## ITK contributions

* **Run-length matrices for texture analysis.** Texture analysis provides quantitative information describing properties in images (e.g., lung CT) such as coarseness and smoothness. Two common quantification schemes are based on co-occurence matrices and run-length matrices. Although the co-occurence measures are readily available in the Insight Toolkit, there were no such set of classes exists for run-length measures until our open-source implementation [1]. These classes have since been integrated into the toolkit.
* **Class architecture for patch-based functionality.** In [2] and [3], we introduced a complete framework for ITK classes based on patch-based algorithmic processing. This approach has demonstrated utility for processes such as denoising, joint label fusion, and super-resolution. This joint label fusion work (originally discussed in [4]) is the basis for our lung and lobe estimation framework [5]. Similarly, the denoising algorithm [6] features prominently in our preprocessing pipelines.

### ANTsRNet

The recent interest in deep learning techniques and the associated successes with respect to a variety of applications has motivated adoption of such techniques within the medical imaging research community. Basic image operations such as classification, object identification, and segmentation (as well as more focused techniques) has significant potential for facilitating basic medical research. In light of these new developments, and in order to better meet the modern needs of the community, we have modified this specific aim for ITK-Lung to include the implementation and dissemination of open-source deep learning architectures relevant to the use cases of our partner investigators.

Towards this end, we have created *ANTsRNet*–a collection of well-known deep learning architectures ported to the R language. ANTsRNet is built using the Keras neural network library (available through R) and is highly integrated with the ANTsR package, the R interface of the ANTs toolkit. Consistent with our other software offerings, ongoing development is currently carried out on GitHub using a well-commented coding style, thorough documentation, and self-contained working examples.

It should be noted that various implementations of different deep learning architectures exist and are largely available to the public. However, we feel that this work fills an unmet need. Based on our own search, many publicly available implementations, while functional, are not developed with large-scale distribution and application as end goals. There is little, if any, coding consistency between the various implementations leading to non-standardized APIs and difficulties in code navigation for debugging and/or didactic reasons. In addition, the vast majority employ the Python language which is understandable given its widespread usage by data scientists. However, this work makes these powerful new developments available through a major platform heavily used by statisticians and data scientists alike. In addition, the R-based interface to the ANTs toolkit allows for preprocessing and data augmentation strategies specific to medical imaging. As a result of these current efforts, we were recently awarded a Titan XP GPU from the NVIDIA corporation for facilitating ongoing development.

Although much work remains to be completed, we have made significant progress. As noted below, several architectures have been implemented for both 2-D and 3-D images spanning the broad application areas of image classification, object detection, and image segmentation. It should be noted that most reporting in the literature has dealt exclusively with 2-D implementations. This is understandable due to memory and computational speed constraints limiting practical 3-D application on current hardware. However, given the importance that 3-D data has for medical imaging and the rapid progress in hardware, we feel it worth the investment in implementing corresponding 3-D architectures. Each architecture is accompanied by one or more self-contained examples for testing and illustrative purposes. In addtion, we have made novel data augmentation strategies available to the user and illustrated them with Keras-specific batch generators. These contributions are outlined below.

**Image classification**

* **AlexNet.** Although convolutional neural networks (CNNs) have been around since the 1970s, it was the ImageNet competition of 2012 and the superior results produced by the AlexNet architecture [7] that spurred its subsequent popularity such that CNNs are now the preferred approach to image-based neural networks. Although originally only 2-D, both 2-D and 3-D implementations have been implemented. Example test code employs the MNIST data set for classifying handwritten digits directly downloadable within R.
* **Vgg16/Vgg19.** OxfordNet, or VGG, architectures [8] are much deeper than AlexNet and featured well in the 2014 ImageNet challenge. We implemented popular 16- and 19-layer versions for ANTsRNet. Given the simplicity and excellent performance, these form the classification component of such object detection architectures as the multibox Single-Shot Detection (SSD) network described below. Both 2-D and 3-D versions have been implemented. Example test code employs the MNIST data set.
* **GoogLeNet.** GoogLeNet, or Inception (version v3) [9], is a 22-layer network characterized by *inception blocks* meant to reduce the number of parameters necessary to learn the targeted function. The architecture prevents a straightforward 3-D implementation so only a 2-D architecture is currently available. Example test code employs the MNIST data set.
* **ResNet/ResNeXt.** The original ResNet architecture [10], along with a variant known as *ResNeXt*[11], is also included in ANTsRNet. ResNet, characterized by specialized blocks and skip connections, won the ImageNet challenge in 2015. Both 2-D and 3-D versions have been implemented. Example test code employs the MNIST data set.
* **DenseNet.** The DenseNet architecture [12] is based on the observation that performance is typically enhanced with shorter connections between the layers and the input. This leads to an architecture in which every layer is connected to every other layer substantially reducing the number of parameters as well as other benefits. Both 2-D and 3-D versions have been implemented.

**Object detection**

* **SSD7/SSD300/SSD512.** A common preprocssing step in many medical imaging tsks is the localization of an object or region of interest. The Multibox Single-Shot Detection (SSD) algorithm is a well-known architecture with good performance [13]. We have implemented the original 2-D ‘300’- and ‘512’-style SSD networks in addition to their 3-D extensions. As these networks require significant training for determining optimal weighting, we also implemented a smaller architecture known as SSD7 which does not have such training data requirements. We also extended this architecture to 3-D. A self-contained 2-D example of labeled faces demonstrates training and testing of the SSD7 architecture.

**Image segmentation**

* **U-Net/V-net.** Extending fully convolutional neural networks (fCNN) by including an upsampling decoding path with skip connections linking corresponding encoding/decoding layers, the authors of U-net [14] created a well-performing deep learning segmentation framework for 2-D images. This was later extended to 3-D with a custom Dice loss function in [15] denoted as V-net. Both 2-D and 3-D versions are implemented with a custom loss Dice function based on our work in the Insight Toolkit [16]. We have also created specialized decoding and encoding utilities for translating between ANTs images and data representations necessary for Keras operations. Examples include a left/right lung segmentation example which includes a demonstration of our unique template-based data augmentation strategy (see below).

**Template-based data augmentation**

In addition to these software contributions, a significant methodological contribution we have made is the design of a template-based data augmentation strategy. The need for large training data sets is a well-known limitation associated with deep learning algorithms. Whereas the architectures developed for such tasks as the ImageNet competition have access to millions of annotated images, such data access is not always is available and such is typically the case in medical imaging. In order to achieve data set sizes necessary for learning functional models, various data augmentation strategies have been employed. These include application of intensity transformations, such as brightening and enhanced contrast, and simple spatial transformations, such as arbitrary rotations and translations. Regarding the latter, such transformations are not ideal as they might not reflect what is typically seen in medical images and might not sufficiently sample the shape-space of the population currently being studied.

We currently use a template-based approach whereby image data sampled from the population is used to construct a representative template that is optimal in terms of both shape and intensity [17]. In addition to the representative template, this template-building process yields the transformations to/from each individual image to the template space.  
This permits a propagation of the training data to the space of each individual image. In the simplest case, the training data is used to construct the template and then each individual training data is propagated to the space of every other individual training data. In this way, a training data set of size can be expanded to a data set of size (cf Figure 1). A more complicated use case could build a template from data sets (where ). Transformations between the training data and the template could then be used to propagate the training data to the spaces of the individual members of the template-generating data for an augmented data set size of .
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