## 1 Symmetrization of Rank-2 Tensors

**Defn 1.1** (Symmetric and Antisymmetric Tensor). A rank-2 tensor  $S^{\mu\nu}$  is **symmetric** if it's the same under exchange of its indices  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ :

$$S^{\mu\nu} = S^{\nu\mu}$$

Examples: stress-energy tensor  $\mathcal{T}^{\mu\nu}$ ; Kronecker-Delta  $\delta^{\mu\nu}$ ; Lorentz metric  $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ .

A rank-2 tensor  $A^{\mu\nu}$  is **antisymmetric** if it's the opposite under exchange of its indices:

$$A^{\mu\nu} = -A^{\nu\mu}$$

Examples: electromagnetic field tensor  $F^{\mu\nu}$ 

**Defn 1.2** (Symmetrization and Antisymmetrization). Given an arbitrary rank-2 tensor  $T^{\mu\nu}$ , we may define its **symmetrization** as

Sym 
$$T^{\mu\nu} = T^{[\mu\nu]} = \frac{T^{\mu\nu} + T^{\nu\mu}}{2}$$

and its **antisymmetrization** as

AntiSym 
$$T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\{\mu\nu\}} = \frac{T^{\mu\nu} - T^{\nu\mu}}{2}$$

For the following corollaries, let  $T^{\mu\nu}$  be an arbitrary tensor,  $S^{\mu\nu}$  be an arbitrary symmetric tensor, and  $A^{\mu\nu}$  be an arbitrary antisymmetric tensor.

**Proposition 1.1** (Symmetric Antisymmetric Decomposition). Symmetrization and antisymmetrization form a complete, disjoint set of projection operators of the set of rank-2 tensors onto the sets of symmetric and antisymmetric rank-2 tensors respectively. That is, for any given tensor  $T^{\mu\nu}$ , we may uniquely write it terms of symmetric and antisymmetric components:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T^{[\mu\nu]} + T^{\{\mu\nu\}}$$

**Proof:** We'll first show that Sym and AntiSym map tensors to symmetric and antisymmetric tensors respectively:

$$\mathrm{Sym}\ T^{\nu\mu} = \frac{T^{\nu\mu} + T^{\mu\nu}}{2} = \mathrm{Sym}\ T^{\mu\nu}$$
 Anti  
Sym 
$$T^{\nu\mu} = \frac{T^{\nu\mu} - T^{\mu\nu}}{2} = -\mathrm{AntiSym}\ T^{\mu\nu}$$

Now we'll show that Sym and AntiSym are projection operators:

$$\operatorname{Sym} \operatorname{Sym} T^{\mu\nu} = \operatorname{Sym} \frac{T^{\mu\nu} + T^{\nu\mu}}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \operatorname{Sym} T^{\mu\nu} + \operatorname{Sym} T^{\nu\mu} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( \operatorname{Sym} T^{\mu\nu} + \operatorname{Sym} T^{\mu\nu} \right) = \operatorname{Sym} T^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\operatorname{AntiSym} \operatorname{AntiSym} T^{\mu\nu} = \operatorname{AntiSym} \frac{T^{\mu\nu} - T^{\nu\mu}}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \operatorname{AntiSym} T^{\mu\nu} - \operatorname{AntiSym} T^{\nu\mu} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( \operatorname{AntiSym} T^{\mu\nu} + \operatorname{AntiSym} T^{\mu\nu} \right) = \operatorname{AntiSym} T^{\mu\nu}$$

And that they're disjoint projections:

And finally, they're complete:

(Sym + AntiSym )
$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{T^{\mu\nu} + T^{\nu\mu}}{2} + \frac{T^{\mu\nu} - T^{\nu\mu}}{2} = T^{\mu\nu}$$

This should match your intuition for these words: there's no difference between a symmetric tensor and a symmetrized tensor, and antisymmetric tensors have no symmetric component (and mutatus mutandi for antisymmetric tensors).

For some more examples, here's rank-2 tensors made out of rank-1 tensors (vectors):

$$\mathrm{Sym}\ p^\mu q^\nu = \frac{p^\mu q^\nu + p^\nu q^\mu}{2}$$
 Anti  
Sym 
$$p^\mu q^\nu = \frac{p^\mu q^\nu - p^\nu q^\mu}{2}$$
 Sym 
$$p^\mu p^\nu = p^\mu p^\nu$$
 Anti  
Sym 
$$p^\mu p^\nu = 0$$

Please not that multiplying by a scalar doesn't change whether a tensor is symmetric or antisymmetric or neither, so  $p^{\mu}q^{\nu} + p^{\nu}q^{\mu}$  is still and symmetric tensor, it's just twice the symmetrization of  $p^{\mu}q^{\nu}$ .

**Proposition 1.2** (Contraction Symmetric and Antisymmetric Tensors). The full contraction of a symmetric tensor and antisymmetric tensor is zero.

**Proof:** 

(Sym 
$$T^{\mu\nu}$$
)(AntiSym  $T_{\mu\nu}$ ) =  $\frac{1}{4} (T^{\mu\nu} + T^{\nu\mu}) (T_{\mu\nu} - T_{\nu\mu})$   
=  $\frac{1}{4} (T^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu} + T^{\nu\mu}T_{\mu\nu} - T^{\mu\nu}T_{\nu\mu} - T^{\nu\mu}T_{\nu\mu})$   
=  $\frac{1}{4} (T^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu} + T^{\nu\mu}T_{\mu\nu} - T^{\nu\mu}T_{\mu\nu} - T^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu})$   
= 0

**Proposition 1.3** (Contraction of Arbitrary Tensors). For two rank-2 tensors  $T^{\mu\nu}$  and  $U^{\mu\nu}$ , their contraction is just the sum of the contractions of their (anti)symmetrized components:

$$T^{\mu\nu}U_{\mu\nu} = (\text{Sym } T^{\mu\nu})(\text{Sym } U_{\mu\nu}) + (\text{AntiSym } T^{\mu\nu})(\text{AntiSym } U_{\mu\nu})$$

**Proof:** Follows immediately from expanding the tensors into their symmetric and antisymmetric components, multiplying out the terms, and cancelling the cross terms by the previous propositions.

**Proposition 1.4** (Contractions of Products of Vectors). We often come across contractions of sets of symmetric or antisymmetric vectors. Here's some useful identities.

$$(p^{\mu}q^{\nu} + p^{\nu}q^{\mu})(a_{\mu}b_{\nu} + a_{\nu}b_{\mu}) = 4(\operatorname{Sym} p^{\mu}q^{\nu})(\operatorname{Sym} a_{\mu}b_{\nu})$$

$$= [(p \cdot a)(q \cdot b) + (p \cdot b)(q \cdot a) + (p \cdot b)(q \cdot a) + (p \cdot a)(q \cdot b)]$$

$$= 2[(p \cdot a)(q \cdot b) + (p \cdot b)(q \cdot a)]$$

$$(p^{\mu}q^{\nu} - p^{\nu}q^{\mu}) (a_{\mu}b_{\nu} - a_{\nu}b_{\mu}) = 4(\text{AntiSym } p^{\mu}q^{\nu})(\text{AntiSym } a_{\mu}b_{\nu})$$

$$= [(p \cdot a)(q \cdot b) - (p \cdot b)(q \cdot a) - (p \cdot b)(q \cdot a) + (p \cdot a)(q \cdot b)]$$

$$= 2 [(p \cdot a)(q \cdot b) - (p \cdot b)(q \cdot a)]$$

$$(p^{\mu}q^{\nu} + p^{\nu}q^{\mu})(p_{\mu}q_{\nu} + p_{\nu}q_{\mu}) = 4(\text{Sym } p^{\mu}q^{\nu})(\text{Sym } p_{\mu}q_{\nu})$$

$$= 2 [p^{2}q^{2} + (p \cdot q)^{2}]$$

Note that because of symmetry, the only terms we can make is  $(p \cdot a)(q \cdot b)$  and  $(p \cdot b)(q \cdot a)$  up to signs and constant factors.

## 2 Decomposition of Antisymmetric Rank-2 Tensors

We'll be working in Minkowski space with the metric

$$\eta = diag(+, -, -, -)$$

Consider the (arbitrary) rank-2 antisymmetric tensor  $F^{\mu\nu}$ . It may be uniquely expressed by the following 3-vectors  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ :

$$F^{\mu\mu} = 0$$

$$F^{0i} = E^{i}$$

$$F^{ij} = -\epsilon^{ijk} B^{k}$$

The  $F^{\mu\nu}$  tensor can then be written as:

$$F^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E^x & E^y & E^z \\ 0 & -B^z & B^y \\ & 0 & -B^x \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the lower half is the negative of the upper half. We may also write the vector components in terms of the tensor:

$$E^{i} = F^{0i}$$
 
$$B^{i} = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}F^{jk}$$

The signs and normalizations are chosen to match the familiar electromagnetic field tensor, electric fields, and magnetic fields.

**Proof:** The time-like field is trivially satisfied by these equations:

$$E^i = F^{0i} = E^i$$

And the space-like field:

$$B^{i} = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} F^{jk}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} \left( -\epsilon^{jkl} B^{l} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} (2\delta^{il}) B^{l} = B^{i}$$

**Proposition 2.1** (Contractions of Antisymmetric Tensors Into Contractions of 3-Vectors). Consider two antisymmetric rank-2  $F^{\mu\nu}$  and  $G^{\mu\nu}$  with decompositions into 3-vectors

$$F^{0i} = a^i$$
  $G^{0i} = \alpha^i$   $F^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} b^k$   $G^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} \beta^k$ 

Then the total contraction of these two tensors in terms of the three vectors is:

$$F^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu} = 2(a^i G_{0i} + b^k \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} G_{ij})$$
$$= -2(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta})$$

**Proof:** 

$$F^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu} = F^{0i}G_{0i} + F^{i0}G_{i0} + F^{ij}G_{ij}$$

$$= 2a^{i}G_{0i} + \epsilon^{ijk}b^{k}G_{ij}$$

$$= 2(a^{i}G_{0i} + b^{k}\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}G_{ij})$$

$$= 2(a^{i}(-\alpha^{i}) + b^{k}(\beta^{k}))$$

$$= -2(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta})$$

**Example:** Consider the generators of the Lorentz algebra

$$J^{\mu\nu} = i(x^{\mu}\partial^{\nu} - x^{\nu}\partial^{\mu})$$

which is an antisymmetric rank-2 tensor. This may be rewritten as two 3-vectors of generators

$$L^{i} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} J^{jk}$$
$$K^{i} = J^{0i}$$

and the Lorentz generators in terms of the 3-vectors

$$J^{0i} = K^i$$
$$J^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} L^k$$

And so we have the component representation of the  $J^{\mu\nu}$  tensor as

$$F^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & K^x & K^y & K^z \\ & 0 & L^z & -L^y \\ & & 0 & L^x \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Example:** Consider the parameterization of the infinitesimal transformation of Lorentz transformations in the 1/2-representation:

$$\Lambda_{1/2} = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}S^{\mu\nu}\right) \approx 1 - \frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}S^{\mu\nu}$$

Where  $S^{\mu\nu}$  is an antisymmetric tensor

$$S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{4} \left[ \gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu} \right]$$

Which in the Weyl basis we may decompose into

$$B^{i} = S^{0i} = \frac{i}{4} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^{i} & 0\\ 0 & -\sigma^{i} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\Sigma^{i} = \epsilon^{ijk} S^{jk}$$

and  $\omega_{\mu\nu}$  is a set of infinitesimal parameters, which we decompose into two 3-vectors as above:

$$\omega^{0i} = \beta^i$$
$$\omega^{jk} = \epsilon^{ijk} \theta^k$$

And so the infinitesimal transformation is:

$$\Lambda_{1/2} \approx 1 + i \left( \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} - \boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \right) = \exp \left( i (\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} - \boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \right)$$