbf{u}(\tau)] d\tau, \quad E[\mathbf{y}(t)] = \mathbf{C} E[\mathbf{x}(t)] \end{aligned}$$

## Model for the mean

Differentiating on both sides produces a simple model for the mean

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{m}}_x(t) &= \mathbf{A} \left[ e^{\mathbf{A}t} E[\mathbf{x}_0] + \int_0^t e^{\mathbf{A}(t-\tau)} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{m}_u(\tau) d\tau \right] + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{m}_u(t) \\ &= \mathbf{A} \mathbf{m}_x(t) + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{m}_u(t), \quad \mathbf{m}_y(t) = \mathbf{C} \mathbf{m}_x(t) \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\mathbf{m}_u(t) \triangleq E[\mathbf{u}(t)]$  and  $\mathbf{m}_{x_0} \triangleq E[\mathbf{x}_0]$ , whilst  $\mathbf{m}_y(t) \triangleq E[\mathbf{y}(t)]$ .

## Uncertain state-space model

The state space model given below describes a *random* process

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbf{x}} &= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}, & \mathbf{x}(0) &= \mathbf{x}_0 \\ \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}\end{aligned}$$

## Mean of random process

Its **mean** (expected value) follows from using the expectancy operator on the preceding equation

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{x}} &= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{u}}, & \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}}(0) &= \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}_0} \\ \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{y}} &= \mathbf{C}\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}}\end{aligned}$$

This result implies that deterministic models are found in the limit  $\text{var}[\mathbf{x}] \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ .

## Covariance & variance of random process

The *variance* provides a measure of the spread of the variable in question whereas the *covariance* measures the relation between two random variables. It is customary to collect this information in a *covariance matrix*.

A vector  $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is thus equipped with the covariance matrix

$$C_{\mathbf{x}}(t) \triangleq E \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{x}_1 - m_{x_1})(\mathbf{x}_1 - m_{x_1}) & (\mathbf{x}_1 - m_{x_1})(\mathbf{x}_2 - m_{x_2}) & \cdots & (\mathbf{x}_1 - m_{x_1})(\mathbf{x}_n - m_{x_n}) \\ (\mathbf{x}_2 - m_{x_2})(\mathbf{x}_1 - m_{x_1}) & (\mathbf{x}_2 - m_{x_2})(\mathbf{x}_2 - m_{x_2}) & \cdots & (\mathbf{x}_2 - m_{x_2})(\mathbf{x}_n - m_{x_n}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (\mathbf{x}_n - m_{x_n})(\mathbf{x}_1 - m_{x_1}) & (\mathbf{x}_n - m_{x_n})(\mathbf{x}_2 - m_{x_2}) & \cdots & (\mathbf{x}_n - m_{x_n})(\mathbf{x}_n - m_{x_n}) \end{bmatrix}$$

A compact vectorial representation is given by

$$C_{\mathbf{x}}(t) = E[(\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}}(t))(\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}}(t))^T]$$

### Note

- Variances are located on the *diagonal*.
- The covariance matrix is symmetric.

## Uncertain state-space model about the *mean*

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} - \dot{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}}) + \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{u}})$$

$$\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}})$$

## Covariance & variance of random process

The *variance* provides a measure of the spread of the variable in question whereas the *covariance* measures the relation between two random variables. It is customary to collect this information in a *covariance matrix*. A compact vectorial representation is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}}(t))(\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}}(t))^{\top}]$$

## Computation

Direct differentiation yields a *covariance update equation*, viz.

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{x}} &= \mathbb{E}[(\dot{\mathbf{x}} - \dot{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}})^{\top}] + \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}})(\dot{\mathbf{x}} - \dot{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{x}})^{\top}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}}) + \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{u}}))(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}})^{\top}] + \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}}) + \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{u}}))^{\top}] \\ &= \mathbf{A}\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{A}^{\top} + \mathbf{B}\mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{u}})(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}})^{\top}] + \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{u}})^{\top}]\mathbf{B}^{\top}\end{aligned}$$

But, what is the covariance between  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{u}$ ?



## Uncertain state-space model about the *mean*

$$\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{m}_x(t) = e^{\mathbf{A}t}(\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{m}_{x_0}) + \int_0^t e^{\mathbf{A}(t-\tau)} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{u}(\tau) - \mathbf{m}_u(\tau)) d\tau$$

## Covariance computation

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{m}_x(t))(\mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{m}_u(t))^T] \mathbf{B}^T \\ &= \mathbb{E}[e^{\mathbf{A}t}(\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{m}_{x_0})(\mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{m}_u(t))^T \mathbf{B}^T] + \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t e^{\mathbf{A}(t-\tau)} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{u}(\tau) - \mathbf{m}_u(\tau)) d\tau\right)(\mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{m}_u(t))^T \mathbf{B}^T\right] \\ &= e^{\mathbf{A}t} \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{m}_{x_0})(\mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{m}_u(t))^T] \mathbf{B}^T + \int_0^t e^{\mathbf{A}(t-\tau)} \mathbf{B} \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{u}(\tau) - \mathbf{m}_u(\tau))(\mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{m}_u(t))^T] \mathbf{B}^T d\tau \end{aligned}$$

## Covariance computation

$$\begin{aligned} & E[(\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{m}_x(t))(\mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{m}_u(t))^T] \mathbf{B}^T \\ &= e^{\mathbf{A}t} E[(\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{m}_{x_0})(\mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{m}_u(t))^T] \mathbf{B}^T + \int_0^t e^{\mathbf{A}(t-\tau)} \mathbf{B} E[(\mathbf{u}(\tau) - \mathbf{m}_u(\tau))(\mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{m}_u(t))^T] \mathbf{B}^T d\tau \end{aligned}$$

## Causality

The input given in the interval  $[0, t]$  cannot affect the initial conditions at  $t = 0$  by having impacts *backwards* in time. Arguing from causality, one can assume

$$E[(\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{m}_{x_0})(\mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{m}_u(t))^T] = \mathbf{0}$$

## Autocovariance

The factor  $E[(\mathbf{u}(\tau) - \mathbf{m}_u(\tau))(\mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{m}_u(t))^T]$  from the expression above is by definition the autocovariance of the input signal  $\mathbf{u}(t)$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_u(t, \tau) \triangleq E[(\mathbf{u}(\tau) - \mathbf{m}_u(\tau))(\mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{m}_u(t))^T]$$

## White noise

White noise is a theoretical signal that is *completely uncorrelated* to itself over time. The autocovariance of white noise is:

$$\mathcal{A}_n(t, \tau) = \delta(t - \tau)q_n(\tau)$$

where  $\delta(t)$  represents Dirac's function and  $q_n(t) > 0$ .

Knowing the white noise  $\mathfrak{n}(t)$  at the instant  $t_1$  does not inform us in any way whatsoever about its value at time  $t_2$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_n(t, \tau) = E[(\mathfrak{n}(t) - m_n(t))(\mathfrak{n}(\tau) - m_n(\tau))] = 0, \quad t \neq \tau$$

At  $\tau = t$ , the autocovariance reduces to a simple *variance*. This variance is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_n(t, t) = E[(\mathfrak{n}(t) - m_n(t))^2] = \delta(0)q_n(t), \quad t = \tau$$

## Remember

White noise is a theoretical construct aimed at simplifying analysis and modeling

- No physical signal has infinite variance.

## Covariance with $\mathbf{u}$ modeled as **white noise**.

For the random input used in the present process we have:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{u}}(t, \tau) \triangleq \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{u}(\tau) - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{u}}(\tau))(\mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{u}}(t))^{\top}]$$

Assuming that  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  represents white noise permits the simplification

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{u}}(t, \tau) = \delta(t - \tau) \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}(\tau), \quad \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}} \succ \mathbf{0}$$

## Covariance computation

The particular properties of white noise permit significant simplifications to the analysis:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}}(t))(\mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{u}}(t))^{\top}] \mathbf{B}^{\top} &= \int_0^t e^{\mathbf{A}(t-\tau)} \mathbf{B} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{u}}(t, \tau) \mathbf{B}^{\top} d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t e^{\mathbf{A}(t-\tau)} \mathbf{B} \delta(t - \tau) \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}(\tau) \mathbf{B}^{\top} d\tau \end{aligned}$$

We use the half-maximum convention on Heaviside's function  $\Theta(0) = 1/2$  to arrive at:

$$\mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}}(t))(\mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{u}}(t))^{\top}] \mathbf{B}^{\top} = \int_0^{\infty} \Theta(t - \tau) e^{\mathbf{A}(t-\tau)} \mathbf{B} \delta(t - \tau) \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}(\tau) \mathbf{B}^{\top} d\tau = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}(t) \mathbf{B}^{\top}$$

## Covariance computation

We previously found the following expression for the covariance update equation:

$$\dot{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{A}^T + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{E}[(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{u}})(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}})^T] + \mathbf{E}[(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{u}})^T]\mathbf{B}^T$$

We then found that:

$$\mathbf{E}[(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{u}})^T]\mathbf{B}^T = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{B}^T$$

Since  $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}$  is symmetric, it follows that:

$$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{E}[(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{u}})(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}})^T] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{B}^T\right)^T = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{B}^T$$

## Covariance update equation

$$\dot{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{A}^T = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}(t)\mathbf{B}^T$$

It will be assumed in the following that  $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}$  is a constant matrix, although this need not be the case.

## Uncertain state-space model

It is in fact possible to say quite a lot about what to *expect* from the random process given below, even though both  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{x}_0$  are *random*.

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}, \quad \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}$$

## Results

The following quantities are assumed *known*.

**Means** :  $E[\mathbf{u}(t)] = \mathbf{m}_u(t)$  and  $E[\mathbf{x}_0] = \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ .

**Covariances** :  $E[(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{m}_u)(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{m}_u)^T] = \delta(0)\mathbf{Q}_u$  and  $E[(\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}_0})(\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}_0})^T] = \mathbf{C}_x(0)$ .

Adopting the assumption that  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  is well represented by white noise informs us what to *expect* from the uncertain model. Verify, and note **linearity**, of the following.

The **means** are given by

$$\dot{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{m}_u, \quad \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}}(0) = \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$$

$$\mathbf{m}_y = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}}$$

The **covariance matrices** follow from

$$\dot{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{A}^T + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{Q}_u\mathbf{B}^T, \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}}(0) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$$

$$\mathbf{C}_y = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{C}^T$$

Here  $\mathbf{C}_y \triangleq E[(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}_y)(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}_y)^T] = \mathbf{C}E[(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}})^T]\mathbf{C}^T = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{C}^T$ .

1. Random state space systems

2. Optimal estimation preview

## Physical model

Let a general plant model be given by a random process

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{G}\mathbf{w}, \quad \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}$$

---

The random signals giving rise to the uncertainty are

**Noise**  $\mathbf{v}$  : represented by a zero mean white Gaussian signal with autocovariance/autocorrelation  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{v}}(t, \tau) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{v}(t)\mathbf{v}(\tau)^T] = \delta(t - \tau)\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{v}}$ .

**Disturbance**  $\mathbf{w}$  : represented by a zero mean white Gaussian signal with autocovariance/autocorrelation  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{w}}(t, \tau) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{w}(\tau)\mathbf{w}(t)^T] = \delta(t - \tau)\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{w}}$

---

The noise and disturbance are assumed to be *uncorrelated* implying that

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{vw}}(t, \tau) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{v}(t)\mathbf{w}(\tau)^T] \equiv \mathbf{0}.$$

## Luenberger observer

It will be of interest to perform estimation on the random process representing the plant. Let a *Luenberger observer* be given by

$$\dot{\hat{\mathbf{x}}} = \mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{L}(t)(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{C}\hat{\mathbf{x}})$$

Note that the estimate is not deterministic since it is perturbed by the random process  $\mathbf{y}$ . We let  $\mathbf{L}(t)$  be undetermined for now.



## Dynamics of the estimation error

The random estimation error is defined by  $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ . Verify that

$$\dot{\mathbf{e}} = (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{L}(t)\mathbf{C})\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{G}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}$$

## Unbiased estimation

At  $t = 0$  the observer is initialized at the *mean* of the true state vector so that  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_0 = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_0]$ . Taking expectations, noting the unbiased noise and disturbance, shows that no mean error is committed

$$\dot{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{e}} = (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{L}(t)\mathbf{C})\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{e}}, \quad \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{e}}(0) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_0] - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_0 = \mathbf{0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{e}}(t) = \mathbf{0}$$

This result implies that the estimate is *unbiased*.

## Covariance dynamics

The covariance matrix for the estimation error is equipped with the special notation

$$\mathbf{P}(t) \triangleq \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{e}(t)\mathbf{e}(t)^T]$$

The matrix  $\mathbf{P}$  quantifies the uncertainty in the estimate; low variances (found along the diagonal) imply good estimates!

The Kalman filter gives the gain matrix  $\mathbf{K}$  that reduces the uncertainty in the estimate,  $\text{tr}(\mathbf{P})$ , at the fastest rate.