

<sup>a</sup> University of Bonn, Germany; <sup>b</sup> University of Cologne, Germany ([janet.smith@example.org](mailto:janet.smith@example.org)); <sup>c</sup> Cluster of Excellence ECONtribute

### Example 1. BAL<sub>1:1</sub><sup>I</sup> vs. UNBAL<sub>1:8</sub><sup>I</sup>

### Example 2. BAL<sub>1:1</sub><sup>II</sup> vs. UNBAL<sub>8:1</sub><sup>II</sup>

**Recommendation:**

Place figures and tables at the top of the poster.

You will be primarily talking about your figures and tables.  
Hence, these are the most important elements of your poster.

Placing them at the top puts them at eye level.  
The body text is largely supplementary and can thus  
be placed in the bottom half.

	OLS			Tobit
	Lower bound (1)	Midpoint (2)	Upper bound (3)	(4)
$\tilde{d}$ in MAIN-TREATMENT	31.640*** (2.685)	37.610*** (3.575)	43.580*** (4.683)	37.094*** (3.658)
$\tilde{d}^{rel}$ in MAIN-TREATMENT	0.190*** (0.016)	0.224*** (0.021)	0.259*** (0.027)	
Observations	100	100	100	100

Notes: This table presents estimates of the average absolute and relative measure of concentration bias,  $\tilde{d}$  and  $\tilde{d}^{rel}$ , respectively. Robust standard errors in parentheses. Sample includes all observations from MAIN-TREATMENT. \*  $p < 0.1$ , \*\*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.01$ .

### Comparison average effect, present and future bias

A bar chart comparing the Concentration Bias Effect Size for three categories: Average effect, Present bias, and Future bias. The y-axis is labeled 'Concentration Bias Effect Size' and ranges from 0 to 0.1 in increments of 0.02. The x-axis has three labels: 'Average effect', 'Present bias', and 'Future bias'. The 'Average effect' bar is dark blue with a height of approximately 0.062 and a confidence interval from 0.054 to 0.070. The 'Present bias' bar is medium blue with a height of approximately 0.058 and a confidence interval from 0.050 to 0.066. The 'Future bias' bar is light blue with a height of approximately 0.067 and a confidence interval from 0.058 to 0.076.

Category	Concentration Bias Effect Size (approx.)	Lower Bound (approx.)	Upper Bound (approx.)
Average effect	0.062	0.054	0.070
Present bias	0.058	0.050	0.066
Future bias	0.067	0.058	0.076

**Bierbrauer, F., A. Tsyvinski, and N. Werquin.** 2022. "Taxes and Turnout: When the Decisive Voter Stays at Home." *American Economic Review* 112 (2): 689–719.

**Dertwinkel-Kalt, M., H. Gerhardt, G. Riener, F. Schwerter, and L. Strang.** 2022. "Concentration Bias in Intertemporal Choice." *Review of Economic Studies* 89 (3): 1314–34.

**Dohmen, T., A. Falk, D. Huffman, and U. Sunde.** 2012. "Interpreting Time Horizon Effects in Inter-Temporal Choice." IZA Discussion Paper, IZA Discussion Paper Series 6385. Maastricht University et al.

**Kőszegi, B., and A. Szeidl.** 2013. "A Model of Focusing in Economic Choice." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 128 (1): 53–104.

**Lisi, A. G.** 1995. "A solitary wave solution of the Maxwell–Dirac equations." *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* 28 (18): 5385–92.