Computer Architecture

Chapter 4C. Dealing with pipeline issues in the Processor

Hyuk-Jun Lee, PhD

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering
Sogang University
Seoul, Korea

Email: hyukjunl@sogang.ac.kr

Data Hazards in ALU Instructions

- Consider this sequence:

```
sub $2, $1,$3
and $12,$2,$5
or  $13,$6,$2
add $14,$2,$2
sw  $15,100($2)
```

How many dependency ?,?
⇒ 5 !!

- We can resolve hazards with forwarding
  - How do we detect when to forward?

Dependencies & Forwarding



Detecting the Need to Forward

- Pass register numbers along pipeline
  - e.g., ID/EX.RegisterRs = register number for Rs sitting in ID/EX pipeline register
- ALU operand register numbers in EX stage are given by
  - ID/EX.RegisterRs, ID/EX.RegisterRt
- Data hazards when
  1a. EX/MEM.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRs
  1b. EX/MEM.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRt
  2a. MEM/WB.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRs
  2b. MEM/WB.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRt

Fwd from EX/MEM pipeline reg

Fwd from MEM/WB pipeline reg

Detecting the Need to Forward

- But only if forwarding instruction will write to a register!
  - EX/MEM.RegWrite, MEM/WB.RegWrite
- And only if Rd for that instruction is not $zero
  - EX/MEM.RegisterRd ≠ 0, MEM/WB.RegisterRd ≠ 0

Forwarding Paths



b. With forwarding

Forwarding Conditions

- EX hazard
  - if (EX/MEM.RegWrite and (EX/MEM.RegisterRd ≠ 0)
    and (EX/MEM.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRs))
    ForwardA = 10 select rs
  - if (EX/MEM.RegWrite and (EX/MEM.RegisterRd ≠ 0)
    and (EX/MEM.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRt))
    ForwardB = 10
- MEM hazard
  - if (MEM/WB.RegWrite and (MEM/WB.RegisterRd ≠ 0)
    and (MEM/WB.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRs))
    ForwardA = 01
  - if (MEM/WB.RegWrite and (MEM/WB.RegisterRd ≠ 0)
    and (MEM/WB.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRt))
    ForwardB = 01

Double Data Hazard

- Consider the sequence:

```
add $1,$1,$2
add $1,$1,$3
add $1,$1,$4
```



- Both hazards occur
  - Want to use the most recent
- Revise MEM hazard condition
  - Only fwd if EX hazard condition isn't true

Revised Forwarding Condition

- MEM hazard
  - if (MEM/WB.RegWrite and (MEM/WB.RegisterRd ≠ 0)
    and not (EX/MEM.RegWrite and (EX/MEM.RegisterRd ≠ 0)
    and (EX/MEM.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRs))
    and (MEM/WB.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRs))
    ForwardA = 01
  - if (MEM/WB.RegWrite and (MEM/WB.RegisterRd ≠ 0)
    and not (EX/MEM.RegWrite and (EX/MEM.RegisterRd ≠ 0)
    and (EX/MEM.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRt))
    and (MEM/WB.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRt))
    ForwardB = 01

Datapath with Forwarding



Load-Use Data Hazard



Load-Use Hazard Detection

- Check when using instruction is decoded in ID stage
- ALU operand register numbers in ID stage are given by
  - IF/ID.RegisterRs, IF/ID.RegisterRt
- Load-use hazard when
  - ID/EX.MemRead and
    ((ID/EX.RegisterRt = IF/ID.RegisterRs) or
    (ID/EX.RegisterRt = IF/ID.RegisterRt))
- If detected, stall and insert bubble

How to Stall the Pipeline

- Force control values in ID/EX register to 0
  - EX, MEM and WB do **nop** (no-operation)
- Prevent update of PC and IF/ID register
  - Using instruction is decoded again
  - Following instruction is fetched again
  - 1-cycle stall allows MEM to read data for lw
    - Can subsequently forward to EX stage

Stall/Bubble in the Pipeline



Stall/Bubble in the Pipeline



Or, more accurately…

Datapath with Hazard Detection



Stalls and Performance

The BIG Picture

- Stalls reduce performance
  - But are required to get correct results
- Compiler can arrange code to avoid hazards and stalls
  - Requires knowledge of the pipeline structure

Branch Hazards

- If branch outcome determined in MEM



Reducing Branch Delay

- Move hardware to determine outcome to ID stage
  - Target address adder
  - Register comparator
- Example: branch taken

```
36:   sub   $10, $4, $8
40:   beq   $1,  $3, 7
44:   and   $12, $2, $5
48:   or    $13, $2, $6
52:   add   $14, $4, $2
56:   slt   $15, $6, $7
      ...
72:   lw    $4, 50($7)
```

Benefit: branch 명령 및 control hazard 및
· 3cycle 에서 1cycle 로 감소.

Data Hazards for Branches

- If a comparison register is a destination of 2nd or 3rd preceding ALU instruction



- Can resolve using forwarding

Data Hazards for Branches

- If a comparison register is a destination of preceding ALU instruction or 2nd preceding load instruction
  - Need 1 stall cycle



Data Hazards for Branches

- If a comparison register is a destination of immediately preceding load instruction
  - Need 2 stall cycles



Fallacies

- Pipelining is easy (!)
  - The basic idea is easy
  - The devil is in the details
    - e.g., detecting data hazards
- Pipelining is independent of technology
  - So why haven't we always done pipelining?
  - More transistors make more advanced techniques feasible
  - Pipeline-related ISA design needs to take account of technology trends
    - e.g., predicated instructions

Pitfalls

- Poor ISA design can make pipelining harder
  - e.g., complex instruction sets (VAX, IA-32)
    - Significant overhead to make pipelining work
    - IA-32 micro-op approach
  - e.g., complex addressing modes
    - Register update side effects, memory indirection
  - e.g., delayed branches
    - Advanced pipelines have long delay slots

Concluding Remarks

- ISA influences design of datapath and control
- Datapath and control influence design of ISA
- Pipelining improves instruction throughput using parallelism
  - More instructions completed per second
  - Latency for each instruction not reduced
- Hazards: structural, data, control
- Multiple issue and dynamic scheduling (ILP)
  - Dependencies limit achievable parallelism
  - Complexity leads to the power wall