

### Lecture 3.

-1-

In one direction the theorem is obvious. Any composition  $(f, g)_w$ ;  $f, g \in R$ , is  $= 0$  in the algebra  $A$ . If it reduces to a nontrivial combination of irreducible words then these irreducible words are not linearly independent modulo  $\text{id}(R)$ .

The other direction is: suppose that any composition  $(f, g)_w$ ;  $f, g \in R$ , reduces to 0. Then all irreducible words are linearly independent modulo  $\text{id}(R)$ . We will prove this assertion later. Now we will consider many examples.

Example 1 (Weyl Algebra).  $A = F\langle x, y \mid yx - xy = 1 \rangle$ .

Let  $x < y$ .

Leading monomial:  $yx$ .

It does not admit compositions with itself, so, no composition.

$Ir = \{ \text{words, not containing } yx \text{ as subwords} \} = \{ x^i y^j ; i, j \geq 0 \}$ .

This is a basis of the algebra  $A$ .

Example 2.  $A = F\langle x, y, z \mid zx - xz = y, yz - zy = 2z, yx - xy = -2x \rangle$ .

Let  $x < y < z$ .

Reduction System:

$$\begin{cases} zx \rightarrow xz + y, \\ zy \rightarrow yz - 2z, \\ yx \rightarrow xy - 2x. \end{cases}$$

There is only one composition:  $zy$  and  $yx$ ,  
 $w = zyx$ .

-3-

Setting  $f = zy - yz + 2z$ ,  $g = yx - xy + 2x$ ,  
we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (f, g)_w &= (zy - yz + 2z)x - z(yx - xy + 2x) \\
 &= -yzx + 2zx + zxy - 2zx = \\
 &= -y\underline{zx} + \underline{zx}y \rightarrow -y(xz + y) + (xz + y)y \\
 &= -yxz - y^2 + xzy + y^2 = -\underline{yxz} + x\underline{zy} \\
 &\rightarrow -(xy - 2x)z + x(yz - 2z) = \\
 &= -xyz + 2xz + xyz - 2xz = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Irreducible words: not having  $zx, zy,$   
 $yx$  as subwords  $= \{x^i y^j z^k \mid i, j, k \geq 0\}$ ,  
a basis of  $A$ .

Example 3 (order matters).  $A = F\langle x, y \mid y^2x - xyx = 0 \rangle$

If  $x < y$  then  $y^2x$  is the leading monomial.

-4-

It does not admit compositions with itself, so no compositions.

Now let  $y < x$ . Then  $xyx$  is the leading monomial  $\overline{xyx}yx$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (xyx - y^2x)yx - xy(x^2yx - y^2x) &= \\ = -y^2 \underline{xyx} + xy^3x &\rightarrow -y^4x + xy^3x. \end{aligned}$$

Both words  $y^4x$  and  $xy^3x$  are irreducible

4. Important applications: Lie, commutative and graded algebras.

### Lie Algebras.

A Lie algebra is a vector space  $L$  over  $F$  with a binary bilinear product  $L \times L \rightarrow L$ ,  $a \times b \rightarrow [a, b]$  such that

$$\begin{cases} [a, b] = -[b, a] \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} [[a, b], c] + [[b, c], a] + [[c, a], b] = 0 \end{cases}$$

for arbitrary elements  $a, b, c \in L$ .

Example. Let  $A$  be an associative  $F$ -algebra. Keep the structure of the  $F$ -vector space on  $A$  and define a new product

$$[a, b] = ab - ba.$$

Then  $A$  becomes a Lie algebra which is denoted as  $A^{(-)} = (A, [, ])$ .

If  $A = M_n(F)$  is the algebra of  $n \times n$  matrices over  $F$  then  $M_n(F)^{(-)}$  is a very important Lie algebra denoted as  $gl_n(F)$ .

The subspace

$$sl_n(F) = \{ a \in M_n(F) \mid \text{tr}(a) = 0 \}$$

of  $A$  is closed under commutators, hence  $sl_n(F)$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $gl_n(F) = A^{(-)}$ .

The subspace of skew-symmetric matrices is also closed under commutators, hence a subalgebra of  $sl_n(F)$ .

### Universal Enveloping Algebras.

Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra. A homomorphism  $L \rightarrow A^{(-)}$ , where  $A$  is an associative algebra is called a representation of the Lie algebra  $L$ .

A morphism from a representation  $L \xrightarrow{\varphi} A^{(-)}$  to a representation  $L \xrightarrow{\psi} B^{(-)}$

is a homomorphism of associative algebras  $A \xrightarrow{\pi} B$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A \\ & \searrow \psi & \downarrow \pi \\ & & B \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Theorem I.4.1. For an arbitrary Lie algebra  $L$  there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) universal representation  $L \xrightarrow{u} U^{(-)}$ , such that for any representation  $L \xrightarrow{\varphi} A^{(-)}$  there exists a unique morphism  $L \xrightarrow{u} U$   $\searrow \varphi$   $\downarrow \pi$   $A$ .

Proof. Let us first show that any two universal representations are

isomorphic.

Let  $u: L \rightarrow U^{(-)}$  be a universal representation. We claim that the associative algebra  $U$  is generated by the image  $u(L)$ . Indeed, let  $\langle u(L) \rangle$  be the subalgebra of the algebra  $U$  generated by  $u(L)$ . Then

$$\bar{u}: L \xrightarrow{u} \langle u(L) \rangle$$

is also a representation. By universality of  $L \xrightarrow{u} U$  there exists a homomorphism  $\chi: U \rightarrow \langle u(L) \rangle$  of associative algebras that is identical on  $u(L)$ . We have  $\chi^2 = \chi$ . Hence  $U = \langle u(L) \rangle \oplus \text{Ker } \chi$  is a direct sum of ideals. If  $\text{Ker } \chi \neq (0)$  then we have



-9-

two morphisms

$$L \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{u} U \\ \searrow \scriptstyle x_1 \downarrow \downarrow x_2 \\ \xrightarrow{u} U \end{array}, x_1 = \text{id}_U, x_2 \text{ is identical}$$

on  $\langle u(L) \rangle$ ,  $x_2(\text{Ker } x) = \{0\}$ , a contradiction.

We showed that the algebra  $U$  is generated by  $u(L)$ .

If  $L \xrightarrow{u_1} U_1^{(-)}$ ,  $L \xrightarrow{u_2} U_2^{(-)}$  are universal representations then there exist morphism

$$\varphi: U_1 \rightarrow U_2, \psi: U_2 \rightarrow U_1 \text{ such that}$$

$\psi \varphi$  is identical on  $u_1(L)$ , hence

$\psi \varphi = \text{id}_{U_1}$ . Similarly  $\varphi \psi = \text{id}_{U_2}$ . Hence

$\varphi, \psi$  are isomorphisms.

Now we will prove existence of a universal representation.

Let  $e_i, i \in I$ , be a basis of the vector space  $L$ . Let

$$[e_i, e_j] = \sum_k \delta_{ij}^k e_k, \quad \delta_{ij}^k \in F.$$

Consider the alphabet  $X = \{x_i, i \in I\}$  and the subset

$$R = \{x_i x_j - x_j x_i - \sum_k \delta_{ij}^k x_k \mid i, j \in I\}$$

of  $F\langle X \rangle$ . Consider the associative algebra

$$U = F\langle X \mid R=0 \rangle = F\langle X \rangle / \text{id}(R).$$

The mapping

$$u: e_i \rightarrow x_i + \text{id}(R) \in U, \quad i \in I,$$

-11-

extends to a representation  $u: L \rightarrow U^{(-)}$ .

Indeed, we only need to check that

$$u([e_i, e_j]) = [u(e_i), u(e_j)]$$

$$\text{LHS} = u\left(\sum_k \delta_{ij}^k e_k\right) = \sum_k \delta_{ij}^k x_k + \text{id}(R)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS} &= [x_i + \text{id}(R), x_j + \text{id}(R)] = x_i x_j - x_j x_i \\ &+ \text{id}(R) = \sum_k \delta_{ij}^k x_k + \text{id}(R). \end{aligned}$$

Let us show that the representation  $u$  is universal.

Let  $A$  be an associative algebra and let  $\varphi: L \rightarrow A$  be a representation.

We claim that the mapping

$$\chi : x_i + id(R) \rightarrow \varphi(e_i) \in A, i \in I,$$

extends to a homomorphism of associative algebras  $U \rightarrow A$ .

We know the presentation of the algebra

$$U : U = F\langle x \mid R = 0 \rangle.$$

~~By the Proposition~~ We only need to check that the mapping  $\chi$  "respects" the defining relations.

Choose a defining relation

$$x_i x_j - x_j x_i - \sum_k \gamma_{ij}^k x_k = 0$$

We need to check that

$$\varphi(e_i) \varphi(e_j) - \varphi(e_j) \varphi(e_i) - \sum_k \gamma_{ij}^k \varphi(e_k) = 0$$

in  $A$ .

this equality follows from the fact that  $\varphi$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\varphi([e_i, e_j]) = \varphi(e_i)\varphi(e_j) - \varphi(e_j)\varphi(e_i)$$

$$\parallel \\ \varphi\left(\sum_k \delta_{ij}^k e_k\right) = \sum_k \delta_{ij}^k \varphi(e_k).$$

this completes the proof of the Proposition.

The algebra  $U = U(L)$  is called the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra  $L$ .

Theorem ( Poincare - Birkhoff - Witt,  
in short: PBW). Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra  
with a basis  $\{e_i, i \in I\}$ , the set of  
indices  $I$  is equipped with an order  $<$

satisfying minimality condition. Then  
~~the set of products~~  $1, u$  Let  $u: L \rightarrow U(L)$   
 be the universal representation of  $L$ . Then  
 the set of ordered products  $1, u(e_{i_1}) \cdots u(e_{i_r}),$   
 $i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_r$ , is a basis of  $U(L)$ .

Proof. Let  $[e_i, e_j] = \sum_k \delta_{ij}^k e_k$ . The scalars  
 $\delta_{ij}^k \in F$  are called structural constants.

The algebra  $U(L)$  has a presentation  
 $F \langle x_i, i \in I \mid R = 0 \rangle$ ,  $R = \{ x_i x_j - x_j x_i - \sum_k \delta_{ij}^k x_k \}$ .

The set  $R$  is closed with respect to  
 compositions.

Indeed, denote  $\{ x_i, x_j \} = \sum_k \delta_{ij}^k x_k$ .

Consider defining relations

$$f = x_i x_j - x_j x_i - \{x_i, x_j\}, \quad g = x_j x_k - x_k x_j - \{x_j, x_k\} \quad ; \quad k < j < i.$$

that admit a composition,  $w = x_i x_j x_k$

$$\begin{aligned} (f, g)_w &= (x_i x_j - x_j x_i - \{x_i, x_j\}) x_k - \\ &- x_i (x_j x_k - x_k x_j - \{x_j, x_k\}) = -x_j \underline{x_i x_k} \\ &- \{x_i, x_j\} x_k + \underline{x_i x_k} x_j + x_i \{x_j, x_k\} \\ &\rightarrow -x_j (x_k x_i + \{x_i, x_k\}) - \{x_i, x_j\} x_k \\ &+ (x_k x_i + \{x_i, x_k\}) x_j + x_i \{x_j, x_k\} \\ &= -\underline{x_j x_k} x_i - x_j \{x_i, x_k\} - \{x_i, x_j\} x_k \\ &+ x_k \underline{x_i x_j} + \{x_i, x_k\} x_j + x_i \{x_j, x_k\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\rightarrow -(x_k x_j + \{x_j, x_k\}) x_i - x_j \cdot \{x_i, x_k\} \\
 &\quad - \{x_i, x_j\} x_k + x_k (x_j x_i + \{x_i, x_j\}) + \\
 &\quad \{x_i, x_k\} x_j + x_i \{x_j, x_k\} = \\
 &= -\{x_j, x_k\} x_i - x_j \{x_i, x_k\} - \{x_i, x_j\} x_k \\
 &\quad + x_k \{x_i, x_j\} + \{x_i, x_k\} x_j + x_i \{x_j, x_k\} = \\
 &\quad [x_i, \{x_j, x_k\}] - [x_j, \underbrace{\{x_i, x_k\}}_{= -\{x_k, x_i\}}] + \\
 &\quad + [x_k, \{x_i, x_j\}] = \\
 &= [x_i, \{x_j, x_k\}] + [x_j, \{x_k, x_i\}] + [x_k, \{x_i, x_j\}] \\
 &\rightarrow \{x_i, \{x_j, x_k\}\} + \{x_j, \{x_k, x_i\}\} + \{x_k, \{x_i, x_j\}\}
 \end{aligned}$$

This expression = 0 because it has the same coefficients as



$$[e_i, [e_j, e_k]] + [e_j, [e_k, e_i]] + [e_k, [e_i, e_j]] = 0.$$

We checked that the set  $R$  is closed with respect to compositions.

Leading monomials in  $R$ :  $x_i x_j$ , where  $i > j$ .

Irreducible words:  $x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_r}$ ,  $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_r$ .

Now it remains to use Theorem .

Corollary. For an arbitrary Lie algebra  $L$

the universal representation

$u: L \rightarrow U(L)$  is injective.

Indeed,  $u: e_i \rightarrow x_i + \text{id}(R)$ .

The words  $x_i$  are irreducible, hence

-18-

they are linearly independent modulo  $\text{id}(R)$ . If  $a = \sum_i \alpha_i e_i \xrightarrow{u} 0$  then  $\sum \alpha_i x_i = 0 \pmod{\text{id}(R)}$ , hence  $\alpha_i = 0$ ,  $a = 0$ .

Since the homomorphism  $u$  is injective we can identify  $L$  with  $u(L)$ . Then  $L \subseteq U(L)$  and

$1, e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \dots, e_{i_r}, i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_r, r \geq 1$ ,  
is a basis of  $U(L)$ .