

ETHAN CALABRESE

Not mad at all about how easy these are.

TOTAL TIME: 2:15 PREP: 0:15

LEVEL: EASY

SERVES: 4

INGREDIENTS

- 1 tbsp. extra-virgin olive oil
- 6 bone-in or boneless chicken thighs
- kosher salt
- Freshly ground black pepper
- 1/2 c. low-sodium soy sauce
 - 1/2 c. ketchup
- 1/4 c. honey
- 3 cloves garlic, minced
- 1/2 oz. freshly chopped ginger
- 2 tbsp. sriracha
 - Juice of 1 lime
- Cooked rice, for serving
- Freshly chopped cilantro, for garnish

DIRECTIONS

- In a cast-iron skillet over medium-high heat, heat oil. Season chicken thighs with salt and pepper and sear until golden, 3 minutes per side. Transfer chicken thighs to slow cooker.
- Whisk together soy sauce, ketchup, honey, garlic, ginger, Sriracha, and lime juice. Pour sauce over chicken and toss to combine. 7
- Cover and cook until no longer pink, on low for 6 hours or high for 2 hours. Serve with rice and garnish with cilantro. 3

Governance as Leadership: Reframing the Work of the Nonprofit Board

Work of the Nonprofit Board," a seminar sponsored by The Pew Fund for Health and Environment (PACE), created to improve nonprofits' ability to succeed by providing This document presents a summary of "Governance as Leadership: Reframing the Charitable Trusts' information series called Programs Adjusting to a Changing Human Services. Held on October 29, 2007, the seminar was part of The Pew them with critical information, tools and technical assistance.

directors to advance their organizations' missions. This latter responsibility, however, leadership role in their board's work and develop strategies for strengthening that role. can take a back seat to other, more pressing demands on boards, and may be difficult Participants also had opportunities to ask questions throughout the session, and those organizations and, particularly, to understand the benefits of offering board members more meaningful and consequential work. Led by Bill Ryan, Research Fellow at the Hauser Center for Nonprofit Organizations at Harvard University and consultant to foundations and nonprofit organizations, the session included exercises designed to Today's nonprofit boards have multiple roles and responsibilities. While they face to carry out on a consistent basis. This PACE session, which was attended by both help participants define the extent to which their organization currently includes a integrity and regulatory compliance, they also share a leadership role with agency increasing demands to oversee organizations' performance accountability, fiscal questions and the responses are outlined in the final section of this summary. board members and executive directors or senior staff, was designed to help participants consider the nature of governance and leadership in nonprofit

Defining the Problem

thinking about the board's role in governance. Then the group would step down from the long view and look at practical challenges in instituting that role. These were Ryan explained that he first wanted to investigate a conceptual framework for among his major points in introducing the framework:

- company; as an air traffic controller is to a commercial airplane; as Congress is to sailboat. Based on these analogies, Ryan said, we would all construct somewhat role. To illustrate this point, he asked participants to use an analogy to describe government; as the rudder is to a ship; as an eye is to sight; and as wind is to a There are typically a range of ways in which people think about a board's Responses included: as the head is to the body; as the owner is to a private how they think about boards: Board is to organization as_ different boards.
- There is a need to reframe how we define the problem of board performance. The common view is that the problem is rooted in the board's lack of clarity about its role. The solution then becomes codifying the board's role and clanfying its •

descriptions and do know their roles as defined through these job descriptions. tasks. But in his research, he found that many boards already have overly long job

- part of "purpose." entities to focus on accountability. While that is one necessary role, it is only one the problem is the reality that boards are being pushed by states, the IRS and other more difficult for them to become engaged in and enjoy their work. Compounding cannot see the relation of their work to the organization's mission. This makes it purpose. Behind the problem of performance is the problem of purpose At the root of the problem is that boards do not have a strong sense of -boards
- and if they are more motivated, they will give more time. The question is: How can we enrich the work of the board? People are more motivated when they are interested and engaged in their work; Enriching the work of the board is a key to improving board performance

The Three Modes of Governance

illustrate the three modes of governance: community that supports the museum react? Ryan used these questions to help transported? Where will the paintings be displayed? For how long? How will the arrangements? How does it fit with our mission? How will the paintings be situation. Their questions included: What's in it for us? What are the security suggest potential questions that board members might ask when addressing this 21 Monet paintings to the Bellagio Casino in Las Vegas. Ryan asked participants to the decision that the Boston Museum of Fine Arts had to make about whether to lend this framework for governance more concrete, Ryan introduced a specific example: together, the three add up to governance as leadership. To make the three aspects of Boards govern in three distinct modes. Each mode serves important purposes, and

- organization as an eye is to sight" suggest this board role. The questions about oversees operations and ensures efficient and appropriate use of resources, legal stewardship of tangible assets, and its principal role is to act as a sentinel. It its mission. But organizations often have boards that focus almost exclusively on requires the least amount of knowledge by the board about the organization and board role. Ryan noted that, of the three modes of governance, the fiduciary role security and transportation in the Boston Museum example also point to this compliance and fiscal accountability. Analogies such as "the board is to the Type I is the "fiduciary mode." In this mode, the board's central purpose is the
- . Type II is the "strategic mode." Here, the board's central purpose is to ensure a Participants' navigational analogies, such as "the board is to the organization as and modifying strategic plans, and monitoring performance against plans partner to senior management. Its core work includes setting priorities, reviewing winning strategy for the organization, and its principal role is to be a strategic

Boston Museum example include: What's in it for us? What will the community the rudder is to a ship," suggest this role. Questions that reflect this role in the

values behind strategies. In the Boston Museum example, the question "How does for deciding what to pay attention to, what it means, and what to do about it. And, Ryan said, this is also a good definition of "governance." In the generative mode, Type III is the "generative mode." Generative thinking is a cognitive process and its principal role is as a "sense maker." The board "decides what to decide". the board's central purpose is to be a source of leadership for the organization, discerns challenges and opportunities; and probes assumptions, logic and the it fit with our mission?" reflects the board working in a generative mode. •

The Generative Mode

answering the questions. Is there a mission fit? Is this what the organization is about? generative mode at least some of the time. This is the area where they can take a Ryan noted that boards have to work in all three modes but that the rest of his presentation would focus on the generative mode. Boards should work in the leadership role and help make subjective judgments that contribute towards He made these points in explaining why this role is essential:

- are framed and converted into strategies and plans, the opportunity for generative includes some potential forms of problem solving and excludes others. As issues organizations where opportunities for generative thinking would be greatest, the modifying an organization's services generally moves from problem-framing to board is least involved. Boards tend to get most involved after plans have been strategy to plans, tactics and execution. The way a problem is framed, in itself, Generative thinking is problem-framing. The process of augmenting or leadership contributions from the board passes by. However, in many implemented, through familiar practices such as fiscal oversight.
- again. This time he asked people to notice the gorilla who walks into the group of on? What they see will depend on their frame." To illustrate this point, he showed the number of passes thrown by the teenagers in white jerseys. When the clip was - they had not noticed the one very striking thing about the clip. Similarly, something they should be seeing. Ryan asked, "What cues does the board focus a brief DVD clip of two groups of teenagers passing basketballs. One group was in white jerseys and one was in black jerseys. He asked the participants to count finished, he asked how many passes they had counted. Then he showed the clip teenagers towards the end of the clip and starts pounding his chest. The gorilla does, in fact, appear. People had not noticed it the first time because they were if a board is, for example, focusing just on specific fiscal matters, it is likely to focused on counting the number of passes, using a "counting" or "Type I" People's perspective—focusing on one thing—means they do not see •

miss seeing other internal or external issues that could be significant for the

also is an important part of a board's generative work. kinds of shifts result from looking at the environment and changes in it, and that including modified roles for police and changes in how they were trained. These concept of community policing led, in turn, to concrete changes at the field level, primarily responding to crime to also having them prevent crime. This shift to the example of the shift in large cities' conception of policing: from having police changes, and these are also opportunities for generative work. He gave the for a while. At the same time though, every field has it moments when thinking exist in an organization, then the board might not have any generative work to do mode of governance. Ryan said that if none of those kinds of situations currently dealing with a potential merger, boards typically engage in the generative In crises or major transitions, such as hiring a new executive director or

Generative Thinking in Practice

role in their organizations, these were among Ryan's points: organization's agenda or list of priorities? In helping participants' consider the board's means for the organization? Who has the most influence over what gets onto the Who tends to frame the problems? Who decides what a given problem or opportunity in the exercise included: Who decides what the organization will pay attention to? extent to which their board played a role in large issues of governance. Key questions about their own organizations and complete an exercise sheet that identified the at least some of the time in the generative mode, Ryan asked participants to think After establishing this framework and describing the benefits of having boards work

- senior staff and the board are actively engaged in governance governance, in which the CEO governs; and shared governance, in which both governance by fiat, in which the board imposes most decisions; executive default, in which neither board nor staff plays a strong, forward-thinking role; levels of engagement by senior staff and the board. These are governance by It is possible to define four profiles of governance that reflect the relative
- organization in the "executive governance" category, while board members were then charted their responses on a diagram. Most staff members had put their card showing which of these four profiles best described their organization. He asked participants, including both senior staff and board members, to fill out a same view of which governance profile describes that organization. Ryan "shared governance" category somewhat more likely to have put the organizations where they served in the A nonprofit's board members and senior staff do not necessarily have the
- There are costs for organizations that do not have "shared governance."

With suggestions from participants, Ryan outlined what organizations lost when they were in one of the other three governance categories. These costs included: strategic thinking, less funding (at least from the board); a polarized board and the board functioning only on committees; board disengagement; a lack of staff; and costs to the organization of remaining in the status quo.

move to shared governance. Participants' descriptions of these barriers included: not enough time at board meetings, the complexity of organizational purpose, the pace of change, lack of term limits for board members, fear of loss of control by There are also barriers that can make it challenging for organizations to the organization's CEO and a lack of creativity and bravery.

Strategies for Board Meetings

During the final part of the session, Ryan outlined strategies for overcoming these barriers. He first suggested approaches for promoting generative thinking during board meetings:

- Have a consent agenda. In developing the agenda for the meeting, combine all of the routine matters that need board approval into one item on the agenda that the board can vote up or down. This can free up time for other discussions.
- under discussion. This helps people become more thoughtful and engaged in the give everyone a minute to think about it and write something down on the topic Use silent starts. When there is an important matter for the board to consider,
- -comments that reflect generative thinking-which can be used to help Use one-minute essays. At the end of the discussion, ask people to write down what they would like to say about the issue if there were more time. After the board meeting, read what they have written. These often tend to be "Type III set the agenda for the following board meeting.
- Include time for mini executive sessions. During each meeting, have the board can be called "board reflection"—interrupt the usual pattern of just following an work for ten or fifteen minutes without an agenda. These brief sessionsagenda and having the CEO always take the lead at board meetings.
- matters, look for "generative landmarks." These include multiple interpretations touches on their perception of the organization's core values. Take advantage of Promote robust discussions. During discussions about even seemingly routine indications that an issue means a great deal to many of the board members and by board members about what a situation is or what requires attention, or these "landmarks" to promote generative discussions.

committees that address specific issues, gather information about those issues, and then report to the whole board about what they have learned. The entire board Have as few standing committees as possible. Instead, have task-driven should then discuss the committee's ideas.

Addressing Other Barriers

sometimes more complex, steps that organizations might need to take in having the oversight of the organization: members who are interested in and well-suited for a role that encompasses more than dynamics of the relationship between the CEO and the board and recruiting board board move towards more of a leadership role. These included addressing the Beyond these practical strategies for board meetings, Ryan described additional, and

- discuss strategic questions like, "Why are we expanding?" buy, and the board would review items such as cost. In the "danger zone," the process of buying additional ones. In the "safety zone," the CEO would come to example of a nonprofit organization that operates nursing homes and is in the meaningful work. Ryan distinguished between these two "zones" with the chance to add value to the organization and are more likely to become engaged in are more open to what they can learn from the board, and members see their questions have not yet been answered consider the "danger zone"—i.e., the very early phases of an idea, when many guess the CEO, or begin to micro-manage. In contrast, he said, what CEOs might members feel uncertain of their value and might disengage, decide to secondand tries to "handle" the board instead of engaging it. As a result, some board safety zone," he said. The CEO is often highly invested and slightly defensive, and after most details have already been decided. But there are "dangers in the board would become involved before these plans had been made and would board meetings with details about a specific property the organization planned to to their boards when what they want is approval rather than dialogue. In this described the "safety zone" in which CEOs sometimes prefer to reside in relation the board. Ryan noted that having shared governance can be a personal "zone", leadership challenge for CEOs because they might feel it as a loss of power. He CEOs may have to become more comfortable about sharing governance with , CEOs bring a project or issue before the board only late in the process —actually has opportunities. Here, CEOs
- members with the big issues and decisions the organization will be dealing with in marketing professionals. Instead, when recruiting, approach potential board To help the board get to higher ground, recruit people to govern, not to manage. This is different than recruiting for the more typical "Noah's Ark" oversight and the performance of specific committee tasks. the next few years. This will help people see their potential role as more than for example, two accountants, two lawyers, two wealthy donors and two
- At least occasionally, get the board out of the board room. Every few years

have your board get together with other nonprofit boards so they can learn from together board members and senior staff. Members can ask staff questions such senior staff to feel less defensive about the board. In addition, every few years, rewarding about your work? What are some powerful stones? What one thing would you change if you could? This can help engage the board and also lead bring the board closer to the actual organizational environment by bringing as: Why do you work here? What is your biggest challenge? What is most each other about what they have been able to accomplish and how.

Questions and Answers

Throughout the session, participants had an opportunity to ask questions and raise concerns. These were among the issues they wanted to know more about:

- management? Ryan said that boards can make decisions as well as management. management staff who are there every day? Can the board do it better than organization-that gives them a different perspective than management's. In Boards are in a position—at the boundary, not exactly inside or outside the fulfilling its leadership role, and it keeps members involved and interested addition, contributing to decisions in this way is a key aspect of the board Why would you have board members-volunteers who deal with the organization four times a year-making the decisions, as opposed to
- degrees of comfort in each of the three types of work. But all members should be encouraged to do at least some work in each of the three modes—fiscal, strategic agreed, and added that different board members will have different strengths and come in, board members tend to focus on Type I issues—oversight tasks. Ryan What about new board members? One participant said that when they first and generative. •
- will always have moments when it has to ask, "What's really going on here?" The relatively small issue of the Boston Museum of Fine Arts loaning paintings to the thinking? Ryan said that no matter how old the board or organization, the board If you are joining a mature board, what is left in terms of generative Bellagio is one example of when this would occur.
- years and let board members know what will be expected of them. Each member -such as the size of the board, frequency of meetings, length of -there is no one-size-fits-all answer. What is important is particular size board or a certain number of meetings a year? The same question and board leadership could periodically describe plans for the next two or three may be preferable alternatives to term limits. One approach might be to have a strategy for assessing board members' performance. Or the organization's CEO Is it a good idea to have term limits for board members? Ryan said that for applies to term limits: If you have them, why do you? He suggested that there to have a strategy that is consistent with what you need. Why do you have a terms, and term limitsstructural issues-•

also be used as an excuse to drop particular board members. But because the term limits are flexible, other members could remain on the board. want to leave the board could use them as an excuse to do so, and the limits could Organizations could also establish term limits that are flexible. Members who would then decide whether to remain with the board or opt out from continuing

Governance as Leadership: Reframing the Work of the Nonprofit Board **Additional Resources**

The following resources provide additional information.

Problem?" The Nonprofit Quarterly. Summer 2003, pp. 1-5. William P. Ryan, Richard P. Chait, and Barbara E. Taylor. "Problem Boards or Board

Richard P. Chait, William P. Ryan, Barbara E. Taylor. Governance as Leadership: Reframing the Work of Nonprofit Boards (New York: John Wiley and Sons) 2005

BoardSource, The Source: Twelve Principles of Governance that Power Exceptional Boards (Washington, D.C.: BoardSource) 2005

The Road to Extraordinary

By The Quiet Leadership Institute

K. Anders Ericsson proposes that almost all of us have the seeds In his new book Peak: Secrets of the New Science of Expertise, of excellence within us—it's just a question of nurturing them via deliberate practice.

What is deliberate practice?

your potential but to build it, to make things possible that were hard. If it wasn't hard, everyone would do it. The hard...is what specific area. The goal of deliberate practice is not just to reach Deliberate practice is the breakdown of expertise into a series engages in structured activities that improve performance in a makes it great." Thank you, A League of Their Own's Jimmy not possible before. It takes a long time, and it's hard. But to quote one of today's great philosophers, "It's supposed to be of smaller, attainable practices. A deliberate practitioner

And it also clears up the whole "ten-thousand-hours to expertise a powerful approach to learning that is fundamentally different Peak condenses three decades of original research to introduce from the way people traditionally think about acquiring a skill rule" controversy (spoiler: it isn't really a rule).

Excerpted from Peak: Secrets of the New Science of

again. weakens. Eventually you stop altogether, and you don't start up would. It stops being fun, and your resolve to reach your goal sessions. You're not improving as fast as you thought you out or practice as much as you should, so you start missing Then after a while, reality hits. It's hard to find the time to work to get in shape or learn to play the guitar or pick up a new eventually face. Getting started is easy, as anyone who has that anyone engaged in purposeful or deliberate practice will language, and so you jump right in. It's exciting. It's energizing. visited a gym after New Year's knows. You decide that you want How do you keep going? That is perhaps the biggest question

So that's the problem in a nutshell: purposeful practice is hard

The question is, What can you do about it?

of a general "willpower" that can be applied in any situation. lack, but that would be a mistake for two very compelling willpower or "grit" or "stick-toitiveness" that the rest of us just intense practice schedules for years have some rare gift of to keep going? Let's get one thing out of the way right up front. assume that something is innate, it automatically becomes willpower, one related to the myth of natural talent...once you But there is a bigger, second problem with the concept of reasons. First, there is little scientific evidence for the existence It may seem natural to assume that these people who maintain What can we learn from expert performers about what it takes

thinking—"The fact that I couldn't keep practicing indicates that interesting parallels between improving performance and losing keep practicing"—is worse than useless; it is damaging in that it long run are those who have successfully redesigned their lives, building new habits that allow them to maintain the behaviors that keep them losing weight in spite of all of the temptations I don't have enough willpower, which explains why I couldn't weight. The ones who are successful in losing weight over the Motivation is quite different from willpower. There are some something you can't do anything about. This sort of circular can convince people that they might as well not even try. that threaten their success.

developed various habits that help them keep going. As a rule of For purposeful or deliberate practice to be effective, you need to you're tired or sick, it's that much harder to maintain focus and A similar thing is true for those who maintain purposeful or push yourself outside your comfort zone and maintain your maintenance: getting enough sleep and keeping healthy. If thumb, I think that anyone who hopes to improve skill in a particular area should devote an hour or more each day to deliberate practice over the long run. They have generally focus, but those are mentally draining activities. Expert performers do two things—both seemingly unrelated to motivation—that can help. The first is general physical practice that can be done with full concentration. that much easier to slack off.

your body and your mind will habituate to the practice. The you maintain your practice over time it will seem easier. Both for an hour and take a break. Fortunately, you will find that as to about an hour. You can't maintain intense concentration for closer to neutral, so it's not as hard to keep going practice never becomes outright fun, but eventually it gets much longer than that—and when you're first starting out, it's likely to be less. If you want to practice longer than an hour, go The second thing is to limit the length of your practice sessions

address an audience totally practical, extrinsic purposes. You hate public speaking continue. It may be completely intrinsic. Or maybe it's for now let's look at some ways to increase the inclination to back in your career, so you decide you want to learn how to but you recognize that your lack of speaking skills is holding you We've just seen several ways to decrease the inclination to stop;

investment than an expense developing your skill, further practice comes to feel more like an your motivation. As long as you recognize this new identity as while and can see the results, the skill itself can become part of expert performers tell us that once you have practiced for a at least they shouldn't be—your only motivators. Studies of flowing from the many hours of practice that you devoted to All of these are possible roots of motivation, but they aren't—or

that you can succeed. In order to push yourself when you really Another key motivational factor in deliberate practice is a belief

One of the best ways to create and sustain social motivation is to plateaued, don't quit. Make an agreement with yourself that you and challenge you in your endeavors. Surrounding yourself with that you can rank among the best. If you stop believing that you supportive people is easiest in activities that are done in groups beyond the plateau, and then you can quit. You probably won't. surround yourself with people who will encourage and support particularly for people shooting to become expert performersdon't feel like it, you must believe that you can improve andwill do what it takes to get back to where you were or to get can reach a goal, either because you've regressed or you've

group of friends who are in the same positions, you have a builtyou over your difficulties. They count on you, and you can count putting into your practice, they can share training tips with you, in support system. These people understand the effort you're and they can appreciate your victories and commiserate with Deliberate practice can be a lonely pursuit, but if you have a

you are constantly seeing concrete signs of improvement, even if practice? One of the best bits of advice is to set things up so that support and encouragement, still much of your improvement While you may collect a group of like-minded individuals for it is not always major improvement. Break your long journey will depend on practice you do on your own. How do you maintain motivation for hour after hour of such focused

door. that you may have been convinced were out of reach. Open that you reach a goal. There is no reason not to follow your dream. time-perhaps even giving yourself a small reward each time into a manageable series of goals and focus on them one at a Deliberate practice can open the door to a world of possibilities