# Exercise 4

### Holo

## Sunday 30<sup>th</sup> July, 2023

Exercise 1. Dense projections and Quotient posets

#### Part 1.1.

Let  $\pi, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{P}}$  be as in the question, let G be any M-generic subset of  $\mathbb{P}$  and let  $\Gamma = (\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{P}})_G, \Gamma' = (\check{\pi}''\Gamma_{\mathbb{P}})_G$ .

Let  $p, q \in \Gamma$  and  $p', q' \in \Gamma'$  be strengthening of p, q respectively, let  $t, r \in G$  be such that  $\pi(t) = p', \pi(r) = q'$ , because G is generic we have  $t, r < w \in G$ , and because  $\pi$  is a weak homomorphism,  $\pi(w) > q', p'$  hence  $\pi(w) > q, p$ , and almost by definition  $\pi(w) \in \Gamma$ .

Because  $\Gamma$  is closed downwards by definition, we only left to show that it intersect with every maximal anti-chain of  $\mathbb Q$  that is in M, let A be such maximal anti-chain. For each  $x \in \mathbb Q$  let  $x^{\dagger}$  be a maximal anti-chain of  $\{y \in \pi''\mathbb P \mid y \geq x\}$ , and let  $A^{\dagger} = \bigcup \{y^{\dagger} \mid y \in A\}$ , because  $\pi''\mathbb P$  is dense, we have that  $A^{\dagger}$  is a maximal anti-chain as well.

Let  $A^{\ddagger}$  be the inverse image of  $A^{\dagger}$  by  $\pi$ , because  $\pi$  preserve incompatibility we must have that  $A^{\ddagger}$  contains a maximal anti-chain, let  $t \in A^{\ddagger} \cap G$ ,  $\pi(t) \in A^{\dagger} \cap \Gamma$ . By construction of  $A^{\dagger}$  there exists some  $p \in A$  such that  $\pi(t) > p$ , and because  $\Gamma$  is closed downwards we have that  $p \in A \cap \Gamma$ , hence  $M[G] \models \Gamma$  is generic over M for  $\mathbb{Q}^{\Gamma}$ .

Finally because G was arbitrary we can conclude that  $0_{\mathbb{P}}$  forces that.

#### Part 1.2.

Let  $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  be generic.

Notice that H is exactly  $\Gamma$  from (1.1), so H is generic over M for  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Because being downwards closed is downwards absolute (from  $\mathbb{P}$  to  $\mathbb{P}/_{\pi}H$ ) and every 2 elements having a common extension in the subset is absolute (again from  $\mathbb{P}$  to  $\mathbb{P}/_{\pi}H$ ) we only need to care about it intersecting every maximal anti-chain of  $\mathbb{P}/_{\pi}H$  in M[H].

Let  $p, q \in \mathbb{P}/_{\pi}H$ , by definition  $\pi(p), \pi(q) \in H \implies \pi(p) \| \pi(q) \implies p \| q$ , the last implication is because  $\pi$  is homomorphism and the one before that is because H is a generic filter.

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}/_{\pi}H$  be any maximal anti-chain in M[H], that is  $A = \{p\}$  for some  $p \in \mathbb{P}/_{\pi}H$  (as any 2 elements of the quotient poset are compatible), so  $A \in M$ . Extend A to A' a maximal anti-chain in  $\mathbb{P}$ , because G is M generic for  $\mathbb{P}$  we know that  $G \cap A' \neq \emptyset$ , but  $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}/_{\pi}H$  so  $G \cap A' = A \implies G \cap A = A \neq \emptyset$ .

For the other direction, let G be any subset of  $\mathbb{P}$  such that H is M-generic for  $\mathbb{Q}$  and G is M[H]-generic for  $\mathbb{P}/_{\pi}H$ .

Similarly to before, every 2 elements in  $\mathbb{P}/_{\pi}H$  are compatible, in particular any 2 elements in G are compatible, as  $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}/_{\pi}H$ .

Let  $p \in G$  and q < p, because  $\pi$  is a weak homomorphism we have that  $\pi(q) < \pi(p) \in H$ , so  $\pi(q) \in H \implies q \in \mathbb{P}/_{\pi}H$ , because q and <math>G is closed downwards in  $\mathbb{P}/_{\pi}H$  we must have that  $q \in G$ .

Lastly, let  $p, q \in \mathbb{P}/_{\pi}H$ , let  $D_{p,q} = \{r \in \mathbb{P} \mid r \geq p, q \vee r \perp p \vee r \perp q\}$  and  $D' = \pi'' D_{p,q}$ , because  $D_{p,q}$  is dense and  $\pi$  is dense homomorphism we know that D' is dense hence intersecting H, let  $r \in D_{p,q}$  be such that  $\pi(r) \in D' \cap H$ . Because  $\pi(r) \in H$  we have that  $r \in \mathbb{P}/_{\pi}H$  but because every 2 elements of  $\mathbb{P}/_{\pi}H$  are compatible in  $\mathbb{P}$ , we have that  $r \parallel p$  and  $r \parallel q$ , by construction of  $D_{p,q}$  we get that  $r \geq p, q$ .

That means that every 2 elements in  $\mathbb{P}/_{\pi}H$  are compatible in  $\mathbb{P}/_{\pi}H$ , so the only generic filter is  $\mathbb{P}/_{\pi}H$ , so  $G = \mathbb{P}/_{\pi}H$ .

Now take A to be any maximal anti-chain,  $\pi''A$  is a maximal anti-chain as well, hence  $\{\pi(p)\} = H \cap \pi''A$  hence  $G \cap A = \mathbb{P}/_{\pi}H \cap A = \{p\} \neq \emptyset$ 

## Exercise 2. Collapse Criterion

First note that  $\mathbb{Q}/q$  absorbs  $\mathbb{Q}$ , indeed for each M-generic for  $\mathbb{Q}/q$  we can take the downwards closure and get an M-generic for  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Therefore  $0_{\mathbb{Q}/q} \Vdash |\check{\omega}| = |\check{\delta}|$ , so  $\mathbb{Q}/q$  is not  $\delta$ .c.c. and has a maximal anti-chain of size  $\delta$ .

Let  $\dot{g}$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$  name that  $0_{\mathbb{Q}} \Vdash "\dot{g} : \omega \to \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is surjective" We will construct recursively an embedding from  $\delta^{<\omega}$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$  as a tree T:

- $T_{\Lambda} = 0_{\mathbb{Q}}$
- Let  $t \in \delta^{n+1}$  and assume  $T_t$  is defined, let  $C_t = \{c_t^{(\alpha)} \mid \alpha \in \delta\}$  be a maximal anti-chain of size  $\delta$  in  $\mathbb{Q}/T_t$  such that for each  $c \in C_t$  we have that c decides the value of  $\dot{g}(\check{n})$  and let  $T_{t \frown \{i\}} = c_t^{(i)}$

Note that the anti-chain above is always well defined, let  $p \in \mathbb{Q}$  be any element and let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}/p$  be any maximal anti-chain that decides  $\dot{g}(\check{n})$ , let  $q \in A$  be any element and let  $B \subseteq \mathbb{Q}/q$  of cardinality  $\delta$ , then  $A' = A \setminus q \cup B$  is a maximal anti-chain of size  $\delta$  that decides  $\dot{q}(\check{n})$ .

This map is clearly an injective homomorphism, to see that it is dense let  $p \in \mathbb{Q}$  be any element, remember that  $p \Vdash "\dot{g} : \omega \to \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is surjective and  $\check{p} \in \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}} = \operatorname{range}(\dot{g})$ " which implies  $p \Vdash \exists n \in \check{\omega} \ \dot{g}(n) = \check{p}$  in particular there exists a name  $\dot{n}$  such that  $p \Vdash \dot{g}(\dot{n}) = \check{p}$ , let m be a real natural such that  $p \Vdash \check{m} = \dot{n}$ , thus  $p \Vdash \dot{g}(\check{m}) = \check{p}$ .

The set  $\text{Lev}_T(m+1)$  is clearly a maximal anti-chain, so either  $p \in \text{Lev}_T(m+1)$ , in which case we are done, or there exists  $q \in \text{Lev}_T(m+1)$  that is compatible with p, because p, q are compatible and both decide  $\dot{g}(\check{m})$  they must agree with one another, that is  $q \Vdash \dot{g}(\check{m}) = \check{p}$ , in particular  $q \Vdash \check{p} \in \text{range}(\dot{g}) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$  therefore p is inside every generic G that q is in, in other words p < q.

Finally, let G be M-generic for  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and let H' be the intersection of G with the image of the tree, it is simple to see that H' is M-generic over T let H'' be the pre-image of H' into  $\delta^{<\omega}$ , and let H be the downwards closure of H'' into an M-generic for  $\operatorname{Coll}(\omega, \delta)$ .

To see that M[G] = M[H] first note that because H is definable in M[G] (with parameters) it means that  $M[H] \subseteq M[G]$ , to see the other direction, let  $H^{\dagger}$  be the restriction of H to  $\delta^{<\omega}$ , let  $H^{\ddagger}$  be the image of  $H^{\dagger}$  under the embedding to T, we can verify that G is exactly the downward closure of  $H^{\ddagger}$ .

First because  $H^{\ddagger} \subseteq G$  the downwards closure of  $H^{\ddagger}$  is clearly a subset of G, and the downwards closure is M-generic for  $\mathbb{Q}$  (as T is dense).

Let  $p \in G$  be any element, extend  $\{p\}$  to a maximal anti-chain A, A intersects with the downwards closure of  $H^{\ddagger}$ , but p is compatible with every element of the downwards closure of  $H^{\ddagger}$ , so the intersection must be  $\{p\}$ , so p is in the downwards closure of  $H^{\ddagger}$ .

So we got that G is definable in M[H] (with parameters) and hence  $M[G] \subseteq M[H]$  and we are done.