# Question 1

### **Attributes**

- eventname
- edate
- starttime
- duration
- URL
- description
- host
- panelistname
- panelistemail
- participantid
- participantname
- participantemail
- participantaddress
- ticketprice

### **Functional Dependencies**

- edate starttime => duration URL description host
- participantid => participantname participantemail participantaddress
- edate starttime panelistname => panelistemail
- edate starttime participantid => ticketprice

### Relation checks

### Keys

edate starttime partcipantid panelistname

#### **BCNF**

Not satisfied, because

 edate starttime => duration URL description host is not trival and edate starttime is not a superkey

### 3NF

### Not satisfied, because

 edate starttime => duration URL description host is not trival, edate starttime is not a superkey, and duration, URL, description, host are not prime arributes

# Question 2

### Decomposition

Projection of functional dependencies

```
R1(A, B, C, F, G)
F1 = { AFG -> B, ABC -> F }
R2(A, B, C, D, E)
F2 = { AC -> D, AC -> E }
```

#### Union

```
Fp = F1 union F2 = { AFG -> B, ABC -> F, AC -> D, AC -> E }
F = { AC -> D , AC -> E, BE -> F, AFG -> B }
```

### Check

```
• AC -> D is in Fp
```

- AC -> E is in Fp
- BE -> F is not in Fp
  - Compute with respect to Fp: BE+ = { B, E }, F is not compiled
- AFG -> B is in Fp

Fp is not equivalent to F, so this decompostion is not dependency preserving.

# Question 3

### **Table**

Note: Changes are bolded

#### **Initial State**

REL	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G
R1	a	b	С	d	e1	f1	g1
R2	а	b	С	d2	е	f2	g
R3	аЗ	b	сЗ	d3	е	f	g3
R4	a	b4	c4	d4	е	f4	g

Apply AC -> BD

REL A B C D E F G

_	REL	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G
	R1	a	b	С	d	e1	f1	g1
	R2	a	b	С	d	е	f2	g
	R3	аЗ	b	сЗ	d3	е	f	g3
	R4	a	b4	c4	d4	е	f4	q

Apply BC -> E

REL	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G
R1	а	b	С	d	е	f1	g1
R2	a	b	С	d	е	f2	g
R3	аЗ	b	сЗ	d3	е	f	g3
R4	a	b4	c4	d4	е	f4	g

Apply BE -> DF

REL	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G
R1	a	b	С	d	е	f1	g1
R2	a	b	С	d	е	f	g
R3	a3	b	сЗ	d	е	f	g3
R4	a	b4	c4	d4	е	f4	g

Relation R2 has no subscript, so this decomposition is lossless.

# Question 4

### Section a

Keys

ABHFG, ABHFD, ABHFC, ABHFE

### **Prime Attributes**

A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H

3NF

Satisfied, all attributes are in Prime Attributes, so no matter how the right hand side changes, 3NF will always true.

# Question 5

### **Splitting Rules**

- AC -> B
- AC -> D
- BC -> B
- BC -> E
- ABC -> E

### Remove Trival

- AC -> B
- AC -> D
- BC -> E
- ABC -> E

### Removing X -> Y

- AC -> B
  - Cannot remove
    - For F', AC+ = { A, C, D }
      - For F, AC+ = { A, C, B, D, E }
      - Not equivalent
- AC -> D
  - · Cannot remove
    - For F', AC+ = { A, C, B, E }
    - For F, AC+ = { A, C, D, B, E }
    - Not equivalent
- BC -> E
  - Cannot remove
    - For F', BC+ = { B, C }
    - For F, BC+ = {B, C, E}
    - Not equivalent
- ABC -> E
  - Can remove
    - For F', ABC+ = { A, B, C, D, E}
    - For F, ABC+ = { A, B, C, D, E }
    - Equivalent/Same

## Replace XZ -> Y with X -> Y

- AC -> B
  - Remove C, we have A -> B for F'

- Cannot replace
  - For F', A+ = { A, B }
  - For F, A+ = { A }
  - Not equivalent
- Remove A, we have C -> B
  - Cannot replace
    - For F', C+ = { C, B }
    - For F, C+ = { C }
    - Not rquivalent
- AC -> D
  - Remove A, we have C -> D for F'
    - Cannot replace
      - For F', C+ = { C, D }
      - For F, C+ = { C }
      - Not equivalent
  - Remove C, we have A -> D for F'
    - Cannot replace
      - For F', A+ = { A, D }
      - For F, A+ = { A }
      - Not equivalent
- BC -> E
  - Remove B, we have C -> E for F'
    - Cannot replace
    - For F', C+ = { C, E }
    - For F, C+ = { C }
    - Not equivalent
  - Remove C, we have B -> E for F'
    - Cannot replace
      - For F', B+ = { B, E }
      - For F, B+ = { B }
      - Not equivalent

\_

So we have:

- AC -> B
- AC -> D
- BC -> E

# **Combining Rule**

Already in form of Minimal Basis:

- AC -> B
- AC -> D
- BC -> E

# Question 6

### **Find Violation**

### Keys

```
oname mid
```

#### Result

- cname -> df url email
  - violate
- cname mid -> mname
  - violate
- oname -> oposition
  - violate
- oname -> cname
  - violate

### **BCNF**

Pick any violation and start decomposition

```
    oname -> cname
    oname+= { oname, cname, df, url, email, oposition }
    R1(oname, cname, df, url, email, oposition)
    F1 = {cmame -> df url email, oname -> oposition, oname -> cname}
    Keys: oname
    cname -> df url email violates BCNF
    R2(oname, mid, mname)
    F2 = {}
    Keys: oname mid mname
    Satisfied BCNF
```

Decompose on cname -> df url email, with R1(oname, cname, df, url, email, oposition)

```
    cname -> df url email
    cname+ = { cname, df, url, email }
    R11(cname df url email)
    F11 = { cname -> df url email }
    Key: cname
    Satisfied BCNF
    R12(cname, oname, oposition)
    F12 = { oname -> oposition, oname -> cname }
    Key: oname
    Satisified BCNF
```

### Result

- cname df url email
- cname, oname, oposition
- oname, mid, mname