

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety over  $\mathbb{C}$  of dimension  $n$ ; Let  $D$  be a simple normal crossing divisor on  $X$ ; Let  $V$  be a unitary local syetem on  $U:=X - D$ ; Let  $E$  be its canonical extension. Let  $\mathrm{DR}(D, \nabla, E)$  be the de Rham complex

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\nabla} E \otimes \Omega_X(\log D) \xrightarrow{\nabla} E \otimes \Omega_X^2(\log D) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \cdots \\ \cdots \xrightarrow{\nabla} E \otimes \Omega_X^n(\log D) \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $\mathrm{DR}^i(D, \nabla, E)$  denote the  $i$ -th node of the complex  $\mathrm{DR}(D, \nabla, E)$ . Our main theorem is

**Theorem 1.** *Let be an ample line bundle, then*

$$H^q(X, \mathrm{DR}^p(D, \mathrm{DR}^p(D, \nabla, E) \otimes L) = 0$$

for  $p + q > n$

To prove it, we will

1. Put an increasing filtration (weight filtration)  $W_\cdot$  on  $\mathrm{DR}(D, \nabla, E)$  where  $W$  begins at 0 and ends at  $n$ .
2. Show Theorem 1, with  $\mathrm{DR}^p(D, \nabla, E)$  replaced by  $W_0(\mathrm{DR}^p(D, \nabla, E))$
3. Show Theorem 1, with  $\mathrm{DR}^p(D, \nabla, E)$  replaced by  $W_m/W_{m-1}\mathrm{DR}^p(D, \nabla, E)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$
4. Take cohomology sequence of

$$0 \rightarrow W_{m-1} \rightarrow W_m \rightarrow W_m/W_{m-1} \rightarrow 0$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then, bootstrap.

The weight filtration  $W_\cdot$  has been studied in [2], we will review it in the first two sections.

## 1 Residue map

Let  $D_m$  be the union of  $m$ -fold intersection of components of  $D$ , for  $m = 1, \dots, n$ ; Let  $\tilde{D}_m$  be the disjoint union of components of  $D_m$ ; Let  $v_m : \tilde{D}_m \rightarrow X$  be the composition of projection onto  $D_m$  and the inclusion map.  $\tilde{C}_m := v_m^* D_{m+1}$  is either empty or a normal

For each  $m \leq p \leq \dim D_m$ , there exists a residue map crossing divisor in  $\tilde{D}_m$ .

$$\mathrm{Res}_m : \Omega_X^p(\log D) \rightarrow v_{m*}(\Omega_{\tilde{D}_m}^{p-m})$$

This map is defined as the following:

Let  $D_{m1}$  be one component of  $D_m$ , as the intersection of  $D_{i1}, \dots, D_{im}$ . Then, the map  $\text{Res}_m$  sends  $dz_i/z_i$  to 1 if  $i$  appears in  $i_1, \dots, i_m$ , and  $\text{Res}_m$  sends all other 1-form to 0. This map is well-defined independent of the chosen coordinate. Furthermore, this map commutes with exterior derivative  $d$ , making it a homomorphism of complexes

$$\text{Res}_m : \Omega_X(\log D) \rightarrow v_{m*} \Omega_{\tilde{D}_m}(\log \tilde{C}_m)[-m]$$

**Theorem 2.** [2]

1.  $V_m := j_* V|_{D_m - D_{m+1}}$  is a unitary local system on  $D_m - D_{m+1}$ .
2. There exist a unique subvectorbundle  $E_m$  of  $E$  and a unique holomorphic integrable connection  $\nabla_m$  on  $E_m$  with logarithmic poles along  $D_{m+1}$  such that

$$\ker \nabla_m|_{\tilde{D}_m - \tilde{C}_m} = v_m^{-1} V_m$$

3. There exists a unique subvectorbundle  $E_m^*$  of  $E$  with

$$E_m \oplus E_m^* = E$$

*Proof.* 1. Cover  $X$  by polydisk open sets  $U_i$  the sheaf  $j_* V$  on  $X$  can be recovered by  $j_* V|_{U_i}$  and the gluing morphisms

$$\phi_{ij} : j_* V|_{U_i \cap U_j} \rightarrow j_* V|_{U_j \cap U_i}$$

As  $V$  is locally constant on  $U$ , for each point  $x \in D_m - D_{m-1}$ , the stalk of  $j_* V$  at  $x$  is the same as the stalk to  $j_* V|_{D_m - D_{m-1}}$ . Therefore, to construct  $j_* V|_{D_m - D_{m-1}}$ , we can construct  $j_* V|_{W_i}$ , blue where  $W_m = U_m \cap (D_m - D_{m-1})$ , then glue  $j_* V|_{W_i}$  using  $\phi_{ij}$ .

Now, let  $x \in D_m - D_{m-1}$  and let  $\Delta = \Delta_1 \times \dots \times \Delta_n$  be a polydisk open neighborhood in  $X$  such that  $D$  is union of coordinate hyperplanes. Choose  $\Delta_i$  in the way so that  $D$  is defined as

$$z_1 \times z_2 \times \dots \times z_s = 0$$

blue where  $z_i$  are coordinates on  $D_i$ .

The local system  $V$  on  $\Delta \cap U$  is equivalent to an unitary representation

$$T : \pi_1(\Delta - D) \rightarrow \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$$

As  $\pi_1(\Delta - D)$  is Abelian and  $T$  is unitary, we can simultaneously diagonalize all  $T(\gamma_i)$ , blue where  $\gamma_i$  form a generating set of  $\pi_1(\Delta - D)$  (see Appendix 1).

Therefore, we can assume that  $V$  is the direct sum of rank 1 local system on  $\Delta - D$ . Write

$$V = V^1 \oplus V^2 \oplus \dots \oplus V^r$$

For each  $V^i$ ,  $\gamma_j \in \pi_1(\Delta - D)$  acts on it by  $\lambda_{i,j}$ . Therefore,  $V^i$  extends to  $D_j$  if and only if  $\lambda_{i,j} = 1$ .

Suppose  $x \in D_{j1} \cap \cdots \cap D_{jm}$ , then near  $x$ ,  $V_m$  is

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda_{i,j1}=\lambda_{i,j2}=\cdots=\lambda_{i,jm}} V^i$$

This shows that  $V_m$  is a local system. The unitariness of  $V_m$  is clear.

2. The uniqueness of the subvectorbundle  $E_m$  follows from the uniqueness of canonical connection. Therefore, we can show its existences locally. Use the notation from part 1, and assume  $V$  decomposes as direct sum of rank 1 unitary local system  $V^i$ . Let  $E^i$  be the canonical connection of  $V^i$ . Then, it is clear that

$$E_m := \bigoplus_{\lambda_{i,j1}=\lambda_{i,j2}=\cdots=\lambda_{i,jm}} E^i$$

is the canonical extension of  $V_m$ .

3.  $E$  inherits a flat Hermitian from  $V$ . Define  $E_m^*$  as the complement of  $E_m$  with respect to this metric. On  $\Delta$ ,  $E_m^*$  is the direct sum of  $E^i$  not appearing in the definition of  $E_m$ . Therefore,  $E_m^*$  is a bundle.  $\square$

**Remark 1.**  $\tilde{E}_m$  could have different dimension on different component of  $\tilde{D}_m$ .

Consider the following variation of the residue map  $\text{Res}_m$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_m(E) : \Omega_X^p(\log D) \otimes E &\xrightarrow{\text{Res}_m \otimes \text{id}} v_{m*}(\Omega_{\tilde{D}_m}^{p-m}(\log \tilde{C}_m)) \otimes E \\ &= v_{m*}(\Omega_{\tilde{D}_m}^{p-m}(\log \tilde{C}_m) \otimes v_m^* E) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes p_m} v_{m*}(\Omega_{\tilde{D}_m}^{p-m}(\log \tilde{C}_m) \otimes \tilde{E}_m) \end{aligned}$$

where  $p_m : v_m^* E \rightarrow \tilde{E}_m$  is the projection onto the  $\tilde{E}_m$  component.

**Lemma 1.** [2]  $\text{Res}_m(E) \circ \nabla = \nabla_m \circ \text{Res}_m(E)$ , i.e.  $\text{Res}_m(E)$  is homomorphism of complexes

$$DR(D, E, \nabla) \rightarrow v_{m*}DR(\tilde{C}_m, E_m, \nabla_m)[-m]$$

## 2 Weight filtration on $DR(D, \nabla, E)$

The weight filtration  $W_m$  on  $DR(D, E, \nabla)$  is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} W_m(DR(D, E, \nabla)) &= \ker \text{Res}_{m+1}(E) \text{ if } m \geq 0 \\ W_m(DR(D, E, \nabla)) &= 0 \text{ if } m < 0 \end{aligned}$$

We give some local description of  $W_m(DR(D, E, \nabla))$ . Let  $\Delta = \Delta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta_n$  be a polydisk of  $X$  with coordinate  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ . Suppose  $D$  is defined as

$$z_1 \times \cdots \times z_s = 0$$

As in part 1 of Theorem 2, we assume  $V$  is the direct sum of rank 1 unitary local systems on  $\Delta$ , and write

$$V = V^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V^r$$

**Definition 1.** We say  $\frac{dz_j}{z_j}$  acts on  $V^i$  by identity if  $\lambda_{i,j} = 1$ , i.e. the monodromy of  $V^i$  by a small circle around  $D_j$  is the identity.

Let  $E^i$  be the canonical extension of  $V^i$  on  $\Delta$ ;  
Let  $\mu_i$  be a section of  $E^i$ , then

$$\frac{dz_{j_1}}{z_{j_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dz_{j_k}}{z_{j_k}} \wedge dz_{j_{k+1}} \cdots \wedge dz_{j_p} \otimes \mu_i$$

is in  $W_m$  if and only if there are at most  $m$  log forms acting on  $V^i$  by identity.

**Proposition 1.** [2]

1.  $W_\bullet(DR(D, E, \nabla))$  is an increasing filtration.
2.  $\text{Res}_m(E)$  induces an isomorphism

$$\text{Gr}_m^W(DR(D, \nabla, E)) \rightarrow v_{m*}(W_0(DR(\tilde{C}_m, \tilde{E}_m, \tilde{\nabla}_m))[-m])$$

*Proof.* The statements are local. We can assume  $X$  is a polydisk and  $V$  is a unitary local system of rank 1.

1. From the local description of  $W_m(DR(D, E, \nabla))$ , it is clear that  $W_\bullet$  is an increasing filtration.

2. Let  $s$  be a section  $W_m(DR(D, E, \nabla))$ . Use the local description above,  $s$  is of the form

$$\omega \otimes \mu$$

where

$$\omega = \frac{dz_{j_1}}{z_{j_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dz_{j_k}}{z_{j_k}} \wedge dz_{j_{k+1}} \cdots \wedge dz_{j_p}$$

and  $\omega$  has at most  $m$  log 1-forms acting on  $V$  by identity.  $\mu$  is a generating section of  $E$ .

First, we show  $\text{Res}_m(E)(s) \in W_0(\Omega_{\tilde{D}_m}^{p-m}(\log \tilde{C}_m) \otimes E_m)$ .

$$\text{Res}_m(E)(s) = \text{Res}_m(\omega) \otimes \mu_m$$

By the construction of  $\omega$ ,  $\text{Res}_m(\omega)$  does not log form

$$\frac{dz_j}{z_j}$$

where  $\gamma_j$  acts on  $V$  by identity. This shows that

$$\text{Res}_m(E)(s) \in W_0(\Omega_{\tilde{D}_m}^{p-m}(\log \tilde{C}_m) \otimes E_m)$$

If  $\omega_0 \otimes \mu_m \in W_0(\Omega_{\tilde{D}_m}^{p-m}(\log \tilde{C}_m) \otimes E_m)$ , to get a preimage in  $W_m(\Omega_X^p(\log D) \otimes E)$ , simply take

$$\omega_m \wedge \omega_0 \otimes \mu$$

where  $\omega_m$  is any  $m$ -form. And  $\omega_m \wedge \omega_0 \otimes \mu \in W_m(\Omega_X^p(\log D) \otimes E)$  by the construction of  $\omega_0$ . This shows that

$$\text{Res}_m(E) : W_m(\text{DR}(D, E, \nabla)) \rightarrow W_0(\text{DR}(\tilde{C}_m, \tilde{E}_m, \tilde{\nabla}_m))$$

is surjective.

If  $\text{Res}_m(E)(s) = 0$ , that means in  $\omega$ , there are at most  $m - 1$  log forms acting on  $V$  by identity. This is precisely the description of local sections in  $W_{m-1}(\Omega_X^p(\log D) \otimes E)$ .  $\square$

$W_0(\text{DR}_D(D, E, \nabla))$  was studied by Timmerscheidt in the Appendix D of [1].

**Theorem 3.** [1]

1.  $W_0(\text{DR}(D, E, \nabla))$  is a resolution of  $j_* V$ .
2. The spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} := H^q(X, W_0^p(\text{DR}(D, E, \nabla))) \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X, j_* V)$$

degenerates at  $E_1$ .

3. There exists a conjugate linear isomorphism

$$H^q(X, W_0^p(\text{DR}(D, E, \nabla))) \cong H^p(X, W_0^q(\text{DR}(D, N, \nabla)))$$

where  $N$  is the canonical extension of  $V^\vee$ .

**Proposition 2.** [1](1.5) Suppose  $U$  is an affine manifold. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be any local system on  $U$ . Then

$$H^k(U, \mathcal{L}) = 0$$

for  $k > \dim X$ .

Therefore, back in our situation

**Corollary 1.** Suppose  $U := X - D$  is affine. Then

$$H^q(X, W_0^p(\text{DR}(D, E, \nabla))) = 0$$

for  $p + q > \dim X$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 3

$$H^k(U, V) = \mathbb{H}^k(X, \text{DR}(D, E, \nabla)) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^q(X, \text{DR}^p(D, E, \nabla))$$

By Proposition 2, the result follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** Suppose  $D_m - D_{m+1}$  is affine for  $m = 1, \dots, \dim X$ . Then

$$H^q(X, DR^p(D, E, \nabla)) = 0$$

for  $p + q > \dim X$ .

*Proof.* Consider the weight filtration  $W_\bullet$  on  $DR(D, E, \nabla)$ , we show that for all  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$H^q(X, W_m^p) = 0$$

for  $p + q > \dim X$ .

For  $m = 1$ , consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W_0 \rightarrow W_1 \rightarrow W_1/W_0 \rightarrow 0$$

by Theorem 1,

$$W_1/W_0 \cong W_0(DR(\tilde{C}_1, \tilde{E}_1, \tilde{\nabla}_1))[-1]$$

Take cohomology sequence of the above exact sequence, one gets

$$\dots \rightarrow H^q(X, W_0^p) \rightarrow H^q(X, W_1^p) \rightarrow H^q(\tilde{D}_1, W_0^{p-1}(DR(\tilde{C}_1, \tilde{E}_1, \tilde{\nabla}_1))) \rightarrow \dots$$

Therefore, by Corollary 1, one has

$$H^q(X, W_1^p) = 0$$

for  $p + q > \dim X$ .

Repeat this process for all  $m = 2, 3, \dots$  □

### 3 Vanishing Theorem

**Lemma 2.** Suppose  $B$  is a smooth divisor transversal to  $D$ . Then, there is short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^p(\log D + B) \otimes O_X(-B) \xrightarrow{i} \Omega_X^p(\log D) \xrightarrow{r} \Omega_B^p(\log D \cap B) \rightarrow 0$$

where  $i$  is the inclusion map, and  $r$  is the restriction map.

*Proof.* For simplicity, we prove the case for  $p = 1$ . We may also assume  $X$  is affine. Let  $X = \text{Spec} A$ , and let  $f_1, \dots, f_s$  be the regular sequence corresponding to  $D$ , and let  $b$  be the defining equation of  $B$ .

The basis of  $\Omega_X^1(\log D + B) \otimes O_X(-B)$  as an  $A$ -module is

$$\frac{df_1}{f_1} \otimes b, \dots, \frac{df_s}{f_s} \otimes b, \frac{db}{b} \otimes b$$

The basis of  $\Omega_X(\log D)$  as an  $A$ -module is

$$\frac{df_1}{f_1}, \dots, \frac{df_s}{f_s}$$

The basis of  $\Omega_B(\log D \cap B)$  as an  $\frac{A}{b}$ -module is

$$\frac{df_1}{f_1}, \dots, \frac{df_s}{f_s}$$

where by abuse of notation  $f_i$  are regarded as their image in  $\frac{A}{b}$ .

Then, it is clear how to define  $i$  and  $r$  show that the above sequence is exact  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Suppose  $B$  is a smooth divisor transversal to  $D$ . Then,  $E_B := E \otimes \mathcal{O}_B$  is the canonical extension of  $V_B := V|_{B-B \cap D}$ .*

*Proof.* The statement is local, and we may assume  $X$  is a polydisk

$$\Delta_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \Delta_n$$

such that the analytic coordinate of  $\Delta_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , are defining equation of  $D_i$ , and the analytic coordinate of  $\Delta_n$  is the defining equation of  $B$ .

First, we study  $V_B$  by computing its monodromy representation:

Let  $T : \pi_1(X - D, x) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$  be the monodromy representation of  $V$ . For each generator  $\gamma_i$  of  $\pi_1(X - D, x)$ , let  $\Gamma_i = T(\gamma_i)$ . As  $\Gamma_i$  are commuting and unitary, we can use one matrix to diagonalize all of them. Therefore, we can assume all  $\Gamma_i$  are diagonal matrices. Moreover, as  $V$  is undefined only on  $D$ , so for each  $i$ ,  $\Gamma_i^{jj} = 1$ , for  $j = s+1, \dots, n$ .

Now,  $B = \Delta_1 \times \dots \times \Delta_{n-1}$ , and the monodromy representation of  $V|_{B-B \cap D}$  is given by

$$\pi_1(B - B \cap D) \xrightarrow{i} \pi_1(X - D) \xrightarrow{T} \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$$

where  $i$  is the natural inclusion map. It is clear that one can choose the basis of  $\pi_1(B - B \cap D)$  and  $\pi_1(X - D)$  such that  $i$  can be realized as the identity map. Therefore, the monodromy representations of  $V_{B-B \cap D}$  are also  $\Gamma_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ .

To show  $E|_B$  is the canonical extension of  $V_{B-B \cap D}$ , we compute the connection matrix of  $E|_B$  and relate it to the monodromy representations of  $V|_{B-B \cap D}$ .

One can assume  $E$  is trivial over  $X$ . Choose a local frame of  $V$  on  $X$ , and use it as a trivialization of  $E$ . With respect to this trivialization, the connection  $\nabla$  can be realized as

$$d + N_1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} + \dots + N_s \frac{dz_s}{z_s}$$

where  $N_1, \dots, N_s$  are commuting matrices with eigenvalues in the stripe

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} | 0 \leq \mathrm{Re} z < 1\}$$

such that  $e^{-2\pi i N_i} = \Gamma_i$ .

Now, restrict  $E$  to  $B$ , we see that the connection  $\nabla|_B$  can still be realized as

$$d + N_1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} + \dots + N_s \frac{dz_s}{z_s}$$

As monodromy representations of  $V_{B-B \cap D}$  are  $\Gamma_i$ , it follows that  $E|_B$  is the canonical extension of  $V_{B-B \cap D}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *Suppose  $L$  is very ample on  $X$ . Then*

$$H^q(X, E \otimes \Omega_X^p(\log D) \otimes L) = 0$$

for  $p + q > \dim X$

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be a smooth divisor transversal to  $D$  such that  $L \cong O_X(B)$ . By Lemma 2 we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^p(\log D + B) \xrightarrow{i} \Omega_X^p(\log D) \otimes O_X(B) \xrightarrow{r} \Omega_B^p(\log D \cap B) \otimes O_X(B) \rightarrow 0$$

Tensor it by  $E$  and take the cohomology sequence, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots H^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\log D + B) \otimes E) &\rightarrow H^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\log D) \otimes O_X(B) \otimes E) \\ &\rightarrow H^q(X, \Omega_B^p(\log B \cap D) \otimes O_X(B) \otimes E) \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, to prove the theorem, it is enough to show

**Claim 1:**  $H^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\log D + B) \otimes E) = 0$

**Claim 2:**  $H^q(X, \Omega_B^p(\log B \cap D) \otimes O_X(B) \otimes E) = 0$  for  $p + q > \dim X$ .

**Proof of claim 1:** The De Rham complex  $\mathrm{DR}(D, \nabla, E)$  can be easily extended to the De Rham complex  $\mathrm{DR}(D + B, \nabla, E)$ . Let  $W$  be the weight filtration defined  $\mathrm{DR}(D + B, \nabla, E)$ . Write  $T$  for  $D + B$ , and  $T_m$  for the union of  $m$ -fold intersection of components of  $T$ . As  $B$  is very ample,  $T_m - T_{m+1}$  is affine for every  $m$ , so by Theorem 4, we know that

$$H^q(X, \mathrm{DR}^p(D + B, \nabla, E)) = 0$$

for  $p + q > \dim n$ . **End**

**Proof of claim 2:** Induction on the dimension of the variety. **End**

Now to finish the proof, it remains to show the base case of Claim 2, i.e. let  $X$  be a curve, then

$$H^1(X, \Omega_X(\log D) \otimes E \otimes L) = 0$$

But for the curve case,  $\Omega_X(\log D) \otimes O_X(B) = \Omega_X(\log D + B)$ . So the result follows again from Theorem 4  $\square$

Now suppose  $L$  is any ample line bundle. Let  $m$  be an integer such that  $L^{\otimes m}$  is very ample. Take a smooth divisor  $B$  transversal to  $D$  such that  $L^{\otimes m} \cong O_X(B)$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the local equation of  $B$  on some affine open set, and let  $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$  be the normalization of  $X$  in  $\mathbb{C}(X)(\varphi^{\frac{1}{m}})$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ ,  $B$  and  $L$  be as above*

1.  $X'$  is smooth.
2.  $\pi^*B = m\tilde{B}$ , where  $\tilde{B} = (\pi^*B)_{\mathrm{red}}$ .
3.  $D' := \pi^*D$  is a normal crossing divisor on  $X'$ .



4.  $\tilde{B}$  is transversal to  $\pi^*D$ .
5.  $\pi^*\Omega_X^p(\log D) = \Omega_{X'}^p(\log D')$ .
6.  $\pi^*E$  is the canonical extension of  $\pi^{-1}V$ .

*Proof.* 1. We will construct  $X'$  by constructing its affine cover and specifying the gluing morphisms. Let  $U_i = \text{Spec} A_i$  be an affine cover of  $X$ , and let  $f_i$  be the defining equation of  $D$  in  $A_i$ .

For each  $A_i$ ,  $\frac{A_i[Y]}{(Y^m - f_i)}$  is integrally closed in  $\mathbb{C}(X)(f_i^{1/m})$ . Therefore,

$$U'_i := \text{Spec} \frac{A_i[Y]}{(Y^m - f_i)}$$

is the normalization of  $U_i$  in  $\mathbb{C}(X)(f_i^{1/m})$

The same morphisms used to glue  $U_i$  into  $X$  can be used to glue  $U'_i$  into  $X'$ .

Therefore, to show  $X'$  is smooth, it is enough to show  $\frac{A_i[Y]}{(Y^m - f_i)}$  is a regular ring.

2. The local defining equation of  $\tilde{B}$  is  $Y$ , and  $\pi^*(f_i) = Y^m$

3. To see this, we describe  $\pi^*D$  in  $\pi^*U$  for any polydisk  $U = \Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_n$ . If  $B \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , then construct  $\Delta_i$  such that defining equation of  $D_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , are coordinates of  $D_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ ; and the defining equation of  $B$  is the coordinate of  $D_n$ . Then,

$$\pi^*U = \Delta_1 \times \Delta_1 \cdots \Delta_{n-1} \times \Sigma^m$$

where  $\Sigma^m$  is the  $m$ -sheeted cover over a complex disk branched over the origin.

In this case,  $\pi^*D$  is still defined by  $z_1 \times z_2 \times \cdots \times z_s$ .

If  $B \cap U = \emptyset$ , then  $\pi^*U$  is etale over  $U$ . Therefore,  $\pi^*D$  is etale over  $D$ . So  $\pi^*D$  is again a simple normal crossing divisor.

4. This is clear from the case 1 of part 3.

5. Straightforward computation. 6. We compute the monodromy representation

of  $\pi^{-1}V$  first:

let  $T : \pi_1(U - D, x) \rightarrow \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$  be the representation corresponding to the local system  $V$ .

**Case 1:** Suppose  $x \notin B$ , then  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  is etale over  $U$ . Let  $U'$  be a component of  $\pi^{-1}(U)$ , and let  $x' \in U'$  be a preimage of  $x$ . Then,

$$T' : \pi_1(U' - D', x') \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \pi_1(U - D, x) \xrightarrow{T} \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$$

is the representation corresponding to  $\pi^{-1}V$ .

**Case 2:** Suppose  $x \in B$ , then use the description from part 3, we know that

$$\pi^{-1}U = \Delta_1 \times \Delta_2 \times \cdots \times \Sigma^m$$

In both cases,  $\pi^{-1}U - D'$  is homotopic to  $S_1 \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_s$ . So we can define generators of  $\pi_1(U' - D', x')$  and  $\pi_1(U - D, x)$  such that  $\pi_*$  is the identity map. To show  $\pi^*E$  is the canonical extension of  $\pi^{-1}V$ , we only need to compute the connection matrix of  $\pi^*E$  and relate it to the monodromies of  $\pi^{-1}V$ :

Let  $\gamma_i$  be a small circle around  $D_i$ , and let  $\Gamma_i$  be the monodromy  $T(\gamma_i)$ . As  $\pi_* : \pi_1(U' - D', x') \rightarrow \pi_1(U - D, x)$  is the identity map,  $\Gamma_i$  are also the monodromy representations of  $\pi^{-1}V$ . Next, we compute the connection matrix of  $E$ . Let  $U$  be small enough so that  $E$  is trivial over it. Choose a local frame of  $V$ , and use it as a trivialization of  $E$ . With respect to this trivialization, the connection  $\nabla$  can be realized as

$$d + N_1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} + \cdots + N_s \frac{dz_s}{z_s}$$

where  $N_1, \dots, N_s$  are commuting matrices with eigenvalue in the stripe

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} | 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z < 1\}$$

such that  $e^{-2\pi i N_i} = \Gamma_i$ .

As  $\pi^*z_i = z_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , we see that the  $\pi^*\nabla$  over  $\pi^{-1}U$  can be realized as:

$$d + N_1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} + \cdots + N_s \frac{dz_s}{z_s}$$

This shows that  $\pi^*E$  is the canonical extension of  $\pi^{-1}V$ . □

**Corollary 2.** *For any ample line bundle  $L$  on  $X$ ,*

$$H^q(X, E \otimes \Omega_X^p(\log D) \otimes L) = 0$$

for  $p + q > \dim X$

*Proof.* Let  $m, B$  and  $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$  be as above. By 5

$$H^q(X', \pi^*(E \otimes \Omega_X^p(\log D) \otimes L)) = 0$$

for  $p + q > \dim X' = \dim X$ .

$\pi : X' \rightarrow X$  is a finite morphism, so for  $i > 0$ ,  $R^i\pi_* = 0$  for any coherent sheaf. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} & H^q(X', \pi^*(E \otimes \Omega_X^p(\log D) \otimes L)) \\ &= H^q(X, \pi_*(\pi^*(E \otimes \Omega_X^p(\log D) \otimes L))) \\ &= H^q(X, \pi_*(O_{X'}) \otimes E \otimes \Omega_X^p(\log D) \otimes L) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $p + q > \dim X$ . The second equality follows from the projection formula.

As  $\pi_*(O_{X'}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} O_X(-L^{\otimes i})$ , the result follows. □

## 4 Appendix

### 4.1 Linear algebra

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $U$  be an unitary matrix over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  $U$  is diagonalizable.*

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be commuting diagonalizable  $n \times n$  matrices over any field  $k$ , then  $A$  and  $B$  can be simultaneously diagonalized.*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be the vector space  $k^n$ . It is enough to show that  $A$  and  $B$  share the same eigenvectors.

**Claim 1:**  $A$  and  $B$  share at least one eigenvector.

**Proof of Claim 1:** Let  $v$  be an eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then

$$ABv = BAv = B\lambda v = \lambda Bv$$

i.e.  $Bv$  is also an eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

Let  $W$  be the subspace spanned by

$$v, Bv, \dots, B^n v$$

Then,  $W$  is invariant under  $B$ . As  $V$  has a basis by eigenvectors of  $B$ , one can choose a vector  $w \in W$  which is an eigenvector of  $B$ . Then, from the construction of  $W$ ,  $w$  is also an eigenvector of  $A$ . **End**

**Let**  $w$  be as above, with  $Bw = \mu w$ ; **Let**  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be the standard basis of  $V$ ; **Let**  $V'$  be the subspace spanned by  $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$ ; **Let**  $\phi : V \rightarrow V$  be the linear map such that  $\phi(e_n) = w$ .

$$\phi^{-1} \circ A \circ \phi = A' \oplus \text{Diag}(\lambda)$$

$$\phi^{-1} \circ B \circ \phi = B' \oplus \text{Diag}(\mu)$$

where  $A'$  and  $B'$  are  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  submatrices of  $A$  and  $B$ , representing the restriction of  $A$  and  $B$  on  $V'$ .

Now,  $A'$  and  $B'$  are diagonalizable, and they commute, therefore, by inducting on the size of the matrix, we are done.  $\square$

### 4.2 Canonical Extension of Unitary Local System

**Let**  $X$  be a smooth projective variety over  $\mathbb{C}$ ; **Let**  $D$  be a simple normal crossing divisor on  $X$ ; **Let**  $V$  be a unitary local system defined on  $U := X - D$ ; We will construct the canonical extension of  $V$  in this section:

## References

- [1] Eckart Viehweg Helene Esnault. Logarithmic de rham complexes and vanishing theorems. *Inventiones*, 1986.
- [2] Klaus Timmerscheidt. Mixed hodge theory for unitary local system. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 1987.