



**BANGLADESH UNIVERSITY OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY**  
**Department of Computer Science and Engineering**

**Course:** CSE204 (Data Structure and Algorithm I Sessional)

**Assignment 8**

**Assignment name:** DIVIDE AND CONQUER.

**Name:** Hasan Masum

**Student ID:** 1805052

## Complexity analysis:

For finding 2<sup>nd</sup> nearest pair in  $O(n \log n)$  complexity we presorted the given array of points and then applied divide and conquer algorithm on the array. Presorting takes  $O(n \log n)$  complexity to sort the array with respect to x. Divide and conquer has three part: base case, divide step, combine part.

If  $T(n)$  is the running time of the algorithm, then

When  $n \leq 3$ ,  $T(n) = T(\text{base case})$

And when  $n > 3$ ,  $T(n) = T(\text{divide}) + T(\text{combine})$

Now we will find complexity of remaining steps.

### **Base case:**

When  $n \leq 3$  we apply brute force to find the closest and second closest pair. We also sort the part of the array with respect to y so that we don't need to sort the array in combine step for  $n > 3$ . As the value  $n$  is very small we can say that base case takes **almost constant time** to find the closest pairs and also sort the array with respect to y. And we can ignore it.

```
// STEP-1: BASE CASE =====
// brute force takes almost constant time
if (n <= 3){
    for (int i = startIdx; i <= endIdx; i++){
        for (int j = i + 1; j <= endIdx; j++){
            findClosestFromPair(p[i], p[j]);
        }
    }

    //sort the sub array wrt y co ordinates
    sort(p + startIdx, p + endIdx + 1, Point::cmpY);

    return;
}
```

### ***Divide:***

In divide step we divide the points into two halves by a vertical line which divides the points such a way that each half side  $n/2$  elements. Each half **will take  $T(n/2)$  time** to find the closest and second closest pair. So  $T(\text{divide}) = 2 * T(n/2)$

```
//STEP-2: DIVIDE
=====
int mid = startIdx + (endIdx - startIdx) / 2;
double midX = p[mid].getX();

// complexity T(n/2)
closestPair(startIdx, mid);
// complexity T(n/2)
closestPair(mid + 1, endIdx);
```

### ***Combine:***

Combine step has 2 part. In first part we merge the two sorted subarray(sorted in recursive calls) with respect to y co-ordinate in  **$O(n)$**  time complexity.

```
//STEP-3: MERGE
=====
// merge the 2 sorted sub array wrt to y co-ordinate.
// Complexity O(n)
Point *tempAr = new Point[n];
for (int i = startIdx, j = mid + 1, k = 0; k < n; k++){
    if (i == mid + 1)
        tempAr[k] = p[j++];
    else if (j == endIdx + 1)
        tempAr[k] = p[i++];

    //else compare elements
    else if (Point::cmpY(p[i], p[j]))
        tempAr[k] = p[i++];
    else
        tempAr[k] = p[j++];
}

for (int i = 0, j = startIdx; i < n; i++, j++){
    p[j] = tempAr[i];
}

delete[] tempAr;
```

In the second part, we find closest pair with one point in each side of dividing line. If the second closest pair found in recursive calls has distance  $d$ , then we only need to consider points within  $d$  distance for the dividing line. The points are already sorted with respect to  $y$  co-ordinate in the strip which has  $2*d$  width. So we need to check the points in  $2d*d$  rectangle as points outside this rectangle has distance greater than  $d$ . And we can prove we need to check only next 7 points in the sorted list. So though we use nested loops to find the closest and second closest point in the strip, it actually has  **$O(n)$  complexity**. So  $T(\text{combine}) = O(n) + O(n)$ .

```
for(int i = startIdx; i <= endIdx; i++){
    if (abs(p[i].getX() - midX) < secondClosestDist){
        // check for the closest and 2nd closest point
        // only in rectangle of (2secondClosestDist) * (secondClosestDist)
        // and we check at most 7 points
        for (int j = i + 1;
            j <= endIdx && (p[j].getY() - p[i].getY()) <
            secondClosestDist; j++){
                findClosestFromPair(p[i], p[j]);
            }
        }
    }
}
```

So finally we can write, for divide and conquer part,

When  $n \leq 3$ ,  $T(n) = O(1)$

And when  $n > 3$ ,  $T(n) = 2*T(n/2) + O(n) + O(n)$

We can ignore the lower order term and rewrite the complexity as

$T(n) = 2*T(n/2) + O(n)$ .

Now we will master theorem to determine the complexity.

By comparing  $T(n)$  with  $T(n) = a*T(n/b) + f(n)$ ,

We have  $a = 2$ ,  $b = 2$  and  $f(n) = O(n)$

And  $n^{\log_a b} = n^{\log_2 2} = n$ . Since  $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_a b})$

So we can write according to master theorem,  $T(n) = O(n \log n)$

**So finding second closest pair has time complexity  $O(n \log n)$**