

Thread: Exercise 1.4

Select:

<< < Thread 2 of 2

Message Actions


Expand All

Collapse All

8 Post(s) in this Thread

0 Unread

0 Unread Replies to Me

**Christina Nick** 

12 days ago

Exercise 1.4

If an AI with genuine general intelligence was to exist in the future, should it have the same rights as humans? If so, why? If not, why not?

Remember to ensure that you offer reasons and arguments for the views that you express. Once you have posted your initial contribution please read through and comment on the contributions made by others.

**Arya Burke**

4 days ago

RE: Exercise 1.4

I believe that strong AI with intelligence and self-awareness should have the same rights as humans, since those are some of the most important things that constitute our human-ness. They should not be forced into suffering. But the question itself presumes that all humans have the same rights, which is absolutely not the case throughout the world, and without a world where all humans are treated equally, it's very unlikely that we will be able to address the potential needs and demands that will come with strong AI. Nevertheless, that kind of intelligence and self-awareness should never be treated as disposable.

**Zahra Alkabbaz**

3 days ago

RE: Exercise 1.4

An AI with genuine general intelligence should be treated with the same rights as humans especially if it is given freedom of learning of some aspects without specific limitations; to protect the humanity of the AI explosion. If we are asking them to respect us, we need to respect them in return, there could be some exceptions where we prioritize human safety and security when considering AI rights. We should not discriminate between them but rather have standardised rights among all of them while we address any potential exceptions where possible.



Alexis Olubunmi-Oke

3 days ago

RE: Exercise 1.4

I think an AI that has general intelligence should not be created, and if it was created it should have hardware constraints, or be contained in a separate computer system/AI Box that only humans have control over. Already the AI wouldn't have the same rights as humans because of the nature of being contained or restricted, however it would be harmless, friendly or unfriendly.

Humans are naturally biased which has been exemplified by AI produced from unintentionally biased training sets. Therefore making a super intelligent machine without the transferring that bias would be extremely challenging and maybe impossible. As others have already stated, it is very unlikely that we would be able to address all the demands that come with a super intelligent machine, so I think it is better to create machines/AI for specific purposes. The general knowledge can be left up to University Challenges !



Sarah Lewis

3 days ago

RE: Exercise 1.4

If an AI with genuine general intelligence was to exist in the future, should it have the same rights as humans?

No it should not as it is not truly living and does not have the same capacity to feel emotions as humans do. AI is also prone to make mistakes or be biased, if AI is clearly producing racist results it would be a waste of resources to give the AI a 'fair trial in court' to determine if it truly is being racist. Instead software developers should be able to modify the AI which may for example involve preventing it from having freedom of speech which is a right that should not be taken away from humans. Essentially humans will control the AI and therefore it will have less rights than the human



Edward Digby

3 days ago

RE: Exercise 1.4

I think these sentient AI should have a level of rights & attribution to their actions, however this should be lower than the standard of human rights and these machines should be the responsibility of their owners. This is because these machines will need to learn from their environment, very similar to how humans learn.

For example, if a strong AI is restricted to a single environment that has been tainted and they learn bad lessons from their owners / teachers / developers is it their fault or is it the fault of the teacher? I think if their only subjected to

this single environment then its the teachers fault, how could the AI have learnt anything better?



Mischa Sherrington-Bell

1 day ago

RE: Exercise 1.4

If an AI is created with intelligence and assuming it does not have consciousness, then it should not be treated as the same as a human as it doesn't truly represent the capabilities that a human does. However, if there is consciousness, then it should be respected but given a different set of rights. As it is representing human-like qualities then rights should be in place as people could mistreat this AI and potentially other people in the same regard. If an AI is made with intelligence and consciousness, then it questions the actual need of an AI system instead of a human and the true purpose of giving an AI consciousness.

Reply

Quote

Email Author



Hollow Man

Hollow Man

Just now

RE: Exercise 1.4

I don't believe we should give genuine general intelligence AI the same rights as humans if such kind of AI is created, and moreover, I think AI which needs rights and can act like humans shouldn't be created in the first place. We can't play the roles of God because we aren't strong enough, we can't always ensure that such kind of AI will help humans, if we give the same rights as humans to AI, chances are that one day AI will be out of control and do harm to humans, build their own nations and start war. We will have little chance to win the genuine general intelligence AI if this happens and eventually humans will be controlled and destroyed by AI.

Select: All None

Message Actions

Expand All

Collapse All

← OK

