

Reports

Amy James - An excellent team member, really enjoyed working with her over the course of this module. Amy was set as QA deputy and made sure all the documents were up to standard before handing in.

Attendance: Amy to every meeting she was available for, if she new she could not make the meeting either with Nigel or a group meeting set up by myself she would inform everyone either by email or on the Facebook page. The meeting we has set up outside of Uni time Amy's attendance was very good only missing a couple of meeting because of other modules and always informed everyone if this was the case.

In integration and testing week Amy was always on time and was there everyday worked 9-5.

Communication: Semester 1, in the meeting we had every week with Nigel, Amy was very vocal with good comments, ideas and always had a very positive attitude. Amy from the start stated she did not want to do any programming and would rather focus on the documentation. So when any documentation writing came up she was the first to say she would do it along with Sam. Semester 2, Amy continued much the same and was able to communicate the errors found when doing the test tables with Sam back to the programmers. Amy was also very good at keeping everybody up to date with what work she had done and will be doing, this made my life and team leader so much easier

Tasks: Amy was very helpful with every aspect of the project from testing to documentation. If Amy finished one task she would take the initiative and find the next task to do which my life so much easier as I would never had to worry about what she doing as it was always productive or to a very high standard. Talking with Amy after showing her this she was very happy with it. Amy added some more tasks to she did and stated she was happy with how the project went with only a few upsets in the group. Overall Amy was an integral part of the team with her contribution and work done over the project life, I would happily work with Amy again.

Sam Mills - An excellent team member, really hard worker enthusiastic, contributed ideas in any task given and I really enjoyed working with Sam over this project. Sam stated from the start that he did not want to program and wanted to focus on the documentation and testing.

Attendance: Sam was always at every meeting and volunteered to do the minuets for each meeting. Sam's attendance with meetings outside or weekly meeting with Nigel was 100%. In the integration and testing week Sam was working with the team from Monday to Friday 9-5.

Communication: In the weekly meetings with Nigel, Sam always came with a good attitude making some very good points and ideas across to the team. As Sam was writing the minutes he got them emailed out and on Github with in 24hours for every meeting held. For the meeting we had outside of the weekly meeting Sam was always making positive feedback and he would check and make sure that the work was correct and had great communication and written skills.

Tasks: Sam was very helpful for doing all the minutes, help write up the documents and putting all the pieces together for some documents. Sam was also working with Amy with the testing in the integration and coding week. Sam also helps with the javadoc in the program, once completed a task Sam would inform me straight away and be asking for another.

Talking with Sam about what I have written, Sam added some more tasks he had done and agreed with what I had said. We are both happy with the project and the contribution of work that Sam did. Overall Sam was an important team member and contributed a lot to the project and I would happily work with Sam again.

Dan McGuckin - Very hard working with what ever was asked of him, it would be done by the next time of seeing him with no checking or asking it would just be done. Dan was one of the main programmers of the group focusing on the database and the algorithms for this project.

Attendance: Dan was at all the weekly meeting with Nigel and only missing a couple of meetings we had set up our selfs. Dan did inform everybody before hand if he was unable to make any meeting. Integration and coding week Dan worked from 10-6 monday to friday and also did bits of work back at home.

Communication: Dan from the start, said he was a strong and able programmer that is why he was tasked with the database and the algorithms. Dan in all meeting was very vocal with good ideas and points to help everybody. From the documentation to the coding I always new what Dan had done and is planning on doing and was always really easy to get hold of from Facebook to his phone.

Tasks: Dan was tasked with the UML design, database and the algorithms. Dan was tasked with the data base of our project and he had made, tested a hyperSQL database and showed it me working. However when we tried to implement it with are jsp's the database would not work in the web application environment so we had to create a new database source in integration and testing week. Myself and Dan were able to use the built in database inside netbeans and still use all of his persistent classes. The error was something that was unforeseen and should of been tested in a web application before hand and because of this the junit tests created will not work through the web application environment. Dan was only able to create tests for methods that didn't interact with the database but helped Amy and Sam create some more tests for the test table to make sure the code is handling everything correctly. Dan also created the algorithms for Breeding, Fighting and had the Buying and Selling algorithms done by the time integration and testing week started.

Talking with Dan about what I have written, Dan was happy with what I had put and was happy with the tasks and the way they went. Overall Dan was a big part of the programming making the algorithm for the breeding and fighting and creating the persistent classes and I feel that if we did not have that set back with the database as that was an integral part of the project we would have achieved a lot greater in the program itself.

Christopher Krzysztof Ilkow - A really hard working team member and was one of our main programmers. Chris was tasked with the server to server communications.

Attendance: Chris missed a few of of the weekly meetings with Nigel and was also absent from the other meeting we set up. Chris did not always inform anybody that he was not able to make a meeting however with that said he was doing the task given to him. In the integration and testing week Chris's attendance was very good working 9/10 - 6 everyday and helped out later one night to get certain aspects done.

Communication: Chris when with us was very vocal and made very good suggestions towards the planning and creation of the project. Made it very clear that he was very good at programming and wanted to mainly focus on that aspect and had some ideas for the GUI and server server communications.

Tasks: Chris was left to his task of setting up the server server connections and had it all working and showed it to me working. Unfortunately by the time we had implemented Chris's work there were no other group available to test it with, but we made sure that the code works ready to be used if and when we needed to implement it. For the few bits of documentation that was asked of Chris, he did what was needed on time to a good standard.

Talking with Chris about what I have written, Chris was happy with what I had put and was happy with the tasks and the way they went. Overall Chris did a major part of the project

and helped with all aspects of the project. Unfortunately by the time we had sorted out the database and implemented his code we did not have time to find another group to test it with.

Ben Brooks - An excellent team member and was the QA Manager for the group. Ben was in charge of the GUI, from design to creation along side Felix and Aiman.

Attendance: Ben was at all the weekly meeting with Nigel and did not miss any meeting we set up outside. Integration and coding week Ben worked from 9-6 monday to friday and also helped out later one night to get certain aspects done.

Communication: Ben always said what he could and could not make and was more than happy to meet at any time. In all meetings either the weekly one with Nigel or one we had set up, Ben was always very vocal and made some very good suggestions, ideas and points toward the GUI our version control platform. Ben was also more than happy to be in charge of the GUI and delegate the certain jobs to relevant people and help Amy and Sam with the documentation. As QA manager ben also did a very good job making sure the code was at a good standard and worked well with Amy to make sure the documents were at the same standard.

Tasks: I was very impressed with Ben with regard to how well the GUI looked and was able to change and manipulate it to how each page was needed to look. Ben also helped out with the documentation and was always on time and to a very high standard. Ben was also the person who helped everybody with Github.

Talking with Ben about what I have written, Ben was pleased with what I had put and was happy with the tasks and the way they went. Ben also added some more task/roles he did. Overall Ben did a major part of the project and helped with all aspects of the project from documentation to the GUI and I would happily work with Ben again.

Felix Farquharson - Worked along side Aiman in the first semester and Ben through out the project on the Documentation and GUI design and creation of it.

Attendance: The weekly meeting with Nigel, Felix was there more times than not and if he was not able to make he did not always let the group know. This is the same for the meetings we set up ourselves. This is mainly because the groups main port of communication was through Facebook and Felix did not check his Facebook enough, I did Start texting Felix some information about what was needed but there was no consistency. In integration and testing week Felix missed Monday and Friday and worked 12-6 the other days and stayed behind one night for a while to help to get certain aspects done and also worked Monday night to make up for the fact he missed Monday.

Communication: Felix when with us was very vocal and made very good suggestions towards the planning and creation of the project.

Tasks: Felix helped with sorting out Github and the CSS used for jsp's templates. Felix also worked on the login checks for the correct username and password and to send an email to the user welcoming and informing them of the registration. After talking with felix he agreed to what I had written. Overall Felix was very hard to get in contact with but when he was here he did works and helped the group.

Aiman - Dropped Out.

James Slater - I was Group leader, and was one of the programmers for the group. My task was to keep everybody moving forward in the project with tasks and to integrate the GUI with the Database and help Chris integrate his code in as well.

Attendance: I was 100% present to all meetings with Nigel and as I was setting up the meetings for the Group I made sure I was able to go.

Tasks: Making sure everybody had a task and that everybody was happy and felt they all had the same amount of work. I had to help out with the creation of the GUI as Aiman left and was in charge of linking the Database and algorithms with the GUI by making controllers for the different aspects for example fights controller, users controller and a shop controller. Overall I feel the team worked very well together from start to finish, helping each other. The group had set backs and we worked through them together helping each other with each problem