A Bytecode Level Operational Semantics Analysis on Aspectj Pointcut Matching

Hongxu Chen*

Abstract

Aspect Oriented Programming(AOP) can be viewed as a complement for Object Oriented Programming(OOP) with the ability to support seperation of concerns. There have been many implementations for AOP, among which Aspectj is the most well known. Aspectj is now an extension for java development toolkit and usually used as a plugin for IDEs like eclipse. Apart from most of the other tools, it uses the aspectj compiler(ajc) to weaving the advice into original source code statically on the bytecode level. This review is based on [1] describes the operational semantics of aspectj's static advice weaving with a subset of Aspectj and Java Virtual Machine Language Instruction(JVMLI).

keywords: aspectj,bytecode,static pointcuts

1 Introduction

Aspect Oriented Programming(AOP) is a new way of modularizing programs compared to object oriented programming (OOP). It's designed to solve two central problems in OOP: code tangling and code scattering, which refers to one module with many concerns and many modules with one concern respectively. A common example is the logging behaviour for a program, which may be scattered across methods, classes, object hierarchies, or even entire object models (We will give a simple example below to explain). AOP improves code reuse across different object hierarchies by providing explicit support for separation of concerns. Due to this benefit, AOP is usually used for logging, verification, policy enforcement, security management, profiling, memory management, visualization of program executions and so on.

Since the concepts explicitly introduced by Gregor Kiczales in 1997[4], AOP has been widely used, especially in enterprise applications. There has now been quite a lot of implementations of AOP, such as Aspectj, aspectwerkz, Spring AOP, Aspect. NET etc. This review is mainly about the most well-known Aspectj designed by Gregor et. al and focuses on the java bytecode level semantics.

In aspectj aspects are woven into programs statically, so the bytecode corresponding to the original source files would be changed as long as there is an advised being matched. It illuminates us that we can model the semantics on the bytecode rather than source code, which is calculus into which source-level AOP constructs can be translated. This makes sense since it can be applied to other JVM programming languages such as Scala, JRuby, Jython etc.

The remainder of this report is organized as follows: Section 2 talks about some background knowledges for AOP and aspectj, also gives a simple example for the readers. Section 3 is tells the environments and configurations of the semantics. Next section lists all the utility functions for the rules. Section 5 deduces five rules of related to the weave process. And the last section makes a summary.

2 Preliminary

2.1 Terminologies

There are some basic terminologies in program with AOP/aspectj:

jointpoint well-defined points in the execution of a program. It requires that the joinpoints are identifiable by the AOP tools. Those join points include class constructions, get/set operations, method calls, method executions etc.

Pointcut a constructor that designates a set of join points defined in aspectifile (file with suffix of .aj).

advice pieces of code attached to pointcuts and executed when join points satisfying their pointcuts are reached. Formally it is written as: $Advice = kind \times pointcut \times code$, where $kind \in \{before, after, around\}$.

aspect set of advices.

2.2 Aspectj Weaving Mechinism

Since Aspectj1.1(the current version is 1.5), the advice weaving has been based on bytecode transformation rather than on source code. In this case, the AspectJ compiler(ajc for short) is composed of two stages.

front-end compiler an extension to the Java compiler and compiles applications and aspects into pure Java bytecode enriched with additional annotations to handle non pure Java information as advices and pointcuts.

back-end compiler weaves compiled aspects with compiled applications producing woven class files.

2.3 Pointcut Designators

As to compile time information access, there are two kinds of pointcuts: the *static* pointcut and the *dy-namic* one. While static pointcut can be directly mapped to the original code, we have to include conditional logic(called residuals) to check the dynamic properties with the dynamic pointcuts. This paper only talks about the former. Refer to more details in [2] for more infomation.

So we only consider the designators below 8 kinds of pointcuts. The regular expression patterns for pointcuts

designator	matches	Name in this paper
call	calls to a method or constructor	mcall
execution	execution of a method or constructor	mexecution
get	the reference to a class attribute	get
set	the assignment of a class attribute	set
staticinitialization	execution of a class's static initialization	staticInit
adviceexecution	on advice join points	aexecution
withincode	join points within a method/constructor	withincode
within	join points within a specific class type	within

Table 1: static pointcut designators

such as some_method(..) or * is also omitted to ease the semantics reading since they can be extended accurately in compile time.

2.4 JVM Language Instructions

To make it simpler, we also use a subset of JVMLI for our research. In this case, those instructions used for efficiency in the JVM specification is also ignored (such as $iconst_0$); also it omits some primitive type for JVM such as float, array and disable the cast operations (so there are no more anewarray or d21). Also the parts of the other bytes are simplified as numbers (i) or address (adr) for short. The instructions we used is as below:

pop	push n	dup	iadd
return	ireturn	areturen	athrow
aload i	iload i	astore i	istore i
getfield i	putfield i	getstatic i	putstatic i
invokeinterface i,n	invokestatic i	ifeq adr	ifne adr
invokevirtual i	invokespecial i	goto adr	new i
monitorenter	monitorexit		

Table 2: JVML Opcode set

2.5 An Example

Here we give a very simple example to exaplain how aspect advice is woven.

```
Listing 1: original file Hello.java

public class Hello {

public static void sayHello(){

System.out.println("hello");
}

public static void main(String[] args) {

sayHello();

System.err.println("hi,");
}

Listing 2: aspectj file World.aj

public aspect World {

pointcut greeting() : execution(* Hello.sayHello(..));

after() returning() : greeting() {

System.out.println("world!");

}

before():greeting(){

System.err.println("hi,");

}

}
```

The source file Hello.java can only output *hello* without aspectj advice. However when we compile the source file with the aspectj World.aj using javac and ajc, the new program's output changes to *hi*, *hello* world!.

So how did it happen? The key is that we add a pointcut greeting in the aspectj file, which matches the join point sayHello(a static method) in file Hello.java. There is also a designator called execution that matches the exact execution site of the code. This pointcut corresponds to a so-called cross cut concern of the original file, but notice that it is defined in the aspectj file. With the advice kind like before() we get an advice, which usually will do some additions in respect to original files. In this example, it means when the stack of JVM runs into the execution of method sayHello(this designator is mexecution), there will be a additional behaviour that output hi, before the output of hello. The after advice is similar except that it is called just before the context of method sayHello is popped.

So the common practice when we using Aspectj(or generally AOP), we need firstly define **pointcuts** in the aspect file to match join point in the original file. Then we write advice for those pointcuts. Note that advice and pointcut is not one-to-one mapped, that is to say the relationship of them can be 1-norn-1orm-n. If all works fine, we weave our advice into the original bytecode. The benefit is that we do not need to rewrite the existent source file while we change the behaviour.

Let's look the minor differences in the bytecode level. By using javap -c we get the disassamble infomation of sayHello() in Hello class.

```
Listing 3: sayHello() function in Hello class file

public static void sayHello();

Code:

0: invokestatic #43 // Method date20120901/AspectjHello/World.aspectOf:()Ldate20120901/AspectjHello/World;

3: invokevirtual #49 // Method date20120901/AspectjHello/World.ajc$before$date20120901

_AspectjHello_World$2$f69f5afa:()V

6: getstatic #9 // Field java/lang/System.out:Ljava/io/PrintStream;

9: ldc #15 // String Hello

11: invokevirtual #17 // Method java/io/PrintStream.println:(Ljava/lang/String;)V

14: nop

15: invokestatic #43 // Method date20120901/AspectjHello/World.aspectOf:()Ldate20120901/AspectjHello/World;

18: invokevirtual #46 // Method date20120901/AspectjHello/World.ajc$afterReturning$date20120901

_AspectjHello_World$1$f69f5afa:()V

21: return
```

The index 0,3 and 15,18 describes the added bytecode to the original class file, which is what our advice before and after have done. We can also look at in the class file which ajc compiles.

Listing 4: aspectOf() in World class file

0: getstatic #56 // Field java/lang/System.err:Ljava/io/PrintStream;

```
3: ldc #59 // String hi
```

- 5: invokevirtual #48 // Method java/io/PrintStream.println:(Ljava/lang/String;)V
- 8: return

2.6 Reduce Advice Kind

In addition, we also reduce the kind of advice to before and after. In fact, we only consider the after advice if the execution of a join point completes normally, and that is to say we only cope with the after returning advice, after throwing and the simple after is neglected. The around advice can be modeled as the combination of before and after, however it is not proven here. The privilege properties, aspect inheritance and other aspect j features are also ignored here.

3 Environments and Configurations

3.1 Notations

Since the ajc compiler also compiles the aspectj file into java class file(in the above example, the World.aj is compiled into World.class), we consider that an aspect can be viewed as a class and its advices are represented by the methods of the class. In this case, we transfer our focus into the class files.

Firstly we give some notations that will be needed in later discussions.

- $X \xrightarrow{m} Y$ denotes the set of all partital functions (also known as maps) from set X to Y. For $m \in X \xrightarrow{m} Y$, it can be extended as $m = [x_0 \mapsto y_0, \dots x_{n-1} \mapsto y_{n-1}]$, where $x_i \in X$ and $y_i \in Y$, $i \in [0 \dots n-1]$.
- For a map $m \in X \xrightarrow{m} Y, Dom(m) = X$
- Given a map f,write $f[x \mapsto v]$ to denote the updating operation of f that yields a map that is equivlent to f except that x is from now associated with v
- Given a record space $D = \langle f_1 : D_1, \dots, f_n : D_n \rangle$ (where f_i is a field with the type D_i defined in the specification), and an element e of type D, the access to the filed f_i of e is written as $e.f_i$ and the update of fields f_{i1}, \dots, f_{ik} is written $e[f_{i1} \leftarrow v_{i1}, \dots, f_{ik} \leftarrow v_{ik}]$.
- (τ) set and (τ) list denote the set or list of type τ respectively.

3.2 Pointcuts, Joinpoint Shadows and Advices

As with the static designators we talk about here, there are 3 cases of join point shadows.

- 1. The case where the shadow is exactly one instruction
- 2. The case where the shadow is an *entire* method
- 3. The case that does not by themselves define shadows. They use the shadows defined by the other 6 static pointcuts.

The before advice code segment is inserted after the impdep1 instruction.impdep1 is a reserved word in java bytecode opcode but can never appear in any class file; we assume the front-end compiler produces the instruction for us to insert the advice; and after the compilation of the back-end compiler, the advice is woven and impdep1 is delimitted. The after advice code is easy to recognize since it always usually appears with method return opcodes like return, ireturn, areturn.

Table 3 refers to the pointcut and the affected area while the box below includes the syntax of our defined pointcuts.

Join Point Designator	Join Point shadow	
mcall	$\verb"invokevirtual" i, \verb"invokespecial" i, \verb"invokestatic" i, \verb"invokeinterface" i, n$	
get	$\texttt{getfield} \ i \ , \\ \texttt{getstatic} \ i$	
set	$\verb"putfield" i , \verb"putstatic" i$	
mexecution	Entire method code	
execution	Entire advice's code	
staticInit	Entire "clinit" method code	

Table 3: pointcut and affected bytecode

```
BasePcut \models mcall(MethodPattern) \mid mexecution(MethodPattern) \mid aexecution()
                         | withincode(MethodPattern) | get(FieldPattern) | set(FieldPattern)
     BooleanPcut \models Pcut \text{ or } Pcut \mid \text{ not } Pcut \mid Pcut \text{ and } Pcut
  MethodPattern \models \langle methodModifiers : (Methodmodifier) - \mathtt{set}, methodSgnature : MethodSignature,
                        componentType : ComponentType \rangle
     FieldPattern \models \langle fieldModifiers : (FieldModifier) - \mathtt{set}, FieldSignature : FieldSignature,
                        componentType : ComponentType \rangle
MethodSignature \models \langle name : Identifier, argumentsType : (Type) - list, resultType : Type \rangle
  FieldSignature \models \langle name : Identifier, type : Type \rangle
MethodModifier \models \mathtt{public} \mid \mathtt{private} \mid \mathtt{static} \mid \mathtt{synchronized}
   FieldModeifer \models public \mid private \mid static
 ComponentType \models ReferenceType \mid AspectType
       ResultType \models Type \mid void
               Type \models PrimitiveType \mid ReferenceType
  ReferenceType \models ClassType \mid InterfaceType
        ClassType \models Identifier
   InterfaceType \models Identifier
       AspectType \models Identifier
   PrimitiveType \models Identifier
```

3.3 Environments

Below describes the environment before weaving, it contains the javaEnvironment and the advices. We can denote the environment as:

```
Environment \models \langle javaEnvironment : JavaEnvironment, advices : (AdviceInfo) - \mathtt{list} \rangle
```

The javaEnvironment is somewhat like the actual jvm environment except that it's reduced and there is an additional instruction impdep1. In our model, a class is just a record containing a constant pool, a super-class, a set of interfaces, a list of fields, a map that associates values to static fields, a list of methods, three flags that indicate whether the class is initialized or not, is an interface or not, is an aspect or not and a monitor. We only explain some productions, details can been seen in [3].

• A constant pool is a map that associates a set of integers with a set of constant pool entries, which is like this:

$$ConstantPool \models Int \xrightarrow{m} ConstantPoolEntry$$

In our example, we can use javap -verbose Hello.class to see what contains in the constant pool.

Listing 6: constant pool

```
#1 = Class #2 // date20120901/AspectjHello/Hello
#2 = Utf8 date20120901/AspectjHello/Hello
#3 = Class #4 // java/lang/Object
#4 = Utf8 java/lang/Object
#5 = Utf8 sayHello
#6 = Utf8 ()V
#7 = Utf8 org.aspectj.weaver.MethodDeclarationLineNumber
#8 = Utf8 Code
#9 = Fieldref #10.#12 // java/lang/System.out:Ljava/io/PrintStream;
#10 = Class #11 // java/lang/System
#11 = Utf8 java/lang/System
#12 = NameAndType #13:#14 // out:Ljava/io/PrintStream;
#13 = Utf8 out
...
```

The index first appearing in each line is the key Integer(#5 for instance) and the content(like sayHello) can be viewed as the value of the entries.

- A constant pool entry can be a class type, a pair of a method signature and a supposed class. Those entries are usually defined by the type and the concatenation of String of entries. The recognition and interpretation, however is the task of JVM, which is out of our topic.
- The monitor associated with a class is a record of three components: threadOwner, depth and a waitList, i.e. it is can be represented as a tuple like below:

```
Monitor \models \langle threadOwner : Threadowner, depth : Nat, waitList : WaitingList \rangle
```

The monitor is set to $\langle None, 0, [\;] \rangle$ if the class is not locked.

Other productions can be seen as follows:

```
JavaEnvironment \models ComponentType \xrightarrow{m} Class
               Class \models \langle constantPool : ConstantPool, superClass : ClassType | NoneType,
                         interfaces: (ClassType) - set, fields: (Field) - set,
                         staticMap : Field \xrightarrow{m} Value, methods : (Method) - set,
                         initialized: Int, interface: Int, aspect: Int, monitorClass: Monitor\
Constant pool Entry \models Class Type \mid Method Pool Entry, Field Pool Entry
MethodPoolEntry \models \langle methodSignature : MethodSignature, supposedClass : ClassType \rangle
   FieldPoolEntry \models \langle fieldSignature : FieldSignature, supposedClass : ClassType \rangle
      ThreadOwner \models ThreadId | NoneType
        WaitingList \models (ThradId) - list
           ThreadId \models Nat
                Field \models \langle fieldSignagure : FieldSignature, fromClass : ComponentType, \rangle
                         fieldModifiers: (FieldModifier) - set
             Method \models \langle method Signaqure : Method Signature, from Class : Component Type,
                         methodModifiers: (MethodModifier) - \mathtt{set}, code: Code, method
                         Variables : MethodVariables \rangle
         AdviceInfo \models \langle kind : \{ \texttt{Before}, \texttt{After} \}, pointcut : Pcut, \}
                         fromClass: AspectType, adviceSignagure: MethodSignagure \rangle
                Code \models ProgrammCounter \xrightarrow{m} Instruction
        Instruction \models JVMLInstruction | impdep1
  ProgramCounter \models Nat
  MethodVariables \models (Value) - list
```

3.4 Configurations

The operational semantics is based on the evolution of configurations that are defined hereafter. Weaving a class with some aspects is the result of weaving all its methods with the considered aspects. So we only need to describe the weaving inside one method and a configuration will have the following form:

 $\langle \xi, m, pc, ads, nextpc \rangle$

where:

- ξ represents the environment
- m is the related method
- pc represents the program counter that contains the address of the instruction to be advised into m
- ads represents the advices to consider
- nextpc represents the program counter for the next instruction to consider

4 Utility Functions

This section contains the details of the useful utility functions for the final regulation.

4.1 List Processing

The function head returns the first element in a given list and tail returns the remains. They are just like LISP's car and cdr operations.

$$\begin{aligned} head: \ (\tau) - list \rightarrow \tau \\ head(v::l) = v, \forall (v,l) \in \tau \times (\tau) - \texttt{list} \\ tail(v::l) = l, \forall (v,l) \in \tau \times (\tau) - \texttt{list} \\ tail([]) = [] \end{aligned}$$

4.2 Constructors and Retriever for Methods and fields

• The function signatureAspectOf returns the signature of the method "aspectO" of the advice aspect. We can see in listing sayHello that there is a line with the invokestatic of date20120901/AspectjHello/World.aspectOf. This method in the aspect usually calls the actual advice method that we would like to weave(just like the invokevirtual of date20120901/AspectjHello/World.ajc\$before\$date20120901 in our Hello example).

 $signatureAspectOf: AdviceInfo \rightarrow MethodSignature$

$$\texttt{signatureAspectOf}(ad) = ms \ \texttt{iff} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} ms.name = "aspectOf" \\ ms.argumentsType = [\] \\ ms.resultType = ad.fromClass \end{array} \right.$$

• retrieveF or retrieveM searches a method/field in a (method/field)-list respectively.

$$\texttt{retrieveF}: \ FieldSignature \times Fields \rightarrow Field$$

$$\texttt{retrieveF}(fs,l) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \texttt{head(1) if head}(l).FieldSignature = ms \\ \texttt{retrieveF}(fs,tail(l) \ \texttt{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\texttt{retrieveM}: \ MethodSignature \times Methods \rightarrow Method$$

$$\texttt{retrieveM}(ms,l) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \texttt{head(1) if head}(l).MethodSignature = ms \\ \texttt{retrieveM}(ms,tail(l) \ \texttt{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

• newPoolEntry returns a method that has the given method signature ms and its class c.

$$\label{eq:newPoolEntry} \begin{split} \texttt{newPoolEntry}: \ MethodSignature \times Class \rightarrow ConstantPoolEntry \\ \texttt{newPoolEntry}(ms,c) = c \ \texttt{iff} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c.methodSignagure = ms \\ c.supposedClass = c \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

4.3 Functions for Checking Shadows

• isReturn judges if it comes to the end of some method and it checks whether the current instruction is one of those *return* opcodes.

$$\texttt{isReturn}:\ Method \times ProgramCounter \rightarrow Boolean$$

$$\texttt{isReturn}(m,pc) = true\ \text{iff}\ m.code(pc) = return \lor areturn \lor ireturn$$

• isBeforeOrAfterShadow tests the possibility of being a shadow(before or after).

```
{\tt isBeforeOrAfterShadow}(m,pc) = true \\ {\tt iff} \ m.Code(pc) = {\tt invokevirtual} \ i|{\tt invokespecial} \ i|{\tt invokestatic}| \ {\tt invokeinterface} \ i,n \\ {\tt |getstatic} \ i|{\tt getfield} \ i|{\tt putstatic} \ i|{\tt putfield} \ i
```

isBeforeShadow additionally check if the current instruction is the reserved impdep1; while isAfterShadow check if the method would exit.

$$\begin{split} \text{isBeforeShadow} : & \ Method \times ProgramCounter \to Boolean \\ \\ \text{isBeforeOrAfterShadow}(m,pc) = \text{true iff} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{isBeforeOrAfterShadow}(m,pc) \\ m.Code(pc) = \text{impdep1} \end{array} \right. \\ \\ \text{isAfterShadow} : & \ Method \times ProgramCounter \to Boolean \\ \\ \text{isAfterShadow}(m,pc) = true \text{ iff} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{isReturn}(m,pc) \\ \text{isBeforeOrAfterShadow}(m,pc) \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

• isMpatternMatched and isFpatternMatched decides whether the special method or field matches the pattern.

$$\label{eq:component} \text{isMpatternMatched}(mp,m) = true \\ \begin{cases} mp.methodSignature = m.methodSignature \\ mp.componentType = m.fromClass \\ mp.methodModifiers = m.methodModifiers \end{cases} \\ \text{iff} \begin{cases} fp.fieldSignature = f.fieldSignature \\ fp.componentType = f.fromClass \\ fp.fieldModifiers = f.fieldModifiers \end{cases}$$

4.4 After Advice Matches

• matchAfterAexec checks whether it is inside an aspect and reaches the end of some method.

$$\label{eq:matchAfterAexec} \texttt{matchAfterAexec}: \ Method \times ProgramCounter \rightarrow Boolean$$

$$\texttt{matchAfterAexec}(m,pc) = true \ \texttt{iff} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (m.fromClass).aspect = 1 \\ \texttt{isReturn}(m,pc) \end{array} \right.$$

• matchAfterMexec should check whether it matches the current method pattern. Note that the methods in those aspects are also tested.

```
\texttt{matchAfterMexec}: \ MethodPattern \times Method \times ProgramCounter \rightarrow Boolean \texttt{matchAfterMexec}(mp, m, pc) = true \ \texttt{iff} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \texttt{isMpatternMatched}(mp, m) \\ \texttt{isReturn}(m, pc) \end{array} \right.
```

• matchAfterStaticInit is called when the advice is an After advice and its pointcut is staticInit(ct).

 $\mathtt{matchAfterStaticInit}: ComponentType \times Method \times ProgramCounter \rightarrow Boolean$

$$\texttt{matchAfterStaticInit}(ct, m, pc) = true \ \texttt{iff} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} m.fromClass = ct \\ (m.methodSignature).name = "clinit" \\ \texttt{isReturn}(m, pc) \end{array} \right.$$

• matchAfterPcut can be viewed as a summary of the above, it returns true if the given After advice is applicable in the method m at the program counter pc:

 $\mathtt{matchAfterPcut}: Environment \times Pointcut \times Method \times ProgramCounter \rightarrow Boolean$

It supports the logical operators and, or and not.

```
 \begin{array}{lll} \mathtt{matchAfterPcut}(\xi,pcut1 \ \mathtt{and} \ pcut2,m,pc) & = & \mathtt{matchAfterPcut}(\xi,pcut1,m,pc) \land (\xi,pcut2,m,pc) \\ \mathtt{matchAfterPcut}(\xi,pcut1 \ \mathtt{or} \ pcut2,m,pc) & = & \mathtt{matchAfterPcut}(\xi,pcut1,m,pc) \lor (\xi,pcut2,m,pc) \\ \mathtt{matchAfterPcut}(\xi,\mathtt{not} \ Pcut,m,pc) & = & \neg \mathtt{matchAfterPcut}(\xi,pcut,m,pc) \\ \end{array}
```

Note the relationship between matchAfterPcut and the functions above:

```
\begin{split} \mathtt{matchAfterPcut}(\xi, mexecution(mp), m, pc) &= \mathtt{matchAfterMexec}(mp, m, pc) \\ \mathtt{matchAfterPcut}(\xi, staticInit(ct), m, pc) &= \mathtt{matchAfterStaticInit}(ct, m, pc) \\ \mathtt{matchAfterPcut}(\xi, aexecution(), m, pc) &= \mathtt{matchAfterAexec}(m, pc) \end{split}
```

In the other cases, we have:

```
\mathtt{matchAfterPcut}(\xi,pcut,m,pc) = \mathtt{matchPcut}(\xi,pcut,m,pc) \text{ iff } \begin{cases} pcut \in BasicPcut \\ pcut \neq \mathtt{mexecution}(mp) \\ pcut \neq \mathtt{staticInit}(ct) \\ pcut \neq \mathtt{aexecution}() \end{cases}
```

4.5 Before Advice Matches

• matchBeforeExec returns true if the given pointcut *pcut* of a before advice matches as a *method* or advice execution of the given method.

```
\mathtt{matchBeforeExec}:\ Pointcut \times Method \rightarrow Boolean
```

```
\begin{array}{llll} \texttt{matchBeforeExec}(mcall(mp),m) &=& false \\ & \texttt{matchBeforeExec}(get(fp),m) &=& false \\ & \texttt{matchBeforeExec}(set(fp),m) &=& false \\ & \texttt{matchBeforeExec}(withincode(mp),m) &=& \texttt{isMpatternMatched}(mp,m) \\ & \texttt{matchBeforeExec}(within(ct),m) &=& (m.fromClass = ct) \\ & \texttt{matchBeforeExec}(mexecution(mp),m) &=& \texttt{isMpatternMatched}(mp,m) \\ & \texttt{matchBeforeExec}(staticInit(ct,m)) &=& ((m.fromClass = ct) \wedge ((m.methodSignature).name = "clinit")) \\ & \texttt{matchBeforeExec}(aexecution(),m) &=& ((m.fromClass).aspect = 1) \\ \end{array}
```

And the logical operations are similar:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathtt{matchBeforeExec}(pcut1 \ and \ pcut2, m) &=& \mathtt{matchBeforeExec}(pcut1, m) \land \mathtt{matchBeforeExec}(pcut2, m) \\ \\ \mathtt{matchBeforeExec}(pcut1 \ or \ pcut2, m) &=& \mathtt{matchBeforeExec}(pcut1, m) \lor \mathtt{matchBeforeExec}(pcut2, m) \\ \\ \mathtt{mtchBeforeExec}(not \ pcut, m) &=& \neg \mathtt{matchBeforeExec}(pcut, m) \end{array}
```

• matchBeforeOtherExec returns true if the given pointcut pc of a before advice matches with the instruction at the position pc in the method m not as method or advice execution!(The corresponding and,or,not operations are the same and is not listed.)

 $\texttt{matchBeforeOtherExec}: \ Environment \times Pointcut \times Method \times ProgramCounter \rightarrow Boolean$

```
\label{eq:matchBeforeOtherExec} \begin{split} \text{matchBeforeOtherExec}(\xi, mexecution(mp), m, pc) &= false \\ \text{matchBeforeOtherExec}(\xi, staticInit(ct), m, pc) &= false \\ \text{matchBeforeOtherExec}(\xi, aexecution(), m, pc) &= false \\ \end{split} \\ \text{matchBeforeOtherExec}(\xi, pcut, m, pc) &= matchPcut(\xi, pcut, m, pc) \\ \text{iff} &\begin{cases} pcut \in BasicPcut \\ pcut \neq mexecution(mp) \\ pcut \neq staticInit(ct) \\ pcut \neq aexecution() \\ \end{cases}
```

4.6 matchPcut

matchPcut is called from the functions matchBeforeOtherExecut, matchAfterPcut. The pointcut argument is one of the the following base pointcuts: mcall(mp), get(fp), set(fp), withincode(mp) and within(ct).

```
\texttt{matchPcut} \models Environment \times Pointcut \times Method \times ProgramCounter \rightarrow Boolean
```

```
matchPcut(\xi, pcut, m, pc) = true if
  pcut = mcall(mp); mp \in MethodPattern
  m.code(pc) = invokevirtual|invokestatic|invokeinterface i, n|invokespecial i
  \Gamma \xi = (\Gamma.javaEnvironment)
  mPoolEntry = \Gamma \xi(m.fromClass).constantPool(i)
  msign = mPoolEntry.methodSignature
  calledm = \texttt{retrieveM}(msign, \Gamma \xi(mPoolEntry.supposedClass).methods)
  m.code(pc) = invokespecial i) \Rightarrow (isPrivate(calledm) \land calledm.methodSignature.name <> "init")
  isMpatternMatched(mp, calledm)
  pcut = get(fp); fp \in FieldPattern
  m.code(pc) = qet field \ i \lor m.code(pc) = qet static \ i
  \Gamma \xi = (\xi.javaEnvironment)
  fPoolEntry = \Gamma \xi(m.fromClass).constantPool(i)
  fsign = fPoolEntry.fieldSignagure
  wedgegetF = \mathtt{retriveF}(fsign, \Gamma\xi(fPoolEntry.supposedClass).fields)
  isFpatternMatched(fp, getF)
  pcut = set(fp); fp \in FieldPattern
  m.code(pc) = \mathtt{putfield}\; i \lor m.code(pc) = \mathtt{putstatic}\; i
  \Gamma \xi = (\xi.javaEnvironment)
  fPoolEntry = \Gamma \xi(m.fromClass).constantPool(i)
  fsign = fPoolEntry.fieldSignature
  setF = \texttt{retrieveF}(fsign, \Gamma \xi (fPoolEntry.supposedClass).fields)
  isFpatternMatched(fp, setF)
  pcut = withincode(mp); mp \in MethodPattern
  isBeforeOrAfterShadow(m, pc)
  isMpatternMatched(mp, m)
  pcut = within(ct); ct \in ComponentType
  isBeforeOrAfterShadow(m, pc)
  m.fromClass = ct
```

4.7 Advice Applicable to Insert

• is Before Advice Applicable returns true if the given before advice is applicable in the method m at the program counter pc. We distinguish between the case of execution shadow and the other shadows.

 $\verb|isBeforeAdviceApplicable|: Environment \times Method \times Program Counter \times AdviceInfo \rightarrow Boolean$

```
\label{eq:code} \begin{split} \texttt{isBeforeAdviceApplicable}(\Gamma, m, pc, ad) = &true \ \texttt{iff} \\ &(m.Code(pc) = \texttt{impdep1} \land \texttt{matchBeforeExec}(ad.pointcut, m)) \\ &|\texttt{matchBeforeOtherExec}(\xi, ad.pointcut, m, pc) \end{split}
```

• is After Advise Applicable returns true if the given after advice is applicable in the method m at the program counter pc.

 $\verb|isAfterAdviseApplicable|: Environment \times Method \times ProgramCounter \times AdviceInfo \rightarrow Boolean$

```
\texttt{isAfterAdviseApplicable}(\xi, m, pc, ad) = true \ \texttt{if} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \texttt{isAfterShadow}(m, pc) \\ \texttt{matchAfterPcut}(\xi, ad.pointcut, m, pc) \end{array} \right.
```

• insertBeforeAdvice takes an environment, a method, a program counter, and an advice as arguments and returns a new environment, a new method, and a new program counter after injecting the advice in the method. cpool1 refers to the new constant pool added by the advice; incase there is mathes added to the advice methods, cpool2 is also added to define the advice's advice (This may lead to endless loop if the advice is not defined properly). The changeMethods map all possible methods and advice into a new set of methods. pc' = pc + 2 since invokestatic and invokestatic consumes two instructions. Hence a new environment ξ' is returned.

```
 \begin{array}{ll} \texttt{insertBeforeAdvice} &: & Environment \times Method \times ProgramCounter \times AdviceInfo \\ & \rightarrow & Environment \times method \times ProgramCounter \times ProgramCounter \end{array}
```

```
insertBeforeAdvice(\xi, m, pc, ad) = (\xi', m', pc') if
```

```
 \begin{cases} \Gamma \xi = \xi. javaEnvironment \\ c = \Gamma \xi (m.fromClass) \\ cpool = c.constantPool \\ ms = \mathtt{signatureAspectOf}(ad) \\ cpool1 = cpool[i \mapsto \mathtt{newPoolEntry}(ms, ad.fromClass)], i \not\in Dom(cpool) \\ cpool2 = cpool1[j \mapsto \mathtt{newPoolentry}(ad.adviceSignature, ad.fromClass)], j \not\in Dom(cpool1) \\ code1 = m.code[k + 2 \mapsto m.code(k)], \forall k \in Dom(m.code) \\ code2 = code1[pc + 1 \mapsto \mathtt{invokestatic}\ i] \\ code3 = code2[pc + 2 \mapsto \mathtt{invokevirtual}\ j] \\ m' = m[code \leftarrow code3] \\ c1 = c[constantPool \leftarrow cpool2, methods \leftarrow \mathtt{ChangeMethods}(c1.methods, m, m')] \\ \Gamma \xi_1 = \Gamma \xi [m.fromClass \mapsto c1] \\ pc' = pc + 2 \\ \xi = \xi[javaEnvironment \leftarrow \Gamma \xi_1] \end{cases}
```

• insertAfterAdvice also takes nextpc into consideration since it always forward 2 instructions during the advice. There are 2 options for this insertion as with whether pc reaches the end of the method.

```
insertAfterAdvice(\xi, m, pc, ad, nextpc) = (\xi', m', pc', nextpc') iff
```

```
\neg \mathtt{isReturn}(m, pc)
\Gamma \xi = \xi.javaEnvironment
c = \Gamma \xi(m.fromClass)
cpool = c.constantPool
ms = signatureAspectOf(ad)
cpool1 = cpool[i \mapsto \texttt{newPoolEntry}(ms, ad.fromClass)], i \notin Dom(cpool)
cpool2 = cpool1[j \mapsto \texttt{newPoolentry}(ad.adviceSignature, ad.fromClass)], j \notin Dom(cpool1)
code1 = m.code[k + 2 \mapsto m.code(k)], \forall k \in Dom(m.code)
code2 = code1[pc + 1 \mapsto \texttt{invokestatic}\ i]
code3 = code2[pc + 2 \mapsto \texttt{invokevirtual } j]
m' = m[code \leftarrow code3]
c1 = c[constantPool \leftarrow cpool2, methods \leftarrow \texttt{changeMethods}(c1.methods, m, m')]
\Gamma \xi_1 = \Gamma \xi [m.fromClass \mapsto c1]
pc' = pc
nextpc' = nextpc + 2
\xi = \xi[javaEnvironment \leftarrow \Gamma \xi_1]
\begin{split} & \texttt{isReturn}(m,pc) \\ & \land (\xi',m',pc') = \texttt{insertBefore}(\xi,m,pc,ad) \\ & \land nextpc' = nextpc + 2 \end{split}
```

5 Weaving Semantics

With the help of utility functions described above, We conclude 5 rules in the operational semantics of static pointcuts based on aspectj and JVMLI.

5.1 Neither Advice Applicable

This rule of the semantics describes the case where the instruction in the method m at the program counter pc is not a shadow or the advice list is empty(all the advices have been treated for this instruction). In such cases, the current instruction is skipped and the list of advices is reset to its initial value (all the advices of the environment).

$$\frac{(\mathtt{isBeforeShadow}(m,pc) \land \mathtt{isAfterShadow}(m,pc)) \lor ads = [\]}{\langle \xi, m, pc, ads, nextpc \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \xi, m, \xi. advices, nextpc + 1 \rangle}$$

5.2 Before Advice not Applicable

This rule fires in the case where the head of the advice list is a Before advice but is not applicable to the current instruction. This advice is then re-moved and we reconsider the possibility of weaving the same instruction with the remaining list of advices.

$$\frac{\texttt{head(ads).kind=before} \land \neg \texttt{isBeforeAdviceApplicable}(\xi, m, pc, \texttt{head}(ads))}{\langle \xi, m, pc, ads, nextpc \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \xi, m, tail(ads), nextpc \rangle}$$

5.3 Before Advice Applicable

This rule of the semantics represents the case where the head of the advice list is a Before advice and is applicable to the current instruction. In this case, the method and the environment are changed because of the Before advice merging. The program counter of the current instruction will change also because of the Before advice injection. Denote

beforeApplicable := head(ads).kind=before \land isBeforeAdviceApplicable($\xi, m, pc, head(ads)$)

$$\frac{beforeApplicable \wedge (\xi', m', pc') = \mathtt{insertBeforeAdvice}(\xi, m, pc, \mathtt{head}(ads))}{\langle \xi, m, pc, ads, nextpc \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \xi', m', pc', \mathtt{tail}(ads), pc' + 1}$$

5.4 After Advice not Applicable

This rule depicts the case where the head of the advice list is an After advice but is not applicable to the current instruction. The head of the advice list is then removed and we reconsider the possibility of weaving with the remaining list of advices.

$$\frac{\texttt{head(ads).kind=after} \land \neg \texttt{isAfterAdviceApplicable}(\xi, m, pc, \texttt{head}(ads))}{\langle \xi, m, pc, ads, nextpc \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \xi, m, tail(ads), nextpc \rangle}$$

5.5 Before Advice Applicable

This rule of the semantics represents the case where the head of the advice list is an After advice and is applicable to the current instruction. In this case, the method and the environment are changed because of the After advice merging. The program counters of the current instruction and next instruction will change also because of the After advice injection.

 $afterApplicable := \texttt{head(ads)}. \texttt{kind=after} \land \texttt{isAfterAdviceApplicable}(\xi, m, pc, \texttt{head}(ads))$

$$\frac{afterApplicable \wedge (\xi', m', pc') = \mathtt{insertAfterAdvice}(\xi, m, pc, \mathtt{head}(ads))}{\langle \xi, m, pc, ads, nextpc \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \xi', m', pc', \mathtt{tail}(ads), pc' + 1}$$

6 Conclusions

This review discusses about the operational semantics of aspectj pointcuts with a reduced JVMLI set.It divides the procedure of weaving into two parts:the front-end and the back-end.We view the aspect woven as a normal java byte code file and analyze the mapping from the mixed environment of javaEnvironment and aspect into pure javaEnvironment.It also induces five rules related to the two kinds of advice.

References

- [1] N. Belblidia and M. Debbabi. Towards a formal semantics for aspectj weaving. In *JMLC'06*, pages 155–171, 2006.
- [2] M. K. Gupt1a. Mastering Aspect J Aspect Oriented Programming in Java. Wiley, 2003.
- [3] Oracle. The Java Virtual Machine Specification. http://docs.oracle.com/javase/specs/jvms/se7/html/index.html, June 2012.
- [4] Wikipedia. Aspect-oriented programming. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aspect-oriented_programming, September 2012.