

Estimation, Simulation, and Prediction of Critical Exponential Sum Self-Exciting Processes

1

JANUARY 30, 2018

STEPHEN CROWLEY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Self-Exciting Point Processes	1
1.1. The Self-Exciting Critical Exponential Sum Process of Order P	1
1.2. Volterra Integral as a Bounded Linear Indefinite Integral Operator	3
1.3. Hilbert-Schmidt Integral Operators	4
1.4. Existence and Ergodicity of the Process	4
1.4.1. The Short-Range Condition	4
1.4.2. Interval Exchange Transformations	4
1.5. Maximum Likelihood Estimation	4
1.6. The Inverse Compensator	5
1.7. Iterated Expectations and the Infinite Horizon Discounted Control Problem	6
2. Mutually Exciting Processes	7
2.1. The Multivariate Intensity	7
2.2. The Multivariate Compensator	7
2.3. The Multivariate Likelihood	8
3. Semigroups of Operators	9
3.1. Hille-Yosida Operators	9
3.1.1. The Hahn-Banach Theorem	9
3.2. The Hille-Yosida Theorem	9
3.3. The Perron-Frobenius Operator	9
3.3.1. Continuous Extensions of Norm 1	9
Bibliography	9

1. SELF-EXCITING POINT PROCESSES

1.1. The Self-Exciting Critical Exponential Sum Process of Order P .

Let

$$\theta(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t \leq 0 \\ 1 & t > 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

be the Heaviside step function and $\{t_i: t_i < t_{i+1}\} \in \mathbb{R}$ be the time of occurrence of the i -th event of a simple point process whose counting function is

$$N_t = \sum_{t_i < t} \theta(t - t_i) \quad (2)$$

and whose conditional intensity (event rate) is

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(t) &= \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{P(N_{t+\Delta t} > N_t | \mathcal{F}_n)}{\Delta t} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^t f(t-s) dN_s \\ &= \sum_{T_k < t} f(t - T_k) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

1. stephencrowley214@gmail.com

where $\mathcal{F}_n = \{t_0, \dots, t_n\}$ is the filtration which is an increasing sequence of σ -algebras represented by the ordered sequence of the unique occurrence times of events of the process [12][5][10][3] and $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a kernel impulse response function which expresses the positive influence of past events t_i on the current value of the intensity process. The critical exponential sum self-exciting process of order P is then defined by the kernel function

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j=1}^P \alpha_j e^{-\beta_j t} \quad (4)$$

where Z is a normalization factor defined by

$$Z = \sum_{j=1}^P \frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j}$$

so that the branching rate is equal to 1

$$\rho = \int_0^\infty f(t) dt = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^P \alpha_j e^{-\beta_j t}}{\sum_{j=1}^P \frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j}} = 1 \quad (5)$$

which puts the process in a state of criticality; precisely poised on the boundary between stationarity and non-stationarity. The integral of $f(t)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &= \int_0^t f(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^t \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j=1}^P \alpha_j e^{-\beta_j t} dt \\ &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^P \gamma(j) (1 - e^{-\beta_j t})}{\sum_{j=1}^P \gamma(j)} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\gamma^n(k) = \prod_{j=1}^P \begin{cases} \alpha_j & j = k \\ \beta_j^n & j \neq k \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\gamma(k) = \gamma^1(k) \quad (8)$$

when n is not denoted. The branching rate has an interpretation as the average number of events spawned in response to the occurrence of an event. If this number is less than 1, the process eventually fizzles out, and if this number is greater than 1, the process explodes almost surely. The term auto-exciting comes from the fact that the process models processes for which feedback is a major component of its dynamics are called self-exciting but auto-exciting is more in terms of mathematical nomenclature where you have automorphisms, etc. [2] The conditional intensity (event rate), conditional upon the filtration \mathcal{F}_n can then be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(t|\mathcal{F}_{N_t}) &= \int_0^t \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j=1}^P \alpha_j e^{-\beta_j(t-s)} dN_s \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^P \sum_{k=0}^{N_t} \frac{1}{Z} \alpha_j e^{-\beta_j(t-t_k)} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^P \frac{\alpha_j}{Z} \sum_{k=0}^{N_t} e^{-\beta_j(t-t_k)} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^P \frac{\alpha_j}{Z} B_j(N_t) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $B_j(i)$ is given recursively by

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_j(i) &= \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} e^{-\beta_j(t_i - t_k)} \\
 &= e^{-\beta_j(t_i - t_{i-1})} \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} e^{-\beta_j(t_{i-1} - t_k)} \\
 &= e^{-\beta_j(t_i - t_{i-1})} \left(1 + \sum_{k=0}^{i-2} e^{-\beta_j(t_{i-1} - t_k)} \right) \\
 &= e^{-\beta_j(t_i - t_{i-1})} (1 + B_j(i-1)) \\
 &= A_j(i) - 1
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

since $e^{-\beta_j(t_{i-1} - t_{i-1})} = e^{-\beta_j 0} = e^0 = 1$ and $A_j(i)$ is defined in Equation (12). For consecutive events, the dual-predictable projection, also known as the compensator, is expressed by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Lambda_i &= \Lambda(t_{i-1}, t_i) \\
 &= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \lambda(t) dt \\
 &= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \sum_{j=1}^P \frac{\alpha_j}{Z} B_j(N_t) dt \\
 &= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j=1}^P \alpha_j \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} e^{-\beta_j(t - t_k)} dt \\
 &= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j=1}^P \alpha_j \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} e^{-\beta_j(t - t_k)} dt \\
 &= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} f(t - t_k) dt \\
 &= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j=1}^P \frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j} (e^{-\beta_j(t_i - t_k)} - e^{-\beta_j(t_{i-1} - t_k)}) \\
 &= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j=1}^P \frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j} (1 - e^{-\beta_j(t_i - t_{i-1})}) A_j(i)
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_j(i) &= \sum_{t_k \leq t_i} e^{-\beta_j(t_i - t_k)} \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{\substack{t_k < t_i \\ k=0}}^{i-1} e^{-\beta_j(t_i - t_k)} \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} e^{-\beta_j(t_i - t_k)} \\
 &= 1 + e^{-\beta_j(t_i - t_{i-1})} A_j(i-1) \\
 &= 1 + B_j(i)
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

with $A_j(-1) = 0$ and $A_j(0) = 1$ since the integral of $f(t)$ over an interval spanning consecutive points is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} f(t) dt &= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j=1}^P \alpha_j e^{-\beta_j(t - t_k)} dt \\
 &= F(t_i) - F(t_{i-1}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j=1}^P \frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j} (e^{-\beta_j t_i} - e^{-\beta_j t_{i-1}})
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

1.2. Volterra Integral as a Bounded Linear Indefinite Integral Operator.

The Volterra operator V may be defined for a function $f \in L^2(0, 1)$ and a value $t \in (0, 1)$ as

$$V(f)(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds \quad (14)$$

its Hermitian adjoint is

$$V^*(f)(t) = \int_t^1 f(s) ds \quad (15)$$

1.3. Hilbert-Schmidt Integral Operators.

1.4. Existence and Ergodicity of the Process.

1.4.1. The Short-Range Condition.

Lemma 1. *In [2, Proposition 1] the following is proved: Let N be a stationary ergodic auto-exciting point process without ancestors, i.e., with no additive constant background intensity contribution to the kernel fertility function $f(t)$ and that it satisfies the “short-range condition”*

$$\int t f(t) dt < \infty \quad (16)$$

then the average intensity

$$\lambda = \langle N([0, 1]) \rangle = EN([0, 1]) \in \{0\}, \{\infty\} \quad (17)$$

is either equal to 0 or ∞ . That is, the processes does not exist.

The short-range condition is true for the critical exponential sum auto-exciting kernel $f(t)$ since

$$\begin{aligned} Ef(t) &= \int_0^\infty t f(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{t}{Z} \sum_{j=1}^P \alpha_j e^{-\beta_j t} dt \\ &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^P \gamma(j, 2)}{(\prod_{j=1}^P \beta_j)(\sum_{j=1}^P \gamma(j, 1))Z} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where defined in Equation 7 is finite $\gamma(k, n) < \infty \forall \{k \in \{1 \dots P\}, \alpha_j < \beta_j^n\}$ which implies that the process defined by $\lambda(t)$ does not exist which seems like a paradoxical result. In the source data that the process is calibrated to, the minimum time between points is 1 millisecond therefore for the empirical process it really is the case that no points occur between milliseconds because the matching process at the exchanges happens sequentially in price-time priority order and this happens at discrete instants of time at some maximum frequency. The issue is mentioned in [7]

1.4.2. Interval Exchange Transformations.

The concept of interval transformations can probably be used to explain the invariance of the process with respect to interval exchanges of duration less than or equal to 1. [6, 5.1]

1.5. Maximum Likelihood Estimation.

The log-likelihood of a simple point process is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \mathcal{L}(N(t)_{t \in [0, T]}) &= \int_0^T (1 - \lambda(s)) ds + \int_0^T \ln \lambda(s) dN_s \\ &= T - \int_0^T \lambda(s) ds + \int_0^T \ln \lambda(s) dN_s \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

which in the case of the sums-of-exponentials self-exciting process of order P can be explicitly written [9] as

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln \mathcal{L}(\{t_i\}_{i=1\dots n}) &= T - \int_0^T \lambda(t) dt + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \lambda(t_i) \\
&= T - \Lambda(0, T) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \lambda(t_i) \\
&= T + \sum_{i=1}^n (\ln \lambda(t_i) - \Lambda_i) \\
&= T - \Lambda(0, T) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \lambda(t_i) \\
&= T - \Lambda(0, T) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \left(\frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j=1}^P \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \alpha_j e^{-\beta_j(t_i - t_k)} \right) \\
&= T - \Lambda(0, T) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \left(\frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j=1}^P \alpha_j B_j(i) \right) \\
&= T + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \left(\frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j=1}^P \alpha_j B_j(i) \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j=1}^P \frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j} (1 - e^{-\beta_j(t_n - t_i)})
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where $T = t_n$ and $B_j(i)$ [8] is defined by (10). If the baseline intensity is constant $\lambda_0(t) = \lambda_0$ then the log-likelihood can be written

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln \mathcal{L}(\{t_0, \dots, t_n\}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\ln \left(\frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j=1}^P \alpha_j B_j(i) \right) - \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j=1}^P \frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j} (1 - e^{-\beta_j(t_n - t_i)}) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\ln \left(\frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j=1}^P \alpha_j B_j(i) \right) - \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j=1}^P \frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j} (1 - e^{-\beta_j(t_n - t_i)}) \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

1.6. The Inverse Compensator.

Define the inverse compensator

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{t_{n+1}}^{-1}(t_n, y | \mathcal{F}_n) &= \{t_{n+1} : \Lambda(t_n, t_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) = y\} \\
&= t_n + \{t : \varphi(t, y | \mathcal{F}_n) = 0\}
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where

$$\varphi(t, y) = \sum_{j=1}^P (e^{-\beta_j t} - 1) \gamma(j) A_j(N_t) + y Z \prod_{j=1}^P \beta_j \tag{23}$$

and $\gamma(k, n)$ is defined above in Equation 7 with the time-derivative

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi(t, y) = - \sum_{j=1}^P \beta_j e^{-\beta_j t} \gamma(j) A_j(N_t) \tag{24}$$

then the root of $\varphi(t, y | \mathcal{F}_{t_n})$; for a specific value of the exponentially distributed random variable y ; is such that

$$t_n + \{t : \varphi(t, y) = 0\} \tag{25}$$

is the inverse of $\Lambda(t_n, t_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_{t_n}) = y$ in t_{n+1} so that

$$\Lambda(t_n, \Lambda_{t_{n+1}}^{-1}(t_n, y | \mathcal{F}_n) | \mathcal{F}_n) = y \tag{26}$$

Therefore this slightly modified Newton iteration function is an endomorphism of the (positive) real line expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} N_\varphi(t_{n+1}, y) &= t_n - \frac{\varphi(t_{n+1} - t_n, y)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi(t_{n+1} - t_n, y)} \\ &= t_n - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^P (e^{-\beta_j(t_{n+1}-t_n)} - 1) \gamma(j) A_j(i) + Zy \prod_{j=1}^P \beta_j}{-\sum_{j=1}^P \beta_j e^{-\beta_j(t_{n+1}-t_n)} \gamma(j) A_j(i)} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

which has a unique real-valued fixed-point at the point $t_{n+1} = N_\varphi(t_{n+1}, y)$ where $\varphi(t_{n+1} - t_n, y) = 0$ which is the exact time of the next point of the process t_{n+1} such that $\Lambda_{n+1} = \Lambda(t_n, t_{n+1}) = y$. The expected duration until the $(n+1)$ -th point of the process occurs, conditional upon the points $\{t_n\} = \{t_i: i=0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and parameters $\theta = \{\alpha_{1\dots P}, \beta_{1\dots P}\}$ in the filtration

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \{t_0, \dots, t_n\} \cup \theta \quad (28)$$

is expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} E_y(t_{n+1} - t_n | \mathcal{F}_n) &= E_y(\Lambda_{t_{n+1}}^{-1}(t_n, y | \mathcal{F}_n)) \\ &= \int_0^\infty y e^{-y} \Lambda_{t_{n+1}}^{-1}(t_n, y | \mathcal{F}_n) dy \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where the probability density f_y of y is $f_y = e^{-y}$. The expected inverse value of the marginal distribution of the duration $\Lambda_{t_{n+1}}^{-1}(t_n, y | \mathcal{F}_n)$ until the next point t_{n+1} of the process when y is not known ahead-of-time and is therefore integrated out, can then shown to be equal to

$$\begin{aligned} E_y^{-1}(\Lambda_{t_{n+1}}^{-1}(t_n, y | \mathcal{F}_n)) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-y} \Lambda_{t_{n+1}}^{-1}(t_n, y | \mathcal{F}_n) dy \\ &= \varphi(t_{n+1} - t_n, 1) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^P \gamma(j) (-1 - B_j(n)) (1 - e^{-\beta_j(t_{n+1}-t_n)}) + Z \prod_{j=1}^P \beta_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^P \gamma(j) (-A_j(n)) (1 - e^{-\beta_j(t_{n+1}-t_n)}) + Z \prod_{j=1}^P \beta_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^P (e^{-\beta_j(t_{n+1}-t_n)} - 1) \gamma(j) A_j(n) + Z \prod_{j=1}^P \beta_j \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

which can easily be seen to be equal to Equation (27) where $y=1$. The subscript y in the expression $E_y^{-1}(\dots)$ indicates that the expectation is taken with respect to the marginal distribution of the inverse compensator with respect to the standard/unit exponentially distributed random variable y and $B_j(i) = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} e^{-\beta_j(t_i-t_k)}$ is defined in Equation (10) so that the expected value when y is not known is given by the root of the inverse expectation functional

$$\begin{aligned} E_y(\Lambda_{t_{n+1}}^{-1}(t_n, y | \mathcal{F}_n)) &= \{t_{n+1}: E_y^{-1}(\Lambda_{t_{n+1}}^{-1}(t_n, y | \mathcal{F}_n)) = 0\} \\ &= \{t_{n+1}: \varphi(t_{n+1} - t_n, 1) = 0\} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

1.7. Iterated Expectations and the Infinite Horizon Discounted Control Problem.

The expected number of events given any time from now whatsoever can be calculated by integrating out ε since the process which is adapted to the compensator will be closer to being a unit rate Poisson process the closer the parameters are to being correct and the model actually being a good model of the phenomena it is being applied to. Let F_t be all points up until now, let

$$E(t_{n+1}) = \int_0^\infty \Lambda^{-1}(\varepsilon; \alpha, \beta, F_{t_n}) e^{-\varepsilon} d\varepsilon$$

then iterate the process, by proceeding as if the next point of the process will occur at the predicted time, simply append the expectation to the current state vector, and project the next point, repeating the process as fast the computer will go until some sufficient stopping criteria is met. It appears that (at least) in the vast majority of cases the iterated expectations $E(t_{n+1} - t_n)$ will converged to a fixed positive finite value due to the ergodicity of the process. In fact, this limit is the steady-state equilibrium distribution. This equation seems very similiar to the infinite horizon discounted regulator of optimal control; see [1, 1.1]. Let

$$T_n(y_n): \{t_n\} \xrightarrow{\Lambda_{t_{n+1}}^{-1}(t_n, y_n | \mathcal{F}_{T_n})} \{t_{n+1}\} \quad (32)$$

denote the random dynamical evolution operator where y_n is a sequence of independent and identically distributed standard exponential random variables with distribution function e^{-y} . Random variables are just measurable functions defined on a probability space. The steady-state characterization of T which is relevant for the infinite-horizon problem in which T_n goes to $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n$ can be found by iterating the dynamical evolution operator with $y = 1$

$$T_n(1): \{t_n\} \xrightarrow{\Lambda_{t_{n+1}}^{-1}(t_n, 1 | \mathcal{F}_{T_n})} \{t_{n+1}\} \quad (33)$$

repeatedly until $T = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_n(1)}{n} = E(t_n - t_{n-1})$ converges; then $T_n(1)$ is characterized by removing the time subscripts from its dynamic equation and denoting it as the steady-state evolution operator

$$T_n(1): \{t_n\} \xrightarrow{E_y(\Lambda_{t_{n+1}}^{-1} | \mathcal{F}_n)} \{t_{n+1}\} \quad (34)$$

2. MUTUALLY EXCITING PROCESSES

2.1. The Multivariate Intensity.

The multivarite intensity is written

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_m(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^P \sum_{n=1}^M \int_0^t \alpha_j^{mn} e^{-\beta_j^{mn}(t-u)} dN^m(u) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^P \sum_{n=1}^M \frac{\alpha_j^{mn}}{\beta_j^{mn}} e^{-t\beta_j^{mn}} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^P \sum_{n=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^{N^n(t)} f_{m,n}(t - t_k^n) \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where the counting function for the m -th type of an M dimensional mutually exciting process is

$$N_t^m = \sum_{t_i^m < t} \theta(t - t_i^m) \quad (36)$$

2.2. The Multivariate Compensator.

The multivariate compensator is then expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_i^m &= \Lambda^m(t_i^m - t_{i-1}^m) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^P \sum_{n=1}^M V_{j,m,n}(i) \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
V_j^{mn}(i) &= \int_{t_{i-1}^m}^{t_i^m} \sum_{k=0}^{N_s^n} \alpha_j^{mn} e^{-\beta_j^{mn}(s-t_k^n)} ds \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{N_s^n} \frac{\alpha_j^{mn}}{\beta_j^{mn}} e^{-\beta_j^{mn}(s-t_k^n)} \\
&= \frac{\alpha_j^{mn}}{\beta_j^{mn}} (e^{-\beta_j^{mn}(t_{i-1}^m-t_k^n)} - e^{-\beta_j^{mn}(t_i^m-t_k^n)}) \\
&= \frac{\alpha_j^{mn}}{\beta_j^{mn}} ((1 - e^{-\beta_j^{mn}(t_i^m-t_{i-1}^m)})) A_j^{mn}(i-1) + \sum_{t_{i-1}^m \leq t_k^n < t_i^m} (1 - e^{-\beta_j^{mn}(t_i^m-t_k^n)}) \\
&= \frac{\alpha_j^{mn}}{\beta_j^{mn}} ((1 - e^{-\beta_j^{mn}(t_i^m-t_{i-1}^m)})) A_j^{mn}(i-1) + \sum_{k=N_{t_{i-1}^m}^n}^{N_{t_i^m}^n} (1 - e^{-\beta_j^{mn}(t_i^m-t_k^n)})
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
A_j^{mn}(i) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N_{t_i^m}^n} e^{-\beta_j^{mn}(t_i^m-t_k^n)} \\
&= e^{-\beta_j^{mn}(t_i^m-t_{i-1}^m)} A_j^{mn}(i) + \sum_{t_{i-1}^m \leq t_k^n < t_i^m} e^{-\beta_j^{mn}(t_i^m-t_k^n)} \\
&= e^{-\beta_j^{mn}(t_i^m-t_{i-1}^m)} (1 + A_j^{mn}(i-1)) \forall m = n
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

2.3. The Multivariate Likelihood *** UNDER CONSTRUCTION ***.

The next event arrival time of the m -th dimension of a multivariate self-exciting process having the usual exponential kernel can be predicted in the same way as the uni-variate process, by solving for the unknown t_{n+1} in the equation

$$\left\{ t_{n+1}^m : \varepsilon = \Lambda^m(t_n^m, t_{n+1}^m) = \int_{t_n^m}^{t_{n+1}^m} \lambda^m(s; \mathfrak{F}_s) ds \right\} \tag{40}$$

where $\Lambda^m(t_n^m, t_{n+1}^m)$ is the compensator from Equation (?) and \mathfrak{F}_s is the filtration up to time s and the parameters of λ^m are fixed. As is the case for the uni-variate self-exciting process, the idea is to average over all possible realizations of ε (of which there are uncountably infinite) weighted by a standard exponential distribution to calculate the expected value of the next point of the process. Another idea for more accurate prediction is to model the deviation of the generalized residuals from a true exponential distribution and then include the predicted error when calculating this expectation.

Let the most recent arrival time of the pooled and m -th processes respectively be given by

$$T = \max(T_m; m = 1 \dots M) \tag{41}$$

$$T_m = \max(t_n^m; n = 0 \dots N^m - 1) = t_{N^m-1}^m \tag{42}$$

and

$$\check{N}_{T_m}^n = \#(t_k^n < T_m) \tag{43}$$

count the number of points occurring in the n -th dimension strictly **before** the most recent point of the m -th dimension then the next arrival time for a given value of the exponential random variable ε of the m -th dimension of a multivariate Hawkes process having the standard exponential kernel is found by solving for the real root of

$$\varphi_m(dt, y; \mathcal{F}_T) = \tau_m(dt, y) + \sum_{l=1}^P \sum_{i=1}^M \phi_{m,i,l} \sum_{k=0}^{\check{N}_{T_m}^i} (\sigma_{m,i,l,k}(dt, dt) - \sigma_{m,i,l,k}(dt, T_m)) \tag{44}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_T = \{\kappa \dots, \alpha \dots, \beta \dots, t_0^1 \dots t_{N^1-1}^1 \leq T, \dots, t_0^m \dots t_{N^m-1}^m \leq T, \dots, t_0^M \dots t_{N^M-1}^M \leq T\} \tag{45}$$

is the filtration up to time T , to be interpreted as the set of available information, here denoting fitted parameters and observed arrival times of all dimensions, and wh

$$\tau_m(dt, y) = -y v_m \eta_m(dt) \quad (46)$$

$$\eta_m(dt) = e^{(dt+T_m) \sum_{j=1}^P \sum_{n=1}^M \beta_{m,n,j}} \quad (47)$$

$$v_m = \prod_{j=1}^P \prod_{n=1}^M \beta_{m,n,j} \quad (48)$$

$$\phi_{m,p,k} = \prod_{j=1}^P \prod_{n=1}^M \begin{cases} \alpha_{m,n,j} & n=p \text{ and } j=k \\ \beta_{m,n,j} & n \neq p \text{ or } j \neq k \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

$$\sigma_{m,i,l,k}(x, a) = e^{\sum_{j=1}^P \sum_{n=1}^M \beta_{m,n,j} \begin{cases} a+t_k^n & n=i \text{ and } j=l \\ x+T_n & n \neq i \text{ or } j \neq l \end{cases}} \quad (50)$$

3. SEMIGROUPS OF OPERATORS

3.1. Hille-Yosida Operators.

3.1.1. The Hahn-Banach Theorem.

Let X be a Banach space and X' be its dual space. For every $x \in X$ there exists an $x' \in X'$ such that

$$\langle x, x' \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|x'\|^2 \quad (51)$$

and its duality-set

$$\mathcal{J}(x) = \{x' \in X' : \langle x, x' \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|x'\|^2\} \quad (52)$$

is non-empty. [4, Definition 3.22]

3.2. The Hille-Yosida Theorem.

[4, Definition 3.2]

3.3. The Perron-Frobenius Operator.

Definition 2. *The Perron-Frobenius operator*

$$\text{PF}(\varphi)(z) \quad (53)$$

...

3.3.1. Continuous Extensions of Norm 1.

Proposition 3. *The Perron-Frobenius operator*

$$\text{PF} := d^{-k} f_* \quad (54)$$

has a continuous extension of norm 1 to $L^2(\mu)$. Also, the adjoint of Λ satisfies $\Lambda^{-1}(\varphi) = \varphi \circ f$ and $\Lambda \circ \Lambda^{-1} = \text{id}$. If $L_0^2(\mu)$ is the hyperplane of $L^2(\mu)$ defined by $\langle \mu, \varphi \rangle = 0$ then the spectral radius of Λ on $L_0^2(\mu)$ is also equal to 1. [11, Proposition 1.81]

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Martino Bardi and Italo Capuzzo-Dolcetta. *Optimal Control and Viscosity Solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equations (Systems & Control: Foundations & Applications)*. Birkaiser Boston, 1 edition, 1997.
- [2] Pierre Brémaud and Laurent Massoulié. Hawkes branching point processes without ancestors. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 38(1):122–135, 03 2001.
- [3] V. Chavez-Demoulin and JA McGill. High-frequency financial data modeling using hawkes processes. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 2012.
- [4] K.J. Engel, S. Brendle, R. Nagel, M. Campiti, T. Hahn, G. Metafun, G. Nickel, D. Pallara, C. Perazzoli, A. Rhandi et al. *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 1999.

- [5] A.G. Hawkes. Spectra of some self-exciting and mutually exciting point processes. *Biometrika*, 58(1):83–90, 1971.
- [6] I.P. Kornfel'd, S.V. Fomin, and J.G. Sinaj. *Ergodic Theory*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften : a series of comprehensive studies in mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [7] Mehdi Lallouache and Damien Challet. The limits of statistical significance of hawkes processes fitted to financial data. *Quantitative Finance*, 16(1):1–11, 2016.
- [8] Y. Ogata. On lewis' simulation method for point processes. *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 27(1):23–31, 1981.
- [9] T. Ozaki. Maximum likelihood estimation of hawkes' self-exciting point processes. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, 31(1):145–155, 1979.
- [10] H. Shek. Modeling high frequency market order dynamics using self-excited point process. *Available at SSRN 1668160*, 2010.
- [11] Nessim Sibony, Dierk Schleicher, Dinh Tien Cuong, Marco Brunella, Eric Bedford, and Marco Abate. *Holomorphic Dynamical Systems: Lectures Given at the CIME Summer School Held in Cetraro, Italy, July 7-12, 2008*. Springer, 2010.
- [12] Ioane Muni Toke. An introduction to hawkes processes with applications to finance. ???, http://fiquant.mas.ecp.fr/ioane_files/HawkesCourseSlides.pdf, 2012.