

References

- [See84] R.A.G. Seely. “Locally cartesian closed categories and type theory”. In: *Mathematical proceedings of the Cambridge philosophical society*. Vol. 95. 1. Cambridge University Press. 1984, pp. 33–48.
- [Str91] T. Streicher. “Semantics of type theory: correctness, completeness, and independence results”. In: (1991).
- [Hof94] M. Hofmann. “On the interpretation of type theory in locally cartesian closed categories”. In: *International Workshop on Computer Science Logic*. Springer. 1994, pp. 427–441.
- [Hof97] M. Hofmann. “Syntax and semantics of dependent types”. In: *Extensional Constructs in Intensional Type Theory*. Springer, 1997, pp. 13–54.
- [HS98] M. Hofmann and T. Streicher. “The groupoid interpretation of type theory”. In: *Twenty-five years of constructive type theory (Venice, 1995)* 36 (1998), pp. 83–111.
- [HS99] M. Hofmann and T. Streicher. “Lifting grothendieck universes”. In: *Unpublished note* 199 (1999), p. 3.
- [KL12] C. Kapulkin and P. Lumsdaine. “The simplicial model of univalent foundations (after Voevodsky)”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1211.2851* (2012).
- [LW15] P. Lumsdaine and M. Warren. “The local universes model: an overlooked coherence construction for dependent type theories”. In: *ACM Transactions on Computational Logic (TOCL)* 16.3 (2015), p. 23.
- [Shu15] M. Shulman. “Univalence for inverse diagrams and homotopy canonicity”. In: *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science* 25.5 (2015), pp. 1203–1277.
- [Awo18] S. Awodey. “Natural models of homotopy type theory”. In: *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science* 28.2 (2018), pp. 241–286.
- [Nor19] P. North. “Type-theoretic weak factorization systems”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.00259* (2019).