# **Brief Article**

### The Author

# September 14, 2013

### I.I

Straightforward. Calualte  $\frac{\partial E(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_i} = 0$  for  $j = 0, \dots, M$ .

#### 2 I.2

Straightforward. Like 1.1.

After reading the solution manual, I think it's much better to present A as a whole matrix.

# **3 1.3**

$$P(a) = 0.2 \times 3/10 + 0.2 \times 1/2 + 0.6 \times 3/10 = 0.34$$
.  $P(g|o) = P(g)P(o|g)/P(o) = 0.6 * 0.3/0.36 = 0.5$ .

### 4 I.4

By brute-force differentiation, we have

$$p'_y(y) = sp'_x(g)(g'(y))^2 + sp_x(g)g''(y)$$
 (1)

Assuming  $\widehat{x} = g(\widehat{y}), p_x'(\widehat{x}) = p_y'(\widehat{y}) = 0$ , we have  $p_y'(\widehat{y}) = sp_x'(\widehat{x})(g'(\widehat{y}))^2 + sp_x(\widehat{x})g''(\widehat{y}) = sp_x(\widehat{x})g''(\widehat{y}) = 0$ , and this requires  $g''(\widehat{y}) = 0$ .

In general, this won't be satisfied. However, when  $g(\cdot)$  is linear, we have  $g''(\widehat{y}) = 0$ . In this question, the uniqueness about  $\widehat{x}$  and  $\widehat{y}$  is ignored, but this is irrelevant in most cases.

# 5 1.5

Straightforward.

# **6** 1.6

Use the fact p(x,y)=p(x)p(y) and change the order of integration (or integrate x and y separately)

# 7 I.7

Here, I just use the result  $I = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}$ . Then just use this result in the integration of (1.46), and we are done.

## **8** 1.8

About (1.49): well... I have to admit that this problem is not as complicated as I thought... Let  $y = x - \nu$  and notice it's the sum of the integration of a odd function, and the integration of a constant times the integration in 1.7.

About (1.50): I'm so poor at calculus...

# 9 1.9

Trivial... Perhaps we need that  $\Sigma^{-1}$  is positive-definite...

### 10 1.10

Trivial...

#### II I.II

Trivial...

#### **I2 I.I2**

Trivial...

## 13 1.13

Trivial...

### 14 1.14

Trivial... Let  $w_{ij}^S = (w_{ij} + w_{ji})/2$ , and  $w_{ij}^A = (w_{ij} - w_{ji})/2$ .

## 15 1.15

(1.134): given original  $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots, x_{i_M}$  ( $D^M$  in total), we can always arrange  $i_1, i_2, \ldots$  in decreasing order. So, (1.134) should suffice.

(1.135): for each  $\widetilde{w}$ , consider the corresponding arrangement of  $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_M$ . If  $i_1 = D$ , then other  $i_m$  (M-1 terms) must be less or equal than D, so for this case, there should be n(D, M-1) possible arrangements of  $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_M$ . Similarly, considering the case  $i_1 = m$ , there're n(m, M-1) terms. So we have (1.135).

(1.136): when D=1, it's obviously true. Then it's trivial...

(1.137): obvious for M=2. For M>2, use (1.136) and see that its form is just (1.135), so we're done.

# 16 1.16

(1.138): trivial.

(1.139): trivial.

(1.140): for each case ( $D \gg M$  or  $M \gg D$ ), set M or D constant, and expand using Stirling's formula.

Hint: you can multiply the intermediate result by  $M^D$  (the thing you desire) and divide it by  $M^D$  again. Things can become clear then.

# 17 1.17

trivial.. just remember  $\int u dv = uv - \int v du$ 

### 18 1.18

(1.142): for left side, use (1.126). for right side, use (1.141) and use  $2rdr = dr^2$ . (1.144): here, we conveniently assume for a sphere of radius r,  $S_D(r) = S_D(1)r^{D-1}$ , and  $V_D$  is calculated by summing the volumes of many small sphere shells  $(S_D(r)dr)$ .

### 19 1.19

(1.145): volume of cube is  $(2a)^D$ . Letting a=1, we have (1.145). ratio of (1.146): trivial.

ratio of that in the text: distance to sides is a, and distance from center to corner is  $\sqrt{Da^2}$ , and the ratio  $\sqrt{D}$  follows.

#### 20 I.20

skip for now...

(1.148): I think (45) in reference solution manual is wrong. (1.148) is somewhat obvious. p(r) should be  $p(\mathbf{x})$  integrated over a specific sphere of radius r, and this sphere has area  $S_D r^{D-1}$ , so we get (1.148).

stationary point: differentiate p(r) with respect to r. stationary point plus  $\epsilon$ : a lot of approximation... see reference solution. density at origin and that at  $\hat{r}$ : trivial.

#### 2I I.2I

 $a \leq (ab)^{1/2}$ : trivial.

The inequality: p(mistake) is an integration over  $\mathbf{x}$ , and so is the right side. At every  $\mathbf{x}$ , p(mistake) is the smaller (a) of  $p(\mathbf{x}, C_1)$  and  $p(\mathbf{x}, C_2)$ ). Then we're done.

#### 22 I.22

Trivial. Interpretation: classification rate.

## 23 1.23

Trivial.

### 24 I.24

The phrase "decision criterion" means a rule to follow when making decisions. Given  $\mathbf{x}$ , with true label unknown, if we reject it, the loss is  $\lambda$ . If we classify it as class j, the loss is  $L_{kj}$ , assuming that its label is k. However, we don't know its true label, and the distribution of this is given by  $p(\mathcal{C}|\mathbf{x})$ , so the expected loss if we classify it as class j is  $\sum_k L_{kj} p(\mathcal{C}_k|\mathbf{x})$ . If the minimum of this value (over j) is greater than  $\lambda$ , we reject. Otherwise, we choose j.

If  $L_{kj} = 1 - I_{kj}$ , then  $\sum_k L_{kj} p(\mathcal{C}_k | \mathbf{x}) = 1 - p(\mathcal{C}_j | \mathbf{x})$ . Therefore, the criterion becomes: if the minimum of  $1 - p(\mathcal{C}_j | \mathbf{x})$  over j is greater than  $\lambda$ , we reject. Otherwise, we choose j. Here,  $p(\mathcal{C}_j | \mathbf{x})$  is largest. So the criterion can be reformulated as: if largest of  $p(\mathcal{C}_j | \mathbf{x})$  is less than  $1 - \lambda$ , we reject. So we have  $\theta = 1 - \lambda$ .

## 25 I.25

Skip...

## 26 1.26

Trivial...

# 27 1.27

Skip...

# 28 1.28

I think the wording and notation in page 48 is somewhat confusing. In my understanding,  $h(\cdot)$  is a univariate function taking the probability of event x. So, h(x, y) should be written as h(p(x, y)) = h(p(x)p(y)) = h(p(x)) + h(p(y)).

Using this notation, we first see  $h(p^2)=h(p)h(p)$ . Then by induction we trivially have  $h(p^n)=h(p^{n-1})+h(p)=(n-1)h(p)+h(p)=nh(p)$ . Then, regarding  $p^{1/m}$  as a whole, we have  $h(p^{n/m})=nh(p^{1/m})=(n/m)mh(p^{1/m})=n/mh(p)$ . By continuity, we have  $h(p^x)=xh(p)$ . Last, given two positive real numbers  $p,q=p^x$ , we have

$$\frac{h(q)}{\ln q} = \frac{xh(p)}{x\ln p} = \frac{h(p)}{\ln p}.$$
 (2)

Thus, we have  $h(p) \propto \ln p$ .

Hint: sometimes, we should regard different things as the "unit" to be learned, like  $p^{1/m}$  and p.

## 29 1.29

$$H(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{M} p(x_i) \ln p(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} p(x_i) \ln(1/p(x_i))$$
(3)

Since  $ln(\cdot)$  is concave, the sign in the (1.115) should be reversed.

$$H(x) \le \ln \sum_{i=1}^{M} (p(x_i)/p(x_i)) = \ln M.$$
 (4)

Hint: it's wrong to let  $f(x) = -\ln(x)$ . This will lead to  $H(x) \ge -\ln\sum_{i=1}^M p(x_i)^2 \le \ln M$ , which is true and useless.

### 30 1.30

Trivial... Just need patience and carefulness.

# 31 1.31

Trivial... The solution in the manual seems redundant... Use  $\mathrm{KL}(p(x,y)\|p(x)p(y)) \geq 0$ , and everything follows.

# **32 1.32**

See the manual... I have no idea of Jacobian...

# **33 1.33**

Trivial... But we have to assume that  $x_1 \ln x_2 = 0$ , whenever  $x_1 = 0$  or  $x_2 = 0$ .

34 I·34

Skip...

35 1.36

Refer to the manual... It's so tricky...

**36 1.37** 

$$H[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] = -\iint p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \ln p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y}$$
 (5)

$$= -\iint p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \ln(p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) + p(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y}$$
 (6)

By separating the logarithm of joint probability, the two terms become  $H(\mathbf{x})$  and  $H(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$  respectively.

**37 1.38** 

Trivial...