Let {\hat{rh}} be pred profit at moment Kh, Wh - weight of coin at Kh, Zh - trades at Kh, then

total profit  $\hat{R} = \hat{V}_H W_H - \sum_{h=1}^{H-1} \hat{V}_h Z_h$ , but that is a random variable, not a number.

We want to maximize profit, but include visk in our model.

Instead of risk penalty for mean profit here was used max profit from E% worst cases.

So that problem may be formulated as:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\bar{R} - \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \Psi(\Xi_{h}) - \sum_{h=1}^{H} \Psi(W_{h}) \rightarrow \max \\
|P[R \ge \hat{R}] \le \varepsilon, R \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Xi_{h} = W_{h+1} - W_{h}, \forall h \in 0...H-1$$

$$W_{h} \in \mathcal{W}_{5} \Xi_{h} \in \mathcal{Z}_{5} \forall h \in 0...H$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
R - \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \Psi(\Xi_{h}) - \sum_{h=1}^{H} \Psi(W_{h}) \rightarrow \max \\
R + \beta_{\varepsilon}(W_{5}\Xi) \le \bar{R}, R \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Xi_{h} = W_{h+1} - W_{h}, \forall h \in 0...H-1$$

$$W_{h} \in \mathcal{W}_{5} \Xi_{h} \in \mathcal{Z}_{5} \forall h \in 0...H$$

$$W_{h} \in \mathcal{W}_{5} \Xi_{h} \in \mathcal{Z}_{5} \forall h \in 0...H$$

Case 1:  $\hat{V} = N(\bar{V}, \Sigma)$  we get  $\beta(z, w) = \hat{\varphi}(\epsilon) \cdot \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\hat{R} - \bar{R})^2}$ 

It can be simplified into  $\hat{P}(\epsilon) \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}$ , but it still non-linear.

Case 2:  $\hat{V}_h = V_h + \mathcal{B}_h \hat{\mathcal{D}}_h$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_h \in [-1,1]$  and  $\mathcal{E} = 0$ . In such case  $\beta = \mathcal{B}_H |W_H| + \sum_{h=1}^{H-1} \mathcal{B}_h |\mathcal{E}_h|$ 

Obviosly it has simple linearisation, but such strategy are too risk-avoiding.

Case 3:  $\hat{V}_h = \bar{V}_h + \mathcal{B}_h \hat{\mathcal{V}}_h$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_h \in [-1,1]$  and independent,  $\epsilon \in [0,1]$ . Then

$$\begin{cases} \exists_{H} | \mathbb{W}_{H} | \cdot \mathbb{Y}_{H} + \sum_{h=1}^{H-1} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{Y}_{h} \to \max = \beta(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{W}). \text{ Complicated, but it can be linearized.} \\ \begin{cases} \exists_{h=1}^{H} \mathbb{Y}_{h} \in \Gamma \\ h=1 \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{W}_{H} | \cdot \mathbb{Y}_{H} + \sum_{h=1}^{H-1} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{Y}_{h} \to \max = \beta(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{W}). \text{ Complicated, but it can be linearized.} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{W}_{H} | \cdot \mathbb{Y}_{H} + \sum_{h=1}^{H-1} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{Y}_{h} \to \max = \beta(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{W}). \text{ Complicated, but it can be linearized.} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{W}_{H} | \cdot \mathbb{Y}_{H} + \sum_{h=1}^{H-1} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{Y}_{h} \to \max = \beta(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{W}). \text{ Complicated, but it can be linearized.} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{W}_{H} | \cdot \mathbb{Y}_{H} + \sum_{h=1}^{H-1} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{Y}_{h} \to \max = \beta(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{W}). \text{ Complicated, but it can be linearized.} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{W}_{H} | \cdot \mathbb{Y}_{H} + \sum_{h=1}^{H-1} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{Y}_{h} \to \max = \beta(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{W}). \text{ Complicated, but it can be linearized.} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{W}_{H} | \cdot \mathbb{Y}_{H} + \sum_{h=1}^{H-1} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{Y}_{h} \to \mathbb{W}_{H} = \beta(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{W}). \text{ Complicated, but it can be linearized.} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{W}_{H} | \cdot \mathbb{Y}_{H} + \sum_{h=1}^{H-1} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{Y}_{h} \to \mathbb{W}_{H} = \beta(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{W}). \text{ Complicated, but it can be linearized.} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{W}_{H} | \cdot \mathbb{Y}_{H} + \sum_{h=1}^{H-1} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{Y}_{h} \to \mathbb{W}_{H} = \beta(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{W}). \text{ Complicated, but it can be linearized.} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{W}_{H} | \cdot \mathbb{Y}_{H} + \sum_{h=1}^{H-1} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{Y}_{h} \to \mathbb{W}_{H} = \beta(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{W}). \text{ Complicated, but it can be linearized.} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{W}_{H} | \cdot \mathbb{Y}_{H} = \beta(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{W}). \text{ Complicated, but it can be linearized.} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{W}_{H} | \cdot \mathbb{Y}_{H} = \beta(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{W}). \text{ Complicated, but it can be linearized.} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{W}_{H} | \mathbb{W}_{H} = \beta(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{W}). \text{ Complicated, but it can be linearized.} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{W}_{H} = \beta(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{W}) | \mathbb{W}_{H} = \beta(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{W}). \text{ Complicated, but it can be linearized.} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{W}_{H} = \beta(\mathbb{W}, \mathbb{W}) | \mathbb{W}_{H} = \beta(\mathbb{W}, \mathbb{W}) | \mathbb{W}_{H} = \beta(\mathbb{W}, \mathbb{W}). \text{ Complicated, but it can be linearized.} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \exists_{h} | \mathbb{W}_{H} = \beta(\mathbb{W}, \mathbb{W}) | \mathbb{W}_{H} = \beta(\mathbb{W}, \mathbb{W}) | \mathbb{W}_{H} = \beta(\mathbb{W}, \mathbb{W}). \text{ Complicated, but it ca$$

$$\begin{cases} R - \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \Psi(\Xi_h) - \sum_{h=1}^{H} \varrho(w_h) \rightarrow \max \\ R + y \Gamma + \sum_{h=1}^{H} p_h \leqslant \overline{R}, R \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

$$Y \geqslant 0, P_h \geqslant 0, \forall h \in 1...H$$

$$Y + P_H \geqslant \overline{S}_H | W_H |, Y + P_h \geqslant \overline{S}_h | \overline{Z}_h | \forall h \in 1...H-1$$

$$Z_h = W_{h+1} - W_h, \forall h \in 0...H-1$$

$$W_h \in \mathcal{W}, Z_h \in \mathcal{Z}, \forall h \in 0...H$$

Geom. Walks approach. Let  $\hat{S}(t)$  be a price of coin, and let  $d\hat{S}(t) = \hat{S}(\mu dt + \mathcal{E}d\hat{w})$ .

Then  $\hat{S}(t) = S(0) \exp\left[(\mu - \frac{1}{2}z^2)t + z\hat{w}\right]$ , and  $\hat{V}_h = \frac{\hat{S}(K_h)}{S(0)} - 1$ , and  $\hat{V}_h$  is one of realisation for process.

We will estimate u and z based on such assumption.

Let 
$$\hat{a}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \ln \left( 1 + \hat{V}_{t} \right) = \left( \mu - \frac{1}{2} Z^{2} \right) + Z \frac{\hat{x}}{2} = > \left( \mu - \frac{1}{2} Z^{2} \right) \approx \frac{1}{H} \sum_{h=s}^{H} \frac{1}{K_{h}} \ln \left( 1 + \hat{V}_{h} \right)$$

In same way we able to estimate  $\mathcal{E}:$  let  $\hat{b}(t)=\ln(1+\hat{V_t})-(\mu-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}^2)t=\mathcal{E}\hat{W}$ .

Then  $B^2 = \frac{1}{H} \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} (\frac{\bar{b}(K_{h+1}) - \bar{b}(K_h)}{K_{h+1} - K_h}^2)$ . When we got  $\mu$  and B we able to make

classical 1 step portfolio optimisation:

$$||W - \gamma z^{2} w^{2} - s||W - w_{0}|| \rightarrow \max_{w \in [0,1]} = \sum_{w \in [0,1]} ||W - \gamma z^{2} w^{2} - s||W - w_{0}|| \left[ c_{0}, w_{0}, 1, \frac{\mu + s}{2 \forall z^{2}} \right] \cap [0,1] \right]$$