

Statement of the algorithm and problem

August 20, 2018

1 Mathematical framework

Denote \mathbb{R} as the real line. With the equivalence relation $T_x\mathbb{R}^3 \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$, tensor \mathbf{A} in Euclidian space could be defined as $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \otimes \mathbb{R}^3 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{R}^3 \simeq \mathbb{R}^{3^{n_A}}$, in which n_A is the order of this tensor.

Define rotation action $R \in SO(3)$ on tensors of different order as follow:

$$R(\mathbf{u}) \in T_x(\mathbb{R}^3), (R(\mathbf{u}))_i = \sum_{j=0}^3 R_{ij} u_j \quad \text{for } \mathbf{u} \in T_x(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

$$R(\mathbf{A}) \in T_x(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes T_x(\mathbb{R}^3), R(\mathbf{A})_{ij} = \sum_{m,n}^{(3,3)} R_{im} R_{jn} A_{mn} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{A} \in T_x(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes T_x(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

$$R(\mathbf{B}) \in T_x(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes T_x(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes T_x(\mathbb{R}^3), R(\mathbf{B})_{ijk} = \sum_{l,m,n}^{(3,3,3)} R_{il} R_{jm} R_{kn} A_{lmn},$$

$$\text{for } \mathbf{B} \in T_x(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes T_x(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes T_x(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

Rotation action on tensors of arbitrary orders can be defined analogously. Consider the tensor tuple $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}) \in \mathbb{R}^{3^{n_A} + 3^{n_B} + 3^{n_C}}$. Rotation of such tensor tuple can be defined as follow:

$$R(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}) = (R(\mathbf{A}), R(\mathbf{B}), R(\mathbf{C}))$$

Define equivalence class of tensor tuple $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})$ under rotation as follow:

$$[(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})] := \{(R(\mathbf{A}), R(\mathbf{B}), R(\mathbf{C})) | R \in SO(3)\}$$

$$[(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})] \in \mathbb{R}^{3^{n_A} + 3^{n_B} + 3^{n_C}} / SO(3)$$

We hope to establish the following mapping:

$$(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{\pi(\cdot)} [(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})] \xrightarrow{l(\cdot)} (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})^s$$

in which $\pi((\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}))$ is the canonical projection of quotient relation. $l([(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})])$ is (horizontal) lift of quotient manifold. $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})^s \in \mathbb{R}^{3^{n_A} + 3^{n_B} + 3^{n_C}}$ is invariant (tensor tuple in standard framework).

2 Algorithm

For tensor tuple $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}) \in \mathbb{R}^{3^{n_A} + 3^{n_B} + 3^{n_C}}$, conduct singular value decomposition on each tensor:

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}_1^A \mathbf{U}_2^A \dots \mathbf{U}_{n_A}^A \Sigma_A (\mathbf{V}_1^A)^T (\mathbf{V}_2^A)^T \dots (\mathbf{V}_{n_A}^A)^T$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{U}_1^B \mathbf{U}_2^B \dots \mathbf{U}_{n_B}^B \Sigma_B (\mathbf{V}_1^B)^T (\mathbf{V}_2^B)^T \dots (\mathbf{V}_{n_B}^B)^T$$

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{U}_1^C \mathbf{U}_2^C \dots \mathbf{U}_{n_C}^C \Sigma_C (\mathbf{V}_1^C)^T (\mathbf{V}_2^C)^T \dots (\mathbf{V}_{n_C}^C)^T$$

From all matrices $\mathbf{U}_1^A, \mathbf{U}_1^B, \mathbf{U}_1^C, \dots, \mathbf{U}_{n_A}^A, \mathbf{U}_{n_B}^B, \mathbf{U}_{n_C}^C$, arbitrarily choose three independent column vectors and form a position matrix $[\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3]$. Compute the standard position matrix $[\mathbf{e}_1^s, \mathbf{e}_2^s, \mathbf{e}_3^s]$ as follow:

$$\mathbf{e}_1^s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{e}_2^s = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}_2 \\ 1 - \sqrt{1 - (\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}_2)^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{e}_3^s = \begin{pmatrix} e_{3,1}^s \\ e_{3,2}^s \\ e_{3,3}^s \end{pmatrix}$$

$$e_{3,1}^s = \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}_3$$

$$e_{3,2}^s = \frac{\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}_3 - e_{3,1}^s (\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}_2)}{\sqrt{1 - (\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}_2)^2}}$$

$$e_{3,3}^s = \sqrt{1 - (e_{3,1}^s)^2 - (e_{3,2}^s)^2}$$

Compute the rotation matrix $\mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})}$ as follow:

$$\mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})}[\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3] = [\mathbf{e}_1^s, \mathbf{e}_2^s, \mathbf{e}_3^s]$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})} = [\mathbf{e}_1^s, \mathbf{e}_2^s, \mathbf{e}_3^s][\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3]^{-1}$$

A set of invariant $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})^s$ then can be computed as follow:

$$(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})^s = \mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})}((\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})) = (\mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})}(\mathbf{B}), \mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})}(\mathbf{C}))$$

3 Proposition that need to be proven

Problem Prove that the map $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})^s$ constructed in the Algorithm part is a realization of $\pi(l(\cdot))$ in the first part.

(Personally I think at least two points need to be proven. First, for $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})_1$ and $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})_2$ equivalent under $SO(3)$ action, the algorithm should give the same result. Second, for $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})_1$ and $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})_2$ not in the same equivalence class defined above, the algorithm should give different results.)