Answer to Multidimensional Real Analysis - Duistermaat: Exercise Solutions

Hoang Vo Ke

Exercise 0.1. For purposes of integration it is useful to parametrize points of the circle $\{(\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha) | \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$ by means of rational functions of a variable in \mathbb{R} .

(i) Verify for all $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi) \setminus \{0\}$ we have $\frac{\sin \alpha}{1 + \cos \alpha} = \frac{1 - \cos \alpha}{\sin \alpha}$. Deduce that both quotients equal a number $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Next prove

$$\cos \alpha = \frac{1 - t^2}{1 + t^2}, \ \sin \alpha = \frac{2t}{1 + t^2}.$$

Now use the identity $\tan \alpha = \frac{2\tan\frac{\alpha}{2}}{1-\tan^2\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ to conclude $t=\tan\frac{\alpha}{2}$, that is $\alpha=2\arctan t$.

Proof. We have $\sin^2 \alpha + \cos^2 \alpha = 1$, thus $\sin^2 \alpha = 1 - \cos^2 \alpha = (1 + \cos \alpha)(1 - \cos \alpha)$. Since $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi)$, $1 + \cos \alpha$ and $\sin \alpha$ is nonzero. Thus

$$\frac{\sin\alpha}{1+\cos\alpha} = \frac{1-\cos\alpha}{\sin\alpha} = t.$$

Because $\frac{\sin \alpha}{1+\cos \alpha} = t$, hence $\sin \alpha = t + t \cos \alpha$ (1). Similarly, we have $1 - \cos \alpha = t \sin \alpha$ (2). Multiply both sides of (1) to t, we get

$$1 - \cos \alpha = t \sin \alpha = t^2 + t^2 \cos \alpha.$$

Thus

$$\cos \alpha = \frac{1 - t^2}{t^2 + 1}.$$

Replace this to (2), we easily get

$$\sin \alpha = \frac{2t}{1+t^2}.$$

Thus $\tan \alpha = \frac{\sin \alpha}{\cos \alpha} = \frac{2t}{1-t^2}$. Moreover, we can easily check that $f(x) = \frac{2x}{1-x^2}$ is strictly increase, thus f is one-to-one. We have

$$f(t) = \tan \alpha = \frac{2 \tan \frac{\alpha}{2}}{1 - \tan^2 \frac{\alpha}{2}} = f(\tan \frac{\alpha}{2},$$

thus $t = \frac{\alpha}{2}$

(ii) Show for $0 \le \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ that $t = \tan \alpha$, thus $\alpha = \arctan t$, implies

$$\cos^2 \alpha = \frac{1}{1+t^2}, \ \sin^2 \alpha = \frac{t^2}{1+t^2}$$

Proof. We have

$$t^2 = \frac{\cos^2 \alpha}{\sin^2 \alpha} = \frac{1 - \sin^\alpha}{\sin^2 \alpha},$$

thus

$$t^2 \sin^2 \alpha = 1 - \sin^2 \alpha.$$

And with some simple calculations, we get

$$\sin^2 \alpha = \frac{1}{t^2 + 1},$$

thus, by $t = \frac{\sin \alpha}{\cos \alpha}$, we also get

$$\cos^2 \alpha = \frac{t^2}{t^2 + 1}.$$

Exercise 0.2.

(i) Suppose $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable and satisfies the functional equation f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) for all x and $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Prove that f(x) = f(1)x for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Take the derivative both sides respect to x, we get f'(x+y) = f'(x). Let x = 0, we get f'(y) = f'(0). Thus f(x) = f'(0)x + c. Moreover, let x = y = 0, we get f(0) = 2f(0), thus c = f(0) = 0. Thus f(x) = f'(0)x. Moreover, let x = y = 1, we have $2 \cdot f'(0) = f(2) = 2f(1)$. Thus f(x) = f(1)x.

(ii) Suppose $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is differentiable and satisfies $g(\frac{x+y}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}(g(x) + g(y))$ for all x and $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Show that g(x) = g(1)x + g(0)(1-x) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let x = a + b and y = 0, we get

$$g(\frac{a+b}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}(g(a+b) + g(0)).$$

Let x = a and y = b, we get

$$g(\frac{a+b}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}(g(a) + g(b)).$$

Thus

$$g(a+b) + g(0) = g(a) + g(b)$$

$$g(a+b) - g(0) = g(a) - g(0) + g(b) - b(0)$$

Let h(x) = g(x) - g(0), we get h(x + y) = h(x) + h(y). Notice that if g is differentiable then so is h. Thus by (i), h(x) = h(1)x. Thus g(x) - g(0) = (g(1) - g(0))x, or g(x) = g(1)x + g(0)(1 - x). (Why g has to be $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$, can it just be $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$?)

(iii) Suppose $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is differentiable and satisfies g(x+y) = g(x)g(y) for all x and $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Show that $g(x) = g(1)^x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $f(x) = \log_{g(1)}(g(x))$, then

$$f(x + y) = \log_{g(1)}(g(x + y))$$

$$= \log_{g(1)}(g(x)g(y))$$

$$= \log_{g(1)}(g(x)) + \log_{g(1)}(g(y))$$

$$= f(x) + f(y)$$

Since f is differentiable, by (i), we get f(x) = f(1)x. Thus $\log_{g(1)} g(x) = \log_{g(1)} g(1)x = x$. Thus $g(x) = g(1)^x$.

(iv) Suppose $h: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is differentiable and satisfies h(xy) = h(x)h(y) for all x and $y \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Verify that $h(x) = x^{\log h(e)} = x^{h'(1)}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Proof. Let $g(y) = h(e^y)$, we get

$$g(\log(x))g(\log(y)) = h(x)h(y)$$

$$= h(xy)$$

$$= h(e^{\log(x)}e^{\log(y)})$$

$$= h(e^{\log(x) + \log(y)})$$

$$= g(\log(x) + \log(y)).$$

Notice that $\log(x)$ is isomorphism to \mathbb{R} , we have $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and g(a)g(b) = g(a+b). Thus, by (iii), we have $g(x) = g(1)^x$ or $h(e^x) = h(e)^x$. Now let $z = e^x$, then $h(z) = h(e)^x = e^{\log(h(e))x} = z^{\log h(e)}$. Thus $h'(1) = \log(h(e))1^{\log h(e)} = \log(h(e))$. Thus $h(x) = x^{h'(1)}$.

(v) Suppose $k : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable and satisfies k(xy) = k(x) + k(y) for all x and $y \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Show that $k(x) = k(e) \log x = \log_b x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where $b = e^{k(e)^{-1}}$.

Proof. Let $g(x) = e^{k(x)}$, we have

$$g(xy) = e^{k(xy)}$$

$$= e^{k(x)+k(y)}$$

$$= e^{k(x)}e^{k(y)}$$

$$= g(x)g(y)$$

Thus, by (iv), $g(x) = x^{\log g(e)}$, thus $e^{k(x)} = x^{\log(e^{k(e)})} = x^{k(e)} = e^{k(e)\log(x)}$. Thus $k(x) = k(e)\log(x)$. Now, we can easily check that $k(x) = \log_b x$ where $b = e^{k(e)^{-1}}$.

(vi) Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be Riemann integrable over every closed interval in \mathbb{R} and suppose f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) for all x and $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Verify that the conclusion of (i) still holds.

Proof. We have

$$\int_{0}^{y} f(x+t)dt = \int_{0}^{y} f(x)dt + \int_{0}^{y} f(t)dt.$$

Thus

$$\int_0^y f(x+t)dt = yf(x) + \int_0^y f(t)dt.$$

With that in mind, we have

$$\int_{0}^{x+y} f(t)dt = \int_{0}^{x} f(t)dt + \int_{x}^{x+y} f(t)dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{x} f(t)dt + \int_{0}^{y} f(x+t)dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{x} f(t)dt + \int_{0}^{y} f(t)dt + yf(x).$$

Thus

$$yf(x) = \int_0^{x+y} f(t)dt - \int_0^x f(t)dt - \int_0^y f(t)dt.$$

Notice that in the right side of the equation, x and y are equivalent. Thus

$$yf(x) = xf(y).$$

Thus

$$\frac{f(x)}{x} = \frac{f(y)}{y} = c$$

for all $x, y \neq 0$. Thus f(x) = cx for $x \neq 0$. By f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y), we get f(0) = 0 and f(2) = 2f(1), thus f(x) = f(1)x.

(vii) Suppose $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \cap \{\pm \infty\}$ is differentiable where real-valued and satisfies

$$f(x+y) = \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{1 - f(x)f(y)} \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R})$$

where well-defined. Check that $f(x) = \tan(f'(0)x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $f'(0)x \notin \frac{\pi}{2} + \pi \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let x = y = 0, we get

$$f(0) = \frac{2f(0)}{1 - f(0)^2}.$$

Hence

$$f(0)\left(1 - \frac{2}{1 - f(0)^2}\right) = 0$$
$$f(0)\frac{f(0)^2 - 1}{1 - f(0)^2} = 0$$
$$-f(0) = 0$$
$$f(0) = 0.$$

Now, take the derivative respect to x from both sides, we get

$$f'(x+y) = \frac{f'(x)(1-f(x)f(y)) + f'(x)f(y)(f(x)+f(y))}{(1-f(x)f(y))^2}$$

$$= \frac{f'(x) - f'(x)f(x)f(y) + f'(x)f(y)f(x) + f(y)^2f'(x)}{(1-f(x)f(y))^2}$$

$$= \frac{f'(x) + f'(x)f(y)^2}{(1-f(x)f(y))^2}.$$

Let x = 0, we get

$$f'(y) = f'(0) + f'(0)f(y)^{2}$$
$$f'(0) = \frac{f'(y)}{1 + f(y)^{2}}.$$

And let $g(x) = \tan^{-1}(f(x))$, then $g(0) = \tan^{-1}(0) = 0$. Remind that $\tan^{-1}(x)' = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$, we have

$$g'(x) = f'(x) \tan^{-1}(f(x))'$$

$$= \frac{f'(x)}{1 + f^{2}(x)}$$

$$= f'(0).$$

Thus $tan^{-1}(f(x)) = g(x) = f'(0)x + c$. However, 0 = g(0) = c. Thus g(x) = f'(0)x, thus $f(x) = \tan(f'(0)x)$.

(viii) Suppose $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable and satisfies $|f(x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$f(x+y) = f(x)\sqrt{1-f(y)^2} + f(y)\sqrt{1-f(x)^2}$$
 $(x, y \in \mathbb{R}).$

Prove that $f(x) = \sin(f'(0)x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let x = y = 0, we have

$$f(0) = 2f(0)\sqrt{1 - f(0)^2}.$$

Thus

$$f(0)(1-2\sqrt{1-f(0)^2}=0.$$

With some calculation, f(0) can be either 0 or $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$.

If $f(0) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$, then let y = 0, we get

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}f(x) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\sqrt{1 - f(x)^2}$$
$$\frac{1}{2}f(x) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\sqrt{1 - f(x)^2}$$
$$f(x)^2 = 3 - 3f(x)^2$$
$$f(x) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}.$$

Well, this is weird cause $f(x) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ seems to work, but f'(0) = 0 thus $\sin(f'(0)x) = 0 \neq f(x)$.

Now, if f(0) = 0, take the derivative respect to x from both sides, we get

$$f'(x+y) = f'(x)\sqrt{1 - f(y)^2} + \frac{-1}{2}f(y)\frac{2f(x)f'(x)}{\sqrt{1 - f(x)^2}}$$
$$= f'(x)\sqrt{1 - f(y)^2} - f(y)\frac{f(x)f'(x)}{\sqrt{1 - f(x)^2}}.$$

Let x = 0, we get

$$f'(y) = f'(0)\sqrt{1 - f(y)^2}.$$

Thus

$$f''(y) = (f'(0)\sqrt{1 - f(y)^2})'$$

$$= f'(0)\frac{-f'(y)f(y)}{\sqrt{1 - f(y)^2}}$$

$$= f'(0)\frac{-f'(0)\sqrt{1 - f(y)^2}}{\sqrt{1 - f(y)^2}}$$

$$= -f(0)^2 f(y).$$

This is a linear differential equation with the auxiliary polynomial

$$t^2 + f'(0)^2 = 0.$$

Thus t = f'(0)i or t = -f'(0)i, which gives the set $\{e^{f'(0)ix}, e^{-f'(0)ix}\}$ be the basis for the zero space. So f(x) has the form

$$ae^{f'(0)ix} + be^{-f'(0)ix}$$

$$= a(\cos(f'(0)x) + i\sin(f'(0)x)) + b(\cos(-f'(0)x) + i\sin(-f'(0)x))$$

$$= (a+b)\cos(f'(0)x) + (a-b)i\sin(-f'(0)x).$$

But f(0) = 0, thus a + b = 0. So $f(x) = t\sin(f'(0)x)$ for some complex number t. Plug this to the original equation $f(x + y) = f(x)\sqrt{1 - f(y)^2} + f(y)\sqrt{1 - f(x)}$, we get $t^2 = t$. Thus t = 0 or t = 1. But in either case, $f(x) = \sin(f'(0)x)$.

Exercise 0.11. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed and define $f:(-\infty,1)$ by $f(x)=(1-x)^{-\alpha}$.

(i) For
$$k \in N_0$$
 show $f^{(k)}(x) = (\alpha)_k (1-x)^{-\alpha-k}$.

$$(\alpha)_0 = 1; \ (\alpha)_k = \alpha(\alpha+1)\cdots(\alpha+k-1) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N})$$

Proof. The proof is by mathematical induction. For k=0, the result becomes $f(x)=(1-x)^{-\alpha}$ which is obvious. Assume that this result holds for k, that is $f^{(k)}(x)=(\alpha)_k(1-x)^{-\alpha-k}$, we will prove that it is also holds for k+1. Indeed, we have

$$f^{(k+1)}(x) = \frac{d}{dx} f^{(k)}(x)$$

$$= \frac{d}{dx} (\alpha)_k (1-x)^{-\alpha-k}$$

$$= (\alpha+k) \cdot (\alpha)_k (1-x)^{-\alpha-k-1}$$

$$= (\alpha)_{k+1} (1-x)^{-\alpha-(k+1)}.$$

So by mathematical induction, the result is proved.

(ii) Using the ratio test show that the series F(x) has radius of convergence equal to 1.

$$F(x) = \sum_{k \in N_0} \frac{(\alpha)_k}{k!} x^k$$

Proof. Let $a_n = \frac{(\alpha)_k x^k}{k!}$, we have

$$\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{(\alpha)_{k+1} x^{k+1} k!}{(k+1)! (\alpha)_k x^k} = \frac{(\alpha+k)}{k+1} x.$$

Notice that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{(\alpha+k)}{k+1}x = x$, F(x) converges if |x| < 1 and diverges if |x| > 1. Thus F(x) has radius of convergence equal to 1.

(iii) For |x| < 1, prove by termwise differentiation that $(1-x)F'(x) = \alpha F(x)$ and deduce that f(x) = F(x) from

$$\frac{d}{dx}((1-x)^{\alpha}F(x)) = 0.$$

Proof. First, we will need to prove $(1-x)F'(x) = \alpha F(x)$. Indeed, we have

$$F'(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{(\alpha)_0 x^0}{0!} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_k}{k!} x^k \right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_k}{(k-1)!} x^{k-1} \quad (1)$$

$$= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{(\alpha)_{k+1}}{k!} x^k \quad \text{(just replace } k-1 \text{ by } k \text{ so the equation look nicer)}.$$

Moreover, using (1), we have

$$xF'(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_k}{(k-1)!} x^k.$$

With the above calculations, subtracting termwise F'(x) and xF'(x),

we have

$$(1-x)F'(x) = F'(x) - xF'(x)$$

$$= (\alpha)_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{k+1} - k(\alpha)_k}{k!} x^k$$

$$= \alpha + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_k (a+k-k)}{k!} x^k$$

$$= \alpha \frac{(\alpha)_0 x^0}{0!} + \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_k}{k!} x^k$$

$$= \alpha \sum_{k \in N_0} \frac{(\alpha)_k}{k!} x^k$$

$$= \alpha F(x).$$

Now comes the magic calculation. We have

$$\frac{d}{dx}((1-x)^{\alpha}F(x)) = (1-x)^{\alpha}F'(x) - \alpha(1-x)^{\alpha-1}F(x)$$
$$= (1-x)^{\alpha-1}[(1-x)F'(x) - \alpha F(x)]$$
$$= 0,$$

hence $(1-x)^{\alpha}F(x)=c$ where c is a constant in \mathbb{R} . Notice that $(1-x)^{\alpha}=f(x)^{-1}$, we have $\frac{F(x)}{f(x)}=c$. Because $f(0)=(1-0)^{-\alpha}=1$ and $F(0)=\sum_{k\in N_0}\frac{(\alpha)_k}{k!}0^k=\frac{(\alpha)_0}{0!}0^0=1$, we have c=1, which implies f(x)=F(x) when |x|<1.

P/S: What a surprise. Isn't this a clever trick that was discovered by trying many things? I mean, it is a nightmare to check the reminder of the Taylor series, and MacLaurin series shouldn't be an exception.

(iv) Conclude from (iii) that

$$(1-x)^{-(n+1)} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} {n+k \choose n} x^k \quad (|x| < 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

Show that this identity also follows by n-fold differentiation of the geometric series $(1-x)^{-1} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} x^k$.

Proof. From (iii), let $\alpha = n + 1$, we have

$$f(x) = (1 - x)^{-\alpha} = (1 - x)^{-(n+1)}$$

and

$$F(x) = \sum_{k \in N_0} \frac{(n+1)_k}{k!} x^k = \sum_{k \in N_0} \frac{(n+k)!}{n!k!} x^k = \sum_{k \in N_0} \binom{n+k}{n} x^k.$$

Therefore,

$$(1-x)^{-(n+1)} = \sum_{k \in N_0} {n+k \choose n} x^k \quad (|x| < 1, n \in N_0).$$

We can also prove this result using differentiation and mathematical induction. We know that by the geometric series, if |x| < 1, we have

$$(1-x)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k.$$

Thus the result holds for n = 1. Assume that the result holds for n, that is

$$(1-x)^{-(n+1)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+k}{n} x^k.$$

That the derivative both sides, we get

$$(n+1)(1-x)^{-(n+2)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \cdot \binom{n+k}{n} x^{k-1}.$$

Because when k=0, $k\binom{n+k}{n}x^{k-1}=0$ so we can start counting from 1. Thus the expression above equals

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1) \cdot \binom{n+k+1}{n} x^k.$$

The calculation above implies

$$(1-x)^{-(n+2)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k+1}{n+1} \cdot \binom{n+k+1}{n} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+k+1}{n+1} x^k.$$

So by mathematical induction, we get the result.

(v) For |x| < 1, prove

$$(1+x)^{\alpha} = \sum_{k \in N_0} {\alpha \choose k} x^k,$$

where

$$\binom{\alpha}{k} = \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-k+1)}{k!}.$$

In particular, show for |x| < 1

$$(1 - 4x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{k \in N_0} {2k \choose k} x^k$$

For |x| < |y| deduce the following identity, which generalizes Newton's Binomial Theorem:

$$(x+y)^{\alpha} = \sum_{k \in N_0} {\alpha \choose k} x^k y^{\alpha-k}.$$

Proof. First notice that

$$(-\alpha)_k = (-\alpha)(-\alpha+1)\cdots(-\alpha+k-1) = (-1)^k(\alpha)(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-k+1).$$

Therefore

$$\frac{(-\alpha)_k}{k!}(-x)^k = \frac{(-1)^k \alpha(\alpha - 1) \cdots (\alpha - k + 1)}{k!} \cdot (-1)^k x^k$$
$$= \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1) \cdots (\alpha - k + 1)}{k!} x^k$$
$$= \binom{\alpha}{k} x^k.$$

Using (iii) with $-\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $-x \in (-1,1)$, we get

$$(1+x)^{\alpha} = \sum_{k \in N_0} \frac{(-\alpha)_k}{k!} x^k = \sum_{k \in N_0} {\alpha \choose k} x^k.$$

Now for the second part, first we have a little result, that is

$$2 \cdot 6 \cdots (4k-2) = (k+1)(k+2) \cdots (2k).$$

The proof is by mathematical induction. For k = 1, the result becomes 2 = 2 which is obvious. Assume that the result holds for k, that is

$$2 \cdot 6 \cdot \cdot \cdot (4k-2) = (k+1)(k+2) \cdot \cdot \cdot (2k)$$

then

$$2 \cdot 6 \cdots (4k-2)(4k+2) = (k+1)(k+2) \cdots (2k)(4k+2)$$
$$= 2(k+1)(k+2) \cdots (2k)(2k+1)$$
$$= (k+2) \cdots (2k+2).$$

So by mathematical induction, we have the result. (This result feels so random. Is is a famous result or there is an obvious way to see it?)

Now using the MacLaurin series, we get

$$(1 - 4x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{k \in N_0} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k}{k!} (4x)^k$$

$$= \sum_{k \in N_0} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \frac{2k-1}{2}}{k!} 4^k (x)^k$$

$$= \sum_{k \in N_0} \frac{2 \cdot 6 \cdot \cdot \cdot (4k-2)}{k!} (x)^k$$

$$= \sum_{k \in N_0} \frac{(k+1) \cdot \cdot \cdot (2k)}{k!} (x)^k$$

$$= \sum_{k \in N_0} \left(\frac{2k}{k}\right) x^k.$$

Now, |x| < |y|, we have $|\frac{x}{y}| < 1$. Therefore, using the first result in (v), we have

$$(x+y)^{\alpha} = y^{\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{x}{y}\right)^{\alpha}$$
$$= y^{\alpha} \sum_{k \in N_0} {\alpha \choose k} \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^k$$
$$= \sum_{k \in N_0} {\alpha \choose k} x^k y^{\alpha - k}.$$

Exercise 0.12. Define $f: \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x) = \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi x)} - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(x-k)^2}.$$

(i) Check that f is well-defined. Verify that the series converges uniformly on bounded and closed subsets of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, and conclude that $f: \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Prove, by Taylor expansion of the function \sin , that f can be continued to a function, also denoted by f, that is continuous at 0. Conclude that $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ thus defined is a continuous periodic function, and that consequently f is bounded on \mathbb{R} .

Proof. For $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\sin(\pi x) \neq 0$ and $x - k \neq 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $\frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi x)}$ and $\frac{1}{(x-k)^2}$ is well defined. Now, if $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(x-k)^2} = \frac{1}{x^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x-k)^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+k)^2}.$$

So it's sufficient to check that two summations on the right are well defined. Let u = x - k in the following expression, we get

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x-k)^2} \, \mathrm{d}k = -\int_{x-1}^{-\infty} \frac{1}{u^2} \, \mathrm{d}u = \frac{1}{u} \Big|_{x-1}^{-\infty} = -\frac{1}{x-1}.$$

Because $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, the integral always converge. By the integral test, we have $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x-k)^2}$ converges. Similarly, we get $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+k)^2}$ converge. Thus $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(x-k)^2}$ well-defined.

Let I be a bounded and closed subset of $\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Z}$, then there exists $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that x + m > 0 and x - n < 0 for all $x \in I$. Hence

$$\left| \frac{1}{(x+m')^2} \right| \le \frac{1}{\lceil x+m' \rceil^2}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{1}{(x - n')^2} \right| \le \frac{1}{\lfloor x - n' \rfloor^2}.$$

for any m' > m and n' > n. Since

$$\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lceil x+i \rceil^2} \text{ and } \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lfloor x-i \rfloor^2}$$

are convergent, by the Weierstrass M-test, we have

$$\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+i)^2} \text{ and } \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x-n)^2}$$

are uniformly convergent. So

$$f(x) = \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi x)} - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(x-k)^2}$$

is uniformly convergent on bounded and closed subsets of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. Now we will prove that f can be continued to a function continuous at 0 by showing $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x)$ exists. We know that $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}} \frac{1}{(x-k)^2}$ is uniformly convergent, thus

$$\lim_{x \to 0} f(x) = \lim_{x \to 0} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{(x-k)^2} + \lim_{x \to 0} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi x)} - \frac{1}{x^2} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{(k)^2} + \lim_{x \to 0} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi x)} - \frac{1}{x^2} \right).$$

Since the summation is convergent, it's sufficient to prove that the limit exists. Indeed, applying L'hopital rule four times we get

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi x)} - \frac{1}{x^2} \right) = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\pi^2 x^2 - \sin^2(\pi x)}{x^2 \sin^2(\pi x)} = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{g(x)}{h(x)} = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{g^{(4)}(x)}{h^{(4)}(x)}$$

where g(x) and h(x) are the numerator and the denominator of the previous fraction. After some messy calculation (please tell me if you want me to include the computation,) we get $g^{(4)} = 8\pi^4 \cos(2\pi x)$ and $h^{(4)}(x) = 12\pi^2 x \cos(2\pi x) + (6\pi - 4\pi^3 x^2) \sin(2\pi x)$. Thus $\lim_{x\to 0} \lim_{x\to 0} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi x)} - \frac{1}{x^2}\right) = \frac{g^{(4)}(0)}{h^{(4)}(0)} = \frac{1}{3}\pi^2$. So $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x)$ exists, which implies f can be continued to a function that is continuous at 0. Also notice that

$$f(x+1) = \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi x + \pi)} - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(x - k + 1)^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{(-\sin(\pi x))^2} - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(x - k)^2} = f(x).$$

Thus f is periodic. Because f is a continuous periodic function, f is bounded on \mathbb{R} .

(ii) Show that

$$f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) = 4f(x) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Use this, and the boundedness of f, to prove that f = 0 on \mathbb{R} , that is, for $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ and $x - \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, respectively,

$$\frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi x)} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(x-k)^2},$$
$$\pi^2 \tan^{(1)}(\pi x) = \frac{\pi^2}{\cos^2(\pi x)} = 2^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(2x-2k-1)^2}.$$

Proof. We have

$$f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi \cdot \frac{x}{2})} - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(\frac{x}{2} - k)^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}x)} - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{4}{(x - 2k)^2}$$

and

$$f\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) = \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}(x+1))} - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(\frac{x+1}{2}-k)^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}(x+1))} - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{4}{(x-2k+1)^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) &= \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}x)} + \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}(x+1))} - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{4}{(x-2k)^2} - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{4}{(x-2k+1)^2} \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}x)} + \frac{\pi^2}{\cos^2(\frac{\pi}{2}x)} - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{4}{(x-k)^2} \\ &= \frac{\pi^2(\sin^2(\frac{x}{2}) + \cos^2(\frac{x}{2})}{\sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}x)\cos^2(\frac{\pi}{2}x)} - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{4}{(x-k)^2} \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{(\frac{\sin^2(\pi x)}{2})^2} - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{4}{(x-k)^2} \\ &= \frac{4\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi x)} - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{4}{(x-k)^2} \\ &= 4f(x). \end{split}$$

Because f is bounded on [0,1], there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|f(a)| = \max\{|f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Then, by the formula we just proved, we have

$$\begin{split} 4|f(a)| &= |f(\frac{a}{2}) + f(\frac{a+1}{2})| \leq |f(\frac{x}{2})| + |f(\frac{a+1}{2})| \leq |f(a)| + |f(a)| = 2|f(a)|. \\ \text{Thus, } |f(a)| &= 0, \text{ which implies } f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}. \text{ That is } \end{split}$$

$$\frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi x)} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(x-k)^2}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. (I think all we did before is to prove this equation, but how do they know this in the first place?). And for the last equation, we have

$$\pi^{2} \tan^{(1)}(\pi x) = \frac{\pi^{2}}{\cos^{2}(\pi x)}$$

$$= \frac{\pi^{2}}{\sin^{2}(\pi x - \frac{\pi}{2})}$$

$$= \frac{\pi^{2}}{\sin^{2}(\pi (x - \frac{1}{2}))}$$

$$= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(x - k - \frac{1}{2})^{2}}$$

$$= 4 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(2x - 2k - 1)^{2}}.$$

(iii) Prove $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ by setting x = 0 is the equality in (ii) for $\pi^2 \tan^{(1)}(\pi x)$ and using

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{(2k-1)^2} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{k^2} - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{(2k)^2} = \frac{3}{4} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{k^2}.$$

Proof. Because all four summation in the equation above are convergent, that equation is correct. Setting x=0 is the equality in (ii), we get

$$\pi^{2} = 4 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^{2}}$$

$$= 4 \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^{2}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(-2k+1)^{2}} \right]$$

$$= 8 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k-1)^{2}}$$

$$= 6 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{k^{2}} \text{ (by the equation in (iii))}.$$

So we got the mind blowing equation $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$.

(iv) Prove by (2n-2)-fold differentiation

$$\pi^{2n} \frac{\tan^{(2n-1)}(\pi x)}{(2n-1)!} = 2^{2n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(2x-2k-1)^{2n}} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, x - \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}).$$

In particular, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\pi^2 \frac{\tan^{(2n-1)}(0)}{(2n-1)!} = 2^{2n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(2k-1)^{2n}} = 2^{2n+1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{(2k-1)^{2n}}$$
$$= 2^{2n+1} (1 - 2^{-2n}) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{k^{2n}} = 2(2^{2n} - 1)\zeta(2n).$$

Here we have defined $\zeta(2n) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{k^{2n}}$. Conclude that $\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ and $\zeta(4) = \frac{\pi^4}{90}$.

Lemma 1. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 2$, we have

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(2x - 2k - 1)^n}$$

is uniformly convergent.

Proof. Indeed, when |k| is large enough, we get $\left|\frac{1}{2x-2k-1}\right| < 1$. Because $n \geq 2$, we have $\left|\frac{1}{(2x-2k-1)^n}\right| \leq \left|\frac{1}{(2n-2k-1)^2}\right| = \frac{1}{(2n-2k-1)^2}$. And since $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(2n-2k-1)^2}$ is uniformly convergent, by the Weierstrass M-test, we have $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(2x-2k-1)^n}$ is uniformly convergent.

Proof. The proof is by mathematical induction. For n = 1, we get the equation in (ii). Assume that the equation holds for n, that is

$$\pi^{2n} \frac{\tan^{(2n-1)}(\pi x)}{(2n-1)!} = 2^{2n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(2x-2k-1)^{2n}}.$$

Take termwise derivative of the right side, we get:

$$2^{2n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{1}{(2x - 2k - 1)^{2n}} \right)' = 2^{2n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{-2n(2x - 2k - 1)^{2n - 1} \cdot 2}{(2x - 2k - 1)^{4n}}$$
$$= 2^{2n + 1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{-2n}{(2x - 2k - 1)^{2n + 1}}$$
$$= 2^{2n + 1} \cdot (-2n) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(2x - 2k - 1)^{2n + 1}}.$$

As we can see, every term of the summation is defferentiable and by Lemma 1, their sum is uniformly convergent. Moreover, also by Lemma 1, we have $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{1}{(-2k-1)^{2n}}$ is convergent. Thus

$$\pi^{(2n+1)} \frac{\tan^{(2n)}(\pi x)}{(2n-1)!} = 2^{2n+1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{-2n}{(2x-2k-1)^{2n+1}}.$$

Similarly, take derivative both sides again, we get

$$\pi^{2n+2} \cdot \frac{\tan^{(2n+1)}(\pi x)}{(2n-1)!} = 2^{2n+1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{2n(2n+1)(2x-2k-1)^{2n} \cdot 2}{(2x-2k-1)^{4n+2}}$$
$$= 2^{2n+2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(2n+1)(2n)}{(2x-2k-1)^{2n+2}}.$$

Thus,

$$\pi^{2(n+1)} \cdot \frac{\tan^{(2n+1)}(\pi x)}{(2n+1)!} = 2^{2n+1} \cdot \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(2x-2k-1)^{2n+2}},$$

which means the equation also holds for n + 1. Therefore, by mathematical induction, we get

$$\pi^{2n} \frac{\tan^{(2n-1)}(\pi x)}{(2n-1)!} = 2^{2n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(2x-2k-1)^{2n}}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let x = 0, follow the instruction, we get

$$\pi^{2n} \cdot \frac{\tan^{(2n-1)}(0)}{(2n-1)!} = 2(2^{2n} - 1)\zeta(2n).$$

Now let n=1, we get $\pi^2 \frac{\tan'(0)}{1} = 6\zeta(2)$. Thus $\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$. Let n=2, we get the second result, that is $\zeta(4) = \frac{\pi^4}{90}$.

(v) Now deduce that

$$\tan(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{2(2^{2n} - 1)\zeta(2n)}{\pi^{2n}} x^{2n-1} \quad \left(|x| < \frac{\pi}{2}\right).$$

The values of the $\zeta(2n) \in \mathbb{R}$, for n > 1, can be obtained from that of $\zeta(2)$ as follows.

Proof. In part (iv), we have proved that

$$\pi^{(2n+1)} \frac{\tan^{(2n)}(\pi x)}{(2n)!} = 2^{2n+1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{-1}{(2x - 2k - 1)^{2n+1}}.$$

Let x = 0, the equation becomes

$$\pi^{(2n+1)} \frac{\tan^{(2n)}(0)}{(2n)!} = 2^{2n+1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{-1}{(-2k-1)^{2n+1}}$$

$$= 2^{2n+1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{-1}{(-2k-1)^{2n+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(-1+2k)^{2n+1}} \right)$$

$$= 2^{2n+1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{-1}{(2k-1)^{2n+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k-1)^{2n+1}} \right)$$

$$= 0.$$

Thus $tan^{(2n)}(0) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also by part (iv), we have

$$\pi^{2n} \cdot \frac{\tan^{(2n-1)}(0)}{(2n-1)!} = 2(2^{2n}-1)\zeta(2n).$$

Thus

$$\frac{\tan^{(2n-1)}(0)}{(2n-1)!} = \frac{2(2^{2n}-1)\zeta(2n)}{\pi^{2n}}.$$

Applying the MacLaurin series for tan(x), we get

$$\tan(x) = \tan^{(0)}(0) + \frac{\tan^{(1)}(0)}{1!}x + \frac{\tan^{(2)}(0)}{2!}x^2 + \cdots$$
$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{2(2^{2n} - 1)\zeta(2n)}{\pi^{2n}}x^{2n-1} \quad \left(|x| < \frac{\pi}{2}\right).$$

(vi) (optional) Define $g: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(k,l) = \frac{1}{kl^3} + \frac{1}{2k^2l^2} + \frac{1}{k^3l}.$$

Verify that

$$g(k,l) - g(k+l,l) - g(k,k+l) = \frac{1}{k^2 l^2}$$
 (*),

and that summation over all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ gives

$$\zeta(2)^{2} = \left(\sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{N}} - \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{N}, k > l} - \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{N}, l > k}\right) g(k,l) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} g(k,k) = \frac{5}{2}\zeta(4).$$

Conclude that $\zeta(4) = \frac{\pi^4}{90}$. Similarly introduce, for $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$,

$$g(k,l) = \frac{1}{kl^{2n-1}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{2 \le i \le 2n-2} \frac{1}{k^{i}l^{2n-i}} + \frac{1}{k^{2n-1}l} \quad (k,l \in \mathbb{N}).$$

In this case the left-hand side of (*) takes the form $\sum_{2 \leq i \leq 2n-2, i \text{ even } \frac{1}{k^i l^{2n-i}}$, hence

$$\zeta(2n) = \frac{2}{2n+1} \sum_{1 \le i \le n-1} \zeta(2i)\zeta(2n-2i) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}).$$

See Exercise 0.21.(iv) for a different proof.