

---

# Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations - Haim Brezis: Exercise Solutions

Hoang Vo Ke

---

### 3 Weak Topologies. Reflexive Spaces. Separable Spaces. Uniform Convexity

#### Exercise 3.1

Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $A \subset E$  be a subset that is compact in the weak topology  $\sigma(E, E^*)$ . Prove that  $A$  is bounded.

*Proof.* For any  $f \in E^*$ , because  $A$  is compact and  $f$  is continuous, we get  $f(A)$  compact in  $\mathbb{R}$ . So  $f(A)$  is bounded for all  $f \in E^*$ . Applying Corollary 2.4, we get  $A$  is bounded.  $\square$

#### Exercise 3.3

Let  $E$  be a Banach space. Let  $A \subset E$  be a convex subset. Prove that the closure of  $A$  in the strong topology and that in the weak topology  $\sigma(E, E^*)$  are the same.

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{A}$  be the closure of  $A$  in the strong topology, and  $A'$  is the closure of  $A$  in the weak topology. Because  $A'$  is also closed in the strong topology and  $A \subset A'$ , we get  $A' \subset \bar{A}$ .

Conversely, it is not hard to see that a closure of a convex subset is convex, thus  $\bar{A}$  is convex. Thus  $\bar{A}$  is closed in the weak topology. So  $\bar{A} \subset A'$ . So  $\bar{A} = A'$ .  $\square$

#### Exercise 3.8

Let  $E$  be an infinite-dimensional Banach space. Our purpose is to show that  $E$  equipped with the weak topology is not metrizable. Suppose, by contradiction, that there is a metric  $d(x, y)$  on  $E$  that induces on  $E$  the same topology as  $\sigma(E, E^*)$ .

1. For every integer  $k \geq 1$  let  $V_k$  denote a neighborhood of 0 in the topology  $\sigma(E, E^*)$ , such that

$$V_k \subset \left\{ x \in E; d(x, 0) < \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

Prove that there exists a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $E^*$  such that every  $g \in E^*$  is a finite linear combination of the  $f_n$ 's.

2. Deduce that  $E^*$  is finite-dimensional.
3. Conclude.
4. Prove by a similar method that  $E^*$  equipped with the weak\* topology  $\sigma(E^*, E)$  is not metrizable.

*Proof.* Assume that  $d(x, y)$  generates the same topology as  $\sigma(E, E^*)$ . Because  $\{x \in E; d(x, 0) < 1/k\}$  is open with respect to the metric  $d$ , so there exist  $f_1^{(k)}, \dots, f_{t_k}^{(k)}$  such that

$$V_k := \{x \in E : |\langle f_i^{(k)}, x \rangle| < \varepsilon, 1 \leq i \leq t_k\} \subset \{x \in E; d(x, 0) < 1/k\}.$$

Let  $g_n$  be the sequence of  $f_i^{(j)}$ , we will show that for any  $g \in E^*$ , we can write  $g$  is a linear combination of finitely many  $g_i$ 's. Let

$$V = \{x \in E : |\langle g, x \rangle| < 1\},$$

then  $V$  is open in  $\sigma(E^*, E)$ . Therefore, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$V_m \subset \{x \in E : d(x, 0) < 1/m\} \subset V.$$

For any  $u \in E$ , if  $\langle f_i^{(m)}, u \rangle = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t_m$ , then  $u \in V_m \subset V$ . So  $|\langle g, u \rangle| < 1$ , which implies  $\langle g, u \rangle = 0$ . So Lemma 3.2 yields that  $g$  is a finite linear combination of  $f_i^{(m)}$ .

Let  $E_n \subset E^*$  be the space that is generated by  $g_1, \dots, g_n$ . Then our previous argument implies that

$$\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} E_n = E^*.$$

Because  $E_n$  are finite dimensional, they are closed. By Baire's Theorem, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $E_{n_0}$  has nonempty interior. Thus  $E_{n_0} = E^*$  and that  $E^*$  is finite dimensional. Let  $g_i = \langle x, z_i \rangle$  be the Reisz representations for  $1 \leq i \leq n_0$ . We will show that  $z_1, \dots, z_{n_0}$  generates  $E$ . Indeed, for any  $u \in E$ , we have

$$\langle x, u \rangle = \sum \lambda_i \langle x, z_i \rangle = \left\langle x, \sum \lambda_i z_i \right\rangle.$$

But this is true for all  $x$ , we get  $u = \sum \lambda_i z_i$ . So  $\dim(E) \leq n_0$ , which gives a contradiction.  $\square$

### Exercise 3.17

1. Let  $(x^n)$  be a sequence in  $\ell^p$  with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Assuming  $x^n \rightharpoonup x$  in  $\sigma(\ell^p, \ell^{p'})$  prove that:
  - (a)  $(x^n)$  is bounded in  $\ell^p$ ,
  - (b)  $x_i^n \rightarrow x_i$  for every  $i$ , where  $x^n = (x_1^n, \dots, x_i^n, \dots)$  and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots)$ .
2. Conversely, suppose  $(x^n)$  is a sequence in  $\ell^p$  with  $1 < p \leq \infty$ . Assume that (a) and (b) hold (for some limit denoted by  $x_i$ ). Prove that  $x \in \ell^p$  and that  $x^n \rightharpoonup x$  in  $\sigma(\ell^p, \ell^{p'})$ .

*Proof.* 1. For any  $f \in \ell^{p'}$ , the weak convergence implies that  $\langle f, x^n \rangle$  converges as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $\{|\langle f, x^n \rangle| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is bounded. So by the Uniform bounded principle, there exists a  $c > 0$  such that

$$\langle f, x^n \rangle < c \|f\|_{p'}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that  $(x_n)$  is bounded in  $\ell^p$  by  $c$ . Let  $\pi_1: \ell^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  maps  $(x_1, \dots) \mapsto x_1$  be the projection of the first entry. Clearly  $\pi_1$  is linear and bounded by 1. The weak convergence of  $(x^n)$  implies that  $\pi_1(x^n) \rightarrow \pi_1(x)$ , or  $x_1^n \rightarrow x_1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Similarly, we get  $x_i^n \rightarrow x_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . (So in  $\ell^p$ , weak convergence implies strong convergence!)

2. Conversely, assume that there exist  $x^n$  and  $x$  that satisfy (a) and (b). If  $p = \infty$ , then (a) implies that  $\|x^n\|$  bounded by  $M$ , which means  $|x_i^n| \leq M$  for all  $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So (b) implies that

$$|x_i| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_i^n| \leq M.$$

Thus

$$\|x\|_\infty = \sup\{|x_i| : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \leq M,$$

or equivalently  $x \in \ell^\infty$ . Now let  $f$  be any function in  $\ell^{\infty'}$ , we will show that  $\langle f, x^n \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, x \rangle$  using DCT.

Let  $X = \mathbb{N}$  and  $B_X$  be the set of all subsets of  $N$ . Define a measure  $\mu$  on  $B_X$  such that  $\mu(n) = \langle f, e_n \rangle$ . This is indeed a measure by Exercise 3.D [Bartle]. Consider any  $a \in \ell^\infty$  as a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , we get

$$\int a \, d\mu = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i \langle f, e_i \rangle = \left\langle f, \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i \cdot e_i \right\rangle = \langle f, a \rangle.$$

Because  $x^n$  and  $x$  are in  $\ell^\infty$ , and  $f$  is a functional on  $\ell^\infty$ ,  $\langle f, x^n \rangle$  and  $\langle f, x \rangle$  are finite. Hence,  $x^n$  and  $x$  are measurable. Condition (b) implies that  $x^n \rightarrow x$  pointwise, and condition (a) says that  $x^n$  is dominated by some constant sequence, which is integrable because any constant sequence is in  $\ell^\infty$ . By Lebesgue DCT, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f, x^n \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int x^n \, d\mu = \int x \, d\mu = \langle f, x \rangle.$$

So  $x^n \rightharpoonup x$  in  $\sigma(\ell^\infty, \ell^{\infty'})$ .

If  $p < \infty$ , then  $\ell^p$  is reflexive. Since  $(x_n)$  is bounded, by Theorem 3.18, there is a subsequence  $(x^{n_k})$  that converges in the weak topology  $\sigma(\ell^p, \ell^{p'})$  to some  $x' \in \ell^p$ . By part 1,  $x^{n_k}$  converges pointwise to  $x'$ , thus  $x' = x$ . So  $x \in \ell^p$ .

Now we will show that  $x^n \rightharpoonup x$  by contradiction. Assume the opposite, then there is  $g \in \ell^{p'}$  such that  $\langle g, x^n \rangle \not\rightarrow \langle g, x \rangle$ . That is, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for all  $N > 0$ , there is some  $n_k > N$  such that

$$|\langle g, x^{n_k} \rangle - \langle g, x \rangle| > \varepsilon.$$

So we can extract a subsequence  $x^n$  (no relabelling lol) such that

$$|\langle g, x^n \rangle - \langle g, x \rangle| > \varepsilon$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . But this new sequence  $x^n$  is also bounded by (a), thus we can extract a (sub)subsequence  $x^{n_k}$  that converges to  $x$  in weak topology  $\sigma(\ell^p, \ell^{p'})$ . But this means

$$|\langle g, x^{n_k} \rangle - \langle g, x \rangle| \rightarrow 0$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , contradiction. So  $x^n \rightharpoonup x$  in  $\sigma(\ell^p, \ell^{p'})$ . □

**Exercise 3.18**

For every integer  $n \geq 1$  let

$$e^n = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots).$$

1. Prove that  $e^n \rightharpoonup 0$  in  $\ell^p$  weakly in  $\sigma(\ell^p, \ell^{p'})$  with  $1 < p \leq \infty$ .
2. Prove that there is no subsequence  $(e^{n_k})$  that converges in  $\ell^1$  with respect to  $\sigma(\ell^1, \ell^\infty)$ .
3. Construct an example of a Banach space  $E$  and a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $E^*$  such that  $\|f_n\| = 1$  for all  $n$  and such that  $(f_n)$  has no subsequence that converges in  $\sigma(E^*, E)$ . Is there a contradiction with the compactness of  $B_{E^*}$  in the topology  $\sigma(E^*, E)$ ?

*Proof.* 1. Because  $e_i^n \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\|e_i^n\|_p = 1$ , thus bounded, using part 2 of Exercise 3.17, we conclude that  $e^n \rightharpoonup 0$  in  $\sigma(\ell^p, \ell^{p'})$  for all  $1 < p \leq \infty$ .

2. Let  $f: \ell^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  maps  $(e_i) \mapsto \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} e_i$ . It is not hard to see that  $f$  is a linear functional, which is bounded by 1. For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $\langle f, e^n \rangle = 1$ . But  $\langle f, 0 \rangle = 0$ , thus there is no subsequence of  $e^n$  that converges to 0 in the weak topology  $\sigma(\ell^1, \ell^\infty)$ .

3. Let  $E = \ell^\infty$ , and  $f_n: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f_n(x) = \langle e^n, x \rangle.$$

It is not hard to see that  $f_n(x)$  is a linear functional and

$$\|f_n\| = \|\langle e^n, x \rangle\| = \|e_n\| = 1.$$

Assume that  $(f_n)$  has a convergent subsequence, say  $(f_{n_k})$ , that converges weak\*, then for any  $x \in E$ , we would have

$$\langle e^{n_k}, x \rangle = f_{n_k}(x) \rightarrow f(x) = \langle e, x \rangle$$

for some  $e \in E^*$ . Because  $e^m \in \ell^\infty$ , for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\langle e^{n_k}, e^m \rangle \rightarrow \langle e, e^m \rangle,$$

which shows that  $\langle e, e^m \rangle = 0$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  (because we can let  $n_k$  be sufficiently large and the left hand side become 0). So for any  $e = 0$ . Notice that for  $a = (1, 1, \dots) \in \ell^\infty$ , we have

$$\langle e^{n_k}, a \rangle = 1 \not\rightarrow 0 = \langle e, a \rangle.$$

So  $e^{n_k}$  does not converge to  $e$  in the weak\* topology.

This is not a contradiction because compactness does not necessarily imply sequential compactness. □

**Exercise 3.20**

Let  $E$  be a Banach space.

1. Prove that there exist a compact topological space  $K$  and an isometry from  $E$  into  $C(K)$  equipped with its usual norm.
2. Assuming that  $E$  is separable, prove that there exists an isometry from  $E$  into  $\ell^\infty$ .

*Proof.* 1. Let  $K = B_{E^*}$  with the weak\* topology  $\sigma(E^*, E)$ . By Theorem 3.16,  $K$  is compact. Let  $J: E \rightarrow C(K) = C(B_{E^*})$  that maps  $x \mapsto \langle Jx, f \rangle = \langle f, x \rangle$ . (Clearly  $\langle f, x \rangle$  is a linear continuous function from  $K$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .) Therefore, we have

$$\|\langle f, x \rangle\| = \sup\{\langle f, x \rangle : f \in B_{E^*}\} = \|x\|,$$

which means that  $J$  is an isometry.

2. Using part 1, there is an isometry  $\varphi$  from  $E$  to  $B_{E^*}$ . We will construct an isometry  $\psi$  from  $B_{E^*}$  to  $\ell^\infty$ , then  $\psi \circ \varphi$  is an isometry from  $E$  to  $\ell^\infty$ . Assume that  $E$  is separable, then  $B_E = \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$  is also separable. Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$  be a countable dense subset of  $B_E$ . Let  $\psi$  be the map from  $B_{E^*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty$  that maps

$$f \mapsto (\langle f, e_i \rangle)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$$

It is not hard to see that this map is linear, and  $\langle f, e_i \rangle \leq \|f\|$ , thus  $\text{Im}(\psi) \subset \ell^\infty$ . Moreover,

$$\|f\| = \sup\{\langle f, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1\} = \sup\{\langle f, e_i \rangle : i \in \mathbb{N}\} = \|\psi\|_\infty.$$

The second equality is because  $\{e_i\}$  dense in  $B_E$ . So  $\psi$  is an isometry, which complete our proof. □

**Exercise 3.25**

Let  $K$  be a compact metric space that is not finite. Prove that  $C(K)$  is not reflexive.

*Proof.* Because  $K$  is compact, any function  $f \in C(K)$  is bounded. So  $\|f\| < \infty$ , which shows that  $C(K)$  is indeed a norm vector space.

Because  $K$  is a compact metric space,  $K$  is sequential compact. Since  $K$  has infinitely many elements, let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence with no duplicate. This sequence has a subsequence that converge to some  $a \in K$ . Since  $a$  appears at most once in this sequence  $(a_n)$ , there is a subsequence that doesn't have  $a$ . Without relabeling, we constructed a subsequence  $a_n \rightarrow a$  such that  $a_n \neq a$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now assume that  $C(K)$  is reflexive. Let  $E = \{u \in C(K) : u(a) = 0\}$ , we will show that  $E$  is a closed linear subspace of  $C(K)$ . Clearly  $E$  is a linear subspace. For any  $u_n \in E$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u$ , then  $0 = u_n(a) \rightarrow u(a)$ , which implies that  $u \in E$ . So  $E$  is a closed linear subspace of a reflexive space, by Proposition 3.20,  $E$  is reflexive.

Let us define  $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} u(a_n).$$

Notice that because  $u$  is continuous and  $a_n \rightarrow a$ , we get  $u(a_n) \rightarrow u(a) = 0$ . Using Exercise 1.4, we get  $f$  being a continuous linear functional on  $E$  where  $\|f\| = 1$ . And there is no  $u \in E$  such that  $\|u\| = 1$  and  $f(u) = \|f\|$ .

But  $E$  is reflexive, we can use Hahn-Banach Theorem to construct  $\varphi \in E^{**}$  such that  $\varphi(f) = \|f\|$  and  $\|\varphi\| = \|f\| = 1$ . But  $E$  is reflexive, thus there exists  $u \in E$  such that  $f(u) = \varphi(f) = \|f\|$  and  $\|u\| = \|\varphi\| = \|f\| = 1$ . This contradicts to what we get out of Exercise 1.4. So our assumption is false, that is,  $C(K)$  is not reflexive.  $\square$

## 4 $L^p$ Spaces

**Exercise 4.2**

Assume  $|\Omega| < \infty$  and let  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ . Prove that  $L^q(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega)$  with continuous injection. More percisely, show that

$$\|f\|_p \leq |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_q \quad \forall f \in L^q(\Omega).$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in L^q$ . Because  $\frac{p}{q} + (1 - \frac{p}{q}) = 1$ , applying the Holder's inequality, we get

$$\int |f|^p \leq \left( \int (|f|^p)^{\frac{q}{p}} \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \left( \int 1 \right)^{1 - \frac{p}{q}} = \left( \int |f|^q \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} |\Omega|^{1 - \frac{p}{q}}.$$

Take both sides to the  $\frac{1}{p}$  power, we get

$$\|f\|_p \leq |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_q.$$

So  $L^q(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 4.3

1. Let  $f, g \in L^p(\Omega)$  with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Prove that

$$h(x) = \max\{f(x), g(x)\} \in L^p(\Omega).$$

2. Let  $(f_n)$  and  $(g_n)$  be two sequences in  $L^p(\Omega)$  with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$  and  $g_n \rightarrow g$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ . Set  $h_n = \max\{f_n, g_n\}$  and prove that  $h_n \rightarrow h$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ .
3. Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence in  $L^p(\Omega)$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and let  $(g_n)$  be a bounded sequence in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Assume  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$  and  $g_n \rightarrow g$  a.e. Prove that  $f_n g_n \rightarrow fg$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* 1. Because  $f, g \in L^p$ , we get  $|f|, |g| \in L^p$ . Thus  $|f| + |g| \in L^p$ . Since  $h(x) = \max\{f(x), g(x)\}$  is smaller than  $|f| + |g|$ , we get

$$\int |h(x)|^p d\mu \leq \int (|f| + |g|)^p d\mu < \infty.$$

So  $h \in L^p$ .

2. Because  $f_n, g_n, f, g \in L^p$ , part 1 implies that  $h_n, h \in L^p$ . We will prove that  $\|h_n - h\|_p \rightarrow 0$  using contradiction. Assume that this is not the case, then there is some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a subsequence  $h_n$  (no relabelling) such that  $\|h_n - h\|_p > \varepsilon$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . But  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p$ , so we can construct a subsubsequence such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  almost everywhere (and no relabelling again) where  $f_n$  is dominated by a function  $\varphi_1 \in L^p$ . This construction is possible by Theorem 4.9. With this new subsubsequence, because  $g_n \rightarrow g$  in  $L^p$ , we can construct a subsubsubsequence  $g_n \rightarrow g$  almost everywhere (and no relabelling for clean purpose lol), such that  $g_n(x)$  is dominated by a function  $\varphi_2 \in L^p$ .

So what we get in the end are  $f_n \rightarrow f$  and  $g_n \rightarrow g$  both a.e. and in  $L^p$ . And  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in L^p$  that dominate  $f_n$  and  $g_n$  respectively. These implies that  $h_n = \max\{f_n, g_n\} \rightarrow h = \max\{f, g\}$  almost everywhere. Indeed, the subset of  $\Omega$  consists of  $x$  such that  $f_n(x) \not\rightarrow f(x)$  or  $g_n(x) \not\rightarrow g(x)$  is a union of two measure 0 subsets, thus has measure 0. For other  $x$ , we have  $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  and  $g_n(x) \rightarrow g(x)$ . One can easily check that  $\max\{f_n(x), g_n(x)\} \rightarrow \max\{f(x), g(x)\}$  in this case.

However, this means  $h_n \rightarrow h$  almost everywhere and  $h_n$  being dominated by  $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \in L^p$ , which by Lebesgue DCT, yields  $h_n \rightarrow h$  in  $L^p$ . This contradicts to our assumption at the beginning that  $\|h_n - h\|_p > \varepsilon$  for all  $n$ .

3. For any  $g_n \in L^\infty$ , we have

$$\int |f_n g_n|^p d\mu \leq \|g_n\|_\infty^p \int |f_n|^p d\mu < \infty.$$

So  $f_n g_n \in L^p$  and so is  $fg$ . With the same technique as part 2, we only need to show that there is subsequence of  $f_n g_n$  that converge to  $fg$ . Ideed, let  $f_n, g_n$  be a



subsequences that converge almost everywhere to  $f$  and  $g$ , that is dominated by  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  respectively. Then clearly  $f_n g_n \rightarrow fg$  almost everywhere and  $f_n g_n$  is dominated by  $\psi_1 \psi_2 \in L^p$ . So Lebesgue DCT implies that  $f_n g_n \rightarrow fg$  in  $L^p$ , which complete our proof.  $\square$

#### Exercise 4.4

1. Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k$  be  $k$  functions such that  $f_i \in L^{p_i}(\Omega)$  for all  $i$  with  $1 \leq p_i \leq \infty$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{p_i} \leq 1$ . Set

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^k f_i(x).$$

Prove that  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$  with  $\frac{1}{p} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{p_i}$  and that

$$\|f\|_p \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \|f_i\|_{p_i}.$$

2. Deduce that if  $f \in L^p(\Omega) \cap L^q(\Omega)$  with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ , then  $f \in L^r(\Omega)$  for every  $r$  between  $p$  and  $q$ . More precisely, write

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{\alpha}{p} + \frac{1-\alpha}{q} \quad \text{with } \alpha \in [0, 1]$$

and prove that

$$\|f\|_r \leq \|f\|_p^\alpha \|f\|_q^{1-\alpha}.$$

*Proof.* 1. The proof is by mathematical induction on  $k$ . When  $k = 2$ , then the statement becomes

$$\|f\|_p \leq \|f_1\|_{p_1} \|f_2\|_{p_2}.$$

Notice that with  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$ , we get  $\frac{p}{p_1} + \frac{p}{p_2} = 1$ . Applying the Holder's inequality, we get

$$\int |f|^p \leq \left( \int (|f_1|^p)^{\frac{p_1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \left( \int (|f_2|^p)^{\frac{p_2}{p}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p_2}}.$$

Therefore,  $\|f\|_p \leq \|f_1\|_{p_1} \|f_2\|_{p_2}$ . Assume that the statement holds for  $k-1$  numbers. With the same reasoning,

$$\left( \frac{p}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{p}{p_{k-1}} \right) + \frac{p}{p_k} = 1.$$

So the Holder's inequality implies that

$$\|f\|_p \leq \|f_1 \cdots f_{k-1}\|_{1/(\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_{k-1}})} \|f_k\|_{p_k} \leq \|f_1\|_{p_1} \cdots \|f_k\|_{p_k}$$

where the last inequality is by our induction hypothesis. This completes our proof.

2. Because  $r$  is between  $p$  and  $q$ ,  $\frac{1}{r}$  is between  $\frac{1}{p}$  and  $\frac{1}{q}$ . So there is an  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{\alpha}{p} + \frac{1-\alpha}{q}.$$

Let  $p_1 = \frac{p}{\alpha}, p_2 = \frac{q}{1-\alpha}$  and  $f = f^\alpha \cdot f^{1-\alpha}$ , then part 1 implies that

$$\|f\|_r \leq \|f^\alpha\|_{p/\alpha} \|f^{1-\alpha}\|_{q/(1-\alpha)} = \|f\|_p^\alpha \|f\|_q^{1-\alpha}.$$

□

#### Exercise 4.6

Assume  $|\Omega| < \infty$ .

1. Let  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Prove that  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_p = \|f\|_\infty$ .
2. Let  $f \in \bigcap_{1 \leq p < \infty} L^p(\Omega)$  and assume that there is a constant  $C$  such that

$$\|f\|_p \leq C \quad \forall 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

Prove that  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

3. Construct an example of a function  $f \in \bigcap$

#### Exercise 4.14

Assume  $|\Omega| < \infty$ . Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence of measurable functions such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  a.e. (with  $|f| < \infty$  a.e.).

1. Let  $\alpha > 0$  be fixed. Prove that

$$\text{meas}[|f_n - f| > \alpha] \rightarrow 0.$$

2. More precisely, let

$$S_n(\alpha) = \bigcup_{k \geq n} [|f_k - f| > \alpha].$$

Prove that  $|S_n(\alpha)| \rightarrow 0$ .

3. Prove that

$$\begin{cases} \forall \delta > 0 \quad \exists A \subset \Omega \text{ measurable such that} \\ |A| < \delta \text{ and } f_n \rightarrow f \text{ uniformly on } \Omega \setminus A. \end{cases}$$

4. Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence in  $L^p(\Omega)$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Assume that

- (i)  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\int_A |f_n|^p < \varepsilon \quad \forall n$  and  $\forall A \subset \Omega$  measurable with  $|A| < \delta$ .

(ii)  $f_n \rightarrow f$  a.e.

Prove that  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$  and that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* 1. Let

$$S_n(\alpha) = \bigcup_{k \geq n} [|f_k - f| > \alpha],$$

then  $S_n$  is a decreasing sequence. Notice that if  $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n(\alpha)$ , then  $f_n(x) \not\rightarrow f(x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , therefore  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n(\alpha)$  has measure 0. Since  $\Omega$  has measure 0, we get  $\mu(S_n(\alpha)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since

$$\text{meas}[|f_n - f| > \alpha] \leq S_n(\alpha),$$

we got the conclusion.

2. Like part 1.

3. For any  $\delta > 0$ , part 2 suggests that  $|S_n(\frac{1}{m})| \rightarrow 0$ , so there is an  $n_m > 0$  such that  $|S_n(\frac{1}{m})| < \frac{\delta}{2^m}$  for all  $n \geq n_m$ . Let

$$A = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} S_{n_m}\left(\frac{1}{m}\right).$$

Clearly  $|A| \leq \delta$ . We will show that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $\Omega \setminus A$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $m' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n_{m'}} < \varepsilon$ . So for any  $n > n_{m'}$ , because

$$A^c \subset S_{n_{m'}}\left(\frac{1}{n_{m'}}\right)^c = \left(\bigcup_{k \geq n_{m'}} \left[|f_k - f| > \frac{1}{n_{m'}}\right]\right)^c = \bigcap_{k \geq n_{m'}} \left[|f_k - f| \leq \frac{1}{n_{m'}}\right].$$

Therefore,  $|f_k(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{n_{m'}} < \varepsilon$  for all  $k \geq n_{m'}$ , which means  $f_n$  is uniformly convergent on  $A^c$ .

4. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we apply (i) to get  $\delta$ . With this  $\delta$ , we use part 3 to construct a subset  $A$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $\Omega \setminus A$ . So for any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\Omega} |f_m - f_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \left(\int_A |f_m - f_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus A} |f_m - f_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_A |f_m|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_A |f_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus A} |f_m - f_n|^p d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that when  $m$  and  $n$  are sufficiently large, the first two terms are sufficiently small by (i) and the last term is sufficiently small by part 3. So  $f_n$  is Cauchy in  $L^p$ , which means there is a subsequence  $f_{n_k}$  that converge a.e. to  $f$ . This implies that  $f \in L^p$  and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p$ .

□

**Exercise 4.16**

Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence in  $L^p(\Omega)$  such that

(i)  $f_n$  is bounded in  $L^p(\Omega)$ .

(ii)  $f_n \rightarrow f$  a.e. on  $\Omega$ .

1. Prove that  $f_n \rightharpoonup f$  weakly  $\sigma(L^p, L^{p'})$ .

2. Same conclusion if assumption (ii) is replaced by

$$(ii') \quad \|f_n - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

3. Assume now (i), (ii), and  $|\Omega| < \infty$ . Prove that  $\|f_n - f\|_q \rightarrow 0$  for every  $q$  with  $1 \leq q < p$ .

*Proof.* 1. Because  $f_n$  is bounded in  $L^p(\Omega)$ , applying the Fatou's Lemma, we get

$$\int |f|^p d\mu \leq \liminf \int |f_n|^p d\mu < \infty.$$

So  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ . Because  $L^p$  is reflexive and  $f_n$  is bounded in  $L^p$ , Theorem 3.18 implies that there is a subsequence  $f_{n_k} \rightharpoonup \tilde{f}$  in  $\sigma(L^p, L^{p'})$ . But  $f_n \rightarrow f$  almost everywhere, we get  $f_n \rightharpoonup f$  in  $\sigma(L^p, L^{p'})$ .

2. Because  $\|f_n - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ , there is a subsequence  $f_n \rightarrow f$  almost everywhere (without relabelling). So part 1 implies that  $f_n \rightharpoonup f$  in  $\sigma(L^p, L^{p'})$ . Notice that this means any subsequence of  $f_n$  has a subsubsequence that weakly converges  $f$ , we conclude that  $f_n \rightharpoonup f$ .

3. Because  $|\Omega| < \infty$ , Exercise 4.2 implies that  $L^p \subset L^q$ . Therefore,  $f_n, f \in L^p \subset L^q$ , and so is  $f_n - f$ . Moreover, also from Exercise 4.2, we have

$$\|f_n\|_q \leq |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_p.$$

Since  $\|f\|_p$  is bounded, we get  $\|f_n\|_q$  is bounded by some  $M > 0$ . Notice that for any measurable subset  $A \subset \Omega$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |f_n - f|^q d\mu = \int_A |f_n - f|^q d\mu + \int_{A^c} |f_n - f|^q d\mu \leq |A| \cdot M + \int_{A^c} |f_n - f|^q d\mu.$$

Notice that by Egorov's Theorem, we can construct a measurable subset  $A$  with measure sufficiently small and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $A^c$ . So the right hand side can be sufficiently small as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We conclude that  $\|f_n - f\|_q \rightarrow 0$ . □

**Exercise 4.20**

Assume  $|\Omega| < \infty$ . Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $1 \leq q < \infty$ . Let  $a: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function such that

$$|a(t)| \leq C\{|t|^{p/q} + 1\} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Consider the (nonlinear) map  $A: L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega)$  defined by

$$(Au)(x) = a(u(x)), x \in \Omega.$$

1. Prove that  $A$  is continuous from  $L^p(\Omega)$  strong into  $L^q(\Omega)$  strong.
2. Take  $\Omega = (0, 1)$  and assume that for every sequence  $(u_n)$  such that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly  $\sigma(L^p, L^{p'})$  then  $Au_n \rightharpoonup Au$  weakly  $\sigma(L^q, L^{q'})$ . Prove that  $a$  is an affine function.

### Exercise 4.22

1. Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence in  $L^p(\Omega)$  with  $1 < p \leq \infty$  and let  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ . Show that the following properties are equivalent:

$$(A) \quad f_n \rightharpoonup f \text{ in } \sigma(L^p, L^{p'}).$$

$$(B) \quad \begin{cases} \|f_n\|_p \leq C, \\ \int_E f_n \rightarrow \int_E f \quad \forall E \subset \Omega, E \text{ measurable and } |E| < \infty. \end{cases}$$

2. If  $p = 1$  and  $|\Omega| < \infty$  prove that (A)  $\iff$  (B).
3. Assume  $p = 1$  and  $|\Omega| = \infty$ . Prove that (A)  $\implies$  (B). Construct an example showing that in general, (B)  $\not\implies$  (A).
4. Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence in  $L^1(\Omega)$  and let  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$  with  $|\Omega| = \infty$ . Assume that

$$(i) \quad f_n \geq 0 \quad \forall n \text{ and } f \geq 0 \text{ a.e. on } \Omega,$$

$$(ii) \quad \int_{\Omega} f_n \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f,$$

$$(iii) \quad \int_E f_n \rightarrow \int_E f \quad \forall E \subset \Omega, E \text{ measurable and } |E| < \infty.$$

Prove that  $f_n \rightharpoonup f$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$  weakly  $\sigma(L^1, L^\infty)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $q := p'$ .

1. If  $f_n \rightharpoonup f$  in  $\sigma(L^p, L^q)$ , then Proposition 3.5 (iii) implies that  $\|f_n\|_p$  is bounded. For any  $E$  measurable such that  $|E| < \infty$ , we get  $\chi_E \in L^q(\Omega)$ . Therefore

$$\int_E f_n = \langle \chi_E, f_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle \chi_E, f \rangle = \int_E f.$$

Conversely, assume that  $\|f_n\| \leq C$  and  $\int_E f_n \rightarrow \int_E f$  for all  $E \subset \Omega, E$  measurable and  $|E| < \infty$ . For any  $\varphi \in L^q$  it is sufficient to show that  $\int f_n \varphi \rightarrow \int f \varphi$  or  $\int (f_n - f) \varphi \rightarrow 0$ . Because  $\varphi \in L^q$ , there exists  $E \subset \Omega$ , such that  $|E| < \infty$  and

$(\int_{E^c} |\varphi|^q)^{1/q} < \varepsilon$ . Therefore by Holder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E^c} (f_n - f)\varphi &\leq \left( \int_{E^c} |f_n - f|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{E^c} |\varphi|^{\frac{1}{q}} \right)^q \\ &\leq \|f_n - f\|_p \cdot \varepsilon \\ &\leq (\|f_n\|_p + \|f\|_p) \varepsilon \\ &\leq (C + \|f\|_p) \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So this term is sufficiently small. What is more, because  $|E| < \infty$ , Exercise 4.2 implies that  $\varphi \in L^\infty(E)$  or  $\varphi$  is bounded almost everywhere by a constant  $D$ . So

$$\int_E (f_n - f)\varphi \leq \int_E (f_n - f) \cdot D \rightarrow 0$$

because  $\int_E f_n \rightarrow \int_E f$ . Therefore

$$\int (f_n - f)\varphi \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  or  $f_n \rightarrow f$  as desired.

2. The proof for (A) to (B) is the same as part 1. To prove the converse, notice that for any  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $\varphi$  is bounded almost everywhere by some constant  $D$  and  $\int f_n \rightarrow \int f$  since  $|\Omega| < \infty$ . Thus

$$\int (f_n - f)\varphi \leq D \cdot \int (f_n - f) \rightarrow 0.$$

3. Similar to part 1, (A) implies (B). For a counterexample, let  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$  and  $f_n = \chi_{[n, n+1]}$ . It is not hard to see that  $\|f_n\|_1 \leq 1$  and for any  $E$  bounded,  $\int_E f_n \rightarrow 0$ . But for the constant function  $1 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , we have  $\int 1 \cdot f_n = 1 \not\rightarrow 0 = \int 1 \cdot 0$ . So (A) is false.
4. We first show that for any measurable subset  $F$  of  $\Omega$ , then  $\int_F f_n \rightarrow \int_F f$ . Because  $f \in L^1$ , there is some  $E \subset \Omega$ ,  $|E| < \infty$  and  $\int_{E^c} f < \varepsilon$ . Decompose  $F$  into  $F \cap E$  and  $F \setminus E$ , we can see that  $|F \cap E| \leq |E| < \infty$ , thus  $\int_{F \cap E} f_n \rightarrow \int_{F \cap E} f$  by (iii). Moreover, because

$$\int_{E^c} f_n = \int f_n - \int_E f_n \rightarrow \int f - \int_{E^c} f = \int_{E^c} f$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , when  $n$  is sufficiently large, we would have  $0 \leq \int_{E^c} f_n < 2\varepsilon$ . So

$$\left| \int_{F \setminus E} f_n - f \right| \leq \int_{F \setminus E} |f_n - f| \leq \int_{E^c} |f_n| + |f| \leq 3\varepsilon.$$

Therefore  $\int_F f_n \rightarrow \int_F f$  for any measurable subset  $F$  of  $\Omega$ . For any  $\varphi \in L^\infty$ , it is sufficient to show that  $\int f_n \varphi \rightarrow \int f \varphi$  or  $\int (f_n - f)\varphi \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let

$$F = \{x \in \Omega : (f_n - f) \geq 0\},$$

and assume that  $|\varphi| \leq C$ , then

$$\left| \int_F (f_n - f) \varphi \right| \leq \int_F |f_n - f| |\varphi| \leq C \int_F (f_n - f) \rightarrow 0.$$

And similarly we have

$$\left| \int_{F^c} (f_n - f) \varphi \right| \leq \int_{F^c} |f_n - f| |\varphi| \leq C \int_{F^c} (f - f_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Combining the two previous calculations and the triangular inequality, we get

$$\left| \int (f_n - f) \varphi \right| \leq \left| \int_F (f_n - f) \varphi \right| + \left| \int_{F^c} (f_n - f) \varphi \right| \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So  $f_n \rightharpoonup f$  in  $\sigma(L^1, L^\infty)$ .

□

### Exercise 4.23

Let  $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable function and let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . The purpose of this exercise is to show that the set

$$C = \{u \in L^p(\Omega) : u \geq f \text{ a.e.}\}$$

is closed in  $L^p(\Omega)$  with respect to the topology  $\sigma(L^p, L^{p'})$ .

1. Assume first that  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Prove that  $C$  is convex and closed in the strong  $L^p$  topology. Deduce that  $C$  is closed in  $\sigma(L^p, L^{p'})$ .
2. Taking  $p = \infty$ , prove that

$$C = \left\{ u \in L^\infty(\Omega) : \int u \varphi \geq \int f \varphi \forall \varphi \in L^1(\Omega) \text{ with } f \varphi \in L^1(\Omega) \text{ and } \varphi \geq 0 \text{ a.e.} \right\}$$

3. Deduce that when  $p = \infty$ ,  $C$  is closed in  $\sigma(L^\infty, L^1)$ .
4. Let  $f_1, f_2 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  with  $f_1 \leq f_2$  a.e. Prove that the set

$$C = \{u \in L^\infty(\Omega); f_1 \leq u \leq f_2 \text{ a.e.}\}$$

is compact in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  with respect to the topology  $\sigma(L^\infty, L^1)$ .

### Exercise 4.24

Let  $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Let  $(\rho_n)$  be a sequence of mollifiers. Let  $(\zeta_n)$  be a sequence in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$\|\zeta_n\|_\infty \leq 1 \quad \forall n \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_n \rightarrow \zeta \text{ a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Set

$$v_n = \rho_n * (\zeta_n u) \quad \text{and} \quad v = \zeta u.$$

1. Prove that  $v_n \xrightarrow{*} v$  in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  weak\*  $\sigma(L^\infty, L^1)$ .
2. Prove that  $\int_B |v_n - v| \rightarrow 0$  for every ball  $B$ .

*Proof.* 1. For any  $x \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , it is sufficient to prove that

$$\left| \int (v_n - v)x \, dx \right| = |\langle v_n - v, x \rangle| \rightarrow 0.$$

Indeed, using the triangular inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int (v_n - v)x \right| &= \left| \int (\rho_n * (\zeta_n u) - \zeta u)x \right| \\ &= \left| \int (\rho_n * (\zeta_n u) - \zeta_n u)x + \int (\zeta_n u - \zeta u)x \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int (\rho_n * (\zeta_n u) - \zeta_n u)x \right| + \left| \int (\zeta_n u - \zeta u)x \right|. \end{aligned}$$

We will show that each term of the last summation converges to 0, thus complete our proof. Let  $\check{\rho}_n(x) = \rho(-x)$ , by Proposition 4.16, we get

$$\int (\rho_n * (\zeta_n u))x = \int \zeta_n u (\check{\rho}_n * x).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int (\rho_n * (\zeta_n u) - \zeta_n u)x \right| &= \left| \int (\rho_n * (\zeta_n u))x - \zeta_n u x \right| \\ &= \left| \int \zeta_n u (\check{\rho}_n * x) - \zeta_n u x \right| \\ &= \left| \int \zeta_n u \cdot ((\check{\rho}_n * x) - x) \right| \\ &\leq \|\zeta_n u\|_\infty \|\check{\rho}_n * x - x\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is by the Holder's inequality. Notice that because  $x \in L^1$ , by Theorem 4.22, we get

$$\|\zeta_n u\|_\infty \|\check{\rho}_n * x - x\|_1 \leq \|\zeta_n\|_\infty \|u\|_\infty \|\check{\rho}_n * x - x\|_1 \leq \|u\|_\infty \|\check{\rho}_n * x - x\|_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

For the second term, because  $\zeta_n \rightarrow \zeta$  almost everywhere, thus  $\zeta_n u \rightarrow \zeta u$  almost everywhere. But  $\|\zeta_n\|_\infty \leq 1$ , the function  $\zeta_n u$  is bounded almost everywhere by  $|u| \in L^\infty$ . So by Holder's inequality and DCT, we get

$$\left| \int (\zeta_n u - \zeta u)x \right| \leq \|\zeta_n u - \zeta u\|_\infty \|x\|_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

So  $v_n \xrightarrow{*} v$  weak\*  $\sigma(L^\infty, L^1)$  as desired.

2. For any ball  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , it is not hard to see that  $\chi_B \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Using part 1, we get

$$\int_B |v_n - v| = \int |v_n - v| \chi_B \rightarrow 0.$$

□



**Exercise 4.28**

Let  $\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with  $\int \rho = 1$ . Set  $\rho_n(x) = n^N \rho(nx)$ . Let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Prove that  $\rho_n * f \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

A small remark here: this exercise is a generalization of Theorem 4.22. If  $\rho_n$  is a sequence of mollifiers, then we are done. But we have lost lots of information. The  $\text{supp } \rho_n$  are not compact closed balls,  $\rho_n$  is not necessarily positive nor continuous (thus not smooth at all).

*Proof.* By changing of variable, we can easily check that  $\int \rho_n = \int \rho = 1$ . Therefore  $\|\rho\|_1 = \int |\rho| < \infty$ . Using Young's inequality, we get

$$\|\rho_n * f\|_p \leq \|\rho\|_1 \|f\|_p < \infty.$$

So  $\rho_n * f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Because  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we can choose  $f' \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$\|f' - f\|_p < \varepsilon.$$

Using the triangular inequality, we get

$$\|\rho_n * f - f\|_p \leq \|\rho_n * f - \rho_n * f'\|_p + \|\rho_n * f' - f'\|_p + \|f' - f\|_p. \quad (1)$$

So we have two terms to deal with (i.e. prove that it is sufficiently small as  $n$  large enough), because we got the last term for free by our construction of  $f'$ .

For the first term, we have

$$\int |\rho_n| = \int \rho_n^+ + \int \rho_n^- = \int \rho^+ + \int \rho^- = \int |\rho| < \infty.$$

So

$$\|\rho_n * f - \rho_n * f'\|_p = \|\rho_n * (f - f')\|_p \leq \|\rho_n\|_1 \|f - f'\|_p = \|\rho\|_1 \|f - f'\|_p < \varepsilon \|\rho\|_1.$$

Since  $\|\rho\|_1$  is a constant, our first term can be sufficiently small.

Now for the second term. Because  $\int_{B(0,M)} |\rho| \rightarrow \int |\rho| := T < \infty$  as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ , we can choose  $M$  big enough such that  $\text{supp } f' \subset B(0, M)$  and

$$\left| \int_{B(0,M)} |\rho(x)| dx - T \right| < \varepsilon. \quad (2)$$

Using the change of variable, we can prove that

$$\left| \int_{B(0, \frac{M}{n})} |\rho_n(x)| dx - T \right| = \left| \int_{B(0,M)} |\rho(x)| dx - T \right| < \varepsilon.$$

So

$$\left| \int_{B(0, \frac{M}{n})} \rho_n(x) dx \right| \leq \varepsilon + T.$$

Because  $f' \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $f'$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . So there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|f'(x - y) - f'(x)| < \varepsilon$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $y \in B(0, \delta)$ . Notice that  $\frac{M}{n} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So we can choose  $n$  large enough such that  $\frac{M}{n} < \delta$ . In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{B(0, \frac{M}{n})} \rho_n(x - y)(f'(y) - f'(x)) \, dy \right| &\leq \varepsilon \int_{B(0, \frac{M}{n})} |\rho_n(x - y)| \, dy \\ &\leq \varepsilon(\varepsilon + T). \end{aligned}$$

So for letting  $\rho_n^0 = \rho_n \chi_{B(0, \frac{M}{n})}$ , the previous inequality implies that

$$|(\rho_n^0 * f')(x) - f'(x)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \rho_n^0(x - y)(f'(y) - f'(x)) \, dy \right| \leq \varepsilon(\varepsilon + T).$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , or  $\rho_n * f' \rightarrow f'$  uniformly. Notice that  $\rho_n^0$  has compact support, thus  $\rho_n^0 * f'$  has compact support. Therefore

$$\|\rho_n^0 * f' - f'\|_p \rightarrow 0.$$

Also notice that by (2), we have

$$\|\rho_n - \rho_n^0\|_1 = \left| T - \int_{B(0, M)} |\rho(x)| \, dx \right| < \varepsilon.$$

So in the end, we can control the second term of (1) by

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_n * f' - f'\|_p &\leq \|\rho_n * f' - \rho_n^0 * f'\|_p + \|\rho_n^0 * f' - f'\|_p \\ &\leq \|\rho_n - \rho_n^0\|_1 \|f'\|_p + \|\rho_n^0 * f' - f'\|_p \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

So all three terms of (1) are (finally!) sufficiently small as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This implies that  $\rho_n * f \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . □

### Exercise 4.31

Let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . For every  $r > 0$  set

$$f_r(x) = \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} f(y) \, dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

1. Prove that  $f_r \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and that  $f_r(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  ( $r$  being fixed).
2. Prove that  $f_r \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* 1. Let

$$\rho_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{\chi_{B(0,\varepsilon)}}{|B(0,\varepsilon)|}.$$

Since  $\text{supp } \rho_\varepsilon = \overline{B(0,\varepsilon)}$  is compact, we deduce that  $\rho_\varepsilon \in L^1$ . Moreover,

$$\|\rho_\varepsilon\|_1 = \int \frac{\chi_{B(0,\varepsilon)}}{|B(0,\varepsilon)|} = \frac{|B(0,\varepsilon)|}{|B(0,\varepsilon)|} = 1.$$

The bad news is that  $\rho$  is not continuous on  $\partial B(0,\varepsilon)$ , so it is not a mollifier. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\varepsilon * f(x) &= \int \rho_\varepsilon(x-y)f(y) \, dy \\ &= \int \frac{\chi_{B(0,\varepsilon)}(x-y)}{|B(0,\varepsilon)|} f(y) \, dy \\ &= \frac{1}{|B(0,\varepsilon)|} \int \chi_{B(x,\varepsilon)}(y)f(y) \, dy \\ &= \frac{1}{|B(x,\varepsilon)|} \int_{B(x,\varepsilon)} f(y) \, dy \\ &= f_\varepsilon(x). \end{aligned}$$

With this, we can easily check that  $f_\varepsilon \in L^p$  following this inequality

$$\|f_\varepsilon\|_p = \|\rho_\varepsilon * f\|_p \leq \|\rho_\varepsilon\|_1 \|f\|_p = \|f\|_p < \infty.$$

Checking  $f_\varepsilon$  continuous is a little trickier. The proof is by showing that if  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , then  $f_\varepsilon(x_n) \rightarrow f_\varepsilon(x)$ . The key takeaway is that if  $a \notin \partial B(x,\varepsilon)$ , then  $\chi_{B(x_n,\varepsilon)}(a) \rightarrow \chi_{B(x,\varepsilon)}(a)$ . Indeed, if  $a \notin \partial B(x,\varepsilon)$ , then two cases can happen: (A)  $a \in B(x,\varepsilon)$ , and (B)  $a \notin \overline{B(x,\varepsilon)}$ .

(A) If  $a \in B(0,\varepsilon)$  then  $\|a-x\| < \varepsilon$  or  $\varepsilon - \|a-x\| > 0$ . Since  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , eventually  $\|x_n - x\| < \varepsilon - \|a-x\|$ . This implies

$$\|x_n - a\| \leq \|x_n - x\| + \|x - a\| < \varepsilon.$$

So eventually  $\chi_{B(x_n,\varepsilon)}(a) = \chi_{B(x,\varepsilon)}(a) = 1$ .

(B) If  $a \notin \overline{B(x,\varepsilon)}$ , then  $\|a-x\| - \varepsilon > 0$ . Eventually, we have  $\|x_n - x\| < \|a-x\| - \varepsilon$ . In this case, the triangular inequality implies

$$\|x_n - a\| \geq \|x - a\| - \|x - x_n\| > \varepsilon.$$

So eventually  $\chi_{B(x_n,\varepsilon)}(a) = \chi_{B(x,\varepsilon)}(a) = 0$ .

With that in mind, we get

$$\chi_{B(x_n,\varepsilon)}(y)f(y) \rightarrow \chi_{B(x,\varepsilon)}(y)f(y)$$

for all  $y \notin \partial B(x,\varepsilon)$ . Notice that this boundary has measure 0, we deduce that

$$\chi_{B(x_n,\varepsilon)}(y)f(y) \rightarrow \chi_{B(x,\varepsilon)}(y)f(y)$$

almost everywhere. But  $\chi_{B(x_n, \varepsilon)}(y)f(y)$  is dominated by  $f(y) \in L^1$ , thus

$$\int \chi_{B(x_n, \varepsilon)}(y)f(y) \, dy \rightarrow \int \chi_{B(x, \varepsilon)}(y)f(y) \, dy.$$

Therefore,  $f_\varepsilon(x_n) \rightarrow f_\varepsilon(x)$  for any  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We conclude that  $f_\varepsilon \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Because  $f \in L^1$ , for any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$\left| \int_{B(0, M)} f(y) \, dy - \|f\|_1 \right| < \delta.$$

So whenever  $|x| > M + \varepsilon$ , the ball  $B(x, \varepsilon)$  fall out of the ball  $B(0, M)$ . This means  $\int_{B(x, \varepsilon)} f(y) \, dy < \delta$  and thus

$$f_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{|B(x, \varepsilon)|} \int_{B(x, \varepsilon)} f(y) \, dy < \frac{\delta}{|B(x, \varepsilon)|},$$

which can be sufficiently small as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ . So  $f_\varepsilon(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ .

2. Since

$$\rho_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{|B(x, \varepsilon)|} \int_{B(x, \varepsilon)} f(y) \, dy = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N |B(x, 1)|} \int_{B(x, 1)} f\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) \, dy = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} \rho_1\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

So applying Exercise 28, we get  $f_\varepsilon = \rho_\varepsilon(x) * f \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

□