Examples of Linear Regressions (Part II)

Le Wang

Suppose that we have a budget of \$ 100,000 for advertising. How shoul I, as a manager, decide where to spend such money?

- 1. On TV?
- 2. On Radio?
- 3. On Newspapaer?
- 4. On social media? How will the impact be even measured?

We need to have a well-defined objective before we even think about spending and conducting any empirical analysis.

One important goal: Sales of a Product

We are interested in predicting the sales for certain advertising budget

▶ Q1. Is there a relationship between advertising budget and sales?

We are interested in predicting the sales for certain advertising budget

- ▶ Q1. Is there a relationship between advertising budget and sales?
- Q2. How strong is the relationship?

We are interested in predicting the sales for certain advertising budget

- ▶ Q1. Is there a relationship between advertising budget and sales?
- ▶ Q2. How strong is the relationship?
- Q3. Which media contributes to sales? TV, Radio, or Newspaper

We are interested in predicting the sales for certain advertising budget

- ▶ Q1. Is there a relationship between advertising budget and sales?
- ▶ Q2. How strong is the relationship?
- Q3. Which media contributes to sales? TV, Radio, or Newspaper
- Q4. Can we use this to predict future sales?

We are interested in predicting the sales for certain advertising budget

- ▶ Q1. Is there a relationship between advertising budget and sales?
- ▶ Q2. How strong is the relationship?
- Q3. Which media contributes to sales? TV, Radio, or Newspaper
- Q4. Can we use this to predict future sales?

Finally, we will introduce nonlinearity into our model

Q5. Is the relationship linear? (Nonlinearity)

We are interested in predicting the sales for certain advertising budget

- ▶ Q1. Is there a relationship between advertising budget and sales?
- ▶ Q2. How strong is the relationship?
- Q3. Which media contributes to sales? TV, Radio, or Newspaper
- Q4. Can we use this to predict future sales?

- ▶ Q5. Is the relationship linear? (Nonlinearity)
- ▶ Q6. Is there synergy among the advertising media? (interaction effects)



Eventually we will use these estimates to decide on the budget allocations.

Data

The Advertising data set consists of the sales of that product in 200 different markets, along with advertising budgets for the product in each of those markets for three different media: TV, radio, and newspaper.

Data

##		X	TV	Radio	Newspaper	Sales
##	1	1	230.1	37.8	69.2	22.1
##	2	2	44.5	39.3	45.1	10.4
##	3	3	17.2	45.9	69.3	9.3
##	4	4	151.5	41.3	58.5	18.5
##	5	5	180.8	10.8	58.4	12.9
##	6	6	8.7	48.9	75.0	7.2

Q1. Is there a relationship between advertising budget and sales?

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Sales ~ TV, data = mydata)
##
## Coefficients:
## (Intercept) TV
## 7.03259 0.04754
```

Answer: Yes. There exists a positive relationship. The more you spend on TV advertising the more sales.

Q1. Is there a relationship between advertising budget and sales?

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Sales ~ TV, data = mydata)
##
## Coefficients:
## (Intercept) TV
## 7.03259 0.04754
```

- ► Answer: Yes. There exists a positive relationship. The more you spend on TV advertising the more sales.
- ▶ More specifically, an additional \$1000 spent on TV advertising is associated with selling approximately 0.04754 additional units of the product.



If the evidence is weak, then one might argue that no money should be spent on advertising!

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Sales ~ TV, data = mydata)
##
## Residuals:
      Min 1Q Median 3Q
##
                                   Max
## -8.3860 -1.9545 -0.1913 2.0671 7.2124
##
## Coefficients:
##
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 7.032594 0.457843 15.36 <2e-16 ***
## TV 0.047537 0.002691 17.67 <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.3
##
## Residual standard error: 3.259 on 198 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.6119, Adjusted R-squared: 0.6099
## F-statistic: 312.1 on 1 and 198 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Q3. Which media contributes to sales? TV, Radio, or Newspaper

▶ Do all three media-TV, radio, and newspaper-contribute to sales,

Q3. Which media contributes to sales? TV, Radio, or Newspaper

- ▶ Do all three media-TV, radio, and newspaper-contribute to sales,
- Do just one or two of the media contribute?

To answer this question, we must find a way to separate out the individual (marginal) effects of each medium when we have spent money on all three media.

▶ sales = $\beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \mathsf{TV} \; \mathsf{Budget} + \beta_2 \cdot \mathsf{Radio} + \beta_3 \cdot \mathsf{Newspaper} + \epsilon$

To answer this question, we must find a way to separate out the individual (marginal) effects of each medium when we have spent money on all three media.

- sales = $\beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \mathsf{TV} \; \mathsf{Budget} + \beta_2 \cdot \mathsf{Radio} + \beta_3 \cdot \mathsf{Newspaper} + \epsilon$
- R code:

To answer this question, we must find a way to separate out the individual (marginal) effects of each medium when we have spent money on all three media.

- ▶ sales = $\beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \mathsf{TV} \; \mathsf{Budget} + \beta_2 \cdot \mathsf{Radio} + \beta_3 \cdot \mathsf{Newspaper} + \epsilon$
- R code:
- ▶ lm(Sales ~ TV + Newspaper + Radio, data=mydata)

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Sales ~ TV + Newspaper + Radio, data = myda
##
## Residuals:
##
     Min 1Q Median 3Q
                                 Max
## -8.8277 -0.8908 0.2418 1.1893 2.8292
##
## Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
## (Intercept) 2.938889 0.311908 9.422 <2e-16 ***
           ## TV
## Newspaper -0.001037 0.005871 -0.177 0.86
## Radio 0.188530 0.008611 21.893 <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.3
##
## Residual standard error: 1.686 on 196 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.8972, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8950
## F-statistic: 570.3 on 3 and 196 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Q3. Which media contributes to sales? TV, Radio, or Newspaper

Both TV and Radio contribute to sales, but not Newspaper. If anything, Newspaper budget even reduces sales.

Q4. Can we use this to predict future sales?

Warning: package 'forecast' was built under R version 3

Q4. Can we use this to predict future sales?

Suppose that according to our analysis, our company decides to spend a total of 100,000 (thousands) dollars on advertising, 80,000 (thousands) on radio, 20,000 (thousands) on TV, and 0 on newspapers.

 $forecast = 2.9388894 + 0.0457646 \cdot 20000 - 0.0010375 \cdot 0 + 0.18853 \cdot 80000$

• forecast = 16000.63

Point Forecast Lo 80 Hi 80 Lo 95 Hi 95 ## 1 16000.63 15115.57 16885.7 14643.23 17358.04

Point forecast: 16000.63

- ## Point Forecast Lo 80 Hi 80 Lo 95 Hi 95 ## 1 16000.63 15115.57 16885.7 14643.23 17358.04
- Point forecast: 16000.63
- Interval forecast at 80 percent confidence level: [15115.57, 16885.7]

Point Forecast Lo 80 Hi 80 Lo 95 Hi 95 ## 1 16000.63 15115.57 16885.7 14643.23 17358.04

Point forecast: 16000.63
 Interval forecast at 80 percent confidence level: [15115.57, 16885.7]

Interval forecast at 95 percent confidence level: [14643.23, 17358.04]

```
Marketing Example: Is there any synergy effect?
   ##
   ## Call:
   ## lm(formula = Sales ~ TV + Newspaper + Radio + Radio * T
   ##
   ## Residuals:
   ##
         Min 1Q Median 3Q
                                       Max
   ## -6.2929 -0.3983 0.1811 0.5957 1.5009
   ##
   ## Coefficients:
                  Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
   ##
   ## (Intercept) 6.728e+00 2.533e-01 26.561 < 2e-16 ***
   ## TV
             1.907e-02 1.509e-03 12.633 < 2e-16 ***
   ## Newspaper 1.444e-03 3.295e-03 0.438 0.66169
```

Radio 2.799e-02 9.141e-03 3.062 0.00251 ** ## TV:Radio 1.087e-03 5.256e-05 20.686 < 2e-16 *** ## ---

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.3

##

Marketing Example: Is there any synergy effect?

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Sales} &= 6.728412 + 0.019067 \cdot \mathsf{TV} + 0.001444 \cdot \mathsf{Newspaper} \\ &\quad + 0.027992 \cdot \mathsf{Radio} + 0.001087 \cdot \mathsf{TV} \cdot \mathsf{Radio} + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Sales} &= 6.728412 + 0.019067 \cdot \mathsf{TV} + 0.001444 \cdot \mathsf{Newspaper} \\ &\quad + 0.027992 \cdot \mathsf{Radio} + 0.001087 \cdot \mathsf{TV} \cdot \mathsf{Radio} + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Scenario 1: TV = 100,000Sales = 6.728412 + 0.019067 * 100000 = 1913.428

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Sales} &= 6.728412 + 0.019067 \cdot \mathsf{TV} + 0.001444 \cdot \mathsf{Newspaper} \\ &\quad + 0.027992 \cdot \mathsf{Radio} + 0.001087 \cdot \mathsf{TV} \cdot \mathsf{Radio} + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

- Scenario 1: TV = 100,000 Sales = 6.728412 + 0.019067 * 100000 = 1913.428
- Scenario 2: Radio = 100,000Sales = 6.728412 + 0.027992 * 100000 = 2805.928

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Sales} &= 6.728412 + 0.019067 \cdot \mathsf{TV} + 0.001444 \cdot \mathsf{Newspaper} \\ &\quad + 0.027992 \cdot \mathsf{Radio} + 0.001087 \cdot \mathsf{TV} \cdot \mathsf{Radio} + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

- Scenario 1: TV = 100,000 Sales = 6.728412 + 0.019067 * 100000 = 1913.428
- ► Scenario 2: Radio = 100,000 Sales = 6.728412 + 0.027992 * 100000 = 2805.928
- ► Scenario 3: TV = 20,000 and Radio = 80,000

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Sales} &= 6.728412 + 0.019067 \cdot \mathsf{TV} + 0.001444 \cdot \mathsf{Newspaper} \\ &\quad + 0.027992 \cdot \mathsf{Radio} + 0.001087 \cdot \mathsf{TV} \cdot \mathsf{Radio} + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

- Scenario 1: TV = 100,000Sales = 6.728412 + 0.019067 * 100000 = 1913.428
- Scenario 2: Radio = 100,000Sales = 6.728412 + 0.027992 * 100000 = 2805.928
- ► Scenario 3: TV = 20,000 and Radio = 80,000

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sales} &= 6.728412 + 0.019067 * 20000 + 0.027992 * 80000 \\ &+ 0.001087 * 80000 * 20000 = 1,741,827 \end{aligned}$$

Turning back to my final goal: How to allocate my budget? In the absence of synergy effects: What should you do? In the presence of synergy effects: What should you do?

Optimal Weight

$$(w \cdot A) \cdot \beta_{TV} + (1 - w) \cdot A \cdot \beta_{Radio} + w \cdot A \cdot (1 - w) \cdot A\beta_{TVRadio}$$

We would like to choose w to maximize the impact on sales

Optimal Weight

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial w} [(w \cdot A) \cdot \beta_{TV} + (1 - w) \cdot A \cdot \beta_{Radio} + w \cdot A \cdot (1 - w) \cdot A\beta_{TVRadio}]$$

$$= A \cdot \beta_{TV} - A \cdot \beta_{Radio} + A^2 \beta_{TVRadio} - 2w \cdot A^2 \cdot \beta_{TVRadio}$$

$$w = \frac{A \cdot \beta_{TV} - A \cdot \beta_{Radio} + A^2 \beta_{TVRadio}}{2w \cdot A^2 \cdot \beta_{TVRadio}}$$

$$= \frac{100 * 0.0190668 - 100 * 0.0279917 + 100^2 * 0.0010873}{2 * 100^2 0.0010873}$$

$$= 0.4589599$$

Let's try different combinations:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sales} &= 6.7284119 + 0.4*100*0.0190668 + 0*100*0.0014442 \\ &+ 0.6*100*0.0279917 + 0.4*0.6*100^2*0.0010873 \\ &= 11.7801842 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sales} &= 6.7284119 + 0.6*100*0.0190668 + 0*100*0.0014442 \\ &+ 0.4*100*0.0279917 + 0.4*0.6*100^2*0.0010873 \\ &= 11.6016873 \end{aligned}$$

```
\begin{aligned} \text{Sales} &= 6.7284119 + 0.4589599*100*0.0190668 + 0*100*0.0014442 \\ &+ 0.5410401*100*0.0279917 + 0.2483157*100^2*0.0010873 \\ &= 11.8179829 \end{aligned}
```